

PRODUCTION NOTE

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Library Large-scale Digitization Project, 2007.

Technical Report No. 182

TEACHING THE PROCESS
OF READING COMPREHENSION

Allan Collins and Edward E. Smith Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.

September 1980

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 51 Gerty Drive Champaign, Illinois 61820 BBN Report No. 4393

Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

The research reported herein was supported in part by the National Institute of Education under Contract No. US-NIE-C-400-76-0116, and in part by Harvard University under Subcontract No. L92121. We thank Chip Bruce and Andee Rubin for their comments on a previous draft of the paper. We also thank Marilyn Adams and Tom Anderson for discussions that led to the ideas presented here. This paper will appear in the journal Intelligence in 1981.

1

Abstract

Current methods for teaching comprehension tend to emphasize the products of comprehension, and neglect the processes of comprehension. There are two sets of processing skills that we think are particularly important to teach. first set includes comprehension monitoring skills, which involve the reader's monitoring of his or her ongoing processing for possible comprehension failures, and taking remedial action when failures occur. Comprehension failures can occur at various levels, including: particular words, between sentences, particular sentences, relations relations between larger units. For each kind of failure, we specify possible remedial actions the reader can take. The second set of processing skills that we advocate teaching involve using clues in the text to generate, evaluate, and revise hypotheses about current and future events in the text. We consider hypotheses about event expectations (often based goals of the text characters); traits and on text-structure expectations (based, for example on genre); other interpretive skills, like determining the main points. Finally, we propose that in teaching these processing skills, the teacher first models the skills, and gradually turns over the processing responsibilities to the students.

Introduction

The cognitive approach to education assumes that if we can specify in enough detail the tacit processes that underlie various thinking skills, then we can find methods to teach students to master these skills. In this paper we focus on one critical domain -- reading comprehension -- and attempt to specify the thinking skills required together with interactive methods for teaching these skills.

Reading comprehension is usually taught in schools in one of two ways. One method is to have students read a text, and then read comments or answer questions about the text. The comments and questions can range over a variety of topics, from what particular words mean to the main point of the whole text. This method stresses important components of reading comprehension, but treats them purely as products (i.e., interpretations) rather than as processes (i.e., constructing interpretations). In particular, it does not teach students what to do when they have difficulty comprehending parts of the text; nor does it teach them how to construct and revise hypotheses about what is likely to occur in the text based on what they have already read. Both of these aspects are important in constructing an interpretation of the text.

The other method for teaching common reading comprehension is the reading group. In a reading group, children take turns reading aloud. The teacher usually helps out when the student has difficulties, and sometimes comments or asks a question about the text. This method goes some way toward teaching the process of reading comprehension, but typically the teacher deals only with low-level difficulties (word and parsing difficulties) and asks questions only about interpretations. The method that we will propose incorporates aspects of both of the common methods, elaborated to include a much richer set of comments and questions. It is akin to the process-oriented curricula now used, such as the ReQuest and DRT methods (Tierney, Readence, & Dishner, 1980).

There are two aspects of comprehension processes that we think are important to teach: (1) comprehension monitoring, and (2) hypothesis formation and evaluation. The notion of comprehension monitoring comes out of the recent research on metacognition (e.g., Brown, 1978; Flavell, 1978; Markman, Comprehension monitoring concerns the ability both to evaluate his or her ongoing comprehension processes while reading through a text, and to take some sort of remedial action when these processes bog down. In the next section, we will kinds detail the of comprehension difficulties students should learn to look for, and the kinds of remedial actions they should learn to take.

In addition to comprehension monitoring skills, students also need to be able to use clues in the text to make hypotheses about what is happening or is likely to happen next, to evaluate these hypotheses as new evidence comes in, and to revise them should evidence accumulate to indicate that they are wrong. The role of hypothesis formation and revision is central to recent artificial intelligence approaches to comprehension processes (Brown, Collins, & Harris, 1978; Bruce & Newman, 1978; Collins, Brown, & Larkin, 1980; Rubin, Bruce, & Brown, 1976; Schank & Abelson, 1977; Wilensky, 1978, in press). We distinguish between two basic kinds of hypothesis making interpretations of the text vs. formation skills: making predictions about what will happen in the text. two are often intertwined, however. In a subsequent section, we will try to enumerate the kinds of hypotheses expectations that seem particularly valuable for reading comprehension.

All of the preceding is concerned with what readers need to learn, not how they should be taught it. As an answer to the how issue, in the final section of this paper, we propose a method that starts with the teacher modelling to students

the above two aspects of comprehending -- comprehension monitoring and hypothesis formation. This modelling can be viewed as running a kind of "slow motion" film of the way comprehending takes place in a sophisticated reader. Gradually this process should be turned over from the teacher to the students so that they internalize these same reading strategies.

To reiterate, the next two sections of this paper detail the skills involved in comprehension monitoring and hypothesis formation and testing; the final section describes the method we propose for teaching students to develop these skills. One point to keep in mind throughout is that the skills we discuss are those needed when reading for depth and detail. Other kinds of reading -- e.g., skimming or just trying to get the main points -- may require only a subset of the proposed skills, or conceivably even a different set entirely.

Comprehension Monitoring

Comprehension monitoring skills range from handling local word-level failures to global text-level failures. Table 1 shows our taxonomy of possible comprehension failures. There are four basic types. They include failures to understand (a) particular words, (b) particular sentences, (c) relations between sentences, and (d) how the text fits together as a

whole. Each type of failure can in fact have ramifications at more global levels. We will discuss each kind of failure along with certain remedies that students should learn to take.

Failure to Understand a Word

The simplest kind of problem occurs when the reader does not understand a word, either because it is novel, or because its known meaning does not make sense in the current context.

Failure to Understand a Sentence

There are several different ways a reader can fail to understand a sentence. One possibility is that he or she fails to find any interpretation at all. Another is that the only interpretation found is so abstract as to seem hopelessly vague. (Somewhat surprisingly, this seems to be a common occurrence in scientific and technical texts.) Alternatively, the reader may find several interpretations, because of some semantic or syntactic ambiguity. A fourth problem occurs if the reader's interpretation conflicts with his or her prior knowledge.

Table 1

Taxonomy of Comprehension Failures

- 1. Failure to understand a word
 - a. Novel word
 - b. Known word that doesn't make sense in the context
- 2. Failure to understand a sentence
 - a. Can find no interpretation
 - b. Can only find vague, abstract interpretation
 - c. Can find several possible interpretations (ambiguous sentence)
 - d. Interpretation conflicts with prior knowledge
- 3. Failure to understand how one sentence relates to another
 - a. Interpretation of one sentence conflicts with another
 - b. Can find no connection between the sentences
 - c. Can find several possible connections between the sentences
- 4. Failure to understand how the whole text fits together
 - a. Can find no point to whole or part of the text
 - b. Cannot understand why certain episodes or sections occurred
 - c. Cannot understand the motivations of certain characters

Failure to Understand How One Sentence Relates to Another

One kind of failure that can occur at the intersentence level is interpretation of one sentence is when an inconsistent with that of another. Monitoring for such inconsistencies is clearly an ability that develops with experience. Markman (1979) found that sixth-graders were far better than third-graders in detecting explicit contradictions In this experiment, even the older children were in text. detecting inconsistencies. surprisingly poor at And experimental work by Baker (1979a) suggests that even college students have trouble monitoring for inconsistencies.

In addition to inconsistencies, there are two other kinds of failures that can occur at the intersentence level: the reader can find no connection between two sentences that by juxtaposition should be related, and the reader can find several possible connections between two sentences (i.e., there is an ambiguous relation between the two sentences).

Failure to Understand How the Entire Text Fits Together

There are a number of failures that can occur at more global levels. These include failures to understand the point of the text or some part of it, failure to understand why certain episodes or sections were included, and failure to

understand the motivations of one or more characters in the text. We have analyzed in detail elsewhere the kinds of strategies sophisticated readers use to reinterpret a text (Collins, Brown, & Larkin, 1980).

Remedies and Their Triggering Conditions

There are a number of actions readers can take if they fail to understand a word or passage. We have listed possible of increasing remedies below roughly in the order disruptiveness to the flow of reading. There is a cost to any but the first option: the more drastic the action taken, the more you lose the thread of what you are reading. Therefore, more disruptive actions require more justification in terms of potential benefit. This is captured by the conditions for an action, some of which are indicated in the description of each action. The triggering conditions are partially determined by the type of failure and partially by the costs and benefits of taking any action.

(1) Ignore and read on. If the word or passage is not critical to understanding, then the most effective action is to ignore it. For example, failures within descriptions and details usually can safely be ignored. If the reader fails to

understand a large proportion of the text, this is evidence that the "ignore and read on" strategy is not working.

- (2) <u>Suspend judgment</u>. This is a wait and see strategy that should be applied when the reader thinks the failure will be clarified later. For example, new words or general principles are often explained in subsequent text. The structure of the text should tell the reader when an idea is likely to be clarified later. If it is not, it may be necessary to go back and reread.
- (3) Form a tentative hypothesis. Here the reader tries to figure out from context what a word, sentence, or passage means. The hypothesis may be a partial hypothesis or a quite specific hypothesis. It acts as a pending question (Collins, Brown, Morgan, & Brewer, 1977) that the reader tests as he or she continues reading. This is a particularly useful strategy to apply if a statement is abstract or vague, or if an unknown word is fairly central and there are clues to its meaning.

- (4) Reread the current sentence(s). If the reader can not form a tentative hypothesis, then it often helps to reread the current sentence or sentences, looking for a revised interpretation that would clarify the problem. This is especially useful if the reader perceives some contradiction or several possible interpretations. But it is a fairly disruptive remedy.
- (5) Reread the previous context. Jumping back to the previous context is even more disruptive to the flow of reading. But if there is a contradiction with some earlier piece of the text or the reader is overloaded with too many pending questions, then jumping back and rereading is the most effective strategy.
- (6) Going to an expert source. The most disruptive action the reader can take is to go to an outside source, such as a teacher, parent, dictionary, or other book. But this is sometimes required, for example when a word is repeatedly used and the reader cannot figure out what it means, or when a whole section of text does not make sense.

Several points worth emphasizing about these are remedies. First, some of these remedies correspond to strategies that college students report they use when they run into comprehension problems (Baker, 1979a). So we have some evidence that our remedies are indeed useful to skilled readers. Second, the order in which the remedies are listed roughly corresponds to the order in which we think they should be tried. In particular, the latter strategies are quite disruptive (you have to stop reading for at least a few seconds), so they should usually be the last remedies tried. Third, it is important to teach the triggering conditions that can clue the reader when to give up on one remedy and try another. Finally, we should point out that applying these remedies is anything but trivial. Using a sentence context to form a hypothesis about a novel word, for example, may be an instance of a general ability for extracting meaning from linguistic context, and this could be one of the things that separates good readers from poor ones.

Some General Comments about Comprehension Monitoring

One common reaction to proposals like the above is that too much comprehension monitoring can actually interfere with reading. Thus, in <u>A Guide to Effective Study</u> (1975), Locke writes:

In short, you need to monitor your mental processes while studying. This does not mean you should monitor every second; this would obviously make it impossible to learn the material. (p.126)

We have two reactions to this kind of argument. First, when it comes to reading deeply and analytically, we hold that monitoring is in fact needed all the time. Contrary to claims like Locke's, such constant monitoring will not interfere with learning once the monitoring is sufficiently well practiced so that it is automated and unconscious (see Adams, 1980). That is, the fallacy in the above claim lies in the hidden assumption that monitoring must go on consciously and hence usurp limited processing resources; in fact, it seems that some kinds of mental process that are practiced enough can become unconscious and automated, thereby not requiring resources that are in short supply (e.g., Schneider & Shiffrin, 1977). And comprehension monitoring may well be this kind of process.

But while we take issue with the claim that constant monitoring can impede learning, we recognize that there are numerous reading situations that do not require constant monitoring. Thus, if one is reading a text just to get the main points, one can probably safely ignore a novel word that

occurs in an unimportant sentence. More generally, different kinds of reading situations carry with them different criteria for comprehension, and the weaker the criterion (e.g., "just get the main point" vs. "be able to reproduce the arguments exposited"), the less the monitoring that may be needed (Baker, 1979b).

Hypothesis Generation, Evaluation, and Revision

In discussing comprehension monitoring, we mentioned the need to form tentative hypotheses about the meaning of a word or sentence. As they center on specific words and sentences, such hypotheses are very local. In the present section, we are concerned with far more general hypotheses, ones that are based on the characters' intentions and other global aspects of the test rather than on words and sentences.

As mentioned earlier, we distinguish between two basic kinds of hypotheses: predictions and interpretations. are hypotheses about what will happen, and Predictions interpretations are hypotheses about what is happening. Sometimes, as in character attributions described below, an interpretation is made (e.g., the hero is jealous) in order to make some prediction (e.g., he will try to outdo his rival). Both predictions and interpretations are often wrong, so the reader must look for further evidence and revise any predictions or interpretations that prove wrong.

Another way in which the hypotheses of present concern differ from those mentioned earlier is that the present ones are not triggered by comprehension failures. There is, however, an interplay between what was covered in the preceding section and the general hypotheses we consider here: to the extent students generate and test general hypotheses while reading, they may confront fewer comprehension failures. In some sense, then, the present section is concerned with preventing the kinds of problems that the prior section tried to remedy.

Table 2 shows our initial taxonomy of the kinds of general hypotheses students should learn to make as they read a text. We discuss each kind in turn.

a. Event Expectations

Expectations about future events occur mainly in fiction. We distinguish five major kinds of event expectations, the last three of which derive from Wilensky's (in press) analysis of stories:

(1) Character attributions. Very commonly, authors create a set of expectations about what a particular character will do based on some attribution of a permanent character type (e.g., evil, jealous,

Table 2

Types of Hypotheses

1. Event Expectations

- a. Character attributions (permanent and temporary)
- b. Situational attributions
- c. Goal interaction between characters (competition and cooperation)
- d. Goal interactions within a character (conflict)
- e. Termination of a goal-subsumption state

2. Text Structure Expectations

- a. Structure of the genre
- b. Predictions from headings and titles

3. Interpretations

- a. Determining the main points
- b. Determining story themes
- c. Determining devices used by authors

impatient) or of a temporary state (e.g., grief or happiness). One of the most common character types with predictive expectations is the "bad guy." If someone is referred to as having a "curling lip" or a "jagged tooth," these are clues that the character plays the role of villain in the story, and therefore is likely to take some action against the central character.

- (2) <u>Situational attributions</u>. Another source of expectations about future events is the specific situations that characters find themselves in. Regardless of their personality traits, we can expect most characters to be sad at a loved one's funeral, elated upon winning a valuable prize or award, conforming when confronted by a powerful authority, and so on. Recent experiments on story memory suggest that adult readers make extensive use of situational attributions (e.g., Bower, 1978).
- (3) Goal interactions between characters. When two characters' goals come into conflict or when they come to share a common goal, expectations are created about how the characters will interact (Bruce & Newman, 1978; Wilensky, in press).

Conflict predicts various attempts to undermine the other character's ability to reach the goal or attempts to outdo the other character. Sharing goals predicts various kinds of helping.

- (4) Goal interactions within a character. Often a character wants to pursue several goals that come into conflict, such as studying for an exam vs. having fun with the gang (Wilensky, in press). Such goal interactions lead to expectations about characters giving up something they want to do or ought to do, together with expectations about the consequences that flow from the particular choice (not studying can lead to failing a course, not getting into a good school or job, etc.).
- Wilensky (in press) also points out that termination of a goal-subsumption state often leads to expectations about a character's actions. A goal-subsumption state occurs when a person is in a state where a number of goals are satisfied automatically (e.g., the state of having a job can satisfy goals having to do with eating, recreation, and travel). When such a state terminates, the

character has to find a way to satisfy the goals that are no longer subsumed. Thus being married is a goal-subsumption state, and if a woman leaves her husband, we expect her to take actions to deal with whatever goals are no longer subsumed (e.g., finding a source of extra money, babysitting, companionship, etc.).

b. Text Structure Expectations

There are a number of expectations that derive from text structure per se rather than from the content of the text. We have not tried to enumerate all these structural expectations systematically but we can give a few examples of the kinds of predictions from text structure that students should learn to make:

(1) Structure of the genre. Both in stories and expository texts, there are standard structures that should create expectations in the reader. For example, a mystery story should lead one to look for clues as to who committed or will commit a crime. The clues often come in the form of extraneous details or discrepancies between what a character says and what one can infer must have been the case.

To give an example from expository literature, a standard form for presenting new material is what Armbruster & Anderson (1980) call the "Compare and Contrast" structure. When a new object is introduced by comparison to a known object, the reader should expect a point by point comparison of the similarities and differences between the two objects.

(2) <u>Titles and headings</u>. The titles and headings in a text usually provide a clue as to what will come next, particularly with respect to the main point of the succeeding text. Readers often ignore headings, and by doing so, they lose one of the main clues as to the high-level structure of the text. It is therefore important for teachers to stress the predictive and interpretive power of headings, even though they are sometimes misleading (Anderson, Armbruster, & Kantor, 1980).

c. Other Interpretive Skills

There are a number of other high-level interpretive skills that are currently emphasized in most reading curricula, which should be emphasized in any process-oriented

curriculum as well. The difference between our approach and that of most product-oriented curricula is that we emphasize the specific clues a reader can use to make interpretations. We will discuss three kinds of skills and their triggering cues as examples of what we have in mind.

- (1) Determining the main points. There are a number of cues that signal when a particular idea is the main point of a paragraph or expository text. For example, if an idea is mentioned in a heading or opening sentence, it is likely to be a main point. Main points are also likely to be reiterated or marked by some verbal cue such as "Therefore," "The point is," or even "This is exemplified by," where the idea expanded upon is the main point. Students should learn to recognize these and other cues that authors use to signal main points.
- (2) <u>Determining story themes</u>. Extracting the theme of a story is an important skill that is rarely taught directly. One aspect of it is to recognize the kinds of ideas that can function as story themes: for example, that "persistence pays off," or that "revenge may be sweet momentarily, but is costly in the long run." Students must learn to

extract the clues from the text that enable them to identify what the theme of a particular story is. Themes usually derive from the salient characteristics of the main characters and the central events they are involved in.

(3) Determining devices used by authors. One of the important aspects of writing is the devices authors use to create different effects on the reader: to catch their interest, create suspense, create a sense of danger or villainy, etc. (Collins & Gentner, in press). Students need to be able to recognize the clues for the various devices. For example, a sense of danger can be created by eerie sounds, unexplained events, etc. Detailed knowledge about how effects on readers are created is useful to the students both as readers and as writers.

Teaching Strategies

Our ultimate goals are to have students be vigilant for the various comprehension failures they might encounter during silent reading and to know how to remedy them, to actively hypothesize about what will happen next, and to recognize cues in the text that signal main points, themes, and narrative devices. It seems best, though, to approach these goals in stages. Accordingly, the first stage will consist of the teacher modelling comprehension, commenting on his or her monitoring and hypotheses while reading aloud to a student. The next stage will consist of encouraging students to practice these techniques themselves while reading aloud. The third and final stage will be to have students use these skills while reading silently.

a. The Modelling Stage

The basic idea in the modelling stage is that the teacher reads a story or other text aloud, making comments while reading. In this stage, it is easiest if the teacher uses a longer text that is unfamiliar. As the text is being read, the teacher interrupts maybe once or twice a paragraph to make comments about all the different aspects of the comprehension processes discussed above. For example:

(1) Generating hypotheses about the text. The teacher should generate any hypothesis that comes to mind. The more wrong hypotheses (up to some point) the better, because the students must learn about hypothesis revision and that initial hypotheses are not always correct. It is also important for students to realize that it is okay to verbalize wrong hypotheses.

- (2) Evidence supporting hypotheses. When a prediction is made, the teacher should mention the reasons for the prediction. It is particularly important to point out any evidence occurring later in the text that supports the hypothesis.
- (3) Evidence against any hypothesis. When something happens in the text that disconfirms any hypothesis, the teacher should point this out. If it causes the teacher to revise the hypothesis, any revisions should be explained.
- (4) Confusion or doubts on the part of the teacher. If the teacher does not understand a word, or how two events are related, etc., he or she should point out the confusion and explain the source of it. If it is a word or concept, then he or she might suggest any of the remedies we described earlier. If the teacher thinks the author is deliberately trying to mislead the reader (a narrative device used in numerous stories), this too should be pointed out. If the teacher thinks the confusion will be clarified later, he or she should point that out as well.

(5) Critical comments on text. If the teacher has any insights as to what the author is trying to do and how effectively he is doing it, he or she should point that out. Both favorable and unfavorable comments should be made.

Even in this first stage, the teacher should encourage the student's active participation. Thus the teacher can ask the student to generate hypotheses, e.g., "If Bill is really going swimming, what do you think he'll do next?" or "Does that sentence make sense to you?" The extent of the student's participation should gradually increase, thereby making the transition to the second stage a gradual one.

b. The Student Participation Stage

This stage can start out with questions suggesting hypotheses, "Do you think X is a bad guy?" or "Do you think X will do Y?" and move to more open ended questions, "What do you think will happen to X?" or "How do you think the story will end?" It is particularly important to reward students for generating their own hypotheses. One way to do this is to cite the evidence supporting their hypotheses. When evidence comes in that bears on any of the student's hypotheses, the teacher should always point that out.

With respect to comprehension monitoring, the teacher should gradually shift the major responsibility for spotting failures and generating remedies to the students. Initially, the teacher asks the students about things they may find confusing. Later, the teacher should serve mainly a corrective function, pointing out problems the student may have missed, suggesting possible remedies when none are forthcoming from the students, etc.

If the teacher encourages the students enough, they should be offering their predictions as freely as the teacher after a little while. It is important to get the dynamic going so that everyone has different ideas as to what may happen. Then reading becomes a game for the students, where they get to see who guessed right. Everybody in the group should make their own guesses. Then they have a stake in how the story turns out. This method effectively enhances the motivation in reading, as well as stresses the hypothesis formation and revision process.

c. The Read-Silently Stage

What we want to do here is encourage students to monitor comprehension and make predictions while reading silently. But of course we need some kind of output from students to see

how they are doing. One procedure for collecting output is to tell students there is something "wrong" with a piece of text and that they are to read it silently and then tell the tutor what the problem is. Though this procedure seems adequate for assessing students' ability to detect problems, it does not assess their use of remedies. To get at the latter, one can give students comprehension questions on texts (read silently) that are constructed to be difficult in various ways, where correct answers are likely only if the right remedies have been applied to problems in the text.

In order to get at student's ability to make predictions while reading silently, we can insert various questions at different points in a text that require predictions about what will happen next. The correctness of the answers will not be determined by what actually happens in the text, but by the reasonableness of the prediction at the point at which the prediction is made. If a multiple choice format is used, the alternatives should not always include what actually happens, so that the hypothesis revision process can be tapped with later questions. The texts involved can be constructed so as to provide examples of all the types of hypotheses we have discussed. Furthermore, each type of hypothesis should be used with very different texts — stories, instructions, and

descriptions. This diversity of learning contexts is needed to insure that whatever skills are acquired will generalize to as wide a domain as possible.

Conclusion

Many reading curricula used in the schools do not try to teach the kind of comprehension monitoring and predictive skills that we have discussed. Instead the curricula emphasize the final interpretations a reader ends up with, from word and sentence meaning to author intentions and main points. This particular emphasis comes from trying to teach the product of reading (i.e., the interpretation) rather than the process of reading (i.e., the construction of an interpretation).

We do not argue that reading curricula should not stress interpretations. We argue only that a reading curriculum should also try to teach how to construct interpretations: that comprehension monitoring and hypothesis testing are necessary to the development of skilled reading. If we do not teach these skills, then the better students will develop them on their own, and the worse students will find reading very frustrating.

References

- Adams, M.J. Failures to comprehend and levels of processing in reading. In R.J. Spiro, B.C. Bruce, & W.F. Brewer (Eds.),

 Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale,
 N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.
- Anderson, T.H., Armbruster, B.B., & Kantor, R.N. How clearly
 written are children's textbooks? Or, of bladderwords and
 alfa (Reading Education Report No. 16). Urbana: University
 of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, August 1980.
- Armbruster, B.B., & Anderson, T.H. The effect of mapping on the free recall of expository text (Tech. Rep. No. 160).

 Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 735)
- Baker, L. Comprehension monitoring: Identifying and coping
 with text confusions (Tech. Rep. No. 145). Urbana:
 University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading,
 September 1979. (a) (ERIC Document Reproduction Service
 No. ED 177 525)

- Baker, L. Do I understand or do I not understand: That is the question (Reading Education Report No. 10). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, July 1979. (b) (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 948)
- Bower, G.H. Experiments on story comprehension and recall.

 Discourse Processes, 1978, 1, 211-231.
- Brown, A.L. Knowing when, where, and how to remember: A problem of metacognition. In R. Glaser (Ed.), Advances in instructional psychology (Vol. 1). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1978.
- Brown, J.S., Collins, A., & Harris, G. Artificial intelligence and learning strategies. In H.F. O'Neil (Ed.), <u>Learning</u> strategies. New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- Bruce, B.C., & Newman, D. Interacting plans. Cognitive Science, 1978, 2, 195-233.
- Collins, A., Brown, A.L., Morgan, J.L., & Brewer, W.F. The analysis of reading tasks and texts (Tech. Rep. No. 43).

 Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 404)

- Collins, A., Brown, J.S., Larkin, K.M. Inference in text understanding. In R.J. Spiro, B.C. Bruce, & W.F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.
- Collins, A., & Gentner, D. A framework for a cognitive theory of writing. To appear in L.W. Gregg & E. Steinberg (Eds.),

 Cognitive processes in writing: An interdisciplinary approach. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, in press.
- Flavell, J.H. Metacognitive development. In J.M. Scandura & C.J. Brainerd (Eds.), Structural/process theories of complex human behavior. Alphen a.d. Rijn, The Netherlands: Sijthoff and Nordhoff, 1978.
- Locke, E.Q. A guide to effective study. New York: Springer, 1975.
- Markman, E.M. Realizing that you don't understand: Elementary school children's awareness of inconsistencies. Child Development, 1979, 50, 643-655.
- Rubin, A.D., Bruce, B.C., Brown, J.S. A process-oriented language for describing aspects of reading comprehension (Tech. Rep. No. 13). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 188)
- Schank, R., & Abelson, R. Scripts, plans, goals, and understanding. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.

- Schneider, W., & Shiffrin, R.M. Controlled and automatic human information processing: I. Detection, search and attention. Psychological Review, 1977, 84, 1-66.
- Tierney, R.J., Readence, J.E., & Dishner, E.K. Reading strategies and practices: A guide for improving instruction. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1980.
- Wilensky, R. Why John married Mary: Understanding stories involving recurring goals. Cognitive Science, 1978, 2, 235-266.
- Wilensky, R. Toward a theory of stories. <u>Discourse Processes</u>, in press.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

READING EDUCATION REPORTS

- No. 1: Durkin, D. *Comprehension Instruction—Where are You?*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 566, 14p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 2: Asher, S. R. Sex Differences in Reading Achievement, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 567, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 3: Adams, M. J., Anderson, R. C., & Durkin, D. *Beginning Reading: Theory and Practice,* November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 722, 15p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 4: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Teaching Reading Comprehension in the Middle Grades, January 1978.* (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 756, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 5: Bruce, B. What Makes a Good Story?, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 222, 16p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 6: Anderson, T. H. *Another Look at the Self-Questioning Study Technique*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 441, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 7: Pearson, P. D., & Kamil, M. L. *Basic Processes and Instructional Practices in Teaching Reading,* December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 118, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 8: Collins, A., & Haviland, S. E. *Children's Reading Problems*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 188, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 9: Schallert, D. L., & Kleiman, G. M. *Some Reasons Why Teachers are Easier to Understand than Textbooks,* June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 189, 17p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$1.83)
- No. 10: Baker, L. *Do I Understand or Do I not Understand: That is the Question, July 1979.* (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 948, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 11: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 470, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 12: Joag-dev, C., & Steffensen, M. S. *Studies of the Bicultural Reader: Implications for Teachers and Librarians*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 430, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 13: Adams, M., & Bruce, B. *Background Knowledge and Reading Comprehension*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 431, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 14: Rubin, A. *Making Stories, Making Sense* (includes a response by T. Raphael and J. LaZansky), January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 432, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 15: Tierney, R. J., & LaZansky, J. *The Rights and Responsibilities of Readers and Writers: A Contractual Agreement* (includes responses by R. N. Kantor and B. B. Armbruster), January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 447, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 16: Anderson, T. H., Armbruster, B. B., & Kantor, R. N. How Clearly Written are Children's Textbooks? Or, Of Bladderworts and Alfa (includes a response by M. Kane, Senior Editor, Ginn and Company), August 1980.
- No. 17: Tierney, R. J., Mosenthal, J., & Kantor, R. N. Some Classroom Applications of Text Analysis: Toward Improving Text Selection and Use, August 1980.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

TECHNICAL REPORTS

- No. 1: Halff, H. M. *Graphical Evaluation of Hierarchical Clustering Schemes,* October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 926, 11p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 2: Spiro, R. J. *Inferential Reconstruction in Memory for Connected Discourse*, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 187, 81p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 3: Goetz, E. T. Sentences in Lists and in Connected Discourse, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 927, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 4: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Biddle, W. B. *Hardware and Software Considerations in Computer Based Course Management,* November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 928, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 5: Schallert, D. L. *Improving Memory for Prose: The Relationship between Depth of Processing and Context*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 929, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 6: Anderson, R. C., Goetz, E. T., Pichert, J. W., & Halff, H. M. *Two Faces of the Conceptual Peg Hypothesis*, January 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 930, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 7: Ortony, A. *Names, Descriptions, and Pragmatics,* February 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 931, 25p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 8: Mason, J. M. *Questioning the Notion of Independent Processing Stages in Reading*, February 1976. (*Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1977, *69*, 288-297)
- No. 9: Siegel, M. A. *Teacher Behaviors and Curriculum Packages: Implications for Research and Teacher Education*, April 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 932, 42p., PC-\$3.32. MF-\$.83)
- No. 10: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., Goetz, E. T., Schallert, D. L., Stevens, K. C., & Trollip, S. R. Instantiation of General Terms, March 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 933, 30p., PC-\$3.32. MF-\$.83)
- No. 11: Armbruster, B. B. Learning Principles from Prose: A Cognitive Approach Based on Schema Theory. July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 934, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 12: Anderson, R. C., Reynolds, R. E., Schallert, D. L., & Goetz, E. T. *Frameworks for Comprehending Discourse*, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 935, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 13: Rubin, A. D., Bruce, B. C., & Brown, J. S. A Process-Oriented Language for Describing Aspects of Reading Comprehension, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 188, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 14: Pichert, J. W., & Anderson, R. C. *Taking Different Perspectives on a Story*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 936, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 15: Schwartz, R. M. *Strategic Processes in Beginning Reading,* November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 937, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 16: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Curriculum Biases in Reading Achievement Tests*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 938, 24p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 17: Asher, S. R., Hymel, S., & Wigfield, A. *Children's Comprehension of High- and Low-Interest Material and a Comparison of Two Cloze Scoring Methods*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 939, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 18: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., Day, J. D., Townsend, M. A. R., & Lawton, S. C. *Intrusion of a Thematic Idea in Children's Comprehension and Retention of Stories*, December 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 189, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 19: Kleiman, G. M. *The Prelinguistic Cognitive Basis of Children's Communicative Intentions*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 940, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 20: Kleiman, G. M. *The Effect of Previous Context on Reading Individual Words,* February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 941, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 21: Kane, J. H., & Anderson, R. C. *Depth of Processing and Interference Effects in the Learning and Remembering of Sentences*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 942, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)

- No. 22: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Memory Strategies in Learning: Training Children to Study Strategically*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 234, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 23: Smiley, S. S., Oakley, D. D., Worthen, D., Campione, J. C., & Brown, A. L. *Recall of Thematically Relevant Material by Adolescent Good and Poor Readers as a Function of Written Versus Oral Presentation*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 235, 23p., PC-\$1.82, MF\$-.83)
- No. 24: Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. *Schemata as Scaffolding for the Representation of Information in Connected Discourse,* March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 236, 18p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 25: Pany, D., & Jenkins, J. R. Learning Word Meanings: A Comparison of Instructional Procedures and Effects on Measures of Reading Comprehension with Learning Disabled Students, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 237, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 26: Armbruster, B. B., Stevens, R. J., & Rosenshine, B. *Analyzing Content Coverage and Emphasis: A Study of Three Curricula and Two Tests*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 238, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 27: Ortony, A., Reynolds, R. E., & Arter, J. A. *Metaphor: Theoretical and Empirical Research*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 752, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 28: Ortony, A. *Remembering and Understanding Jabberwocky and Small-Talk, March* 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 753, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 29: Schallert, D. L., Kleiman, G. M., & Rubin, A. D. *Analysis of Differences between Oral and Written Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 038, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$8.3)
- No. 30: Goetz, E. T., & Osborn, J. *Procedures for Sampling Texts and Tasks in Kindergarten through Eighth Grade*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 565, 80p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 31: Nash-Webber, B. *Anaphora: A Cross-Disciplinary Survey*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 039, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 32: Adams, M. J., & Collins, A. *A Schema-Theoretic View of Reading Comprehension, April* 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 971, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 33: Huggins, A. W. F. *Syntactic Aspects of Reading Comprehension*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 972, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 34: Bruce, B. C. *Plans and Social Actions*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 328, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 35: Rubin, A. D. *Comprehension Processes in Oral and Written Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 550, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 36: Nash-Webber, B., & Reiter, R. *Anaphora and Logical Form: On Formal Meaning Representation for Natural Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 973, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 37: Adams, M. J. *Failures to Comprehend and Levels of Processing in Reading, April* 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 410, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 38: Woods, W. A. *Multiple Theory Formation in High-Level Perception,* April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 020, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 40: Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Larkin, K. M. *Inference in Text Understanding,* December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 547, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 41: Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. *Recall of Previously Unrecallable Information Following a Shift in Perspective,* April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 974, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 42: Mason, J., Osborn, J., & Rosenshine, B. *A Consideration of Skill Hierarchy Approaches to the Teaching of Reading*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 549, 176p., PC-\$12.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 43: Collins, A., Brown, A. L., Morgan, J. L., & Brewer, W. F. *The Analysis of Reading Tasks and Texts*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 404, 96p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 44: McClure, E. Aspects of Code-Switching in the Discourse of Bilingual Mexican-American Children, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 975, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 45: Schwartz, R. M. *Relation of Context Utilization and Orthographic Automaticity in Word Identification*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 762, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)

- No. 46: Anderson, R. C., Stevens, K. C., Shifrin, Z., & Osborn, J. *Instantiation of Word Meanings in Children*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 976, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 47: Brown, A. L. *Knowing When, Where, and How to Remember: A Problem of Metacognition, June* 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 562, 152p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 48: Brown, A. L., & DeLoache, J. S. *Skills, Plans, and Self-Regulation, July* 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 040, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 49: Goetz, E. T. *Inferences in the Comprehension of and Memory for Text*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 548, 97p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 50: Anderson, R. C. *Schema-Directed Processes in Language Comprehension*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 977, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 51: Brown, A. L. *Theories of Memory and the Problems of Development: Activity, Growth, and Knowledge,* July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 041, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 52: Morgan, J. L. *Two Types of Convention in Indirect Speech Acts*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 405, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 53: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., & Lawton, S. C. The Effects of Experience on the Selection of Suitable Retrieval Cues for Studying from Prose Passages, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 042, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 54: Fleisher, L. S., & Jenkins, J. R. *Effects of Contextualized and Decontextualized Practice Conditions on Word Recognition*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 043, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 55: Jenkins, J. R., & Larson, K. *Evaluating Error Correction Procedures for Oral Reading, June 1978.* (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 224, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 56: Anderson, T. H., Standiford, S. N., & Alessi, S. M. Computer Assisted Problem Solving in an Introductory Statistics Course, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 563, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 57: Barnitz, J. Interrelationship of Orthography and Phonological Structure in Learning to Read, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 546, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 58: Mason, J. M. *The Role of Strategy in Reading in the Mentally Retarded,* September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 406, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 59: Mason, J. M. Reading Readiness: A Definition and Skills Hierarchy from Preschoolers' Developing Conceptions of Print, September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 403, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 60: Spiro, R. J., & Esposito, J. J. *Superficial Processing of Explicit Inferences in Text,* December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 545, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 65: Brewer, W. F. *Memory for the Pragmatic Implications of Sentences*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 564, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 66: Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. *The Development of Strategies for Study Prose Passages*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 371, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 68: Stein, N. L., & Nezworski, T. *The Effects of Organization and Instructional Set on Story Memory*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 327, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 69: Stein, N. L. *How Children Understand Stories: A Developmental Analysis*, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 205, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 76: Thieman, T. J., & Brown, A. L. *The Effects of Semantic and Formal Similarity on Recognition Memory for Sentences in Children,* November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 551, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 77: Nash-Webber, B. L. *Inferences in an Approach to Discourse Anaphora*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 552, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 78: Gentner, D. *On Relational Meaning: The Acquisition of Verb Meaning*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 325, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 79: Royer, J. M. *Theories of Learning Transfer,* January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 326, 55p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 80: Arter, J. A., & Jenkins, J. R. *Differential Diagnosis-Prescriptive Teaching: A Critical Appraisal*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 578, 104p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 81: Shoben, E. J. *Choosing a Model of Sentence Picture Comparisons: A Reply to Catlin and Jones*, February 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 577, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)

- No. 82: Steffensen, M. S. Bereiter and Engelmann Reconsidered: The Evidence from Children Acquiring Black English Vernacular, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 204, 31p., PC-\$3.32. MF-\$.83)
- No. 83: Reynolds, R. E., Standiford, S. N., & Anderson, R. C. *Distribution of Reading Time When Questions are Asked about a Restricted Category of Text Information, April* 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 206, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 84: Baker, L. *Processing Temporal Relationships in Simple Stories: Effects of Input Sequence,* April 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 016, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 85: Mason, J. M., Knisely, E., & Kendall, J. *Effects of Polysemous Words on Sentence Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 015, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 86: Anderson, T. H., Wardrop, J. L., Hively W., Muller, K. E., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Fredericksen, J. *Development and Trial of a Model for Developing Domain Referenced Tests of Reading Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 036, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 87: Andre, M. E. D. A., & Anderson, T. H. *The Development and Evaluation of a Self-Questioning Study Technique,* June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 037, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 88: Bruce, B. C., & Newman, D. *Interacting Plans,* June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 038, 100p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 89: Bruce, B. C., Collins, A., Rubin, A. D., & Gentner, D. *A Cognitive Science Approach to Writing*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 039, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 90: Asher, S. R. *Referential Communication,* June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 597, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 91: Royer, J. M., & Cunningham, D. J. *On the Theory and Measurement of Reading Comprehension,* June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 040, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 92: Mason, J. M., Kendall, J. R. *Facilitating Reading Comprehension Through Text Structure Manipulation*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 041, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 93: Ortony, A., Schallert, D. L., Reynolds, R. E., & Antos, S. J. *Interpreting Metaphors and Idioms: Some Effects of Context on Comprehension,* July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 042, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 94: Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Barclay, C. R. *Training Self-Checking Routines for Estimating Test Readiness: Generalization from List Learning to Prose Recall, July 1978.* (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 226, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 95: Reichman, R. *Conversational Coherency*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 658, 86p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 96: Wigfield, A., & Asher, S. R. Age Differences in Children's Referential Communication Performance: An Investigation of Task Effects, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 659, 31p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 97: Steffensen, M. S., Jogdeo, C., & Anderson, R. C. *A Cross-Cultural Perspective on Reading Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 660, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 98: Green, G. M. *Discourse Functions of Inversion Construction*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 998, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 99: Asher, S. R. Influence of Topic Interest on Black Children and White Children's Reading Comprehension, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 661, 35p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 100: Jenkins, J. R., Pany, D., & Schreck, J. *Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension: Instructional Effects,* August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 999, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 101: Shoben, E. J., Rips, L. J., & Smith, E. E. *Issues in Semantic Memory: A Response to Glass and Holyoak*, August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 662, 85p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 102: Baker, L., & Stein, N. L. *The Development of Prose Comprehension Skills*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 663, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 103: Fleisher, L. S., Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Effects on Poor Readers' Comprehension of Training in Rapid Decoding,* September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 664, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)

- No. 104: Anderson, T. H. *Study Skills and Learning Strategies*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 161 000, 41p., PC-\$3,32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 105: Ortony, A. *Beyond Literal Similarity*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 635, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 106: Durkin, D. What Classroom Observations Reveal about Reading Comprehension Instruction, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 162 259, 94p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 107: Adams, M. J. *Models of Word Recognition*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 431, 93p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 108: Reder, L. M. *Comprehension and Retention of Prose: A Literature Review,* November 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 114, 116p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 109: Wardrop, J. L., Anderson, T. H., Hively, W., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Muller, K. E. *A Framework for Analyzing Reading Test Characteristics*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 117, 65p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 110: Tirre, W. C., Manelis, L., & Leicht, K. L. *The Effects of Imaginal and Verbal Strategies on Prose Comprehension in Adults*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 116, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 111: Spiro, R. J., & Tirre, W. C. *Individual Differences in Schema Utilization During Discourse Processing,* January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 651, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 112: Ortony, A. *Some Psycholinguistic Aspects of Metaphor*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 115, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 113: Antos, S. J. *Processing Facilitation in a Lexical Decision Task,* January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 129, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 114: Gentner D. *Semantic Integration at the Level of Verb Meaning*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 130, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 115: Gearhart, M., & Hall, W. S. *Internal State Words: Cultural and Situational Variation in Vocabulary Usage*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 131, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 116: Pearson, P. D., Hansen, J., & Gordon, C. *The Effect of Background Knowledge on Young Children's Comprehension of Explicit and Implicit Information*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 521, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 117: Barnitz, J. G. *Reading Comprehension of Pronoun-Referent Structures by Children in Grades Two, Four, and Six, March* 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 731, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 118: Nicholson, T., Pearson, P. D., & Dykstra, R. *Effects of Embedded Anomalies and Oral Reading Errors on Children's Understanding of Stories*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 524, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 119: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., & Shirey, L. L. *Effects of the Reader's Schema at Different Points in Time*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 523, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 120: Canney, G., & Winograd, P. *Schemata for Reading and Reading Comprehension Performance*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 520, 99p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 121: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *On the Dialect Question and Reading,* May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 522, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 122: McClure, E., Mason, J., & Barnitz, J. Story Structure and Age Effects on Children's Ability to Sequence Stories, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 732, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 123: Kleiman, G. M., Winograd, P. N., & Humphrey, M. M. *Prosody and Children's Parsing of Sentences*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 733, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 124: Spiro, R. J. *Etiology of Reading Comprehension Style*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 734, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 125: Hall, W. S., & Tirre, W. C. *The Communicative Environment of Young Children: Social Class, Ethnic, and Situational Differences,* May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 788, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 126: Mason, J., & McCormick, C. *Testing the Development of Reading and Linguistic Awareness*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 735, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)

- No. 127: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Permissible Inferences from the Outcome of Training Studies in Cognitive Development Research*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 736, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 128: Brown, A. L., & French, L. A. *The Zone of Potential Development: Implications for Intelligence Testing in the Year 2000*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 737, 46p., PC-\$3.32. MF-\$.83)
- No. 129: Nezworski, T., Stein, N. L., & Trabasso, T. Story Structure Versus Content Effects on Children's Recall and Evaluative Inferences, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 187, 49p., PC-\$3,32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 130: Bruce, B. *Analysis of Interacting Plans as a Guide to the Understanding of Story Structure,* June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 951, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 131: Pearson, P. D., Raphael, T., TePaske, N., & Hyser, C. *The Function of Metaphor in Children's Recall of Expository Passages*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 950, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 132: Green, G. M. *Organization, Goals, and Comprehensibility in Narratives: Newswriting, a Case Study*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 949, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 133: Kleiman, G. M. *The Scope of Facilitation of Word Recognition from Single Word and Sentence Frame Contexts,* July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 947, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 134: McConkie, G. W., Hogaboam, T. W., Wolverton, G. S., Zola, D., & Lucas, P. A. *Toward the Use of Eye Movements in the Study of Language Processing,* August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 968, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 135: Schwartz, R. M. Levels of Processing: The Strategic Demands of Reading Comprehension, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 471, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 136: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 480, 71p., PC-\$4,82, MF-\$,83)
- No. 137: Royer, J. M., Hastings, C. N., & Hook, C. A Sentence Verification Technique for Measuring Reading Comprehension, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 234, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 138: Spiro, R. J. *Prior Knowledge and Story Processing: Integration, Selection, and Variation,* August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 235, 41p., PC-3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 139: Asher, S. R., & Wigfield, A. *Influence of Comparison Training on Children's Referential Communication*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 493, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 140: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Goetz, E. T. *An Investigation of Lookbacks During Studying*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 494, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 141: Cohen, P. R., & Perrault, C. R. *Elements of a Plan-Based Theory of Speech Acts*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 497, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 142: Grueneich, R., & Trabasso, T. The Story as Social Environment: Children's Comprehension and Evaluation of Intentions and Consequences, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 496, 56p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 143: Hermon, G. *On the Discourse Structure of Direct Quotation,* September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 495, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 144: Goetz, E. T., Anderson, R. C., & Schallert, D. L. *The Representation of Sentences in Memory*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 527, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 145: Baker, L. Comprehension Monitoring: Identifying and Coping with Text Confusions, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 525, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 146: Hall, W. S., & Nagy, W. E. *Theoretical Issues in the Investigation of Words of Internal Report*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 526, 108p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 147: Stein, N. L., & Goldman, S. *Children's Knowledge about Social Situations: From Causes to Consequences*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 524, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 148: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. Cultural and Situational Variation in Language Function and Use: Methods and Procedures for Research, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 944, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 149: Pichert, J. W. Sensitivity to What is Important in Prose, November 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 946, 64p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)

- No. 150: Dunn, B. R., Mathews, S. R., II, & Bieger, G. *Individual Differences in the Recall of Lower-Level Textual Information*, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 448, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 151: Gentner, D. Verb Semantic Structures in Memory for Sentences: Evidence for Componential Representation, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 424, 75p., PC-\$4.82. MF-\$.83)
- No. 152: Tierney, R. J., & Mosenthal, J. *Discourse Comprehension and Production: Analyzing Text Structure and Cohesion*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 945, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 153: Winograd, P., & Johnston, P. *Comprehension Monitoring and the Error Detection Paradigm*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 425, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 154: Ortony, A. *Understanding Metaphors*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 426, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 155: Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. *Studying*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 427, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 156: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Inducing Flexible Thinking: The Problem of Access, January* 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 428, 44p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 157: Trabasso, T. *On the Making of Inferences During Reading and Their Assessment*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 429, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 158: McClure, E., & Steffensen, M. S. *A Study of the Use of Conjunctions across Grades and Ethnic Groups*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 688, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$8.83)
- No. 159: Iran-Nejad, A. *The Schema: A Structural or a Functional Pattern,* February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 449, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 160: Armbruster, B. B., & Anderson, T. H. *The Effect of Mapping on the Free Recall of Expository Text*, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 735, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 161: Hall, W. S., & Dore, J. *Lexical Sharing in Mother-Child Interaction*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 066, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 162: Davison, A., Kantor, R. N., Hannah, J., Hermon, G., Lutz, R., Salzillo, R. *Limitations of Readability Formulas in Guiding Adaptations of Texts*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 090, 157p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 163: Linn, R. L., Levine, M. V., Hastings, C. N., & Wardrop, J. L. *An Investigation of Item Bias in a Test of Reading Comprehension*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 091, 97p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 164: Seidenberg, M. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Leiman, J. M. *The Time Course of Lexical Ambiguity Resolution in Context*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 092, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 165: Brown, A. L. Learning and Development: The Problems of Compatibility, Access, and Induction, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 093, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 166: Hansen, J., & Pearson, P. D. The Effects of Inference Training and Practice on Young Children's Comprehension, April 1980.
- No. 167: Straker, D. Y. Situational Variables in Language Use, April 1980.
- No. 168: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., Sellner, M. B., Bruce, B. C., Gentner, D., & Webber, B. L. *Problems and Techniques of Text Analysis*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 513, 173p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 169: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. Analysis of Babar Loses His Crown, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 514, 89p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 170: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. Analysis of "The Wonderful Desert," April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 515, 47p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 171: Zehler, A. M., & Brewer, W. F. Acquisition of the Article System in English, May 1980.
- No. 172: Reynolds, R. E., & Ortony, A. Some Issues in the Measurement of Children's Comprehension of Metaphorical Language, May 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 542, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 173: Davison, A. Linguistics and the Measurement of Syntactic Complexity: The Case of Raising, May 1980.

- No. 174: Tirre, W. C., Freebody, P., & Kaufman, K. Achievement Outcomes of Two Reading Programs:

 An Instance of Aptitude-Treatment Interaction, June 1980.
- No. 175: Asher, S. R., & Wigfield, A. Training Referential Communication Skills, July 1980.
- No. 176: Tanenhaus, M. K., & Seidenberg, M. S. Discourse Context and Sentence Perception, July 1980.
- No. 177: Hall, W. S., Linn, R. L., & Nagy, W. E. Spoken Words, August 1980.
- No. 178: Tanenhaus, M. K., Flanigan, H., & Seidenberg, M. S. *Orthographic and Phonological Activation in Auditory and Visual Word Recognition*, August 1980.
- No. 179: Green, G. M. Linguistics and the Pragmatics of Language Use: What You Know When You Know a Language . . . and What Else You Know, August 1980.
- No. 180: Steffensen, M. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *Effect of Situation on the Verbalization of Black Inner-City Children*, September 1980.
- No. 181: Green, G. M., & Laff, M. O. Five-Year-Olds' Recognition of Authorship by Literary Style, September 1980.
- No. 182: Collins, A., & Smith, E. E. Teaching the Process of Reading Comprehension, September 1980.

