# [G] Avoid unnecessary storage writes can save gas

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L342

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < _remainingPlayerCount; ++i) {
    bytes memory _data = abi.encodePacked(_entropy, address(this), msg.sender, ++nonce);

// eliminated if hash value mod 100 more than the survive percent

bool _survived = _survivalBps > (uint256(keccak256(_data)) % 1e4);

if (_survived) {
    ++_survivorCount;
}

347 }
```

The gas cost of storage writes (SSTORE) is significant.

At L342, the storage variable nonce is being write once in each loop, and the for loop be repeated for up to 1000 times.

Create a local variable can save a lot of gas.

#### Recommendation

Change to:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < _remainingPlayerCount; ++i) {</pre>
341
342
         bytes memory _data = abi.encodePacked(_entropy, address(this), msg.sender, nonce+i+1);
         // eliminated if hash value mod 100 more than the survive percent
343
         bool _survived = _survivalBps > (uint256(keccak256(_data)) % 1e4);
344
         if ( survived) {
345
346
             ++ survivorCount;
347
         }
348
349     nonce += _remainingPlayerCount;
```

# [S] Consider adding unclaimedPrize and totalUnclaimedPrize to give winners more time to claim

In the current design, winners must claim before next game starts. Otherwise, the prizes will be returned to the prize pool.

This makes the game must wait a fair amount of time before starting the next game. If the claim period is too short, when the network is congested, many users may lose their prize.

To solve this problem, we suggest:

- Add two variables, gameInfo.unclaimedPrize and totalUnclaimedPrize;
- Change prizePoolInLatte() to:

```
function prizePoolInLatte() public view returns (uint256) {
   uint256 _balance = IERC20Upgradeable(latte).balanceOf(address(this))
   if (totalUnclaimedPrize > _balance) {
      return 0;
   }
   return _balance - totalUnclaimedPrize;
}
```

- Set gameInfo.unclaimedPrize and totalUnclaimedPrize in \_complete();
- Add parameter gameId to claim() and update gameInfo[gameId].unclaimedPrize and totalUnclaimedPrize in claim();

If the claim period is designed to be limited, and the unclaimed prizes are supposed to be returned to the prize pool, a limit can still be added to the claim() function and we can add a new function named returnUnclaimedPrize() to return the unclaimed prizes.

With these changes, the claim period can unbundle from the interval of games, allowing games to run faster one after another.

### [N] Redundant code in initialize()

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L149-L151

```
149 | gameId = 0;
150 | nonce = 0;
151 | lastUpdatedBlock = 0;
```

Setting uint variables to 0 is redundant as they default to 0.

#### Recommendation

Consider removing the above code or change to:

This will lower the gas cost for the first user.

### [N] Misleading variable names

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L159-

```
/// @dev only the one having a OPERATOR_ROLE can continue an execution
modifier onlyOper() {
   require(hasRole(OPERATOR_ROLE, _msgSender()), "SurvivalGame::onlyOper::only OPERATOR role");
   require(
        uint256(block.timestamp) - lastUpdatedBlock >= operatorCooldown,
        "SurvivalGame::onlyOper::OPERATOR should not proceed the game consecutively"
);
}
```

lastUpdatedBlock is actual a timestamp.

Consider renaming to lastUpdatedTimestamp.

# [N] Misleading comments

```
https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L40-L41
```

```
// Minimum required blocks before operator can execute function again uint256 public operatorCooldown;
```

operatorCooldown is in seconds, but the comment says it's in blocks.

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L343-L344

```
343  // eliminated if hash value mod 100 more than the survive percent
344  bool _survived = _survivalBps > (uint256(keccak256(_data)) % 1e4);
```

Should be mod 10000.

### [L] Ownable is redundant

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L25-L25

The SurvivalGame.sol contract is already using AccessControl, and onlyOwner is not being used.

Therefore, Ownable can be removed to make the code simpler and save some gas.

# [N] Inconsistent use of \_msgSender()

Direct use of msg.sender vs internal call of \_msgSender().

## [L] start() Redundant code

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L266-L271

```
function start() external onlyOper onlyOpened {
    gameInfo[gameId].status = GameStatus.Processing;
    _requestRandomNumber();
    lastUpdatedBlock = block.timestamp;
    emit LogSetGameStatus(gameId, "Processing");
}
```

L269 is redundant as \_proceed() will update lastUpdatedBlock at L434.

# [G] Cache storage variables in the stack can save gas

For the storage variables that will be accessed multiple times, cache them in the stack can save ~100 gas from each extra read (SLOAD after Berlin).

For example:

• gameId in \_proceed()

https://github.com/latteswap-official/survival-game-contract/blob/4f3104597bb2f9acc5e67043807efc517e71e7d6/contracts/SurvivalGame.sol#L423-L435

```
function _proceed(uint256 _entropy) internal {
    uint8 _nextRoundNumber = gameInfo[gameId].roundNumber.add(1);
    roundInfo[gameId][_nextRoundNumber].entropy = _entropy;
    emit LogSetEntropy(gameId, _nextRoundNumber, _entropy);
```

```
427
   428
            gameInfo[gameId].roundNumber = _nextRoundNumber;
            emit LogSetRoundNumber(gameId, _nextRoundNumber);
   429
   430
            gameInfo[gameId].status = GameStatus.Started;
   431
   432
            emit LogSetGameStatus(gameId, "Started");
   433
            lastUpdatedBlock = block.timestamp;
   434
   435 }
 gameId in check()
• gameId in buy()
```

## [S] Consider adding a function to re-request randomness when VRF fulfillment failed

Per the Chainlink VRF document: https://docs.chain.link/docs/vrf-security-considerations/#fulfillrandomness-must-not-revert

If your fulfillRandomness implementation reverts, the VRF service will not attempt to call it a second time.

Even though it's unlikely for the consumeRandomNumber() function to revert, but when it happens, or if VRF fulfillRandomness failed for other reasons, the whole game will be stuck in Processing status.

Therefore, we suggest adding a function to re-request randomness or force fulfillRandomness as last resort.