

ScienceDirect



Oil pollution of beaches Markus Huettel



Oil contamination of beaches causes significant damage as these ecosystems are unique habitats that provide foraging and nesting grounds for a variety of animals including endangered species, and play pivotal roles in shore line protection and coastal economies. Even small oil spills in the ocean result in sizable slicks that currents transport to sandy beaches that line a third of the global shoreline. Weathering during transit reduces the degradability, viscosity and density of the oil, influencing its fate at the shore. While photolysis, biodegradation, tidal pumping, and seasonal sediment movement facilitate relatively rapid removal of stranded oil from sandy beaches of temperate and warm climes, thick buried oil layers persist for decades in armored gravel beaches of cold shores, emphasizing the controls of beach morphodynamics, biodegradation, and climate in the recovery from beach oil pollution.

Address

Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, 1011 Academic Way, Tallahassee, FL, 32306-4520, USA

Corresponding author: Huettel, Markus (mhuettel@fsu.edu)

Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering 2022, 36:100803

This review comes from a themed issue on **Energy and environmental engineering (2022)**

Edited by Michel C Boufadel and Chunjiang An

For complete overview of the section, please refer to the article collection, "Energy and Environmental Engineering (2022)"

Available online 18th February 2022

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2022.100803

2211-3398/© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The signaling function of oiled beaches

Oil spills in the oceans may receive most attention through the pollution of beaches, where the environmental impact of the crude becomes intensely apparent through the killing of shore birds, stinking slicks on the water, and black oiling of the white sands. The dramatic images prompt extensive media coverage and swift public responses that push research and legislation addressing the effects of the oil on human health, the environment, and coastal economies. The pollution of beaches thus has a signaling function that strongly influences our perception of crude oil and its impacts. This article summarizes processes that govern the oil pollution of beaches and the fate of the stranded petroleum hydrocarbons.

Marine oil spills likely end up at beaches

Even small remote spills are likely to pollute large segments of beaches owing to the physicochemical characteristics of crude oil, its interactions with the marine environment, and the morphology of the global coastline. Liquid crude released to the sea accumulates at the surface, where the hydrophobic effect and high internal energy of water cause that the nonpolar drops quickly self-associate [1]. The ensuing cohesive layer rapidly expands due to inertia, gravity, and the pull by the greater surface tension of water [2], and the spill that started as point source rapidly morphs from a compact volume into a quasi 2-dimensional slick [3]. Oil released from natural seeps may spread out to 0.1 µm thick sheens [4], and within days, 1 L of seeped oil can coat a sea surface of 10 000 m². The surface slick smoothens the ocean's waves, counteracting oil dispersion and altering its path as the relative influence of wind is reduced [5]. Currents can transport the slick over hundreds of kilometers [6], and stretch it into elongated shapes that eventually may split as currents separate. The dramatic size increase and added travel directions enhance the spill's reach and amplify the likelihood that it will contaminate one of the sandy beaches that occupy one third of the worlds coastline [7].

Oil type and path define its degradability after stranding

Behavior and degradability of the petroleum hydrocarbons eventually reaching the shore hinge on the type and composition of the oil. The majority of marine oil platforms produces light crude but the demand for heavy oils and their shipping over the oceans is growing, increasing the chance of beach contamination with oils of lower degradability [8]. Even spills of lighter crudes result in deposition of less-degradable hydrocarbons because of the weathering during transit to the coast [9]. In the first few days, light oils may lose nearly half of their mass through releasing gases, dissolution of water-soluble hydrocarbons, and evaporation of volatile compounds [10,11]. At the surface, exposure to UV-light decomposes large hydrocarbon molecules making them more accessible to biodegradation [12], and bacteria colonize the oil surface initiating degradation [13]. Waves fold the slicks, infusing them with water, generating colorful emulsions or 'mousse' with increased viscosity and density [14]. When the slick approaches the shallow nearshore environment, adhesion of resuspended mineral and detritus particles further increase its density and 'new' organic matter content, and some oil sinks. Crude that finally contacts the shore is stripped from its more degradable, light weight hydrocarbons but enriched in water, minerals, nutrients, new organic matter, and hydrocarbondegrading microbes, all of which benefit its biodegradation.

Controls and characteristics of oil stranding

Mechanisms and effectiveness of the deposition of oil onto beaches are mainly governed by prevailing winds and currents, wave action, tidal range, beach profile and oil type. Onshore winds push slicks toward the coast where breaking waves and high tides dump them onto the beach. The wide gentle slope of dissipative beaches [15] leads to oil contamination of large beach faces as observed after the Prestige tanker accident on the Galician coast [16] or recently on the Peruvian shore. Here large waves caused by the explosion of the submarine volcano in Tonga moved thick heavy fuel oil slicks onto the beach, forming massive coast-parallel oil bands. Here waves moved thick heavy fuel oil slicks onto the beach, forming massive coast-parallel coherent oil bands (Figure 1a). Light crude from the Deepwater Horizon accident that was washed onto roughly 1000 km of sandy Gulf of Mexico beaches [17,18], was deposited in distinct forms causing different impacts: deposition of thin oil sheens coated the sand grains of the upper sand layer of the beach face with a brown hydrocarbon film (Figure 1b) [19]. Multiple films accumulated to an oil-sand-mesh at the beach surface that eventually ripped, resulting in sand-oil-agglomerates that were pushed up the beach or back into the water where they amassed into submerged oil mats [20]. Oil dumped landward of the beach berm melted together under hot Florida temperatures to accumulations that expanded over large areas of the supralittoral (Figure 1c) [19,21]. Incorporation of wrack mixed the liquified recalcitrant fossil hydrocarbons with degradable algae, seagrass debris, and detritus. Large floats with high-viscosity oil mousse disintegrated in the surf and were moved as oil lumps up the beach face where they fused, forming large sand-oil-agglomerates up to wheel barrel size (Figure 1d).

Burial in beaches

State of the beach, sediment characteristics, magnitude of waves, tidal cycle and season define whether oil is buried and how long it remains in the beach. Burial of large oil lumps (Figure 1d) is accelerated by their interaction with the swash [22]. In dissipative beaches, as those on the Peruvian coast, high wave energy promotes spreading and mechanical fragmentation of oil deposits, which can promote burial as well as their removal [23]. However, even a low-energy accreting summer beach may quickly bury surface oil under thick sediment layers, locking the petroleum hydrocarbons in the beach as reported from Florida shores impacted by the Deepwater Horizon spill [19,21]. In such settings, alternating oil and sand deposition can build stratified layers exceeding 50 cm thickness in the lower beach (Figure 1e). Ghost crabs that excavate vertical burrows, sea turtles digging their nests [24], and

human cleanup activities produce conduits for oil to deeper sediment layers or mix oil into the surface layer of the beach. In gravel or coble beaches, even viscous crude can seep deep into the beach, where it can form thick coherent subsurface oil layers that may float on the groundwater (Figure 1f) [25].


Degradation of oil deposited on beaches Physicochemical decomposition

Stranded oil decomposition hinges on its exposure and the dynamics of the beach environment. At the beach surface oil degrades faster than after burial because photolysis, mechanical disintegration, oxygen availability, and increased temperature boost physical, chemical, and biological decomposition process [27,28]. Photooxidation degrades aromatic compounds, converting them into polar species that are more soluble and susceptible to biodegradation [12,29]. The photochemical oxidation affects larger molecules and alkyl substitutions more strongly, which again is beneficial for the microbial decomposition process as smaller and less substituted compounds are more accessible to biodegradation [30]. Notably, photooxidation facilitates decomposition of large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) that stand out through their toxicity and resistance to biodegradation, for example, up to 90% of larger PAHs were lost after 12 hour in an experiment with simulated solar irradiation [12.31°]. Mechanical energy, enhancing abrasion, fragmentation, oil dispersion, and sediment reworking, is considered a dominant factor accelerating crude oil burial and attenuation in high-energy shoreline environments [32,33]. The breakup of large oil aggregates into small particles is pivotal as it accelerates burial and defines the surface to volume ratio in a degradation process that predominantly takes place at the surface of the oil [34]. In the exposed Galician shore, thick layers of oil-coated sand grains were found under more than 3 m of beach sand after the Prestige accident [35] but beach morphodynamics drove a sequence of physicochemical processes that allowed substantial weathering despite the deep burial [36].

Microbial oil degradation

Next to photooxidation, biodegration is the major pathway for the decomposition of oil in the beach, and dominates hydrocarbon degradation in the subsurface layers. Since hydrocarbons are natural products also generated by modern plankton organisms [37°,38°°], a microbial food web evolved that can metabolize hydrocarbons including those contained in crude oil [39–41]. The main actors decomposing petroleum hydrocarbons are organisms belonging to the bacteria, cyanobacteria, archaea, molds, yeasts, and green algae [42°,43,44] but bacteria and fungi may be the dominant oil degraders in sandy beaches [45,46]. The abundance of hydrocarbon-oxidizing bacteria in Florida beach sands increased immediately after Deepwater Horizon oil contamination by two to four

Figure 1



Oil pollution of beaches. A: Pollution of a wide high-energy dissipative sand beach at Ventanilla, Peru, on January 18, 2022, after high waves attributed to the eruption of an undersea volcano in Tonga caused an oil spill (Photo: Martin Mejia). B-E: Deposition of light crude on sandy Florida beaches after the Deepwater Horizon explosion. B: deposition of oil sheen; overlay of multiple layers results in the formation of sand-oilagglomerates visible as dark particles. C: deposition of a thick oil slick onto the supralittoral at Pensacola, USA, on June 23, 2010. Warm temperatures melted the slick resulting in oil puddles with embedded wrack D: Burial of oil mousse lumps. Only the upper surface of the lumps is visible. E: Layers of oil buried below \sim 50 cm of sand (Photos: Huettel or public domain (c)) F: Oil mousse from the Exxon Valdez accident remaining after a decade within an armored gravel beach in Prince William Sound/Alaska [26].

orders of magnitude [19,46]. This is remarkable as the degradation of the hydrophobic oil particles requires special adaptations, restricting the spectrum of microbes that can decompose petroleum hydrocarbons. Oil-degrading microbes have evolved mechanisms that permit cell adhesion to the hydrophobic hydrocarbons and enzymatic attack. Through the production of biosurfactants and emulsifiers, they mobilize water-insoluble compounds and modify the hydrophobic surface, facilitating cell attachment [47]. Using exopolysaccharide excretion, the attached microbes generate biofilms that stabilize moisture and adhesion to the oil-coated grains [48,49].

Main players

Analyses of Deepwater Horizon polluted Gulf of Mexico beach sands revealed bacteria primarily belonging to the Gammaproteobacteria, including representatives of genera with known hydrocarbon degraders, such as Alcanivorax, Halomonas, Marinobacter, Pseudomonas, and Acinetobacter [50-53]. Kostka et al. [46] isolated 24 bacterial strains from 14 genera from four classes within the phyla Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria from the oiled beach sands that were confirmed as oil-degrading microorganisms. The majority of the isolates belonged to the orders Oceanospirilalles, Alteromonadales, Vibrionales, and Pseudomonadales within the class Gammaproteobacteria and one isolate was identified within the Alphaproteobacteria. About one third of the total microbial community was comprises the novel Gammaproteobacterium *Macondimonas diazotrophica*, a nitrogen fixing hydrocarbon degrader [54]. The ability of this bacterium to produce biosurfactants and utilize dinitrogen make it highly competitive in nitrogen-limited oiled environments explaining its dominance in the beach and other oil-contaminated sites globally [54]. Nonetheless, beach oil biodegradation progresses through a sequence of microbial consortia with a variety of catabolic genes, and the synergistic effects of these genes allow degradation of a multitude of different oil compounds [46,51]. Microbial communities in Florida beaches initially degraded mostly aliphatic hydrocarbons and were replaced after three months by communities capable of decomposing aromatics. Microbial taxonomic diversity dropped owing to oil toxicity affecting sensitive taxa, while functional diversity increased driven by the bloom of microbes capable of hydrocarbon degradation [55]. The disturbance thus favored generalists, supporting the specialization-disturbance hypothesis [56]. Besides bacteria, fungi contributed to the oil decomposition in the Gulf sandy beaches. Simister et al. [45] identified three Ascomycota (Fusarium, Scopulariopsis and Aspergillus) that degraded structurally diverse oil compounds including short and long chain n-alkanes. Through their metabolic plasticity, fungi can assimilate hydrocarbons in the beach even at low nutrient concentrations and relatively low pH [57,58°]. The ability of their filamentous hyphae to extend in length through apical growth allows fungi to

penetrate into oil-sand aggregates [42°]. Fungi thereby may act as biocatalysts that can effectively accelerate oil decomposition by increasing the oil surface area for microbial colonization and access to oxygen.

Aerobic and anaerobic pathways of oil biodegradation in the beach

Macondo well oil spilled after the Deepwater Horizon explosion contains more than 13 000 non-volatile components [59], evidencing that its decomposition in the beach is a complex process with numerous different catabolic pathways progressing at different rates. Access to air facilitates aerobic metabolisms in the dry layer of the beach. Initial step in the aerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation is the introduction of oxygen into the molecules by cell membrane-bound oxygenases, which requires direct contact of the microbes with the oil [60]. This activation, producing alcohols that may be further oxidized to fatty acids [61], increases the hydrocarbons' solubility in water, enhancing their dispersion within the beach. Oxygenases also catalyze oxidation of aromatic compounds, resulting in ring cleavage that unlocks a variety of degradation pathways [62]. In thick layers of concentrated oil, as found for example, in the gravel beaches of Prince William sound after the Exxon Valdez accident, only the surface of the oil layer may have access to oxygen. Hydrocarbon degradation here may progress mostly anaerobically, mediated by denitrifying, sulfate-reducing and iron (III)-reducing microbes [63°,64]. These bacteria use fumarate addition, oxygen-independent hydroxylation, or carboxylation to activate hydrocarbons [65]. The anaerobic degradation rates typically are orders of magnitude lower than their aerobic counterparts, and buried oil can endure under such conditions nearly unchanged over decades [26,66].

Controls of biodegradation in the beach

Factors boosting microbial activity also enhance beach oil decomposition, with temperature, moisture, access to oxygen and availability of nutrients being central ratelimiting constraints [28,67,68]. Degradation rates of nalkanes as well as aromatic hydrocarbons increases with rising temperature [69,70], and Rowland et al. [71] reported a Q_{10} value of approximately three for buried oil decomposition in beach sand. Heat and wind remove moisture from the permeable beach sand, which impedes biodegradation through restricting microbial access to water [72] and by generating hypersaline conditions [73°]. Cycles of ocean spray deposition and evaporation may elevate salt concentrations to salinities exceeding 100, suppressing degradation rates up to 90% [74]. Precipitation can relieve these moisture and salinity restrictions as revealed by a doubling of CO₂ release from oilcontaminated beach sands after heavy rain [71]. On the other hand, rain storms or beach overwash that watersaturate the surface sediment layer can create a gas lock that slows the sedimentary oil degradation [71]. Sparging

with air therefore is used to support oil biodegradation in oxygen-limited soil subsurface layers [75]. The low nutrient content of crude oils and the supralittoral sands it is embedded in hampers microbial oil degradation in the dry beach [76,77]. Wrack, detritus and plankton washed onto the beach can accelerate the oil decomposition through co-catabolism facilitated by the microbial release of enzymes or cofactors during degradation of the fresh organic matter [78]. Although natural nitrogen and phosphorus contents of beach sands suggest some availability of nutrients [79,80], these nutrients in the dry beach are bound to mineral surfaces or detritus particles and may not be accessible to microbial oil decomposition. Rainwater, groundwater or seawater can mobilize these nutrients and transport them to buried oil, stimulating its degradation. Therefore fertilizer application is central in beach oil bioremediation efforts [44,81,82], and nutrient amendments at a C:N:P ratio of 100:10:3 ratio were shown to accelerate light crude decomposition in sand 10fold [83]. Since oil forms coatings on sediment particles, grain size influences the specific surface area of oil exposed to degradation, favoring decomposition in sand over degradation in gravel. However, in fine-grained silty beaches, sediment pores may be completely locked by oil, and the mineral grains can effectively shield the hydrocarbons from oxygen, nutrients and microbial attack limiting their degradation [28]. In contrast, the relatively high permeability of sand and gravel beaches enhances the coupling of oiled subsurface layers to the environmental settings at the surface and the water-saturated deep layers of the beach. The tidal water table oscillations within the beach force air in and out of the beach similar to the action of a piston pump, enhancing gas movement through the pore space and microbial activity: Air drawn into the beach during ebb carries heat and oxygen into the sand, while air pushed upwards during flood moves moisture and CO₂ from deep sediment layers towards the dry surface layers (Figure 2a). This is similar to a breathing process, with the beach inhaling oxygen during ebb and exhaling CO2 during flood. The associated increased oxygen, heat and moisture supply to the buried oil and removal of CO₂ generated during its decomposition, increases the microbial biodegradation process [19]. Oil embedded deep in the beach may become submerged when the tidal water level changes, rinsing it with oxygen and nutrient containing-water thereby supporting biodegradation [84]. Tidal vertical oscillation of liquid oil layers floating on the ground water table of gravel beaches may enhance oil dispersion and emulsification promoting its breakdown, or even push oil out of the sediment (Figure 2c).

The broad range of oil degradation rates in beaches

Degradation rates of crude oils deposited in beaches range from a few months to decades depending on the oil characteristics, climate zone, beach type, and shoreline energy [99]. In sheltered beaches, buried oil can persist for decades even in a warm climes as observed in the Mediterranean after the massive Gulf war spill [85]. Thin oil coatings of sand grains as observed in the upper tens of centimeters in Florida beaches after the Deepwater Horizon spill were largely degraded within half a year [19]. The average half-life ranged from 20 to 70 days, similar to the rates reported from oil-contaminated Delaware $(\sim 30 \text{ d})$ [100], and Louisiana beaches [72]. PAHs in the surf zone of Pensacola Beach/Florida had half-lives of 53 d-67 d [86]. Conversely, complete decomposition of golf-ball size sand-oil-agglomerates produced by the same oil spill and buried in the dry upper 50 cm of the same Florida beach was estimated to take at least 30 years [27], emphasizing that oil degradation is a surface phenomenon and a function of surface to volume ratio. Isolating sandoil-agglomerates from sediment contact reduced their decomposition rates by factor 3, corroborating a key role of the beach subsurface environment in the degradation process. On the other hand, biodegradation contributes to accumulation of oxygen in oil residues, which can convert them into more recalcitrant oxyhydrocarbons with longer half-lives [87,88]. In many cases, the slow decomposition process of large, buried sand-oil-aggregates is cut short by seasonal events. In contrast to inland soils, the noncohesive beach sands are subject to substantial-episodic, frequent, and seasonal sediment transport that redistributes, disperses and removes stranded oil. Eroding winter beaches may re-expose buried oil, and seasonal storms converting reflective into dissipative beach profiles return sand and embedded oil to the sea as observed at Pensacola Beach/Florida (Figure 2b). This constitutes a cyclical mechanism that can remove buried oil from beaches [19]. Exception to this may be coarse gravel beaches, where cobles armor the surface, protecting buried oil from removal during storms [26,89]. This process, cold temperatures, and limited access to oxygen may be responsible for Exxon Valdez oil persisting at 25-50 cm depth within beaches of Prince William Sound that were polluted in 1989 [90]. Nevertheless, tidal pumping also can move oil layers embedded in gravel beaches, resulting in dispersal and partial removal (Figure 2d).

Remediation of oiled beaches

Regardless of the effects of beach morphodynamics, the highly visible pollution of beaches and their substantial socio-economical value usually trigger rapid responses to oil contamination. Clean-up activities typically start with the removal of stranded oil from the beach surface, followed by bioremediation activities designed to accelerate the degradation of buried petroleum hydrocarbons. The cleaning strategies intend balancing the benefits of the oil removal and potential environmental harm caused by the cleanup effort. High-pressure cleaning with hot sea-water of oiled surfaces as applied after the Exxon Valdez accident can increase damage to the beach ecosystem and slow the recovery process [91]. Likewise,

reflective, low-energy beach winter winter dissipative, high-energy beach Summer dissipative, high-energy beach Summer Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering

Environmental factors influencing oil deposited in reflective and dissipative beaches. (a) Macondo well light crude oil from the Deepwater Horizon accident was buried in several layers in the dry supralittoral reflective sandy beach at Pensacola/Florida during spring 2010. Tidal pumping transported heat, oxygen and moisture to the embedded oil and removed carbon dioxide, thereby enhancing biodegradation of the petroleum hydrocarbons during summer months [19]. (b) Winter storms moved sand and a large fraction of the remaining embedded oil back to the sea. (c) North Slope heavy crude oil could seep deep into the high-energy, dissipative gravel beaches of Prince William Sound after the Exxon Valdez accident in spring 1989. The oil formed thick subsurface layers that were moved and dispersed by tidal pumping. (d) Winter storms caused seasonal erosion that could eat away some stranded oil but the armoring of the beach protects embedded oil layers from removal [26].

removal of algal wrack and supratidal macrofauna together with oiled surface sand may harm beach ecosystems [92]. More recently, soybean lecithin was proposed as an effective and environmentally sustainable alternative to synthetic surfactants in washing treatments of hydrocarbon-contaminated sands and gravel [93]. On smaller scales, use of ultrasound may help accelerating oil removal from sands [94]. Elimination of petroleum hydrocarbons buried in deeper layers can be accomplished most effectively through biostimulation via fertilizer addition and bioaugmentation utilizing inoculation of pre-stimulated indigenous hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms. Various injection mechanisms were proposed for subsurface biostimulation [81,95], which may be critical in gravel beaches where oil persists under nearly anoxic conditions [96]. However, even microorganisms and nutrients added to the beach surface may reach the buried oil [97]. For the selection of bioremediation strategies and prediction of oil persistence in beaches, models were developed that may allow reducing negative environmental impacts while improving effectiveness of the bioremediation [98]. As seasonal changes in beach

morphology can be substantial, such modeling approaches should take beach morphodynamics into account [16].

Conclusions

Exposed beaches are exceptionally dynamic sedimentary environments, where high levels of kinetic and radiation energy effectively accelerate decomposition of stranded oil. Wave kinetic energy drives substantial sediment transport that fragments and disperses the oil. When waves grind a golf-ball size oil agglomerate into sand-size particles, the attachment area for microbes and exposure of hydrocarbons to irradiation increases hundred-fold. Such smaller oil particles rapidly become buried and integrated in the sedimentary biodegradation process. Microbial densities exceeding those of seawater by orders of magnitude, combined with aeration through tidal pumping and co-catabolism of new organic matter, facilitate relatively rapid decomposition of old fossil petroleum hydrocarbons as long as the oil particles are small, temperatures are moderate, and aerobic biodegradation dominates. Wave-erosion of oil-contaminated sand layers

during storms and subsequent export of oil particles to deeper waters, allow re-deposition of clean sands onto the beach, providing a mechanism for seasonal removal of highly refractory oil compounds (resins, asphaltenes, oxyhydrocarbons). Exposed sandy beaches in temperate or warm climates thus may recover relatively quickly from oil pollution as observed in Gulf of Mexico beaches after the Deepwater Horizon spill. This scenario differs dramatically where crude oil is washed onto cold-climate gravel beaches. Even heavy oils here can seep rapidly deep into the coarse sediment, forming thick, coherent subsurface oil layers with limited access of light, microbes, oxygen, and nutrients to the hydrocarbons. Cold temperatures paired with anaerobic conditions effectively slow biodegradation, and beach armoring by larger stones prevent natural oil removal during major storms. Coarse-grained beaches of boreal and arctic shores thus may be the most vulnerable to oil pollution, which must be taken into account when considering oil exploration in these environments.

Funding

This research was made possible in part by grants from The Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative (231611-00) (RFP V, DEEP-C) and in part by grants from the National Science Foundation (OCE-1044939 and OCE-1057417), the Florida Institute of Oceanography (FIO 4710-1101-00-1), and the Northern Gulf Institute (NG1 191001-306811-03). Data are archived at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under BioProject ID PRJNA294056 and publicly available through the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative Information and Data Cooperative (GRIIDC) at https://data. gulfresearchinitiative.org [DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/ N7765CV9, DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/N7XW4HBZ, DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/N7BZ64J8, DOI: https:// doi.org/10.7266/N73J3BGD, DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7266/N7PZ56VV, DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/ N7PG1Q83, DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/N7T72FZZ, DOI: https://doi.org/10.7266/N78C9TSB, DOI: https:// doi.org/10.7266/N7MG7N1S].

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Guest Editors Michel Boufadel and Chunjiang An for inviting me to contribute to this special issue on 'Hydrocarbon spills in coastal systems' and to Genevieve Green for handling this manuscript.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- · of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- Kronberg B: The hydrophobic effect. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 2016, 22:14-22.

- Chebbi R: Spreading of oil on water in the surface-tension regime, Environ Fluid Mech 2014, 14:1443-1455
- Le Henaff M, Kourafalou VH, Paris CB, Helgers J, Aman ZM, Hogan PJ. Srinivasan A: Surface evolution of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill patch: combined effects of circulation and wind-induced drift. Environ Sci Technol 2012. 46:7267-7273.
- MacDonald IR, Garcia-Pineda O, Beet A, Asl SD, Feng L, Graettinger G, French-McCay D, Holmes J, Hu C, Huffer F et al.: Natural and unnatural oil slicks in the Gulf of Mexico. J Geophys Res Oceans 2015, 120:8364-8380
- Ermakov S, Kapustin I, Sergievskaya I, da Silva JCB: Spreading of oil films on the sea surface: radar/optical observations and physical mechanisms. Conference on Remote Sensing of the Ocean, Sea Ice, Coastal Waters, and Large Water Regions Sep 23; Toulouse, France: 2015.
- Weisberg RH, Zheng LY, Liu YG: On the movement of Deepwater Horizon oil to northern Gulf beaches. Ocean Model 2017, **111**:81-97.
- Luijendijk A, Hagenaars G, Ranasinghe R, Baart F, Donchyts G, Aarninkhof S: The state of the world's beaches. Sci Rep 2018, 8.
- Martinez-Palou R, Mosqueira MD, Zapata-Rendon B, Mar-Juarez E, Bernal-Huicochea C, Clavel-Lopez JD, Aburto J: Transportation of heavy and extra-heavy crude oil by pipeline: a review. J Pet Sci Eng 2011, 75:274-282
- Bacosa HP, Erdner DL, Liu ZF: Differentiating the roles of photooxidation and biodegradation in the weathering of Light Louisiana Sweet crude oil in surface water from the Deepwater Horizon site. Mar Pollut Bull 2015, 95:265-272.
- 10. Joye SB, MacDonald IR, Leifer I, Asper V: Magnitude and oxidation potential of hydrocarbon gases released from the BP oil well blowout. *Nat Geosci* 2011, 4:160-164.
- 11. Liu ZF, Liu JQ, Zhu QZ, Wu W: The weathering of oil after the Deepwater Horizon oil spill: insights from the chemical composition of the oil from the sea surface, salt marshes and sediments. Environ Res Lett 2012, 7.
- King SM, Leaf PA, Olson AC, Ray PZ, Tarr MA: Photolytic and photocatalytic degradation of surface oil from the Deepwater Horizon spill. Chemosphere 2014, 95:415-422.
- 13. Valentine DL, Mezic I, Macesic S, Crnjaric-Zic N, Ivic S, Hogan PJ, Fonoberov VA, Loire S: Dynamic autoinoculation and the microbial ecology of a deep water hydrocarbon irruption. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:20286-20291.
- 14. Thingstad T, Pengerud B: The formation of chocolate mousse from Statfjord crude-oil and seawater. Mar Pollut Bull 1983,
- 15. McLachlan A, Brown AC: The Ecology of Sandy Shores. Elsevier, Academic Press; 2006.
- 16. Bernabeu AM, Rey D, Rubio B, Vilas F, Dominguez C, Bayona JM, Albaiges J: Assessment of cleanup needs of oiled sandy beaches: lessons from the prestige oil spill. Environ Sci Technol 2009, 43:2470-2475.
- 17. Michel J, Owens EH, Zengel S, Graham A, Nixon Z, Allard T, Holton W, Reimer PD, Lamarche A, White M et al.: Extent and degree of shoreline oiling: Deepwater Horizon oil spill, Gulf of Mexico, USA. PLoS One 2013, 8:e65087.
- 18. Nixon Z, Zengel S, Baker M, Steinhoff M, Fricano G, Rouhani S, Michel J: Shoreline oiling from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Mar Pollut Bull 2016, 107:170-178.
- 19. Huettel M, Overholt WA, Kostka JE, Hagan C, Kaba J, Wells WB, Dudley S: Degradation of Deepwater Horizon oil buried in a Florida beach influenced by tidal pumping. Mar Pollut Bull 2018, 126:488-500
- 20. Dalyander PS, Long JW, Plant NG, Thompson DM: Assessing mobility and redistribution patterns of sand and oil agglomerates in the surf zone. Mar Pollut Bull 2014, 80:200-209.
- 21. Wang P, Roberts TM: Distribution of surficial and buried oil contaminants across sandy beaches along NW Florida and

- Alabama coasts following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in 2010. J Coast Res 2013, 29:144-155
- 22. Friedrichs CT, Rennie SE, Brandt A: Self-burial of objects on sandy beds by scour: a synthesis of observations. 8th International Conference on Scour and Erosion (ICSE) Sep 12-15; Univ Oxford, Math Inst, Andrew Wiles Bldg, Oxford, England: 2016:179-189
- 23. Gonzalez M, Medina R, Bernabeu AM, Novoa X: Influence of beach morphodynamics in the deep burial of fuel in beaches. JCoast Res 2009, 25:799-818.
- 24. Lauritsen AM, Dixon PM, Cacela D, Brost B, Hardy R, MacPherson SL, Meylan A, Wallace BP, Witherington B: Impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on loggerhead turtle Caretta caretta nest densities in northwest Florida. Endanger Species Res 2017, 33:83-93.
- 25. Hayes MO, Michel J: Factors determining the long-term persistence of Exxon Valdez oil in gravel beaches. Mar Pollut Bull 1999, **38**:92-101.
- Irvine GV. Mann DH. Short JW: Persistence of 10-year old Exxon Valdez oil on Gulf of Alaska beaches: the importance of boulder-armoring. Mar Pollut Bull 2006, 52:1011-1022
- 27. Bociu I, Shin B, Wells WB, Kostka JE, Konstantinidis KT, Huettel M: Decomposition of sediment-oil-agglomerates in a Gulf of Mexico sandy beach. Sci Rep 2019, 9.
- 28. Leahy JG, Colwell RR: Microbial-degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiol Rev 1990, **54**:305-315.
- 29. Dutta TK, Harayama S: Fate of crude oil by the combination of photooxidation and biodegradation. Environ Sci Technol 2000, **34**:1500-1505.
- 30. Garrett RM, Pickering IJ, Haith CE, Prince RC: Photooxidation of crude oils. *Environ Sci Technol* 1998, 32:3719-3723.
- 31. Honda M, Suzuki N: Toxicities of polycyclic aromatic
- hydrocarbons for aquatic animals. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020. 17

Major findings: review summarizing bioaccumulation properties of PAHs in aquatic animals and the vector effect of microplastics Importance: Links oil spill effects and microplastic pollution.

- Owens EH, Taylor E, Humphrey B: The persistence and character of stranded oil on coarse-sediment beaches. Mar Pollut Bull 2008, 56:14-26.
- 33. Evans M, Liu JQ, Bacosa H, Rosenheim BE, Liu ZF: Petroleum hydrocarbon persistence following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill as a function of shoreline energy. Mar Pollut Bull 2017, **115**:47-56
- 34. Brakstad OG, Nordtug T, Throne-Hoist M: Biodegradation of dispersed Macondo oil in seawater at low temperature and different oil droplet sizes. Mar Pollut Bull 2015, 93:144-152.
- 35. Bernabeu AM, de la Fuente MN, Rey D, Rubio B, Vilas F, Medina R, Gonzalez ME: Beach morphodynamics forcements in oiled shorelines: coupled physical and chemical processes during and after fuel burial. Mar Pollut Bull 2006, 52:1156-1168
- 36. Bernabeu AM, Rey D, Lago A, Vilas F: Simulating the influence of physicochemical parameters on subsurface oil on beaches: preliminary results. Mar Pollut Bull 2010, 60:1170-1174.
- 37. Harada N, Hirose Y, Chihong S, Kurita H, Sato M, Onodera J, Murata K, Itoh F: A novel characteristic of a phytoplankton as a potential source of straight-chain alkanes. Sci Rep 2021, 11

Major findings: Phytoplankton organisms, Dicrateria rotunda, from the western Arctic Ocean, can synthesize a series of saturated hydrocarbons (n-alkanes) from C10H to C38H. Importance: *D. rotunda* could contribute to the development of a new approach for the biosynthesis of n-alkanes.

Love CR, Arrington EC, Gosselin KM, Reddy CM, Van Mooy BAS, Nelson RK, Valentine DL: Microbial production and consumption of hydrocarbons in the global ocean. Nat Microbiol 2021, 6:489-498

Major findings: global flux of cyanobacteria-produced pentadecane exceeds total oil input in the ocean by 100-fold to 500-fold Importance: cyanobacterial hydrocarbon production may selectively prime the ocean's microbiome with long-chain alkanes.

- 39. Head IM, Jones DM, Roling WFM: Marine microorganisms make a meal of oil. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006, 4:173-182
- 40. Yakimov MM, Timmis KN, Golyshin PN: Obligate oil-degrading marine bacteria. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2007, 18:257-266
- 41. Ghosal D, Ghosh S, Dutta TK, Ahn Y: Current state of knowledge in microbial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): a review. Front Microbiol 2016, 7.
- 42. Anno FD, Rastelli E, Sansone C, Brunet C, Ianora A, Anno AD: Bacteria, fungi and microalgae for the bioremediation of marine sediments contaminated by petroleum hydrocarbons in the omics era. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9

Major findings: review of current knowledge concerning the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons in marine systems, presenting a synthesis of the most effective microbial taxa. Importance: Discussion of innovative molecular approaches for the design of effective reclamation strategies.

- Madigan M, Bender K, Buckley D, Sattley W, Stahl D: Brock Biology of Microorganisms. edn 15. New York: Pearson; 2017.
- 44. Hazen TC, Prince RC, Mahmoudi N: Marine oil biodegradation. Environ Sci Technol 2016, 50:2121-2129
- Simister RL, Poutasse CM, Thurston AM, Reeve JL, Baker MC, White HK: Degradation of oil by fungi isolated from Gulf of Mexico beaches. Mar Pollut Bull 2015, 100:327-333
- Kostka JE, Prakash O, Overholt WA, Green SJ, Freyer G, Canion A, Delgardio J, Norton N, Hazen TC, Huettel M: Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria and the bacterial community response in Gulf of Mexico beach sands impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011, 77:7962-7974.
- 47. Satpute SK, Banat IM, Dhakephalkar PK, Banpurkar AG, Chopade BA: Biosurfactants, bioemulsifiers and exopolysaccharides from marine microorganisms. Biotechnol Adv 2010. 28:436-450.
- 48. Banat IM, Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, Smyth TJ, Marchant R: Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2010, 87:427-444.
- Van Bogaert INA, Saerens K, De Muynck C, Develter D, Soetaert W, Vandamme EJ: Microbial production and application of sophorolipids. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2007, **76**:23-34.
- 50. Lamendella R, Borglin S, Chakraborty R, Hazen TC, Jansson J: Microbial community dynamics on an oil contaminated beach following the Deepwater Horizon accident. Abstr Pap Am Chem Soc 2012. 243.
- 51. Newton RJ, Huse SM, Morrison HG, Peake CS, Sogin ML McLellan SL: Shifts in the microbial community composition of gulf coast beaches following beach oiling. PLoS One 2013, 8.
- 52. Engel AS, Gupta AA: Regime shift in sandy beach microbial remediation efforts. PLoS One 2014, 9.
- 53. Shin B, Bociu I, Kolton M, Huettel M, Kostka JE: Succession of microbial populations and nitrogen-fixation associated with the biodegradation of sediment-oil-agglomerates buried in a Florida sandy beach. Sci Rep 2019, 9.
- Karthikeyan S, Rodriguez RLM, Heritier-Robbins P, Kim M, Overholt WA, Gaby JC, Hatt JK, Spain JC, Rossello-Mora R Huettel M et al.: "Candidatus Macondimonas diazotrophica", a novel gammaproteobacterial genus dominating crude-oilcontaminated coastal sediments. ISME J 2019, 13:2129-2134.
- Rodriguez LM, Overholt WA, Hagan C, Huettel M, Kostka JE, Konstantinidis KT: Microbial community successional patterns in beach sands impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. ISME J 2015, 9:1928-1940.
- 56. Vazquez DP, Simberloff D: Ecological specialization and susceptibility to disturbance: conjectures and refutations. Am Nat 2002. **159**:606-623.
- Dickson UJ, Coffey M, Mortimer RJG, Di Bonito M, Ray N: Mycoremediation of petroleum contaminated soils: progress, prospects and perspectives. Environ Sci Processes Impacts . 2019, **21**:1446-1458.

58. Horel A, Schiewer S: Microbial degradation of different hydrocarbon fuels with mycoremediation of volatiles. Microorganisms 2020, 8

Major findings: Fungal species able to grow on specific hydrocarbon substrates were identified and belonged to the genera of Giberella, Mortierella, Fusarium, Trichoderma, and Penicillium. Importance: fungi were able to survive and grow solely on volatile hydrocarbon compounds as a carbon source.

- Ruddy BM, Huettel M, Kostka JE, Lobodin VV, Bythell BJ, McKenna AM, Aeppli C, Reddy CM, Nelson RK, Marshall AG et al.: Targeted petroleomics: analytical investigation of Macondo well oil oxidation products from Pensacola beach. Energy Fuels 2014. 28:4043-4050.
- 60. Hua F, Wang HQ: Uptake and trans-membrane transport of petroleum hydrocarbons by microorganisms. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 2014, 28:165-175.
- 61. Abbasian F. Lockington R. Mallavarapu M. Naidu R: A comprehensive review of aliphatic hydrocarbon biodegradation by bacteria. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2015, **176**:670-699.
- 62. Baboshin MA, Golovleva LA: Aerobic bacterial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and its kinetic aspects. Microbiology 2012, 81:639-650.
- 63. Wartell B, Boufadel M, Rodriguez-Freire L: An effort to understand and improve the anaerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: a literature review. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 2021, **157**

Major findings: comprehensive review anaerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Importance: A large fraction of spilled oils end up in anaerobic environments and the fate of these hydrocarbons remains poorly understood.

- 64. Heider J, Spormann AM, Beller HR, Widdel F: Anaerobic bacterial metabolism of hydrocarbons. FEMS Microbiol Rev 1998, 22:459-473
- Rabus R, Boll M, Heider J, Meckenstock RU, Buckel W, Einsle O, Ermler U, Golding BT, Gunsalus RP, Kroneck PMH et al.: Anaerobic microbial degradation of hydrocarbons: from enzymatic reactions to the environment. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2016, 26:5-28.
- 66. Oudot J, Chaillan F: Pyrolysis of asphaltenes and biomarkers for the fingerprinting of the Amoco-Cadiz oil spill after 23 years. C R Chim 2010, 13:548-552.
- Atlas RM: Microbial-degradation of petroleum-hydrocarbons an environmental perspective. Microbiol Rev 1981, 45:180-209.
- 68. Prince RC: Petroleum spill bioremediation in marine environments. Crit Rev Microbiol 1993, 19:217-242.
- Lofthus S, Netzer R, Lewin AS, Heggeset TMB, Haugen T, Brakstad OG: Biodegradation of n-alkanes on oil-seawater interfaces at different temperatures and microbial communities associated with the degradation. Biodegradation 2018, 29:141-157.
- 70. Eriksson M, Sodersten E, Yu ZT, Dalhammar G, Mohn WW: Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at low temperature under aerobic and nitrate-reducing conditions in enrichment cultures from northern soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003. **69**:275-284.
- 71. Rowland AP, Lindley DK, Hall GH, Rossall MJ, Wilson DR Benham DG, Harrison AF, Daniels RE: Effects of beach sand properties, temperature and rainfall on the degradation rates of oil in buried oil/beach sand mixtures. Environ Pollut 2000,
- 72. Elango V, Urbano M, Lemelle KR, Pardue JH: Biodegradation of MC252 oil in oil: sand aggregates in a coastal headland beach environment. Front Microbiol 2014. 5.
- Geng XL, Abou Khalil C, Prince RC, Lee K, An CJ, Boufadel MC: Hypersaline pore water in Gulf of Mexico beaches prevented efficient biodegradation of Deepwater Horizon beached oil. Environ Sci Technol 2021, **55**:13792-13801

Major findings: Evaluation of mechanisms that control persistence and biodegradation of the MC252-oil deposited within beach Gulf sediments as deep as 50 cm. Importance: Results highlight the effects of beach porewater hydrodynamics in generating unique hypersaline sediment environments that inhibit microbial oil degradation.

- 74. Abou Khalil C, Fortin N, Prince RC, Greer CW, Lee K, Boufadel MC: Crude oil biodegradation in upper and supratidal seashores. J Hazard Mater 2021. 416.
- Javanmardian M, Huber JS, Olson CB, Schwartz WP, Masin CA, Kremesec VJ: In situ biosparging at an Amoco site: subsurface air distribution and biostimulation. 3rd International In Situ and On-site Bioreclamation Symposium Apr; San Diego, Ca: 1995:191-
- 76. Kooijman AM, Dopheide JCR, Sevink J, Takken I, Verstraten JM: Nutrient limitations and their implications on the effects of atmospheric deposition in coastal dunes; lime-poor and limerich sites in the Netherlands. J Ecol 1998, 86:511-526.
- 77. Reddy CM, Arey JS, Seewald JS, Sylva SP, Lemkau KL, Nelson RK, Carmichael CA, McIntyre CP, Fenwick J, Ventura GT tet al.: Composition and fate of gas and oil released to the water column during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:20229-20234.
- 78. Hazen TC: Cometabolic bioremediation. In Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology: Microbial Interactions With Hydrocarbons, Oils, Fats and Related Hydrophobic Substrates and Products. Edited by Timmis KN. Springer Verlag; 2010:2505-2514.
- 79. Gomez M, Barreiro F, Lopez J, Lastra M: Effect of upper beach macrofauna on nutrient cycling of sandy beaches: metabolic rates during wrack decay. Mar Biol 2018, 165.
- 80. Lastra M, Lopez J, Rodil IF: Warming intensify CO2 flux and nutrient release from algal wrack subsidies on sandy beaches. Glob Change Biol 2018, **24**:3766-3779.
- 81. Li HL, Zhao QH, Boufadel MC, Venosa AD: A universal nutrient application strategy for the bioremediation of oil-polluted beaches. Mar Pollut Bull 2007, 54:1146-1161.
- 82. Prince RC: Bioremediation of marine oil spills. In Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology. Edited by Timmis KN. Springer Verlag; 2010:2618-2630.
- 83. Kim SJ, Choi DH, Sim DS, Oh YS: Evaluation of bioremediation effectiveness on crude oil-contaminated sand. Chemosphere 2005. 59:845-852.
- 84. Geng X, Boufadel MC, Lee K, Abrams S, Suidan M: Biodegradation of subsurface oil in a tidally influenced sand beach: impact of hydraulics and interaction with pore water chemistry. Water Resour Res 2015, 51:3193-3218.
- 85. Bejarano AC, Michel J: Large-scale risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in shoreline sediments from Saudi Arabia: environmental legacy after twelve years of the Gulf war oil spill. Environ Pollut 2010, 158:1561-1569.
- 86. Snyder RA, Vestal A, Welch C, Barnes G, Pelot R, Ederington-Hagy M, Hileman F: **PAH concentrations in Coquina** (*Donax* spp.) on a sandy beach shoreline impacted by a marine oil spill. Mar Pollut Bull 2014, 83:87-91.
- 87. Aeppli C, Nelson RK, Radovic JR, Carmichael CA, Valentine DL, Reddy CM: Recalcitrance and degradation of petroleum biomarkers upon abiotic and biotic natural weathering of Deepwater Horizon oil. Environ Sci Technol 2014. 48:6726-6734.
- Aeppli C, Swarthout RF, O'Neil GW, Katz SD, Nabi D, Ward CP, Nelson RK, Sharpless CM, Reddy CM: How persistent and bioavailable are oxygenated Deepwater Horizon oil transformation products? Environ Sci Technol 2018, 52:7250-7258
- Short JW, Lindeberg MR, Harris PM, Maselko JM, Pella JJ, Rice SD: Estimate of oil persisting on the beaches of Prince William Sound 12 years after the Exxon Valdez oil spill. Environ Sci Technol 2004, **38**:19-25.
- 90. Li HL, Boufadel MC: Long-term persistence of oil from the Exxon Valdez spill in two-layer beaches. Nat Geosci 2010, 3:96-
- 91. Devogelaere AP, Foster MS: Damage and recovery in intertidal Fucus-gardneri assemblages following the Exxon-Valdez oilspill. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 1994, 106:263-271.

- de la Huz R, Lastra M, Junoy J, Castellanos C, Vieitez JM: Biological impacts of oil pollution and cleaning in the intertidal zone of exposed sandy beaches: preliminary study of the "Prestige" oil spill. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 2005, 65:19-29.
- 93. Arelli A, Nuzzo A, Sabia C, Banat IM, Zanaroli G, Fava F:

 Optimization of washing conditions with biogenic mobilizing agents for marine fuel-contaminated beach sands. *N*Biotechnol 2018. 43:13-22.
- Mat-Shayuti MS, Ya T, Abdullah MZ, Othman NH, Alias NH: Exploring the effect of ultrasonic power, frequency, and load toward remediation of oil-contaminated beach and oilfield sands using ANOVA. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2021, 28:58081-58091
- Geng XL, Abdollahi-Nasab A, An CJ, Chen Z, Lee K, Boufadel MC: High pressure injection of chemicals in a gravel beach. Processes 2019, 7.
- 96. Li TJ, Hu HM, Jin L, Xue B, Zhang YR, Guo YM: Enhanced bioremediation of crude oil in polluted beach sand by the

- combination of bioaugmentation and biodiesel. *J Water Reuse Desalin* 2016, **6**:264-273.
- Pontes J, Mucha AP, Santos H, Reis I, Bordalo A, Basto MC, Bernabeu A, Almeida CMR: Potential of bioremediation for buried oil removal in beaches after an oil spill. Mar Pollut Bull 2013, 76:258-265.
- 98. Geng XL, An CJ, Lee K, Boufadel MC: Modeling oil biodegradation and bioremediation within beaches. Curr Opin Chem Eng 2022, 35.
- Howard P, Meylan W, Aronson D, Stiteler W, Tunkel J, Comber M, Parkerton TF: A new biodegradation prediction model specific to petroleum hydrocarbons. Environ Toxicol Chem 2005, 24:1847-1860.
- 100. Venosa AD, Suidan MT, King D, Wrenn BA: Use of hopane as a conservative biomarker for monitoring the bioremediation effectiveness of crude oil contaminating a sandy beach. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 1997, 18:131-139.