

7310 Lecture notes. Spring 2019

Instructor: Leonid Petrov

Typing credits

1. January 15 and 17, 2019 — Yichen Ma
2. January 22 and 24, 2019 — Bennett Rennier
3. January 29 and 31, 2019 — ???
4. February 5 and 7, 2019 — ???
5. February 12 and 14, 2019 — Shunyu Wan

Contents

I	Construction of measure	2
1	January 15, 2019	2
1.1	Basics	2
1.2	Problem of measure	3
1.3	Outer measure	3
1.4	σ -algebras	3
2	January 17, 2019	4
2.1	Measures	4
2.2	Completeness	5
2.3	Caratheodory's theorem. Formulation and first part of proof . .	6
2.4	Definition of a pre-measure	7
3	January 22, 2019	7
3.1	From outer measure to measure	7
3.2	From pre-measure to outer measure	8
3.3	Examples	9
3.4	Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures	9

4	January 24, 2019	10
4.1	Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures continued	10
4.2	From countably additive pre-measure to measure	11
4.3	Properties of the Lebesgue and Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures	12
4.4	Lebesgue σ -algebra	14
4.5	Summary of Part I	14
II	Lebesgue integral	14
5	February 12, 2019	14
5.1	Monotone Classes	14
5.2	Fubini 2	15
5.3	Change of Variables	16
5.4	Borel-Cantelli Lemma	17
6	February 14, 2019	17
6.1	Modes of convergence	17
6.2	Examples	19

Part I

Construction of measure

1 January 15, 2019

1.1 Basics

A few completions of \mathbb{Q} :

- 1) Cauchy sequences
- 2) Dedekind cuts
- 3) Axiomated total ordered complete fields
- 4) Decimal expansion up to equivalence class

Definition 1.1. Dedekind cut is a pair of non-empty sets (A, B) such that it satisfies $\mathbb{Q} = A \sqcup B$ and the following:

1. if $x \in A, y < x \implies y \in A$, i.e., A is order-closed downwards.
2. if $x \in B, y \geq x, \implies y \in B$, i.e., B is order-closed upwards.
3. A does not have a maximum element

Define $\mathbb{R} = \{\text{Dedekind cuts of } \mathbb{Q}\}$. For simplicity, we can use A to represent the pair (A, B) . Note we can define an order on \mathbb{R} by set inclusion with respect to A . We can also define addition, subtraction, multiplication, division on \mathbb{R} such that \mathbb{R} is totally ordered, complete field.

Theorem 1.2. \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Proof. Diagonal process. The complete proof is left as an exercise for the reader. \square

1.2 Problem of measure

Question 1: What is the **length** ℓ of a subset of \mathbb{R} ?

- a) $\ell([0, 1]) = 1$;
- b) $\ell(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
- c) $\ell(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0.**3*****\dots\}) = \frac{1}{10}$. This is the set of decimal expansions such that there is a “3” in the third place after the dot.

Axioms of length on \mathbb{R} :

- 1. $\ell([0, 1]) = 1$. i.e., normalized;
- 2. for $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $A = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \dots$, $\ell(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(A_i)$, i.e., countable additivity;
- 3. for $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell(A + \alpha) = \ell(A)$, i.e., transitivity-invariant.

Theorem 1.3. Consider the length $\ell : 2^{\mathbb{R}} = P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, such length does not exist.

Proof. We can write $[0, 1] = \bigsqcup_{r \in N} (\mathbb{Q} + r) \cap [0, 1]$, where N is the collection of representatives of each equivalence class with respect to the following equivalence relation: $x \sim y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Note that we need Axiom of Choice to define N . Then as length is transitivity-invariant, $\ell(N) = \ell(N + \alpha) \forall \alpha$. Hence $1 = \ell([0, 1]) = \sum_{x \in N} \ell(N + x)$, but the right hand side is the sum of countably infinite elements with the same value, either equals 0 or infinity. Contradiction. \square

Corollary 1.4. There exist non-measurable sets.

1.3 Outer measure

Definition 1.5. Let X be a set. $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called an **outer measure** if

- 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
- 2. if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$, then $\mu(A) \leq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$ (Hence $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$).

Definition 1.6. Let X be a set, μ an outer measure defined on X . Then $A \subseteq X$ is called μ -measurable if for all $B \subseteq X$, $\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \cap A^c)$

1.4 σ -algebras

Definition 1.7. Let X be a set. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X$ is called σ -algebra if

- 1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- 2. $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- 3. if $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{F}$

We will eventually prove the following important theorem:

Theorem 1.8 (Caratheodory Extension Theorem). *Let X be a set, μ an outer measure on X . Then the class of μ -measurable sets is a σ -algebra.*

Below are some examples of σ -algebras:

- X countable, $\mathcal{F} = 2^X$ is a σ -algebra;
- for any set X , $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ is called the trivial σ -algebra;
- for any set X , $A \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\}$ is a σ -algebra (generated by the set A). That is, we define $\sigma(A) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\} = \bigcap_{\{\mathcal{F} \in S\}} \mathcal{F}$, where $S = \{\mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra containing } A\}$.

Proposition 1.9. *Let X be a set. For any set Γ (not necessarily countable), if \mathcal{F}_x is a σ -algebra on X for all $x \in \Gamma$, then $\bigcap_{x \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}_x$ is also a σ -algebra.*

Proof. Exercise left for the reader. □

Definition 1.10. The Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R}^d ($d \geq 1$), denoted as $B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is defined as the σ -algebra generated by all open sets in \mathbb{R}^d .

The Borel σ -algebra has countable generating sets (e.g. rational rectangles).

2 January 17, 2019

2.1 Measures

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set, \mathcal{F} a σ -algebra on X . Then a **measure** on \mathcal{F} is a function $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
- for $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$, i.e., μ is countably additive.

We call (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) a **measure space**.

Below are some examples of measure spaces. Let X be a set.

- Fix $x \in X$, then for $A \subseteq X$ consider $\delta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\delta(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases}$$

This is called the δ -measure at $x \in X$.

- Let $\mathcal{F} = 2^X$, for $A \in \mathcal{F}$, define μ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ if A is countable, and $\mu(A) = 1$ if A is co-countable (i.e., A^c is countable). Then (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) is a measure space.

- For X countable, i.e., $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = 2^X$. Denote $p(x_i) =$ weight of x_i , then for $A \subseteq X$, define $\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} p(x)$. (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) is a measure space.

Properties of a measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ)

1. for $A, B \subseteq X$, $A \subseteq B \implies \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$;
2. (countably subadditive) if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \implies \mu(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$;
3. (continuity) for a sequence of subsets in X , $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i);$$

for a sequence of subsets in X , $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$ such that $\mu(A_i) < \infty \forall i$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i).$$

A counterexample for 3) if we drop the condition “ $\mu(A_i) < \infty \forall i$ ” is $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathbb{R})$, $A_i = (i, \infty)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then each $\mu(A_i) = \infty$ but $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space. μ is called **finite** if $\mu(X) < \infty$, μ is called **σ -finite** if $X = \bigcup E_n$ where $\mu(E_n) < \infty \forall n$.

Semicontinuity. Let $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a collection of sets. Then define

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf A_n &= \{\text{elements in almost all } A_n\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \right), \\ \limsup A_n &= \{\text{elements in } A_n \text{ infinitely often}\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3. We have

$$\mu(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup \mu(A_n), \quad \mu(\liminf A_n) \leq \liminf \mu(A_n).$$

Proof. Homework exercise. □

2.2 Completeness

Definition 2.4. Consider (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) , $B \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a **null set** if $\mu(B) = 0$. Note if B is a null set, $A \subseteq B$, then $\mu(A) = 0$ in the sense of outer measure (not necessarily $A \in \mathcal{F}$).

Definition 2.5. (X, \mathcal{F}) is **complete** if all null sets are contained in \mathcal{F} .

Here we introduce a notation “**a.e.**”, the abbreviation of “almost everywhere”. Something happens a.e. if it happens outside a null set. Here are a few examples:

Consider the Dirichlet function $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$. Then $f(x) = 0$ a.e.

Consider the (small) Riemann function $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} & \text{if } x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$. Then f is continuous a.e.

Theorem 2.6. *There is an unique completion of any measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . i.e., there exist $\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mu}$ with $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{F}} = \mu$. Specifically,*

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \{A \cup B \mid A \in \mathcal{F}, B \subseteq N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(N) = 0\},$$

which satisfies:

- 1) $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is a σ -algebra;
- 2) there exists unique extension $\bar{\mu}$ of μ , from \mathcal{F} to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{F}} = \mu$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in \mathcal{F} : \mu(N) = 0\}$. Then it is closed under countable unions and complement, hence so is $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. Then set $\bar{\mu}(A \cup B) = \mu(A)$ if $B \subseteq N \in \mathcal{N}$. Then it is left as an exercise to the reader to check:

- a) $\bar{\mu}$ is well-defined (i.e., if there is another way of writing $A \cup B = A' \cup B'$, $B' \subseteq N' \in \mathcal{N}$, then the measure does not change);
- b) $\bar{\mu}$ is a measure;
- c) $\bar{\mu}$ is a unique extension to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ defined in the claim. □

Note that the Borel σ -algebra is not complete with respect to the length measure ℓ .

2.3 Caratheodory's theorem. Formulation and first part of proof

Let X be a set. Recall the definition of the outer measure μ on 2^X .

Definition 2.7. $A \subseteq X$ is a μ -measurable set with respect to μ if $\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(A \cap B^c) \forall B \in 2^X$.

We now begin the proof of the Caratheodory's extension theorem:

Theorem 2.8 (Caratheodory). *Let μ be an outer measure on a set X , then the following statements are true:*

- 1) $\mathcal{F} = \{A : A \text{ is a } \mu\text{-measurable set}\}$ is a σ -algebra;
- 2) $\mu|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a complete measure.

Proof. For 1), first of all, \mathcal{F} is closed under complement by the definition of the outer measure and the measurable sets. Then for $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, take any $S \subseteq X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S) &= \mu(S \cap A) + \mu(S \cap A^c) \\ &= \mu(S \cap A \cap B) + \mu(S \cap A \cap B^c) + \mu(S \cap A^c \cap B) + \mu(S \cap A^c \cap B^c). \end{aligned}$$

Note $A \cup B = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^c) \cup (A^c \cap B)$, then by subadditivity,

$$\mu(S \cap (A \cap B)) + \mu(S \cap (A \cap B^c)) + \mu(S \cap (A^c \cap B)) \geq \mu(S \cap (A \cup B)).$$

Hence $\mu(S) \geq \mu(E \cap (A \cup B)) + \mu(E \cap (A \cup B)^c)$. Hence $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$, so \mathcal{F} is an algebra.

Also, if $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$,

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu((A \cup B) \cap A) + \mu((A \cup B) \cap A^c) = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

This shows finite additivity of μ on \mathcal{F} .

(other parts will be proven next time.) □

2.4 Definition of a pre-measure

We will also work with outer measures constructed using pre-measures:

Definition 2.9. Let X be a set, $\Gamma \subseteq 2^X$, $\nu : \Gamma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be any function. Then the **pre-measure** μ on 2^X is defined as for $F \in 2^X$,

$$\mu(F) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_n) : A_n \in \Gamma, F \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right\}.$$

By agreement, $\inf \emptyset = 0$.

Proposition 2.10. *With the same set up as above, μ is an outer measure.*

Proof. This will also be proven next time. □

3 January 22, 2019

3.1 From outer measure to measure

We are on a path of constructing the measure. Last time, we defined pre-measures, and this definition will be used later. Here we show how an outer measure leads to a measure, i.e., finish the proof of the Caratheodory theorem.

Recall that if μ is an outer measure, we say that a set A is μ -measurable if for all $E \subseteq X$,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^c).$$

Note that in the proofs we need only to check \geq since \leq follows directly from subadditivity. Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all μ -measurable subsets.

Rest of the proof of Caratheodory theorem (Theorem 2.8). We have shown in Lecture 2 that \mathcal{F} is an algebra (closed under finite unions, intersections, and complements) and that μ is finitely additive on \mathcal{F} .

Now we need to show that \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ be disjoint, and define $B_n = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j$. We know that $B_n \in \mathcal{F}$, so we need to show that $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

First, for all $E \subseteq X$, we have

$$\mu(E \cap B_n) = \mu(E \cap B_n \cap A_n) + \mu(E \cap B_n \cap A_n^c) = \mu(E \cap A_n) + \mu(E \cap B_{n-1}).$$

Continuing this, we see that

$$\mu(E \cap B_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E \cap A_i).$$

Thus,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap B_n) + \mu(E \cap B_n^c) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E \cap A_i) + \mu(E \cap B^c).$$

The last inequality is due to the fact that in the second summand we have passed to the smaller set $E \cap B$. In the previous inequality we can now pass to $n \rightarrow \infty$, and all the sums in the right-hand side are bounded:

$$\mu(E) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \cap A_i) + \mu(E \cap B^c).$$

By subadditivity, this is

$$\geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E \cap A_i)\right) + \mu(E \cap B^c) = \mu(E \cap B) + \mu(E \cap B^c).$$

This shows that $B \in \mathcal{F}$, so \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra.

The σ -additivity of μ on \mathcal{F} is straightforward, take $B = E$ in the previous argument, which leads to $\mu(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$.

Finally, to show completeness, let $A \subseteq X$ be with the outer measure $\mu(A) = 0$. We want to show that $A \in \mathcal{F}$. We have for all $E \subseteq X$:

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^c) = \mu(E \cap A^c) \leq \mu(E)$$

because the outer measure is subadditive and so $\mu(E \cap A) = 0$. The last inequality is also due to subadditivity. This implies that $\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^c)$, so $E \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

3.2 From pre-measure to outer measure

Recall the definition of a pre-measure:

Definition 3.1 (Pre-measure). Let X be a set and let \mathcal{E} be a set of subsets of X . Then any function $\nu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *pre-measure*.

Proposition 3.2. If ν is a pre-measure, then μ defined by

$$\mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i) : A_i \in \mathcal{E}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right\}$$

is an outer measure on \mathcal{E} .

Proof. We need only prove the subadditivity of μ . Let $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By construction of μ , there are sets A_i^n such that $F_i \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_i^n$ and

$$\mu(F_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i^n).$$

We also note that

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_i^n.$$

This means

$$\mu(F) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_i^n\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i^n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\mu(F_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}\right).$$

As we can make ε as small as we'd like, this implies that $\mu(F) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_i)$ as we wanted. \square

3.3 Examples

Now, pre-measures are an easy way of constructing an outer measure, but as we will see, the resulting outer measure may not agree with our original pre-measure. For example, let $\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\}$ where $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\nu(A) = a$, $\nu(A^c) = b$, and $\nu(X) = 1$. Then for the corresponding outer measure μ we have that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = \min(a+b, 1)$. Furthermore, if $B \subseteq A$, then $\mu(B) = a$ and if $B \subseteq A^c$, then $\mu(B) = b$. However, is A even μ -measurable? We see that A is μ -measurable only if

$$\min(a+b, 1) = \mu(X) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^c) = \mu(A) + \mu(A^c) = a+b.$$

In other words, if $a+b > 1$, then A will not be one of our μ -measurable sets. This should serve as a warning that the sets in \mathcal{E} may not be μ -measurable.

For a second example, let \mathcal{E} be the set of finite unions of sets of the form $(a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. We let $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\nu(A) = \infty$ for $\emptyset \neq A \in \mathcal{E}$. We note that in this case, there is more than one possible μ which extends ν . For example, we can assign all points measure zero, or measure 1, or measure 2, or measure ∞ .

3.4 Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures

The *Borel σ -algebra* is the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ generated by all open subsets of \mathbb{R} . A measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is called a *Borel measure*. (Sometimes we will assume that it's σ -finite; moreover, that bounded sets have finite measure.)

Remark 3.3. The cardinality of the Borel σ -algebra is continuum. This can be proven using transfinite induction.

Assuming that μ is a finite Borel measure, we can define the function $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$. We see that F is weakly increasing and is right-continuous.¹ On the other hand, having a right-continuous and weakly increasing function, we can define a pre-measure ν for which $\nu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$. Measures constructed from such pre-measures are called *Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures*. In particular, if we take $F(x) = x$ as our pre-measure function, then the resulting measure is called the Lebesgue measure (note that it is not finite). In the next lecture we discuss how this works exactly.

4 January 24, 2019

4.1 Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures continued

The goal now is to finish the construction of measure from pre-measures, and apply this to Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. There are two main pieces of the construction that are still missing:

1. If the pre-measure is countably additive on \mathcal{E} , then the resulting measure (coming from the corresponding outer measure by the Caratheodory theorem) agrees with the pre-measure on \mathcal{E} , and the sets from \mathcal{E} are measurable.
2. The pre-measure constructed from a function F is countably additive.

The first part is an abstract statement; and the second part is a concrete result that applies on \mathbb{R} and in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes context. We start with the second one.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of finite disjoint unions of intervals of the form $(a, b]$, where $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$. This is an algebra (i.e. is closed under finite intersections, finite unions, and complements). Let F be a weakly increasing right-continuous function. We construct a pre-measure ν on \mathcal{A} where for disjoint unions,

$$\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (F(b_i) - F(a_i))$$

and we'll use this pre-measure to construct our measure μ . There are some potential issues in this definition (that ν is well-defined) that are resolved in the next proposition:

Proposition 4.1. • ν is well-defined;

- ν is finitely additive;
- ν is countably additive.

¹It is right-continuous because $\mu((-\infty, a]) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n]\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu((-\infty, a_n])$ by the continuity of measure, where a_n decrease to a .

Proof. Let $A = \cup I_i = \cup J_j$ where I_i, J_j are intervals. Then we see that

$$\nu(A) = \sum_i \sum_j \nu(I_i \cap J_j)$$

the latter of which is equal to both $\sum_i \nu(I_i)$ and $\sum_j \nu(J_j)$, proving that ν is well-defined. The fact that ν is finitely additive on \mathcal{A} is evident from the definition.

We will now prove that ν is countably additive on \mathcal{A} . Let $I_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $I = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$. We can assume without loss of generality that I is an interval (we can apply the rest of our reasoning to the individual components of I). We will further assume that I has finite measure (the infinite measure case is left as an exercise). Now what we want to show is that $\nu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(I_i)$. We have

$$\nu(I) = \nu(\cup_{i=1}^n I_i) + \nu(I \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n I_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(I_i),$$

and then we can take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to see that $\nu(\cup I_i) \geq \sum \nu(I_i)$.

It remains to establish the reverse inequality. Now, let

$$I = (a, b] = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j].$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $F(a + \delta) - F(a) < \varepsilon$ and there exists δ_j 's such that $F(b_j + \delta_j) - F(b_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}$. Here we used the right continuity of F .

Now since $[a + \delta, b]$ is compact and covered by the open sets $(a_i, b_i + \delta_i)$, there is a finite number of $(a_i, b_i + \delta_i)$ covers $[a + \delta, b]$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. This means

$$\nu(I) \leq 2\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (F(b_i) - F(a_i)),$$

and since ε was arbitrarily small, we are done. \square

4.2 From countably additive pre-measure to measure

Let us now focus on properties of measures which come from a countably additive pre-measure. This will complete the construction of the measure.

Proposition 4.2. *If μ is a measure constructed by the pre-measure ν , then $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}} = \nu|_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. Take an $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and cover it with sets $I_i \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $E \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$. Now let $B_n = E \cap (I_n \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} I_j)$ which disjointly cover E . We then get

$$\nu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(I_i).$$

If we take the infimum over any such sets I_j , we have that $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E)$.

Since $E \subseteq E$, we already have that $\mu(E) \leq \nu(E)$. Thus, $\nu|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu|_{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be the set of finite unions of half-open intervals. Then for any μ , all the sets in \mathcal{A} are μ -measurable.*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \subseteq X$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ and $\mu(E) + \varepsilon \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) + \varepsilon &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\nu(A_i \cap B) + \nu(A_i \cap B^c)) \\ &\geq \mu(E \cap B) + \mu(E \cap B^c), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that B is μ -measurable. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let ν be a σ -finite pre-measure and μ is the induced measure. If ρ is extension of ν (i.e. $\rho|_{\mathcal{A}} = \nu$), then $\mu = \rho$.*

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$, $E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\rho(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$$

so $\rho(E) \leq \mu(E)$.

Since ρ is σ -finite, we need only to prove that they coincide on finitely-measurable sets. As such, let $\mu(E) < \infty$. Now let $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \subseteq A = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $\mu(A \Delta E) < \varepsilon$. We first see that

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \mu(A).$$

Since $A \setminus E \subseteq A \Delta E$, we get that

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu(A) = \rho(A) = \rho(E) + \rho(A \setminus E) = \rho(E) + \mu(A \setminus E) = \rho(E) + \varepsilon.$$

This proves that $\mu(E) \leq \rho(E) + \varepsilon$ as we wanted. \square

4.3 Properties of the Lebesgue and Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures

Definition 4.5. Any measure that is constructed from F is called a *Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure*. If we use the particular function $F(x) = x$, the measure is called the *Lebesgue measure* and is denoted by ℓ instead of μ .

The Lebesgue measure has some particularly nice properties:

- i) It is shift-invariant (this is because $F(x) = x$ is shift-invariant)
- ii) For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell(sE) = |s|\ell(E)$

- iii) Any measure defined on the Borel set with the two above properties must in fact be the Lebesgue measure.

HW Exercise: Let μ be a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure. Show that

- i) For all $E \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$, $\mu(E) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(a_i, b_i) : E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^\infty (a_i, b_i)\}$.
- ii) For all $E \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$, $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(U) : E \subseteq U, U \text{ open}\}$.
- iii) For all $E \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$, $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : E \supseteq K, K \text{ compact}\}$.

However, measurable sets should not scare you. For example, we can approximate measurable sets by some tamer looking sets, which should help calm your worries.

Proposition 4.6. *The following are equivalent:*

- a) E is μ -measurable
- b) $E = V \setminus N$ where N is a null set and V is some countable intersection of open sets
- c) $E = H \cup N$ where N is a null set and H is some countable union of closed sets

In fact, we also have that

Proposition 4.7. *If E is μ -measurable and $\mu(E) < \infty$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are open intervals (a_i, b_i) such that*

$$\mu(E \Delta \cup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

HW Exercise: There exists an open set $U \subseteq (0, 1)$ which is dense in $(0, 1)$ and $\ell(U) < \varepsilon$. Similarly, $K = [0, 1] \setminus U$, where K is closed, nowhere dense such that $\ell(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

How do arbitrary Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures look like? Well, here are some examples:

- 1) The Lebesgue measure itself
- 2) Discrete, weighted, atomic measures like $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \delta_{x_i}$ where $a_i > 0$
- 3) Singular measures where F is continuous but almost everywhere constant. For an example, the Cantor function, see below.

If f is the Cantor function and x is not in the Cantor set, it's pretty easy to see what $f(x)$ is. Now for the x that do lie in the Cantor set, $f(x)$ can be found as the result of writing x in ternary and then replacing every 2 with 1

and interpreting this new string as binary. More explicitly, if x is in the Cantor set its ternary expansion will look like

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i 3^{-i} \text{ where } b_i \in \{0, 2\},$$

which means $f(x)$ will be

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{2} 2^{-i}.$$

4.4 Lebesgue σ -algebra

The σ -algebra \mathcal{L} of Lebesgue measurable sets is complete, it is a completion of the Borel σ -algebra. As such, it contains all Borel sets. The cardinality of the Lebesgue σ -algebra is hypercontinuum $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, since there are uncountable Borel sets of Lebesgue measure zero (like the Cantor set), and each subset of them belongs to \mathcal{L} .

4.5 Summary of Part I

We have discussed measures and construction of measures, focusing on Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. The latter construction works as follows:

- 1) Take an F which is right-continuous
- 2) Use this F to construct a pre-measure ν
- 3) Use this ν to construct an outer measure μ
- 4) Restrict this outer measure to form the measure we want (Carathéodory's theorem)

There is a number of statements we proved about general measures, and other facts were related to the particular Lebesgue-Stieltjes context.

Part II

Lebesgue integral

5 February 12, 2019

5.1 Monotone Classes

This part contains a proof of the Monotone Class Lemma — an important technical result that we use in the proof of Fubini's theorem. However, the Monotone Class Lemma is useful across various results in Measure Theory.

Definition 5.1. A subset C of 2^X is called a *monotone class* if C is closed under countable increasing union and countable decreasing intersections. That is, if $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ are in C , then $\bigcup_i A_i \in C$. Similarly, for $B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \dots$ in C , we have $\bigcap_i B_i \in C$.

Note: for any subset \mathcal{E} of 2^X there is a unique minimal monotone class containing \mathcal{E} .

Theorem 5.2 (The Monotone Class Lemma). *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. Then $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let C be the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} .

1. Because each σ -algebra is a monotone class, we have $C \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. So it remains to show C is a σ -algebra.
2. For $E \in C$, define $C(E) = \{F \in C : E \setminus F, F \setminus E, E \cap F \in C\}$. Note that $E, \emptyset \in C(E)$, and $F \in C(E) \Leftrightarrow E \in C(F)$. Also, $C(E)$ is a monotone class.
3. Suppose $E \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\forall F \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $F \in C(E)$ because \mathcal{A} is an algebra. Therefore, $\mathcal{A} \subset C(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $C \subset C(E)$ because $C(E)$ is a monotone class.
4. If $F \in C$, then for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$, $F \in C(E) \Rightarrow E \in C(F)$. This implies that $\mathcal{A} \subset C(F) \forall F \in C$. So, $C \subset C(F) \forall F \in C$.
5. Thus for any $E, F \in C$ we have $E \in C(F) \Rightarrow E \setminus F, E \cap F \in C$ by the definition of $C(F)$. This means that C is a σ -algebra.

This completes the proof. \square

5.2 Fubini 2

Here we prove the general Fubini Theorem (called “Fubini 2” — recall that “Fubini 1” was for indicators). Our setup is that we consider the product σ -algebra $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ on $X \times Y$, and the product measure $\mu \times \nu$ on it. Recall that we don’t use completion of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$. For a set $E \subset X \times Y$, the product measure is given by $\mu \times \nu(E) = \iint \mathbf{1}_E d\mu \times \nu$.

Recall that for a function $f(x, y)$ on $X \times Y$ we denote by $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$ and $f^y(x) = f(x, y)$ the slices which are functions on Y and X , respectively.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose the measures μ, ν on X, Y are σ -finite.*

- a) *For $f \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ -measurable, the functions $g(x) = \int f_x d\nu, h(x) = \int f^y d\mu$ are measurable, and $\iint f d\mu \times \nu = \int_X g d\mu = \int_Y h d\nu$.*
- b) *$f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu) \Rightarrow g \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\iint f d\mu \times \nu = \int_X g d\mu$.*

Proof. The theorem has two parts, first for nonnegative functions (when both sides might be $+\infty$), and second for integrable functions.

For a), use the monotone convergent theorem and the fact that every function can be monotonically approximated by simple functions, and Fubini 1. For b), express $f = f^+ - f^-$. \square

Remark 5.4. Here is a counterexample for Fubini when the measure is not σ -finite. Let $X = [0, 1]$ with ℓ , the Lebesgue measure, and $Y = [0, 1]$ with the counting measure ν :

$$\nu(A) = \begin{cases} \#A, & \text{if } A \text{ is finite;} \\ \infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

And let

$$f(x, y) = 1_{x=y}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x, y) dx &= 0 \quad \forall y; \\ \int f(x, y) \nu(dy) &= 1 \quad \forall x. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\iint f dx d\nu(y) = \infty.$$

Therefore, all 3 integrals (the double integral and both repeated single integrals) differ.

5.3 Change of Variables

Next we discuss a number of isolated applications of integration / Fubini. The first one is the change of variables formula.

Definition 5.5. For (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) , (Y, \mathcal{G}) , let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a measurable function. Then the push-forward $\phi_*\mu$ is a measure on (Y, \mathcal{G}) such that $(\phi_*\mu)(E) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(E))$ for $E \in \mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 5.6. For a function $f : Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ or $f \in L^1(\mu_*\phi)$, we have

$$\int_X (f \circ \phi)(x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y f(y) d(\phi_*\mu).$$

It is illustrative to consider the applications of the change of variables result for Lebesgue to various situations, such as

1. The case when the function $f(x, y)$ on $[0, 1]^2$ (with Lebesgue measure) depends only on one variables y ; then the change of variables formula is related to the Fubini's theorem;

2. How this result is related to the change of variables formula from calculus which involves the absolute value of the Jacobian;
3. How the push-forward of a measure can be used to define the length measure on curves.

5.4 Borel-Cantelli Lemma

The Borel-Cantelli Lemma is a very powerful tool in probability theory and analysis in general.

Let E_n be sets, and let $E = \limsup E_n = E_n \text{ i.o.}$

Theorem 5.7. *if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty$ then $\mu(E) = 0$.*

Proof. Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{E_n}, f_N = \sum_{n=1}^N 1_{E_n} \text{ then } f_N \rightarrow f$$

also for

$$x \in \limsup E_n \Leftrightarrow f(x) = \infty$$

Hence by monotone convergent theorem,

$$\int f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(1_{E_n}) < \infty \Rightarrow \mu(x : f(x) = \infty) = 0$$

.

□

Theorem 5.8. *The converse of Borel-Cantelli: Suppose $\mu(X) = 1$, E_n are independent which means $\mu(\cap_{i \in I} E_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \mu(E_i)$. Then \forall finite $I \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\sum \mu(E_n) = \infty \rightarrow \mu(E_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$*

Proposition 5.9. *Let's look at some applications of Borel-cantelli theorem*

1. Suppose you are tossing coins, $X_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{n^2} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \end{cases}$
Then $P(\text{infinit many 1's}) = 0$

2. let f_n be a sequence of measurable functions on $[0, 1)$, and $|f_n(x)| < \infty$ a.e, then $\exists C_n > 0$ s.t. $\frac{f_n(x)}{C_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

6 February 14, 2019

6.1 Modes of convergence

Let f_n be a sequence of measurable function on (X, \mathbb{F}, μ) . Then there are many ways to define the convergence of f_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- 1) pointwise/a.e. $\forall x, f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) / \text{a.e.}$

- 2) uniform. $\forall \epsilon > 0, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \forall x, n \gg 1$.
- 3) L^1 . $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$.
- 4) A.e. uniform. $\exists X_0 \subset X, \mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$, s.t. $\sup_{x \in X_0} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$
- 5) Almost uniform. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists X_\epsilon \subset X, \mu(X_\epsilon^c) < \epsilon$ s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniform on X_ϵ .
- 6) Convergent in measure. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$

Remark 6.1. (a) convergence in measure has metric $\rho(f, g) = \int \frac{|f-g|}{1+|f-g|}$

(b) uniform \Rightarrow point wise \Rightarrow a.e.

(c) uniform \Rightarrow a.e. uniform \Rightarrow almost uniform.

(d) uniform $\nLeftrightarrow L^1$

(e) a.e $\nLeftrightarrow L^1$

Theorem 6.2. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ is L^1 , then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

Proof. By the 5.1 of homework 3, $\int |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \mu(|f_n - f| > \epsilon)$ \square

Theorem 6.3. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure then \exists a subsequence $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ a.e.

Proof. It's enough to show $f_n \rightarrow f$ Cauchy in μ then there exist subsequence converge f in μ . Find $g_j = f_{n_j}$, such that

$$E_j = \{g_j - g_{j+1}\} \geq 2^{-j}; \mu(E_j) \leq 2^{-j}$$

Then let

$$F_k = \cup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j, \mu(F_k) \leq 2^{1-k}$$

On F_k^C , $i, j \geq k$.

$$|g_j - g_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^j |g_j - g_{j+1}| \leq 2^{1-i}$$

Hence it's point wise Cauchy on F_k^C , let

$$F = \cap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \limsup E_j, \mu(F) = 0$$

$f = \lim_{a.e} g_j$ on F^C . (rest them 2.30/exercise)

Note:

$$\{|f_n - f_m| \geq \epsilon\} \subseteq \{|f_n - f| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \cup \{|f_m - f| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$$

So if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in μ then Cauchy in μ . \square

6.2 Examples

Here are some typical example of different modes of convergence.

(1) $f_n = n^{-1}1_{(0,n)}$

(2) $f_n = 1_{(n,n+1)}$

(3) $f_n = n1_{[0,1/n]}$

(4) $f_n = 1_{[j/2^k, (j+1)/2^k]}$ where $n = 2^k + j$ with $0 \leq j < 2^k$

Then in(1), (2), and (3), $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, pointwise and a.e respectively, but they are not converge in L^1 .In (4) $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 but not pointwise/a.e.