NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS FOR AN EFFECTIVE MODEL OF TWISTED BILAYER GRAPHENE

ÉRIC CANCÈS, LOUIS GARRIGUE AND DAVID GONTIER

1. Standard monolayer

We choose for the microscopic lattice, the orientation NOT THE RIGHT ONES and for the Macroscopic lattice, we choose the orientation

$$b_1 = b \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_2 = b \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1^* = \frac{4\pi}{b\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_2^* = \frac{4\pi}{b\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

so $-Jb_j^* = \frac{a}{b}a_j^*$ and $Jb_j = \frac{b}{a}a_j$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_b := \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{b}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

In reduced coordinates, with

$$\mathcal{M}: \mathbb{T}^2 \simeq [0,1]^2 \to \Omega,$$

$$\mathcal{M} := \frac{a}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$2\pi \left(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right)^* = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2\pi}{a} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} =: S$$

1.1. **Dirac point.** We have

$$K = \frac{-a_1^* + a_2^*}{3}, \qquad a_1^* \cdot a_2^* = -\frac{|a_j^*|^2}{2}, \qquad |K| = \frac{|a_j^*|}{\sqrt{3}}$$

1.2. From q to m_q . Suppose you know q in cartesian coordinates and you want to compute m^q , its reduced coordinates, that is $m^q a = q$, then since $m^q a = (a_1^* a_2^*) \begin{pmatrix} m_1^q \\ m_2^q \end{pmatrix} = 2\pi \left(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right)^* \begin{pmatrix} m_1^q \\ m_2^q \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1^q \\ m_2^q \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{M}^* q \tag{2}$$

1.3. Fourier conventions. We will manipulate functions which are Ω -periodic in \mathbf{x} , but not in z, our Fourier transform conventions will be

$$(\mathcal{F}f)_m(k_z) := \frac{1}{2\pi |\Omega|} \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} e^{-i(ma^*\mathbf{x} + k_z z)} f(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} dz$$

hence any function can be decomposed as

$$f(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(ma^* \mathbf{x} + k_z z)} f_{\mathbf{G}}(k_z) dk_z$$

We also recall that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ipz} dz = 2\pi \delta(p)$.

Now we consider that f and g are L-periodic in z, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \simeq \int_{[0,L]} dz$ so the Fourier transform is

$$(\mathcal{F}f)_{m,m_z} := \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\Omega \times [0,L]} e^{-i\left(ma^*\mathbf{x} + m_z \frac{2\pi}{L}z\right)} f(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} dz$$

where $\Gamma := \sqrt{L \, |\Omega|}$ and the reconstruction formula is

$$f(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m_z \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{e^{i(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}^* \cdot \mathbf{x} + m_z \frac{2\pi}{L} z)}}{\Gamma} \widehat{f}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z}$$
(3)

We define the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\Omega \times [0, L]} \overline{f} g$$

and compute Plancherel's formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m_z \in \mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z}} \widehat{g}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z}. \tag{4}$$

Hence, as a verification, we test that the normalization of the \widehat{u}_j 's is the right one by checking that $\|u_j\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$ via (4).

We implement the Fourier transforms

where $B = \Gamma^2 = L |\Omega|$ in 3d, B = L in 1d in z, and $B = |\Omega|$ in 2d in (x, y). If $a_i = f(x_i)$ are the actual values of the functions, then $myfft(a)[m] \simeq (\mathcal{F}f)_{m-1}$ up to Riemann series errors.

1.4. **Rotation action.** We know that $R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}(ma^*) = \left(R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}^{\text{red}}m\right)a^*$ where

$$R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}^{\text{red}} = S^{-1} R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} S = \mathcal{M}^* R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (\mathcal{M}^*)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_{-\frac{2\pi}{3}}^{\text{red}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}f(x) = \sum_{m} f_{m}e^{i\left(\frac{R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}^{\text{red}}m}{3}\right)a^{*}\cdot x} = \sum_{m} f_{R_{-\frac{2\pi}{3}}^{\text{red}}m}e^{ima^{*}\cdot x}$$

Similarly,
$$R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(ma^*\right) = \left(R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\text{red}}m\right)a^*$$
 where

$$R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\text{red}} = S^{-1} R_{\frac{\pi}{2}} S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} =: \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} M$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}f(x) = \sum_{m} f_{m} e^{i\left(R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\text{red}}m\right)a^{*}\cdot x} = \sum_{m} f_{Mm} e^{i\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}ma^{*}\cdot x} = \mathcal{L}f\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the action of M on the Fourier coefficients of f.

1.5. Action of mirror. We define M := diag (-1, 1, -1), we have

$$Mu(x) := u(Mx)$$

With the lattice a defined in (??), we obtain

2. Comparision with existing results

From [2], we verified that with T = 0, we have Fig 3(a), with the right energies

2.1. Reduction of Fourier coefficients in 2d to 1d. This is used to compute V_{int} . We take a function f and define its average

$$g(z) := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f$$

and since

$$\widehat{f}_{0,m_z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L|\Omega|}} \int_{\Omega} f(x,z) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{L}m_z z} dx dz$$

then

$$\widehat{g}_{m_z} = \frac{1}{|\Omega| \sqrt{L}} \int_{\Omega \times [0,L]} f(x,z) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{L} m_z z} dx dz = \frac{\widehat{f}_{0,m_z}}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$$

3. Computation of V_{int}

For $\mathbf{s} \in \Omega := [0,1]\mathbf{a}_1 + [0,1]\mathbf{a}_2$, we denote by $V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}$ the true Kohn-Sham mean-field potential for the configuration where the two sheets are aligned (no angle), but with the upper one shifted by a vector \mathbf{s} . We set

$$V_{\text{int,s}}(z) := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left(V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, z) - V(\mathbf{x}, z + \frac{d}{2}) - V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}, z - \frac{d}{2}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left(V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, z) - V(\mathbf{x}, z + \frac{d}{2}) - V(\mathbf{x}, z - \frac{d}{2}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m_z \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\widehat{\left(V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}\right)}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z} - \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z} e^{im_z \frac{2\pi}{L} \frac{d}{2}} - \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{m}, m_z} e^{-im_z \frac{2\pi}{L} \frac{d}{2}} \right)$$

$$\times \int_{\Omega} e^{i \left(\mathbf{m} \mathbf{a}^* \cdot \mathbf{x} + m_z \frac{2\pi}{L} z\right)} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}} \sum_{m_z \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{im_z \frac{2\pi}{L} z} \left(\widehat{\left(V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}\right)}_{0, m_z} - 2\widehat{V}_{0, m_z} \cos\left(m_z \frac{\pi d}{L}\right) \right)$$

and we obtain the Fourier coefficients

$$\left(\widehat{V_{\mathrm{int,s}}}\right)_{m_z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}} \left(\widehat{\left(V_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}\right)}_{0,m_z} - 2\widehat{V}_{0,m_z} \cos\left(m_z \frac{\pi d}{L}\right)\right)$$

We then compute

$$V_{\mathrm{int}}(z) := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} V_{\mathrm{int},\mathbf{s}}(z) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{int}}^2} \sum_{s_x,s_y \in \llbracket 1,N_{\mathrm{int}} \rrbracket} V_{\mathrm{int},(\mathbf{s}_x,\mathbf{s}_y)}^{\mathrm{array}}(z)$$

and finally obtain the Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{\left(\widehat{V_{\mathrm{int}}}\right)_{m_z}} = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{int}}^2} \sum_{s_x, s_y \in [\![1, N_{\mathrm{int}}]\!]} \widehat{\left(\widehat{V_{\mathrm{int,s}}}\right)_{m_z}}$$

and we expect $V_{\text{int},s}$ not to depend too much on s, that is we expect that

$$\delta_{V_{\text{int}}} := \frac{\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} |V_{\text{int,s}}(z) - V_{\text{int}}(z)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}z}{|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\text{int}}(z)^2 \mathrm{d}z}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{m_z} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int,s}}} \right)_{m_z} - \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int}}} \right)_{m_z} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s}{|\Omega| \sum_{m_z} \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int}}} \right)_{m_z}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{s_x, s_y, m_z} \left| \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int,(s_x,s_y)}}} \right)_{m_z} - \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int}}} \right)_{m_z} \right|^2}{N_{\text{int}}^2 \sum_{m_z} \left(\widehat{V_{\text{int}}} \right)_{m_z}^2}$$

is small. We also verify that $V_{\text{int}}(-z) = V_{\text{int}}(z)$.

4. Effective potentials

We defined

$$((f,g))^{\eta,\eta'}(\mathbf{X}) := \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\eta J\mathbf{X}, z - \eta \frac{d}{2}\right) g\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\eta' J\mathbf{X}, z - \eta' \frac{d}{2}\right) d\mathbf{x} dz$$

and

$$\boxed{\langle\!\langle f,g\rangle\!\rangle^{\eta,\eta'} := e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\eta-\eta')\mathbf{K}\cdot J\mathbf{X}} \left(\!(f,g)\!\right)^{\eta,\eta'}}$$

and in particular since $q_1 = JK$, then $\langle \langle f, g \rangle \rangle^{+-} = e^{-iq_1x} ((f, g))^{+-}$. Now we make the approximation

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}}\simeq\int_{\Omega\times[0,L]}$$

The functions are defined on [-L/2,L/2] but we need to integrate on the common segment, which is $[-\frac{L-d}{2},\frac{L-d}{2}]$, so on [-L/2,L/2] to recover the initial domain.

Firstly, using the Fourier decomposition (3),

$$\begin{split} &((f,g))^{\eta,\eta'} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\eta - \eta')ma^* \cdot J\mathbf{X}} \sum_{m_z \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(\eta - \eta')\frac{2\pi}{L}m_z\frac{d}{2}} \widehat{f_{m,m_z}} \widehat{g}_{m,m_z} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\eta - \eta')ma^* \cdot J\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|}} C_{-\mathbf{m}} \end{split}$$

where

$$C_{\mathbf{m}} := \sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|} \sum_{m_z \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(\eta - \eta') \frac{d\pi}{L} m_z} \overline{\widehat{f}_{-m, m_z}} \widehat{g}_{-m, m_z}.$$

We have $((f,g))^{++} = ((f,g))^{--} = \langle f,g \rangle = \sum_{m,m_z} \overline{\widehat{f}_{m,m_z}} \widehat{g}_{m,m_z}$. We also define, for $\eta \in \{-1,1\}$,

$$C_{\mathbf{m}}^{\eta} := \sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|} \sum_{m_z \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\eta i 2 \frac{d\pi}{L} m_z} \widehat{\widehat{f}_{-\eta m, m_z}} \widehat{g}_{-\eta m, m_z}$$

We have $a_{\mathrm{M}}^* = Ja^*$ hence $ma^* \cdot JX = -ma_{\mathrm{M}}^* \cdot X$ and

$$((f,g))^{+-} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{e^{ima_{\mathbf{M}}^* \cdot \mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|}} C_{\mathbf{m}}^+, \qquad ((f,g))^{-+} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{e^{ima_{\mathbf{M}}^* \cdot \mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|}} C_{\mathbf{m}}^-$$

For the potentials, we finally need to implement

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,j'}^{+} = ((\overline{u}_j u_{j'}, V))^{+-}, \qquad \mathbb{W}_{j,j'}^{-} = ((\overline{u}_j u_{j'}, V))^{-+},$$
$$\mathbb{V}_{i,i'} = \langle \langle (V + V_{\text{int}}) u_i, u_{i'} \rangle \rangle^{+-}$$

4.1. W's V_{int} term. We write $V_{\text{int}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{m_z \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{V}_{\text{int}}^{m_z} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L}m_z z}$ hence

$$\begin{split} \left\langle u_{j}, V_{\text{int}} u_{j'} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ m_{z}, m'_{z}, M_{z} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\overline{\widehat{u}}_{j} \right)_{\mathbf{m}, m_{z}} \left(\widehat{u}_{j'} \right)_{\mathbf{m}, m'_{z}} \left(\widehat{V}_{\text{int}} \right)_{M_{z}} \int_{z} e^{iz\frac{2\pi}{L}(M_{z} + m'_{z} - m_{z})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq J \leq \mathbb{Z}^{2}}} \left(\overline{\widehat{u}}_{j} \right)_{\mathbf{m}, m_{z}} \left(\widehat{u}_{j'} \right)_{\mathbf{m}, m'_{z}} \left(\widehat{V}_{\text{int}} \right)_{m_{z} - m'_{z}} \end{split}$$

and the matrix $M_{j,j'} := \langle u_j, V_{\text{int}} u_{j'} \rangle$ is such that $M^* = M$ and $M_{11} = M_{22}$. In the function $\mathbb{V}(X) = \langle u_j, V u_i \rangle(X)$, when $V \to V + V_{\text{int}}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}(X) = \langle u_j, (V + V_{\text{int}})u_i \rangle (X) = \mathbb{V}(X) + \langle u_j, V_{\text{int}}u_i \rangle$$

but at the level of Fourier coefficients,

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}}_0 = \widehat{\mathbb{V}}_0 + \frac{\langle u_j, V_{\text{int}} u_i \rangle}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$$

so when we add it to the Fourier Hamiltonian, we should not forget to divide by $\sqrt{|\Omega|}$

4.2. Adding a constant. We have

$$g(x) := f(x) + c \implies \widehat{g}_0 = \widehat{f}_0 + \sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}|}c$$

4.3. Substracting the mean of \mathbb{W}^+ . To do this, we do it for a function f,

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int f = \frac{\widehat{f_0}}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$$

hence

$$g(x) := f(x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int f \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \widehat{g}_0 = 0$$

4.4.
$$V_{ ext{int}}^{3d}$$
. We have $V_{ ext{int}}^{3d}(x,z) := V_{ ext{int}}(z)$ hence $\left(\widehat{V}_{ ext{int}}^{3d}\right)_{m,m_z} = \sqrt{|\Omega_{ ext{M}}|} \left(\widehat{V}_{ ext{int}}\right)_{m_z}$

5. BM CONFIGURATION

From [1], the BM Hamiltonian is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma\nabla & T(x) \\ T(x)^* & -i\sigma\nabla \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} w_{AA} & w_{AB} \\ w_{AB} & w_{AA} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} w_{AA} & w_{AB}e^{-i\phi} \\ w_{AB}e^{i\phi} & w_{AA} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} w_{AA} & w_{AB}e^{i\phi} \\ w_{AB}e^{-i\phi} & w_{AA} \end{pmatrix}$$

and where, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$T(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{3} T_j e^{-iq_j \cdot x} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{AA}G(x) & w_{AB}\overline{F(-x)} \\ w_{AB}F(x) & w_{AA}G(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, since $q_{2,3} - q_1 = a_{\mathrm{M},j}^*$, we know that

$$G(x) = e^{-iq_1x} \left(1 + e^{-ia_{M,1}^* x} + e^{-ia_{M,2}^* x} \right)$$
$$F(x) = e^{-iq_1x} \left(1 + \omega e^{-ia_{M,1}^* x} + \omega^2 e^{-ia_{M,2}^* x} \right)$$

We have $\mathbb{V}^{1,1} \simeq w_{\mathrm{AA}}G$ so $\langle G, \mathbb{V} \rangle \simeq w_{\mathrm{AA}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}} |G|^2 = 3 |\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}| w_{\mathrm{AA}}$ and hence

$$\begin{split} w_{\rm AA} &\simeq \frac{\left\langle G, \mathbb{V}^{1,1} \right\rangle}{3 \left| \Omega_{\rm M} \right|} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\left| \Omega_{\rm M} \right|}} \left(\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{0,0}^{1,1} + \widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{-1,0}^{1,1} + \widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{0,-1}^{1,1} \right) \\ w_{\rm AB} &\simeq \frac{\left\langle F, \mathbb{V}^{1,2} \right\rangle}{3 \left| \Omega_{\rm M} \right|} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\left| \Omega_{\rm M} \right|}} \left(\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{0,0}^{1,2} + \omega \widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{-1,0}^{1,2} + \omega^2 \widehat{\mathbb{V}}_{0,-1}^{1,2} \right) \end{split}$$

6. Operators in basis

6.1. Goal. Our goal is to study the eigenvalue equation

$$\mathcal{H}\psi = \varepsilon_{\theta} \mathcal{S} E \psi$$

remark that energies have to be rescaled by ε_{θ} ! The operator \mathcal{S} is Hermitian and positive and

$$\mathcal{H} := \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\theta}} \mathcal{V} + c_{\theta} T + \varepsilon_{\theta} T^{(1)}$$

where

$$\begin{split} T &:= v_{\mathrm{F}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla) & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot (-i\nabla) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^* \cdot (-i\nabla) & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla) \end{array} \right), \\ T^{(1)} &:= v_{\mathrm{F}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot J(-i\nabla) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot J(-i\nabla) - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\Delta \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^* \cdot J(-i\nabla) - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^*\Delta & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot J(-i\nabla) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \end{array} \right), \\ \mathcal{V} &:= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{W} & \mathbb{V} \\ \mathbb{V}^* & \mathbb{W} \end{array} \right), \end{split}$$

6.2. **Basis.** We define $e_m := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}} e^{ima^* \cdot x}$, and

$$e_{\alpha,m} := e_{\alpha} \otimes e_m = e_{\alpha} \frac{e^{ima^* \cdot x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}, \quad \text{where } e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

6.3. Multiplication-derivation operators. For $A = (A_1, A_2)$ and $A_j = \sum_{\ell} (\widehat{A_j})_{\ell} e^{i\ell a^* \cdot x}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle e_n,A\cdot(-i\nabla+k)e_m\rangle &= \sum_{\ell} \left(\widehat{A_1}\right)_{\ell} (ma^*+k)_1 \left\langle e_n,e^{i\ell a^*\cdot x}e_m\right\rangle \\ &+ \left(\widehat{A_2}\right)_{\ell} (ma^*+k)_2 \left\langle e_n,e^{i\ell a^*\cdot x}e_m\right\rangle \\ &= \left(\widehat{A_1}\right)_{n-m} (ma^*+k)_1 + \left(\widehat{A_2}\right)_{n-m} (ma^*+k)_2 = \widehat{A}_{n-m}\cdot (ma^*+k) \end{split}$$
 For $V = \sum_{\ell} \widehat{V}_{\ell} e^{i\ell a^*x}$, we have $\langle e_n,Ve_m\rangle = \widehat{V}_{n-m}$ and
$$\langle e_n,V(-i\nabla+k)^2e_m\rangle = (ma^*+k)^2 \,\widehat{V}_{n-m}$$

6.4. On-diagonal potential. For a general $W^{\pm} = \sum_{m} W_{m}^{\pm} e^{ima^{*} \cdot x}$, we have

$$\left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \begin{pmatrix} W^{+} & 0 \\ 0 & W^{-} \end{pmatrix} e_{\beta,m} \right\rangle = \delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \left(W_{n-m}^{+} \right)_{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}} + \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \left(W_{n-m}^{-} \right)_{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}$$

6.5. Off-diagonal potential. For a general $V=\sum_m V_m e^{ima^*\cdot x}$, we have $V^*=\sum_m V_m^* e^{-ima^*\cdot x}$ and

$$\begin{split} M_{IJ} &:= \left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ V^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_{\beta,m} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k} \left(\delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \delta_{m+k-n} \left\langle e_{\alpha_{1}}, V_{k} e_{\beta_{2}} \right\rangle + \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \delta_{m-k-n} \left\langle e_{\alpha_{2}}, V_{k}^* e_{\beta_{1}} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \left\langle e_{\alpha_{1}}, V_{n-m} e_{\beta_{2}} \right\rangle + \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \left\langle e_{\alpha_{2}}, V_{m-n}^* e_{\beta_{1}} \right\rangle \\ &= \delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \left(V_{n-m} \right)_{\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}} + \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \overline{(V_{m-n})_{\beta_{1}\alpha_{2}}} \end{split}$$

and M is also Hermitian.

6.6. Off-diagonal magnetic term. For a general $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_j = \sum_{\ell} (A_j)_{\ell} e^{i\ell a^* \cdot x}$, we have $A_j^* = \sum_{\ell} (A_j)_{\ell}^* e^{-i\ell a^* \cdot x}$ and we compute

$$\begin{split} & \left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \\ A^* \cdot (-i\nabla + k) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right. e_{\beta,m} \right\rangle \\ &= \delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \left((ma^* + k)_1 \left((A_1)_{n-m} \right)_{\alpha_1 \beta_2} + (ma^* + k)_2 \left((A_2)_{n-m} \right)_{\alpha_1 \beta_2} \right) \\ &+ \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \left((ma^* + k)_1 \overline{\left((A_1)_{m-n} \right)_{\beta_1 \alpha_2}} + (ma^* + k)_2 \overline{\left((A_2)_{m-n} \right)_{\beta_1 \alpha_2}} \right) \end{split}$$

6.7. **Dirac operator.** We have

$$\begin{split} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) &= \sigma_1 \left(-i\partial_1 + k_1 \right) + \sigma_2 \left(-i\partial_2 + k_2 \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \left(\partial_1 - i\partial_2 \right) + \overline{k_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ -i \left(\partial_1 + i\partial_2 \right) + k_{\mathbb{C}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so, with $k_{\mathbb{C}} := k_1 + ik_2$,

$$\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e_m = (ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e_m$$
$$\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e_m = \overline{(ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e_m$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \end{pmatrix} e_{1,m} = (ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}} e_{2,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \end{pmatrix} e_{2,m} = \overline{(ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}}} e_{1,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \end{pmatrix} e_{3,m} = (ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}} e_{4,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + k) \end{pmatrix} e_{4,m} = \overline{(ma^* + k)_{\mathbb{C}}} e_{3,m}$$

We know that $e^{-ikx} (-i\nabla) e^{ikx} = -i\nabla + k$ hence

$$e^{-ikx}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\right)e^{ikx}\cdot = \frac{1}{2}\left(-i\nabla + k\right)^2$$

and with $f(x) = \sum_{m} \widehat{f}_{m} e^{ima^{*}x}$

$$\left(-i\nabla + k\right)f = \sum_{m} \left(ma^* + k\right) \widehat{f}_m e^{ima^*x},$$

so

$$\frac{1}{2} (-i\nabla + k)^2 f = \sum_{m} \frac{1}{2} (ma^* + k)^2 \hat{f}_m e^{ima^* x}$$

We have

$$\left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \frac{1}{2} \left(-i \nabla + k \right)^2 e_{\beta,m} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(m a^* + k \right)^2 \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{m-n}$$

We have

$$\sigma \cdot k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{k_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ k_{\mathbb{C}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (Jk)_{\mathbb{C}} = ik_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad \sigma \cdot Jk = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\overline{k_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ ik_{\mathbb{C}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

SO

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) \end{pmatrix} e_{1,m} = -i \left(ma^* + k \right)_{\mathbb{C}} e_{2,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) \end{pmatrix} e_{2,m} = i \overline{\left(ma^* + k \right)_{\mathbb{C}}} e_{1,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) \end{pmatrix} e_{3,m} = i \left(ma^* + k \right)_{\mathbb{C}} e_{4,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla + k \right) \end{pmatrix} e_{4,m} = -i \overline{\left(ma^* + k \right)_{\mathbb{C}}} e_{3,m}$$

For a general $V=\sum_m \widehat{V}_m e^{ima^*\cdot x}$, we have $V^*=\sum_m \widehat{V}_m^* e^{-ima^*\cdot x}$ and we compute

$$\left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V\left(-i\nabla + k\right)^{2} \\ V^{*}\left(-i\nabla + k\right)^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_{\beta,m} \right\rangle$$

$$= (ma^{*} + k)^{2} \left(\delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \left(\widehat{V}_{n-m}\right)_{\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}} + \delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \overline{\left(\widehat{V}_{m-n}\right)_{\beta_{1}\alpha_{2}}} \right)$$

7. Symmetries

7.1. Particle-hole. We define

$$Su(x) := i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} u(-x)$$

We have

$$\mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^*(-x) \\ B(-x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $T(-x)^* = T(x)$ hence we should have that

$$SHS = -H$$

We compute

$$S_{IJ} = \langle e_{\alpha,n}, Se_{\beta,m} \rangle = i \left\langle e_{\alpha,n}, \begin{pmatrix} -e_{\beta_2,-m} \\ e_{\beta_1,-m} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$
$$= i \delta_{m+n} \left(\delta_{\alpha \in \{3,4\}}^{\beta \in \{1,2\}} \delta_{\beta_1-\alpha_2} - \delta_{\alpha \in \{1,2\}}^{\beta \in \{3,4\}} \delta_{\beta_2-\alpha_1} \right)$$

For any function B and any vector function A, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(\mathbf{X}) \\ B^*(\mathbf{X}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} &= -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^*(-\mathbf{X}) \\ B(-\mathbf{X}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(\mathbf{X})\Delta \\ B^*(\mathbf{X})\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} &= -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^*(-\mathbf{X})\Delta \\ B(-\mathbf{X})\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \nabla \\ i\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X})^* \cdot \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{X})^* \cdot \nabla \\ i\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{X}) \cdot \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

we also compute that

$$\mathcal{S}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot \nabla & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} = -\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot \nabla & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix},$$

hence if the operator Γ is a linear combination of the terms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot J \left(-i\nabla \right) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{V} \\ \mathbb{V}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma\Delta \\ \Sigma^*\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

it satisfies the symmetry $S\Gamma S = -\Gamma$, and those are the particle-hole symmetric terms of our effective Hamiltonian. However, if Γ is a linear combination of the operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A} \cdot (-i\nabla) \\ \mathcal{A}^* \cdot (-i\nabla) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A} \cdot J (-i\nabla) \\ \mathcal{A}^* \cdot J (-i\nabla) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\Delta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{W} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{W}^* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

of the effective Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{d,\theta}$, it satisfies $S\Gamma S = \Gamma$ and hence break the particle-hole symmetry.

But now we also compute that

$$\mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} = k,$$

$$\mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(-i\nabla + k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(-i\nabla + k) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} = -\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(-i\nabla - k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(-i\nabla - k) \end{pmatrix}$$

7.2. **Mirror.** First, for any function B, we have $\sigma_1 B^* \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{B_{22}} & \overline{B_{12}} \\ \overline{B_{21}} & \overline{B_{11}} \end{pmatrix}$.

The mirror operator for the BM Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{M}u(\mathbf{X}) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u(\overline{\mathbf{X}})$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{X}} := (X_1, -X_2) =: M\mathbf{X}$, it satisfies $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^*$. Next,

$$\mathcal{M}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(\mathbf{X}) \\ B(\mathbf{X})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 B^*(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_1 B(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$T(M\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} T_j e^{ix \cdot M^* q_j^c} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} T_j e^{ix \cdot M q_j^c}$$

because $M^* = M$. But

$$\sigma_{1}T^{*}(M\mathbf{X})\sigma_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} e^{ix \cdot Mq_{j}} \right) & w_{1} \left(e^{ix \cdot Mq_{1}} + e^{i\phi} e^{ixMq_{2}} + e^{i2\phi} e^{ix \cdot Mq_{3}} \right) \\ & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} w_{0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} e^{ix \cdot q_{j}} \right) & w_{1} \left(e^{ix \cdot q_{1}} + e^{-i\phi} e^{ixq_{2}} + e^{-i2\phi} e^{ix \cdot q_{3}} \right) \\ & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} = T(\mathbf{X})$$

where we used that $Mq_1^c = q_1^c$, $Mq_2^c = q_3^c$ and $Mq_3^c = q_2^c$.

We search the action on reduced Fourier coefficients. We have

$$f(Mx) = \sum_{m} e^{ix \cdot M(ma^*)} = \sum_{m} e^{ix \cdot (M^r m)a^*}$$

where
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,

$$M^r = S^{-1}MS = \mathcal{M}^*M (\mathcal{M}^*)^{-1} = \sigma_1$$

8. Non Local Term

From the theoretical investigations, we have

$$F^{\eta,j,s}(\mathbf{X}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s}(\mathbf{y},z)} \Phi_j \left(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X}, z - \eta d \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}z$$

and

$$\mathbb{W}^{\eta}_{\mathrm{nl},-1}\left(\mathbf{X}\right)_{jj'} := \frac{v_0}{|\Omega|} \sum_{s \in \{1,2\}} \overline{F^{\eta,j,s}(\mathbf{X})} F^{\eta,j',s}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Since $\varphi_{\text{Bl},s}$ is localized, we periodize it and we make the approximation

$$F^{\eta,j,s}(\mathbf{X}) \simeq \int_{\Omega \times [0,L]} \overline{\varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s}(\mathbf{y},z)} \Phi_j \left(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X}, z - \eta d \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}z$$
$$= \int_{\Omega \times [0,L]} \overline{\varphi_s(\mathbf{y},z)} u_j \left(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X}, z - \eta d \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}z$$

and we define φ such that $\varphi_{\text{Bl},s} = e^{i\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y}}\varphi_s$, because it is $\widehat{\varphi}_s$ which is stored by DFTK, so

$$\varphi_s(\mathbf{y},z) = \sum_{m,m_z} \frac{e^{i\left(ma^*\mathbf{y} + m_z \frac{2\pi}{L}z\right)}}{\Gamma} \widehat{\varphi}_{s,\mathbf{m},m_z}, \qquad u_j(\mathbf{y},z) = \sum_{\mathbf{m},m_z} \frac{e^{i\left(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{y} + \frac{2\pi}{L}m_zz\right)}}{\Gamma} \widehat{(u_j)}_{\mathbf{m},m_z}$$

where \mathbf{K} is the Dirac point, thus

$$\begin{split} F^{\eta,j,s}(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m},m_z} e^{i\left(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}^*(\mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J\mathbf{X}) - \eta \frac{2\pi}{L}m_z d\right)} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}}_{s,\mathbf{m},m_z} \widehat{(u_j)}_{\mathbf{m},m_z} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m},m_z} e^{i\left(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}^*_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\frac{1}{2}J\mathbf{a}_s + \eta \mathbf{X}\right) - \eta \frac{2\pi}{L}m_z d\right)} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}}_{s,\mathbf{m},m_z} \widehat{(u_j)}_{\mathbf{m},m_z} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m},m_z} e^{i\left(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}^*_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\frac{1}{2}J\mathbf{a}_s + \mathbf{X}\right) - \eta \frac{2\pi}{L}m_z d\right)} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}}_{s,\eta\mathbf{m},m_z} \widehat{(u_j)}_{\eta\mathbf{m},m_z} \end{split}$$

has Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{(F^{\eta,j,s})}_{\mathbf{m}} = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{M}}^{*}\cdot Ja_{s}} \sum_{m_{z}} e^{-i\eta\frac{2\pi}{L}m_{z}d} \widehat{\overline{\varphi}}_{s,\eta\mathbf{m},m_{z}} \widehat{(u_{j})}_{\eta\mathbf{m},m_{z}}$$

On the functions given by DFTK, we remark that $\varphi_s[m]$ given is periodic and that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s} = \tau^s \varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s}.$$

8.1. **Symmetries.** We have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}F^{\eta,j,s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\varphi_{\text{Bl},s}(\mathbf{y},z)} \Phi_j \left(R_{-\frac{2\pi}{3}} \left(R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \mathbf{y} + R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X} \right), z - \eta d \right) d\mathbf{y} dz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \varphi_{\text{Bl},s}(\mathbf{y},z)} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \Phi_j \right) \left(\mathbf{y} + R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X}, z - \eta d \right) d\mathbf{y} dz$$

$$= \tau^{j-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\varphi_{\text{Bl},s}(\mathbf{y},z)} \Phi_j \left(\mathbf{y} + R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \mathbf{a}_s - 2\eta J \mathbf{X}, z - \eta d \right) d\mathbf{y} dz$$

and if
$$\varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s}(y+R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}a_s)=\varphi_{\mathrm{Bl},s}(y+a_s)$$
, then
$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\left(\overline{F^{\eta,j,s}}F^{\eta,j',s}\right)=\tau^{j'-j}\ \overline{F^{\eta,j,s}}F^{\eta,j',s}$$

9. Change of basis for getting $\Phi_j \in L^2_{ au,\overline{ au}}$

Numerically, DFTK gives

$$\phi, \psi \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right) + \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \overline{\tau}\right)$$

but we want to separate the spaces and obtain $\phi_1 \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right)$ so that $\phi_2(x,z) := \overline{\phi_1}(-x,z) \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \overline{\tau}\right)$, which existence is ensured by [3].

First we define

$$c := \left\| \left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau \right) \phi_a \right\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad s := \left\langle \left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau \right) \phi_a, \left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau \right) \phi_b \right\rangle.$$

Then we parametrize

$$\phi_1 = e^{i\alpha} \left(\frac{s}{|s|} \cos \theta \phi_a + e^{i\beta} \sin \theta \phi_b \right)$$

and we want $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right)\phi_1 = 0$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{s}{|s|}\cos\theta\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right)\phi_a + e^{i\beta}\sin\theta\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right)\phi_b = 0$$

and we take the scalar product with $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} - \tau\right)\phi_a$ so that

$$\frac{c}{|s|}\cos\theta + e^{i\beta}\sin\theta = 0$$

Now we necessarily have $e^{i\beta} = \pm \cos \cos \theta = \mp \frac{|s|}{c} \sin \theta$ and finally using $\cos^2 + \sin^2 = 1$,

$$|\cos \theta| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{c}{|s|}\right)^2}}, \qquad |\sin \theta| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{|s|}{c}\right)^2}},$$

and also choosing $\alpha=0$ if $\cos\theta\geqslant0$ and π otherwise, which does not change anything, we have

$$\phi_1 = \frac{s}{|s|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{c}{|s|}\right)^2}} \phi_a \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{|s|}{c}\right)^2}} \phi_b$$

and $\phi_2(x) = \overline{\phi_1(-x)}$. By multiplying by e^{-iKx} , we also obtain

$$u_1 = \frac{s}{|s|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{c}{|s|}\right)^2}} u_a \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{|s|}{c}\right)^2}} u_b$$

and $u_2(x) = \overline{u_1(-x)}$.

10. Change of gauge on the phasis of wavefunctions

When we change $\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1 e^{i\theta}$, then $u_1 \to u_1 e^{i\theta}$, $u_2 \to u_2 e^{-i\theta}$ because $u_2(x) = \overline{u_1(-x)}$, and hence

$$\overline{u_1}u_2 \to \overline{u_1}u_2e^{-2i\theta}$$

We define

$$\mathcal{U} := \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}$$

have

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{W}^+ & \mathbb{V} \\ \mathbb{V}^* & \mathbb{W}^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U^* & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U\mathbb{W}^+U^* & U\mathbb{V}U^* \\ U\mathbb{V}^*U^* & U\mathbb{W}^-U^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and with $U := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$U\begin{pmatrix} B^{+} & B \\ B^{*} & B^{-} \end{pmatrix} U^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} B^{+} & Be^{2i\theta} \\ B^{*}e^{-2i\theta} & B^{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

hence if we define H_{θ} to be H with $u_1 \to u_1 e^{i\theta}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{U}H_{\theta}\mathcal{U}^{*}$$

is constant in θ .

11. Plan

Given a macroscopic model, BM of ours, we need to proceed the following way to build the band diagrams numerically

- (1) We rescale the model and remove dimensions by applying the conjugation $\frac{1}{v_0 k_0^3} S \cdot S^*$ as in (5)
- (2) We conjugate by $U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iK_2x} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{iK_1x} \end{pmatrix}$ to remove the e^{-iq_1x} factors
- 11.1. **From** a^* **to** c^* . The lattice c^* enables to plot the bands diagram. Since $a_1^* = c_1^*$ and $a_2^* = c_1^* + c_2^*$, we have

$$\sum_{m} f_{m} \frac{e^{ima^{*}x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}|}} = \sum_{m} f_{m} \frac{e^{i\binom{m_{1}+m_{2}}{m_{2}}}e^{*x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}|}} = \sum_{m} f_{m} \frac{e^{i(A^{-1}m)c^{*}x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}|}} = \sum_{m} f_{Am} \frac{e^{imc^{*}x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}|}}$$
 where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

12. Treating the Bistritzer-MacDonal model

In this section, we apply the plan of Section 11 to treat the BM model.

12.1. **Rescaling.** We consider

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} T_i e^{-iq_i x}, \qquad q_{2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{3}/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad q_1 = -q_2 - q_3.$$

The BM Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -iv_0\sigma\nabla & wT(k_\theta x) \\ wT^*(k_\theta x) & -iv_0\sigma\nabla \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the rescaling

$$Su(x) := u\left(\frac{x}{k_{\theta}}\right), \qquad S^*u(y) = k_{\theta}^2 u\left(k_{\theta}y\right), \qquad SS^* = k_{\theta}^2$$

where we defined S^* as $\int_{\Omega} \overline{f} \ Sg = \int_{L\Omega/k_{\theta}} g \ \overline{S^*f}$. We have $\nabla S^* = k_{\theta}S^*\nabla$ so $S\nabla S^* = k_{\theta}^3\nabla$ and $SfS^* = k_{\theta}^2f\left(\frac{x}{k_{\theta}}\right)$ so when $x = yk_{\theta}$ is the microscopic scale

$$\frac{1}{k_{\theta}^{3}v_{0}}S\left(\begin{pmatrix} -iv_{0}\sigma\nabla & wT(k_{\theta}x) \\ wT^{*}(k_{\theta}x) & -iv_{0}\sigma\nabla \end{pmatrix} - E\right)S^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma\nabla & \alpha T(x) \\ \alpha T^{*}(x) & -i\sigma\nabla \end{pmatrix} - \varepsilon =: H_{BM}$$
(5)

where $\alpha:=\frac{w}{k_{\theta}v_0}$ and where $\varepsilon=\frac{E}{v_0k_{\theta}}$ is the unit of [4, Fig 1] defined in the caption, and

12.2. Removing e^{-iq_1x} . With

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iK_2x} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-iK_1x} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

we have

$$U^*H_{BM}U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & T(x)e^{i(K_2 - K_1)x} \\ T(x)^*e^{i(K_1 - K_2)x} & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T}^* & \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

From (10), that we consider again, we want $K_2 - K_1 = q_1$, so

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}) := T(x)e^{i(K_2 - K_1)x} = T(x)e^{iq_1x} = T_1 + T_2e^{i(q_1 - q_2)x} + T_3e^{i(q_1 - q_3)x}$$
$$= T_1 + T_2e^{-ic_1^*x} + T_3e^{-i(c_1^* + c_2^*)x}$$

where

$$a_1^* = q_2 - q_1 = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a_2^* = q_3 - q_1 = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $q_1 = -q_2 - q_3$

and

$$q_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_1^* + 2a_2^* \right), \qquad q_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(2a_1^* - a_2^* \right), \qquad q_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_1^* - a_2^* \right)$$

and

$$c_1^* := q_2 - q_1 = a_1^* = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_2^* := q_3 - q_2 = -a_1^* + a_2^* = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a_2^* = c_1^* + c_2^*$$

hence

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{3} (-2c_1^* - c_2^*), \qquad q_2 = \frac{1}{3} (c_1^* - c_2^*), \qquad q_3 = \frac{1}{3} (c_1^* + 2c_2^*)$$

We choose

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{3} (c_1^* + 2c_2^*)$$
 $K_2 = \frac{1}{3} (-c_1^* + c_2^*),$

so that $K_2 - K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-2c_1^* - c_2^* \right) = q_1$

13. Treating our model

Our Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_{d,\theta} = \varepsilon_{\theta}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_d + c_{\theta} T_d + \varepsilon_{\theta} T_d^{(1)}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{d,\theta} \psi = \frac{E}{\varepsilon_{\theta}} \psi \tag{7}$$

where the three operators \mathcal{V}_d , T_d , and $T_d^{(1)}$ are of the form

$$S_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{2} & \Sigma_{d}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \Sigma_{d}^{*}(\mathbf{X}) & \mathbb{I}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{W}_{d}^{+}(\mathbf{X}) & \mathbb{V}_{d}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \mathbb{V}_{d}(\mathbf{X})^{*} & \mathbb{W}_{d}^{-}(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{F}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla) & J(-i\nabla\Sigma_{d})(\mathbf{X}) \cdot (-i\nabla) \\ -J(-i\nabla\Sigma_{d}^{*})(\mathbf{X}) \cdot (-i\nabla) & v_{F}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_d^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(\mathcal{S}_d(\mathbf{X}) \nabla \bullet \right) + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -v_F \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot J(-i\nabla) & 0\\ 0 & v_F \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot J(-i\nabla) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

and with $A = -i\nabla\Sigma$,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathbf{X})\nabla\bullet\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta & -\Sigma\Delta \\ -\Sigma^{*}\Delta & -\Delta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A\cdot(-i\nabla) \\ A^{*}\cdot(-i\nabla) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $-i \operatorname{div} e^{iK_2x} \circ = -i \operatorname{div} + K_2$ and $-i \nabla e^{-iK_1x} \circ = -i \nabla - K_1$ so

$$e^{iK_2x}(-i\operatorname{div})\Sigma(-i\nabla)e^{-iK_1x} = (-i\operatorname{div} - K_2) e^{i(K_2 - K_1)x}\Sigma(-i\nabla - K_1)$$
$$= (-i\operatorname{div} - K_2) \widetilde{\Sigma} (-i\nabla - K_1)$$

and

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-i\operatorname{div})\Sigma(-i\nabla) \\ (-i\operatorname{div})\Sigma^*(-i\nabla) & 0 \end{pmatrix} U$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-i\operatorname{div}-K_2)\widetilde{\Sigma}(-i\nabla-K_1) \\ (-i\operatorname{div}-K_1)\widetilde{\Sigma}^*(-i\nabla-K_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now $e_m^a := e^a \otimes \frac{e^{ima^*x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\rm M}|}}$,

$$H_{ij}^{ab} := \left\langle e_i^a, H e_j^b \right\rangle \qquad (H^*)_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{H_{ji}^{ba}}$$

and we want the Hermitian operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & H \\ H^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(H^*)^t = \overline{H}, \qquad ((H^*)^t)_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{H_{ij}^{ab}}$$

For H = V, we have

$$H_{ij}^{ab} := V_{i-j}^{ab} \qquad (H^*)_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{V_{j-i}^{ba}}, \qquad \overline{H}_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{V_{i-j}^{ab}}$$

and in the code we implement $\overline{H_{ij}^{ab}}$.

Let us assume that $-i \operatorname{div} A = q_1 \cdot A$, so in Fourier space this is written

$$ma^* \cdot A_m = q_1 \cdot A_m \tag{9}$$

but be careful, this relation is true only for $m \in \{-N/2, \dots, N/2\}$!!!! Now $e_m^a := e^a \otimes \frac{e^{ima^*x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\rm M}|}}$,

$$H_{ij}^{ab} := \left\langle e_i^a, A \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) e_j^b \right\rangle = A_{i-j}^{ab} \cdot (ja^* - K_2)$$

where

$$(H^*)_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{H_{ji}^{ba}} = \overline{\left\langle e_j^b, A\left(-i\nabla - K_2\right) e_i^a \right\rangle} = \left\langle e_i^a, A^* \cdot \left(-i\nabla - K_1\right) e_j^b \right\rangle$$
$$= \overline{A_{j-i}^{ba}} (ja^* - K_1) = \overline{A_{j-i}^{ba}} \cdot (ia^* - K_2) = \overline{H_{ji}^{ba}}$$

and

$$\overline{H}_{ij}^{ab} = \overline{A_{i-j}^{ab}} \cdot (ja^* - K_2) = \overline{A_{i-j}^{ab}} \cdot (ia^* - K_1)$$

and the last inequality is true only when $i-j \in \{-N/2, \dots, N/2\}$!!!

13.1. Application to our effective model. Still with U defined in (6), we have

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{V} \\ \mathbb{V}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\mathbb{V}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad U^* \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{W}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{W}^- \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{W}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{W}^- \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\Sigma} \\ \widetilde{\Sigma}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} \sigma\left(-i\nabla\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma\left(-i\nabla\right) \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma\left(-i\nabla - K_2\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma\left(-i\nabla - K_1\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

and as presented in Section 14, with $A := -i\nabla\Sigma$,

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & JA(-i\nabla) \\ (JA)^* (-i\nabla) & \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J\widetilde{A} \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \\ \left(J\widetilde{A}\right)^* \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover,

$$U^* \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -v_F \sigma \cdot J(-i\nabla) & 0 \\ 0 & v_F \sigma \cdot J(-i\nabla) \end{pmatrix} U$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -v_F \sigma \cdot J(-i\nabla - K_2) & 0 \\ 0 & v_F \sigma \cdot J(-i\nabla - K_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta & -\Sigma \Delta \\ -\Sigma^* \Delta & -\Delta \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} (-i\nabla - K_2)^2 & \widetilde{\Sigma} \left(-i\nabla - K_1 \right)^2 \\ \left(\widetilde{\Sigma} \right)^* \left(-i\nabla - K_2 \right)^2 & \left(-i\nabla - K_1 \right)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

13.1.1. Factor $\sqrt{|\Omega_{\rm M}|}$. With $\mathbb{V} = \sum_m V_m e_m$, $e_m := \frac{e^{imc^*x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\rm M}|}}$, we have

$$\boxed{\langle e_n, \mathbb{V}e_p \rangle = \frac{V_m}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}|}}}$$

hence

$$\langle e_n, \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla) e_p \rangle = \delta_{n-p} \sigma \cdot mc^*$$

and

14. Magnetic term

We have

$$A := -i\nabla\Sigma = e^{-iq_1x} (-i\nabla - q_1) ((u_i, u_{i'}))^{+-}$$

hence with $\widetilde{f} := e^{iq_1x}f$,

$$\widetilde{A} = (-i\nabla - q_1)\widetilde{\Sigma}$$

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & JA(-i\nabla) \\ JA^*(-i\nabla) \end{pmatrix} U$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i(K_2 - K_1)x} JA \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \\ e^{i(K_1 - K_2)x} JA^* \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{iq_1x} JA \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \\ e^{-iq_1x} JA^* \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J\widetilde{A} \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) \\ J\widetilde{A}^* \cdot (-i\nabla - K_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now

$$\operatorname{div} JA = 0, \qquad -i\operatorname{div} J\widetilde{A} = q_1 J\widetilde{A}$$

and since $\widetilde{A}^* = e^{-iq_1x}A^*$, then $-i\operatorname{div} J\left(\widetilde{A}^*\right) = -q_1J\left(\widetilde{A}^*\right)$. We have

$$\operatorname{div} A = \sum_{m} \left(A_{m}^{1} (ma^{*})_{1} + A_{m}^{2} (ma^{*})_{2} \right) \frac{e^{ima^{*}x}}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{M}|}}$$

With A a 4×4 matrix, computing $\langle v,Au\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} v_1\\v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12}\\A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1\\u_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, we compute that A^{*m} , the pointwise dual of A at each x, is indeed the hermitian conjugate for any x. More precisely,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_X \langle u, v \rangle_{mat}$$

so

$$\langle u, Vv \rangle = \int_X \langle u(x), V(x)v(x) \rangle_{mat} = \int_X \langle V(x)^{*_m}u(x), v(x) \rangle_{mat} = \langle V^{*_m}u, v \rangle$$

and

$$V^* = V^{*_m}$$

We remark also that $JAJ = -(A^{-1})^T$ The action of J is on the composants of A, not on u!!! So we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(1)} \\ A^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} C \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \\ B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and A acts on u as $Au=\begin{pmatrix}A^{(1)}u\\A^{(2)}u\end{pmatrix}$ hence $A^*=\begin{pmatrix}A^{(1)}\end{pmatrix}^*\\A^{(2)}\end{pmatrix}^*$ and

$$JA = \begin{pmatrix} -A^{(2)} \\ A^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (JA)^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(A^{(2)}\right)^* \\ \left(A^{(1)}\right)^* \end{pmatrix} = JA^* \neq -A^*J!!$$

We recall that ∂_i acts on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^2)$ as

$$-i\partial_{j}u = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{j}u_{1} \\ -i\partial_{j}u_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad -i\nabla u = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{1}u \\ -i\partial_{2}u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{1}u_{1} \\ -i\partial_{1}u_{2} \\ -i\partial_{2}u_{1} \\ -i\partial_{2}u_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

so $(-i\partial_j)^* = -i\partial_j$ and $(-i\nabla)^* = -i\nabla$. For any 4×4 valued function B, when we have

$$\partial_{j} (Bu) = \partial_{j} \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}u_{1} + B_{12}u_{2} \\ B_{21}u_{1} + B_{22}u_{2} \end{pmatrix} = B\partial_{j}u + (\partial_{j}B) u$$

where

$$\partial_j B := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_j B_{11} & \partial_j B_{12} \\ \partial_j B_{21} & \partial_j B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e ∂_j acts pointwise on vectors and matrices. Moreover, for $A = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(1)} \\ A^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\operatorname{div} Au = \partial_1 \left(A^{(1)} u \right) + \partial_2 \left(A^{(2)} u \right) = \sum_j A^{(j)} \partial_j u + \left(\partial_j A^{(j)} \right) u$$
$$= (\operatorname{div} A) u + A \cdot \nabla u$$

where we also define div acting pointwise on the 4×4 matrices, i.e

$$\operatorname{div} A := (\operatorname{div} A_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le 2} = \left(\partial_1 A_{ij}^{(1)} + \partial_2 A_{ij}^{(2)} \right)_{ij}$$

In this case, div $J\nabla f=0$ for any 4×4 matrix valued function f. Moreover,

$$\langle V, -i\nabla u \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}, -i\nabla u \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_1 u \\ -i\partial_2 u \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \sum_j \left\langle V_j, -i\partial_j u \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_j \left\langle -i\partial_j V_j, u \right\rangle = \left\langle -i\operatorname{div} V, u \right\rangle$$

Hence for $A = -i\nabla\Sigma$,

$$\langle v, JA \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) u \rangle = \langle (JA)^* v, (-i\nabla - K_1) u \rangle = \langle JA^* v, (-i\nabla - K_1) u \rangle$$

$$= \langle (-i\operatorname{div} - K_1) JA^* v, u \rangle$$

$$= \langle ((-i\operatorname{div}) (JA^*)) v, u \rangle + \langle (JA^*) \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) v, u \rangle$$

$$= \langle (JA^*) \cdot (-i\nabla - K_1) v, u \rangle$$

Repeating the same computations, we find that

$$\left\langle v, \left(J\widetilde{A} \right) \cdot \left(-i\nabla - K_1 \right) u \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(J\widetilde{A}^* \right) \cdot \left(-i\nabla - K_1 \right) v, u \right\rangle + \left\langle -i\operatorname{div}\left(J\widetilde{A}^* \right) v, u \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(J\widetilde{A}^* \right) \cdot \left(-i\nabla - K_2 \right) v, u \right\rangle$$

so

$$\left(\left(J\widetilde{A}\right)\cdot\left(-i\nabla-K_{1}\right)\right)^{*}=\left(J\widetilde{A}^{*}\right)\cdot\left(-i\nabla-K_{2}\right)=\left(J\widetilde{A}\right)^{*}\cdot\left(-i\nabla-K_{2}\right)$$

We can compute (double checked) that for a 1-component potential V, $\langle u, Vv \rangle = \langle \overline{V}u, v \rangle$ hence $V^{*_f} = \overline{V}$ and $(V^{*_f})_m = \overline{V}_{-m}$ Next,

$$\begin{split} \langle u, A \cdot (-i\nabla)v \rangle &= \int \overline{\overline{A}u} \cdot (-i\nabla)v = \int v \overline{(-i\nabla)\overline{A}u} \\ &= \left\langle \left(-i\operatorname{div}\overline{A}\right)u, v\right\rangle + \left\langle \overline{A} \cdot (-i\nabla)u, v\right\rangle \end{split}$$

hence

$$(A \cdot (-i\nabla))^{*_f} = -i\operatorname{div}\overline{A} + \overline{A} \cdot (-i\nabla)$$

and $-i \operatorname{div} A = q_1 \cdot A$ implies $-i \operatorname{div} \overline{A} = -q_1 \cdot \overline{A}$. Now for a 4×4 matrix function V, we have

$$\langle e_i \otimes e_I, V^{*_f *_m} e_j \otimes e_J \rangle = (V^{*_f *_m})_{i-j}^{IJ} = \langle V e_i \otimes e_I, e_j \otimes e_J \rangle$$
$$= \overline{\langle e_j \otimes e_J, V e_i \otimes e_I \rangle} = \overline{V_{i-i}^{JI}}$$

hence

$$(V^{*_f *_m})_m^{IJ} = \overline{V_{-m}^{JI}}, \qquad V^{*_f *_m} = V^*$$

We have

$$\langle e_p, -i \operatorname{div} (\Sigma (-i\nabla) e_m) \rangle = \langle (-i\nabla) e_p, \Sigma (-i\nabla) e_m \rangle = pa^* \cdot ma^* \Sigma_{p-m}$$

15. Annexes

15.1. a_M^* is not adapted to K_1 , K_2 . In this appendix, we show that the lattice a_M^* is not adapted to be such that K_1 and K_2 are Dirac points, hence the necessity of using c^* .

In TKV, we have

$$a_1^* = q_2 - q_1 = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a_2^* = q_3 - q_1 = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q_1 = -q_2 - q_3$$

To have q_j in terms of a_j^* , we compute $a_1^* \pm a_2^*$ and $a_1^* = 2q_2 + q_3$, $a_2^* = q_2 + 2q_3$ and

$$q_{3} = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_{1}^{*} + 2a_{2}^{*} \right), \qquad q_{2} = \frac{1}{3} \left(2a_{1}^{*} - a_{2}^{*} \right), \qquad q_{1} = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_{1}^{*} - a_{2}^{*} \right)$$

$$R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} q_{1} = q_{2}, \qquad R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} q_{2} = q_{3}, \qquad R_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} q_{3} = q_{1}$$

(this was triples checked, including with the cartesian coordinates). Moreover,

$$\begin{split} R_{-\frac{2\pi}{6}}a_1^* &= a_1^* - a_2^*, \qquad R_{-\frac{2\pi}{6}}a_2^* = a_1^* \\ &\qquad \qquad R_{\frac{2\pi}{6}}a_1^* = a_2^*, \qquad R_{\frac{2\pi}{6}}a_2^* = a_2^* - a_1^* \end{split}$$

If

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} = k_\theta \sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S^{-1} = \frac{2}{3k_\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \\ -\sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2\pi (S^*)^{-1} = \frac{4\pi}{3k_{\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $U := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iK_2x} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iK_1x} \end{pmatrix}$, and
$$T(x) = T_1 e^{-iq_1x} + T_2 e^{-iq_2x} + T_3 e^{-iq_3x}$$

we compute

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma \nabla & T \\ T^* & -i\sigma \nabla \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \left(-i\nabla - K_2 \right) & Te^{i(K_2 - K_1)x} \\ T^*e^{i(K_1 - K_2)x} & \sigma \left(-i\nabla - K_1 \right) \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

and with $K_2 - K_1 = q_1$,

$$Te^{i(K_2-K_1)x} = T_1 + T_2e^{i(-q_2+q_1)x} + T_3e^{i(-q_3+q_1)x} = T_1 + T_2e^{-ia_1^*x} + T_3e^{-ia_2^*x}$$

and if $K_1 = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha a_1^* + \beta a_2^*)$, then

$$K_2 := R_{-\frac{2\pi}{6}} K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left((\alpha + \beta) a_1^* - \alpha a_2^* \right)$$

$$K_2 - K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(\beta a_1^* + \left(-\beta - \alpha \right) a_2^* \right) = q_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_1^* - a_2^* \right)$$
so $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, -1), K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(2a_1^* - a_2^* \right), K_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(a_1^* - 2a_2^* \right)$

$$K_2 := R_{\frac{2\pi}{6}} K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-\beta a_1^* + (\alpha + \beta) a_2^* \right)$$

$$K_2 - K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left((-\alpha - \beta) a_1^* + \alpha a_2^* \right) = q_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_1^* - a_2^* \right)$$
so $(\alpha, \beta) = (-1, 2), K_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-a_1^* + 2a_2^* \right), K_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(-2a_1^* + a_2^* \right)$

References

- [1] S. Becker, M. Embree, J. Wittsten, and M. Zworski, Spectral characterization of magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B, 103 (2021), p. 165113.
- [2] S. Fang, S. Carr, Z. Zhu, D. Massatt, and E. Kaxiras, Angle-dependent {\it Ab initio} low-energy hamiltonians for a relaxed twisted bilayer graphene heterostructure, arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.00058, (2019).
- [3] C. Fefferman and M. Weinstein, *Honeycomb lattice potentials and Dirac points*, J. Am. Math. Soc, 25 (2012), pp. 1169–1220.
- [4] G. TARNOPOLSKY, A. J. KRUCHKOV, AND A. VISHWANATH, Origin of magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett, 122 (2019), p. 106405.