

All your algorithms must be written in pseudo code, and justified.

If an algorithm is correct but it does not have the required complexity, then you get half of the points

- 1) A vector $a[]$ with n integer elements is cube-repetition-free if no element is the cube of another element, i.e., there are no indices i, j such that $a[i] = a[j]^3$. Propose an $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ -time algorithm in order to decide whether a vector is cube-repetition-free. You are not allowed to use `pow()` or other similar functions in your (pseudo)code! /1
- 2)
 - a. Propose an algorithm in order to enumerate all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in $O(n)$ space.
Ex: for $n = 3$, the algorithm must output 1,2,3 1,3,2 2,1,3 2,3,1 3,1,2 3,2,1 (not necessarily in this order). /1
 - b. Propose an $O(n)$ -time algorithm which, given a vector $a[]$ with n numbers and a permutation (encoded as a vector $b[]$ of size n) shuffles the elements of $a[]$ so that, for any i , element $a[i]$ is written in position $b[i]$ in the output.
Ex: if $a[] = [2, 4, 1, 3, 7]$ and $b = [1, 0, 3, 2, 4]$ then the output must be $[4, 2, 3, 1, 7]$. /1
 - c. Consider the following sorting algorithm: we enumerate all permutations and we shuffle the input vector $a[]$ according to each permutation sequentially. We stop if (after we shuffled the data) the vector becomes sorted.
What is its complexity? It is optimal? /1
- 3)
 - a. Recall what the properties of a balanced binary search tree (AVL) are. /1
In the remainder of this exercise, we assume to be given an implementation of AVL, which you can freely use in your (pseudo)code.
 - b. Let us assume that each node in the AVL stores the number of nodes in its rooted subtree (say, in a local variable `v.order`, where v denotes the label of the node considered). Propose an $O(1)$ -time algorithm in order to update this information after a left rotation (resp., after a right rotation). /1
 - c. Using the previous question, explain how, given a number x , you can compute in $O(\log(n))$ time the number of nodes with a smaller value than x in the AVL. /1
- 4) We consider a generic problem where we are given n functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n . Given as input a positive number x , we must output (as quickly as possible) the value $\max\{f_i(x) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

We assume for simplicity that all functions f_i are linear functions with positive coefficients : $f_i(t) = a_i \cdot t + b_i$. In this situation, we can encode each function f_i as an ordered pair (a_i, b_i) of two positive numbers.

- a. We say that f_i is dominated by f_j if $a_i \leq a_j$ and $b_i \leq b_j$. In this situation, we always have $f_i(x) \leq f_j(x)$. Propose an $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ -time algorithm in order to remove all dominated functions. /1
From now on, we assume that there is no dominated function.
- b. Let f_i, f_j be such that $a_i < a_j$ (and so, $b_i > b_j$). Compute the value $x_{i,j}$ such that: if $x \leq x_{i,j}$ then $f_i(x) \geq f_j(x)$; otherwise (if $x \geq x_{i,j}$) then $f_i(x) \leq f_j(x)$. /1

- c. Let f_i, f_j, f_k be such that $a_i < a_j < a_k$. If $x_{jk} \leq x_{ij}$ then deduce from the previous question that we can safely discard the function f_j . **/1**
- d. Deduce from the above that after an $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ -time pre-processing, we can answer any query in $O(\log(n))$ time. **/1**