

Astronomical Insights into Dark Matter Particle Constraints


William A Dawson
Lawrence Livermore National Lab

The vast majority of our understanding of dark matter has come from astrophysical probes.

We are just hitting our stride!

Astronomical probe


- What about dark matter it constrains
- How it works
- Biggest strengths
- Biggest weaknesses
- Telescope / detector / method



annihilation cross-section / mass

Gamma-ray emission


- Look for X-ray decay product in dwarfs, galaxies, and clusters
- Pro: clear signal in center of Milky Way
- Con: could be baryons (e.g. pulsars); not seen in dwarfs or clusters
- Fermi, Cherenkov Telescopes, [CTA], [PANGU]



Fermi Collaboration 2015


X-ray Emission

- Look for X-ray decay product in galaxies, and clusters
- Pro: a signal has been seen, merging clusters
- Con: could be baryons (e.g. potassium), mixed results
- Chandra, XMM, Suzaku, NuStar, [Astro-H], [Smart-X]




Neutrinos

- Look for neutrino annihilation products of DM gravitationally trapped in the sun or earth; anisotropy in the neutrino arrival distribution
- Pro: also constraint of scattering cross-section
- Con: lots of modeling uncertainty
- Neutrino detectors (e.g., IceCube)



Positrons



- Detect local fraction of positrons, which could be decay product of DM
- Pro: strong signal
- Con: could be baryons; Neutrinos and CMB are inconsistent with DM source picture
- PAMELA, AMS-02



scattering cross-section / mass


Dwarf galaxies: mass profile

- AKA: cusp-core problem, Too-Big-To-Fail
- Measure velocity of stars to infer DM density distribution
- DM dominated structures, very sensitive to scattering cross-section
- DM signal is degenerate with baryonic effects (e.g. supernova feedback)
- Optical survey, spectroscopy




Galaxy clusters: mass profile

- SIDM will cause cored density profile
- Pro: different physics and velocities compared to dwarfs
- Con: many degenerate baryonic effects; scale of order BCG
- Optical (space) photometry and spectroscopy




Merging Galaxy Clusters

- Look for offsets between distribution of galaxies and dark matter
- Pro: weakly sensitive to baryonic systematics; directional signal
- Con: complex, requires simulations to translate offset to DM constraint
- Optical photometry and spectroscopy





Galaxies falling into clusters

- Use strong lensing to look for DM subhalo trailing galaxy
- Pro: weakly sensitive to baryonic systematics; directional signal
- Con: sensitive to lensing reconstruction; rare
- Space photometry



Milky Way stellar streams


- DM is stripped from in-falling satellites, changes velocity distribution as a function of radius
- Pro: velocity dependence
- Con: currently not clear how affected stars are which lie mostly in center of DM halo
- Gaia



abundance of substructure
(warm dark matter)


Census of local substructure

- Survey the number of dwarf galaxies and measure their mass
- Pro: smallest galaxies most sensitive effects of WDM
- Con: strong survey selection effects; could be baryons; mass can be difficult to measure; cosmic variance
- SDSS, DES, etc., [LSST], [Euclid], [WFIRST], spectroscopic followup



Galaxy-galaxy strong lensing


- Substructure will cause flux anomalies in multiply imaged galaxies
- Pro: enables the measurement of substructure in many galaxies as a function of redshift
- Con: uncorrelated line of sight structure systematic
- Ground based AO (e.g. on Keck), HST, [ELT's], [JWST], [WFIRST]



Veggetti et al. 2012

Lyman-alpha forest

- Use Lyman-alpha absorption as function of redshift to measure substructure as a function of redshift
- Pro: high resolution spectra provide precise consensus as a function of wide range of redshift
- Con: pencil probe; assumption about hydrogen tracing substructure
- Optical survey, high resolution spectrograph




Baur et al. 2015

much more!


For example:

Axions



Roswald 2012

CMB, e.g. DAO



Pop III Dark Stars

Contribute

Object class	Focus features	Scale	Observations			Dark Matter Derived Properties						Project or Mission	Presently productive	Future potential				
			Photometry	Spectroscopy	UV/OIR Astrometry	Radio/submm	X/g-ray	Phase space density	Amount of mass in substructure $P(\Phi_{\text{K}})$	Power in potential	Turnover scale (in power spectrum or mass scale)	1E2 km/s	Scattering	1E3 km/s	1E4 km/s	local	low z	mod z
Earth & Planets	Internal structure																	
	Caustic concentrations																	
Sun	Internal structure																	
	Neutrino emission																	
MWG stellar streams	Large scale distributions																	
	Small scale structure																	
MWG dwarf galaxies	Mass function		■		■	■	■	■				■				SDSS, DES, GAIA, LSST, WFIRST		
	Spatial distribution																	
	Internal structure																	
	Gamma ray emission																	
MWG bulge/center	Gamma ray emission																	
MWG stellar velocities	Census																	
MWG halo profile	Ensemble information																	
MWG satellite galaxies	Census																	
Lyman alpha clouds	Census																	
Galaxy TBTF halos	Census																	
Galaxy halo profiles	Strong lensing+																	
Group halo profiles	Strong lensing																	
	Weak lensing stacks																	
Cluster halo profiles	Spectroscopy, Strong+weak lensing		■															
Galaxy-cluster mergers	Spectroscopy, Strong+weak lensing		■															
Cluster-cluster mergers	Strong lensing		■															
EoR structure			■															
Pop III stars	Census statistics																	
	Luminosities																	
Dark stars	Census																	
CBR P(k)	High-k modes																	

<https://github.com/lmoustakas/specialdark>