

Solutions to Part A of Problem Sheet 5

Solution (5.3) From the first block of rows we have

$$\mathbf{A}^\top \Delta \mathbf{y} + \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0} \iff \Delta \mathbf{s} = -\mathbf{A}^\top \Delta \mathbf{y}.$$

From the second block of rows we have

$$\mathbf{A} \Delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Putting these two together, we get

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{x}, \Delta \mathbf{s} \rangle = \langle \Delta \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{A}^\top \Delta \mathbf{y} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{A} \Delta \mathbf{x}, \Delta \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0,$$

which shows the claim.

Solution (5.4)

(a) The matrix \mathbf{A} is given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which leads to the function F and the Jacobian DF being

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 - 1 \\ y + s_1 - 1 \\ y + s_2 \\ x_1 s_1 \\ x_2 s_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad DF(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_1 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The algorithm starts with $(x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, s_1^{(0)}, s_2^{(0)})$ and the average

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^{(0)} s_1^{(0)} + x_2^{(0)} s_2^{(0)}).$$

Then solve the system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_1^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & x_1^{(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & s_2^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & x_2^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1^{(k)} \\ \Delta x_2^{(k)} \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta s_1^{(k)} \\ \Delta s_2^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(k)} + x_2^{(k)} - 1 \\ y^{(k)} + s_1^{(k)} - 1 \\ y^{(k)} + s v_2 \\ x_1^{(k)} s_1^{(k)} - \sigma_k \mu_k \\ x_2^{(k)} s_2^{(k)} - \sigma_k \mu_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and update

$$(x_1^{(k+1)}, x_2^{(k+1)}, y^{(k+1)}, s_1^{(k+1)}, s_2^{(k+1)}) = (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, y^{(k)}, s_1^{(k)}, s_2^{(k)}) + \alpha_k (\Delta x_1, \Delta x_2, \Delta y, \Delta s_1, \Delta s_2),$$

making sure α_k is such that we remain in $\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma)$.

(b) The solution here is obvious: the feasible set is the line segment connecting $(0, 1)^\top$ and $(1, 0)^\top$, and the optimal value is $\mathbf{x}^* = (0, 1)^\top$.

(c) Solving

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 - 1 \\ y + s_1 - 1 \\ y + s_2 \\ x_1 s_1 \\ x_2 s_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

can give the solution (there is more than one)

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (1, 0)^\top, \mathbf{s} = (0, -1)^\top, \mathbf{y} = 1.$$

This solution is clearly not a minimizer of the optimization problem.

Solution (5.5) The claim is that the neighbourhood

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(1) = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{F}^\circ : x_i s_i \geq \mu\}$$

coincides with the central path \mathcal{C} . Clearly, since μ is the *average* of the $x_i s_i$, $x_i s_i \geq \mu$ for all i must imply $x_i s_i = \mu$ for all i (we can't all be better or equal than average, unless we are all equal). But then, such a vector is clearly on the central path. Conversely, if $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{C}$, then there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that $x_i s_i = \tau$ for all i . But then, $\mu = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d x_i s_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \tau = \tau = x_i s_i$ for all i , so that $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(1)$.