

Mauricio Galindo 116733

Rodrigo Higuera 117249

Finding the convex hull

Introduction

We analyze a concrete algorithm, the Graham's scan algorithm, for finding the convex hull of a set of points. Before doing so we define some concepts, which are important for the proposed problem of finding the convex hull.

Definitions

Polygon

A polygon is a piecewise-linear, closed curve in the plane. That is, it is a curve ending on itself that is formed by a sequence of straight-line segments, called the sides of the polygon. A point joining two consecutive sides is called a vertex of the polygon. If the polygon is simple, it does not cross itself. The set of points in the plane enclosed by a simple polygon forms the interior of the polygon, the set of points on the polygon itself forms its boundary, and the set of points surrounding the polygon forms its exterior.

Convex Polygon

A simple polygon is convex if, given any two points on its boundary or in its interior, all points on the line segment drawn between them are contained in the polygon's boundary.

Convex hull

The convex hull of a set of Q points is the smallest convex polygon P for which each point in Q is either on the boundary of P or in its interior.

Graham's scan

Graham's scan algorithm, as all the other algorithms that solve the convex hull problem, receives an input of n points and outputs a set of points in counterclockwise order that forms a polygon which constitutes the convex hull of the input set of points. From now on we shall refer to the convex hull of a set of points Q as $CH(Q)$.

Graham's scan solves the convex-hull problem by maintaining a stack of S candidate points. Each point on the input set Q is pushed once into the stack. Eventually the points that are not vertices of $CH(Q)$ are popped from the stack. When it terminates, the stack S contains exactly the vertices of $CH(Q)$, in counterclockwise order of their appearance on the boundary.

The running time of this algorithm is $n \log(n)$.

The pseudo code for the graham's scan procedures is given below.

```
def graham_scan(q):  
1   p0 = find_min_coordinate(q)
```

```

2    # sort in order by polar angle
3
4    q = sort_by_polar_angle_to_point(q, p0)
5    q = discard_colinear_pints(q, p0)

5    # initialize and set values for new stack
6    s = new_stack()
7    s.push(q[0])
8    s.push(q[1])
9    s.push(q[2])

10   for i=3 to m:
11       while cross_product(s.next_to_top(), s.top(), q[i]) <= 0:
12           # pop
13           s.pop()
14       s.push(q[i])

15   return s

```

We first find the coordinate with the lowest y coordinate (ties are broken by x coordinate). We then proceed to sort the set of points by their angle with respect to the reference point p_0 , which we found in the previous step. The sort is done using the cross-product of the two points with respect to p_0 . If a point p_1 is to the left of another point p_2 , the angle formed by this point and the reference point will be greater than the angle formed with p_0 and p_1 . If the opposite is true, then the angle will be smaller. We can compute the positions of the points using the cross-product, and thus avoiding the need for expensive trig functions.

Defining the cross-product for the 2-dimension space as the signed area of the parallelogram formed by the two vectors, as follows:

$$p_1 \times p_2 = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{vmatrix} = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$$

We can use this to compute the relative position of the point without computing its actual angle. In order to do this we first show that

$$p_1 \times p_2 = \|p_1\| \|p_2\| \sin \phi$$

\Rightarrow

$$\sin \phi = \frac{p_1 \times p_2}{\|p_1\| \|p_2\|}$$

And we also know that

$$\|p_1\| > 0$$

$$\|p_2\| > 0$$

\Rightarrow

$$\|p_1\| \|p_2\| > 0$$

\therefore

$$\sin \phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_1 \times p_2 = 0$$

$$\sin \phi > 0 \Leftrightarrow p_1 \times p_2 > 0$$

$$\sin \phi < 0 \Leftrightarrow p_1 \times p_2 < 0$$

therefore

$$\theta = 0 \Rightarrow p_1 \times p_2 = 0$$

$$0 < \theta < \pi \Rightarrow p_1 \times p_2 > 0$$

$$\pi < \theta < 2\pi \Rightarrow p_1 \times p_2 < 0$$

We proceed to initialize the stack with the first points in our ordered set, then for every other point we compute its position with respect to the top two points in the stack, again we do this using a cross-product, if we find that this point is to the right we pop an element from the stack and repeat this process until this condition no longer holds. After this, we push the current point into the stack.

When the procedure finishes, the stack will contain the vertex points of the polygon that forms the convex hull of our set of points Q , $CH(Q)$, ordered in counter-clockwise order.

Proof of correctness

After sorting and removing all collinear points, except the farthest one, we end up with a sequence of $p_1 \dots p_m$.

$Q_i = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_i\}$.

$Q - Q_m$ the set of points that were removed because they were collinear relative to p_0 with some as some point in Q_m . These points are not in $CH(Q)$ because all points that can be expressed as a convex combination of other point in the convex hull is not in the convex hull. Therefore $CH(Q_m) = CH(Q)$, and we only need to prove that when graham's scan terminates, the stack consists of the vertices of $CH(Q_m)$ in counterclockwise order.

Note that the points p_0, p_1 and p_m are points of Q_m , this are the points in the extremes of Q_m and so they must belong to Q_m , and p_0, p_1 and p_1 are vertices of $CH(Q_i)$

By induction

At the start of each iteration of the for loop of line 10 to 14 the stack s consists of, from bottom to top, the vertices of $CH(Q_{i-1})$ in counterclockwise order.

At the beginning of each iteration of the for loop, the top point on the stack s is p_{i-1} , which was pushed either at the end of the previous iteration or before the first iteration.

Let p_j be the top point on s after executing the while loop but before we push p_i onto the stack, and let p_k be the point just below the top of the stack, p_j . When p_j is at the point of the stack s and we have not yet pushed p_i , the stack s contains the same points it contained after iteration j of the for loop. By the loop invariant, then, s contains the points of the vertices of $CH(Q_j)$.

Before the first iteration of the for loop this holds, as the stack s consists of the vertices of $Q_2 = Q_{i-1}$, which for a triangle that form its own convex hull and appear in counterclockwise order from bottom to top.

When the for loop ends, we have $i = m + 1$, and so our initial condition implies that S consists of exactly the vertices of $CH(Q_m) = CH(Q)$, in counterclockwise order from bottom to top.

Before pushing p_i , we know that p_i 's polar angle relative to p_0 is greater than p_j 's polar angle and that the angle $\angle p_k p_j p_i$ makes a left turn, otherwise we would have popped p_j (It's either inside the triangle formed by the points p_0, p_j and p_i or on one of the sides of the triangle. I.e. is a convex combination of the previous points, and by definition it can't be a vertex of $CH(Q)$.) Then, because s contains exactly the vertices of $CH(Q_j)$, once we push p_i , the stack will contain the vertices of $CH(Q_j \cup \{p_i\})$.

Now let's consider any point p_t that was popped during the iteration i of the for loop, and let p_r be the point just below p_t on the stack s at the time p_t was popped (it might be the case that $p_r = p_j$). The angle $\angle p_r p_t p_i$ makes a nonleft turn, and the angle of p_t relative to p_0 is greater than the angle of p_r . p_t must be in the interior of the triangle formed by p_0, p_r , and p_i or on a side of the triangle (but it is not a vertex). Since p_t is within a triangle formed by three other points of Q_i , it cannot be a vertex of Q_i . We then have that

$$CH(Q_i - \{p_t\}) = CH(Q_i) \rightarrow \text{eq 1}$$

Let P_i be the set of points that were popped during the iteration i of the for loop. $CH(Q_i - P_i) = CH(Q_i)$. But $Q_i - P_i = Q_j \cup \{p_i\}$, and so

$$CH(Q_j \cup \{p_i\}) = CH(Q_i - P_i) = CH(Q_i)$$

Then once we push p_i onto the stack, the stack s contains the vertices of $CH(Q_i)$ in counterclockwise order. Incrementing i will then cause the loop invariant to hold for the next iteration.

Running time analysis

Finding the minimum coordinate takes $O(n)$ time.

Sorting the points takes $\Theta(\log(n))$ using an algorithm like merge-sort or heap-sort.

Pushing into the stack takes constant time.

A simple analysis of the order of the for and while loop would yield a running time of $O(n^2)$, however if we take a closer look we see that this isn't the case, simply because the aggregate cost of the while loop can't be greater than $O(m)$ for all the iterations of the for loop.

The for loop executes m times.
 $m < n$

Since we only push one time each point onto the stack, we can't pop the stack more than m times, therefore the while loop executes at most m times, so the combined time of the for loop and while loop is $O(m)$.

The previous analysis yields a running time of $n \log(n)$, which is the dominant factor of the algorithm (the sorting step). For the Graham's scan algorithm.