

Group 2

Basic QC, level 0 to 1

- Estimate appropriate data ranges from historic local data, e.g., max \pm 2SD
- Apply by appropriate time of year.
- Update as the parameter is measured over time.
- Removing vs. flagging “bad” data – some sentiment to remove data collected while sensors are being changed, cleaned, or rebooted.
- Permanent vs. temporary flagging
- Flagging code form? Alphanumeric or just numeric? Cross-site standards?
- Well-established measurements (e.g. air temperature) vs. relatively new types of automated measurements (e.g., soil CO₂)
- QC needs to occur immediately as data come from logger, and later as trends become apparent.
- Need descriptive flags – e.g., if data are “bad”, describes why the data are bad (faulty sensor, data transmission)

Variance

- Increased variance can be used as indicator of sensor degradation, fouling.
- Need to look at windows of time
- Standards for frequency of observations?
- Standards for sensitivity/precision of detecting outliers?
- Cross-site standards difficult to establish

QC occurs at >1 processing steps

- Sensor specific
- Level 0 – raw data, no QC, no flagging
- Level ½ - convert data format, units, etc. but no flags
- Level 1 –
 - QC near real time data for immediate problems – several processing steps.
 - At this point, data could be provided to public.
 - May develop web services to query data per user requests (e.g. gap filling or not, coarser timestep summaries, etc.).
- Level 2 – Longer time-series may prompt further exploration of data for problems, e.g., sensor drift, gap filling. Obligation to provide gap filling?

Gap filling

- Should missing time stamps be infilled? (Level ½)
- And if so, would you then flag the blank rows? (Level 1)
- No infilling, but flag bounding rows of a gap?
- Multiple algorithms
 - different researchers will prefer different methods
 - even if the data are provided gap-filled with a preferred method, the researcher may want to download the raw data and check the data processing step (lack trust).

Data qualifiers

- Data qualifiers will be different at each level of data processing.

| | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|
| Timestamp | Variable | Level 1 flag | Level 2 flag... |
|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|

Past efforts have given subjective code classes, e.g., “good”, “questionable”. For level 1, just mark whether

- 1) Tests of the data passed or failed (binary).
- 2) Whether there is ancillary information about the data from a technician
- 3) The data should be used in analysis or not

Level 2: gap filling, drift detection

Data documentation

- Key – tell the user how data were collected and processed
- Necessary metadata
 - Contact info
 - Geolocation/time range of data collection
 - Data processing workflow
 - Data headers/definitions
 - Flag definitions
 - System requirements
 - Calibration steps/data
 - Information about sensors – basic documentation and log of maintenance over time.
 - Hardware configuration over time
 - Expected file format/contents/size (checksums)
 - Links to other files related to the data

Action Items

- A book or wiki of best practices for data QC and algorithms for data processing (e.g., gap filling)
- Continuation of idea of community network website for choosing, placing, and maintaining sensors, and managing sensor data.