

Joint NERC Environmental Sensor Network/Sensor NIS Workshop,
October 27th, 2011

Working Group 3: QA/QC

What should we do?

- What is the minimum level of real-time QC we can provide (level 0 to 1)?
 - None.
 - Some (range check, remove logger flags, etc.)
- Foremost, we must identify what QC has been done
 - Provisional data must be labeled clearly
 - Streaming QC methods identified (if any)
 - If none, that should be made clear too

What can we do?

- Some good practices
 - Range checks
 - Identifies impossible values; not unlikely ones
 - Running Averages
 - Range of calculation is specific to site and sensor type
 - Sensor redundancy
 - Ideal: Triple the sensor, triple the logger!
 - Practical: Cheaper, lower cost, lower resolution sensors, or correlated (proxy) sensors
 - Side Effect: establish user-confidence in data products.

What tools are available?

- Environments for Streaming QC
 - Matlab GCE toolbox
 - Great GUI, tracks provenance, integrated math environment
 - Kepler
 - Can share agents/workflows with other users, tracks provenance
 - R libraries/statistical programs
 - Code can put in metadata
 - Web service
 - Users submit data for review by server-side application
- Require training & evaluation
 - Workshops, documentation, seminars, webinars, etc.
 - Annotated examples, shared experience, etc.

Working/Action Item 1

- Creating one-pagers on tool experience
 - IM's background with medium (R, Kepler, Matlab, etc.)
 - Specific methods used
 - Parameter settings/how were these chosen?
 - Dataset information
 - Types of sensors
 - Types of sensor failures addressed (list of 6, other?)
 - Performance
 - How well did the tool work on your problem?
- Compile a survey of reports
- Publish in LTER Newsletter, DataBits

Gap-Filling

- “Should we?” -- IM, “How do we?” -- Science
- Lots of potential methods
 - Nearest neighbor station (temporal/spatial)
 - Regression-based methods
 - More advanced statistical approaches
- Emphasis on documentation
 - If you do it, describe HOW
- Clearinghouse for tools & approaches.

Working/Action Item 2

- Creating “Test-Sets” to evaluate gap-filling/QC methods
 - Remove observations from complete records.
 - Inject known modes of sensor failure into data we know is good
- Establish criteria for what a method must provide
 - Uncertainty estimates (conf. intervals, probability values)
 - Documentation
- Establish performance metrics
 - MSE, Type I/II error rates
- Produce a publication that compares several of these methods across these exemplar sets.

The Reality

- Will we ever get away from provisional data?
 - Not likely the human QC expert will ever be completely removed
- Focus on assisting the QC expert
 - Guide his/her inspection to important components in the data