

Notes for Lecture 23

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph, $|V| = n$. Last time we defined the *edge expansion* of G ,

$$h(G) = \min_{S, |S| \leq n/2} \frac{\# \text{ edges}(S, \bar{S})}{d|S|}.$$

Today we will look at a different approach to defining expanders via random walks.

Let M denote the transition matrix of a random walk on G , defined by

$$M(u, v) = \frac{\# \text{ edges from } u \text{ to } v}{d}$$

Let π be a distribution over the vertices of G , $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Then πM is the distribution of the final position, after starting at $v \sim \pi$ and taking one step of the random walk. πM^t is the distribution of the final position, after starting at $v \sim \pi$ and taking t steps of the random walk. Let $\mathcal{U}_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ be the uniform distribution on the vertices.

We say G is an expander if for every distribution π , $\|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M\|_2$ is smaller by a constant factor than $\|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi\|_2$.

We also define the following notion of expansion:

$$\lambda(G) = \max_{\text{distributions } \pi} \frac{\|\pi M - \mathcal{U}_n\|_2}{\|\pi - \mathcal{U}_n\|_2}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M^t\|_{\text{SD}} &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M^t\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M^t\|_2 && \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M^{t-1} M\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \lambda(G) \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi M^{t-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \lambda^t(G) \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi\|_2 && \text{by induction} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \lambda^t(G) && \text{since } \|\mathcal{U}_n - \pi\|_2 \leq 1 \\ &\leq \varepsilon && \text{provided } t \geq \log_{\lambda(G)} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if the number of steps t is $\Omega\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(G)}(\log n + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})\right)$ then the resulting distribution is ε close to uniform. In particular this means that $\text{Diam}(G) = O(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(G)} \log n)$. Also G is an expander if and only if $\lambda(G)$ is constant and bounded away from 1.

Our goal is to prove

Theorem 1 (Cheeger's inequality) *For all d -regular graphs G ,*

$$\frac{1 - \lambda(G)}{2} \leq h(G) \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \lambda(G))}$$

We remark that these bounds are tight up to constant factors. The usefulness of Cheeger's inequality lies in the fact that λ is efficiently computable, whereas h is NP-hard to compute exactly.

From the definition of λ we see that it may be equivalently written as

$$\lambda(G) = \max_x \frac{\|xM\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

where the maximum is taken over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ such that $\mathcal{U}_n + x$ is a distribution. Now, $\mathcal{U}_n + x$ is a distribution means that $\sum_v (\frac{1}{n} + x(v)) = 1$ so that $\sum_v x(v) = 0$ and also that $\forall v, x(v) \geq -\frac{1}{n}$. The latter condition is just a normalization. Using the former condition in our equivalent formulation, we get

$$\lambda(G) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^V, \sum x(v)=0} \frac{\|xM\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

This maximum is achieved by the vector orthogonal to the all-ones vector that is least shortened under the action of M .

Let us recall the following facts from linear algebra. Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix. We say (a complex number) λ is an eigenvalue for M if $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0$ such that $xM = \lambda x$. Equivalently, $x(M - \lambda I) = 0$. Thus λ is an eigenvalue for M exactly when $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$. The stipulated vector x is called an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

If M is a symmetric matrix, then its eigenvalues are real. If λ has multiplicity k (i.e. $(z - \lambda)^k$ divides the characteristic polynomial, $\det(M - zI)$) then $\{x \mid xM = \lambda x\}$ is a linear subspace of dimension k . If λ and λ' are eigenvalues and x and x' are the corresponding eigenvectors, then

$$\lambda xy^T = (xM)y^T = x(My^T) = x(yM)^T = \lambda' xy^T.$$

It follows that the eigenvectors corresponding to *distinct* eigenvalues are orthogonal. Since any multiple of an eigenvector is also an eigenvector, it follows that there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Now, if M is the transition matrix of a random walk on d -regular graph G , then

- (a) \mathcal{U}_n is an eigenvector for eigenvalue 1.
- (b) If λ is an eigenvalue of M and x the corresponding eigenvector, then $\lambda \leq 1$. Moreover, if $\lambda < 1$ then x is orthogonal to \mathcal{U}_n .

The proof of (a) is immediate. To see (b), note that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \sum_{u,v \in V} M(u,v)(x(u) - x(v))^2 \\
&= \sum_{u,v} M(u,v)x^2(u) + \sum_{u,v} M(u,v)x^2(v) - 2 \sum_{u,v} M(u,v)x(u)x(v) \\
&= \sum_u x^2(u) + \sum_v x^2(v) - 2 \sum_v (xM)(v)x(v) && \text{since } M \text{ is a stochastic matrix} \\
&= 2 \sum_v x^2(v) - 2 \sum_v \lambda x^2(v) && \text{since } xM = \lambda x \\
&= 2(1 - \lambda) \sum_v x^2(v)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $1 - \lambda \geq 0$, *i.e.* $\lambda \leq 1$. Moreover, if λ is an eigenvalue of M the λ^2 is an eigenvalue of M^2 , which is the transition matrix of a random walk on G^2 . (G^2 is the graph which has an edge between u and v exactly when there is a path of length 2 between them in G .) By the above argument, $\lambda^2 \leq 1$.

Now suppose $1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq -1$ are the eigenvalues of M . Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, x_i corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i and $x_1 = (1/\sqrt{n}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{n})$. Then $x \perp (1, \dots, 1)$ implies that there are real numbers a_2, \dots, a_n such that $x = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(G) &= \max_{x \perp (1, \dots, 1)} \frac{\|xM\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \\
&= \max_{a_2, \dots, a_n} \frac{\|(\sum_2^n a_i x_i)M\|_2}{\|\sum_2^n a_i x_i\|_2} \\
&= \max_{a_2, \dots, a_n} \frac{\|\sum_2^n a_i \lambda_i x_i\|_2}{\sqrt{\sum_2^n a_i^2}} \\
&= \max_{a_2, \dots, a_n} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_2^n a_i^2 \lambda_i^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_2^n a_i^2}} \\
&= \max_{a_2, \dots, a_n} \frac{\max_{i \in \{2, \dots, n\}} |\lambda_i| \sqrt{\sum_2^n a_i^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_2^n a_i^2}} \\
&= \max_{i \in \{2, \dots, n\}} |\lambda_i| \\
&= \max\{\lambda_2, -\lambda_n\}
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to say that $\lambda(G) = \lambda_2$, but there may be negative eigenvalues. However negative eigenvalues only occur in bipartite graphs. If G is bipartite, then the random walk on G is periodic, and can therefore never mix (*i.e.* get close to uniform). To fix this problem, we can add self-loops to G and do a *lazy* random walk, staying at the same vertex with probability $1/2$. This random walk has transition matrix $\frac{1}{2}(M + I)$, whose eigenvalues are $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda_i)$. Thus the eigenvalues are non-negative.

In fact, Cheeger's inequality actually involves λ_2 , rather than λ .

Another problem occurs if $\lambda_2 = 1$. In this case the graph is disconnected, and any random walk is confined to one component, and therefore cannot mix. To see that the graph is disconnected, observe that when $\lambda_2 = 1$, there is a non-zero vector $x \perp (1, \dots, 1)$, with $xM = x$. For this x , we have $0 \leq \sum_{u,v \in V} M(u,v)(x(u) - x(v))^2 = 2(1 - \lambda_2) \sum_v x^2(v) = 0$. Thus for each $u, v \in V$ either $M(u,v) = 0$ or $x(u) = x(v)$. Fix u and look at the all the vertices that are reachable from u . An easy induction shows that if u' is reachable from u then $x(u') = x(u)$. But since x is not a multiple of $(1, \dots, 1)$, there is a vertex v such that $x(v) \neq x(u)$. Thus v is not reachable from u and therefore G is disconnected.

Theorem 2 (Expander Mixing Lemma) *For all $A, B \subseteq V$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$,*

$$\left| \# \text{ edges}(A, B) - \frac{d|A||B|}{n} \right| \leq \lambda_2 d \sqrt{|A||B|}$$

Here note that $\frac{d|A||B|}{n}$ is the expected number of edges from A to B in a random d -regular graph G .

As a consequence of the expander mixing lemma, if $|A| = \alpha n$ and $|B| = \beta n$, then

$$|\# \text{ edges}(A, B) - d\alpha\beta n| \leq \lambda_2 d n \sqrt{\alpha\beta} \leq \varepsilon d\alpha\beta n$$

provided $\lambda_2 \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{\alpha\beta}$.

Another consequence is that the largest independent set in G has size $\lambda_2 n$. To see this, note that $\# \text{ edges}(A, B) = \sum_{u \in A, v \in B} \# \text{ edges}(u, v)$ in G . If A is an independent set in G and $B = A$ then $\# \text{ edges}(A, B) = 0$. According to the theorem, $\left| \frac{d|A|^2}{n} \right| \leq \lambda_2 d |A|$. In other words, $|A| \leq \lambda_2 n$.

It also follows that the chromatic number of G is at least $1/\lambda_2$.