Advent of Code

Day Eight

LucidBrot

August 2020

1 About

The task at adventofcode 2019 day 8 is fairly straightforward itself. It can be summarized as

Read the input line of N numeric characters into layers of size width*height (which are known) to find the layer that contains the lowest number of zeros. Then return the number of '1' digits multiplied by the number of '2' digits within that layer.

However, we're doing this in LATEX, which is typeset in spongebob-case for a reason.

2 The LATEX Experience

First of all, we're doing something that it was not meant to be used for – so that means we never get the search results we want. Searching about arrays in LaTeXfor example gives you an explanation about how to typeset matrices. Very useful, but not what I wanted. Thankfully, the pgfplots sourceforge page contains a pdf with $Notes\ On\ Programming\ in\ TeX$.

Secondly, there don't seem to be any variables. Just counters, counts which are the TeXversion. and ifdefs and most importantly macros. But I did not read up on the internals of TeXand LaTeX, so I have no clue about the exact way that macros are evaluated. Sometimes you can define a command that works perfectly well for a constant argument, but if you dare use it on the result of another command, you're being had from multiple directions. Because that result has not already been evaluated (expanded) and is passed as-is into the other command. My version of pdfLaTex does not feature the primitive \expanded yet. Using \expandafter feels very clunky. Luckily there's a hack around that to be found here. And sometimes the problem was actually the xstring package which also breaks the hack.

The macros of this package are not purely expandable, i.e. they cannot be put in the argument of an \edef. Nestling macros is not possible neither.

For this reason, all the macros returning a result (i.e. all excepted the tests) have an optional argument in last position. The syntax is [name], where name is the name of the control sequence that will receive the result of the macro: the assignment is made with an \eddf which make the result of the macro name purely expandable. Of course, if an optional argument is present, the macro does not display anything.[1]

After eliminating some problems of this sort by storing the result in a new command by virtue of the optional argument, the same problem still appeared because some commands just don't work due to the same issue, even if they are making use of the optional argument to return that in turn (See Figure 1, Figure 2).

```
\label{eq:def_getchar} $$ \det \{\#1\} \{\#2\} {\mathbb Z} + 0 \right] (\mbox{mychar}) $$
```

Figure 1: This command does not like to be used on a non-constant string.

Finally, the performance of the xstring package is whack. It takes more than two minutes to figure out the length of a 15'000 character string. The bash command wc -c inputfile.txt does that in less than a second.

```
.<del>1</del>.. @@ -143,8 +143,8 @@ \section{Introduction}
143 143 % assign current char
144 144
                    \def\currentchar{\getchar[\fileline]{\digitctr}}
145 145 Char Char Binks: \currentchar\\
\advance \currentlayerzerocount 1
                    Advanced currentlayerzerocount to \the\currentlayerzerocount
@@ -157,9 +157,9 @@ \section{Introduction}
                      \digictr={\the\numexpr \layersize * \currentlayer + \layersize}
                Layer \the\currentlayer has more zeros than the current best layer (\the\bestlayer) so we skip ahead to character at index \digictr to start the next layer.
    159 \fi
- % }{%else
160 + }{%else
                           The current char \currentchar~does not equal 0. It is \meaning\currentchar whereas 0 is \meaning0.
    - % }%fi
162 + }%fi
                  \ifnum \digitctr<\inteval{\layersize * \currentlayer + \layersize}
                  \repeat
                   % if there were very little zeros, we can update the best layer
  ....
```

Figure 2: The difference between wrong code that compiles (red) and seemingly correct code that produces a compiler error (green).

3 StrLen

Since xstring's StrLen is so slow, how about creating a faster one? We'll just have to run tex with the --shell-escape flag.[2]. Using that allows us to escape to the shell - which is either bash or the windows cmd.exe.

A simple \input{|"echo test"} already works! test!

But I cannot figure out how to correctly call wc -c inputfile.tex because the shell spawns in the wrong path. And also, for some reason the following code does not even create a file outfile.blubb anywhere on my machine.

```
\input { | "echo a > outfile.blubb" }
```

The problem seems to be, according to the logs, that the pipe closes before the left side is finished writing to stdout. But that is actually happening due to the echo earlier. When I leave it out, that's not logged.

Maybe using python is easier? You'd have to read the source to get this one though.[3]

```
\begin { pycode } { abc } print (1+12) \end { pycode }
```

But no, that also results in a problem with writing to a file... So let me try something I understand!

```
\input{|"python -c "print(1+2);""}
```

3

And as you should be able to see, it works!

So for computing stringlength, a quick python call should speed things up.

```
\input{|"python -c "print(len('mystringofunkownlength'));""}
```

22

It is notable that spaces within that string get lost before they are passed to python. Thankfully we don't need this here. But this reminds my of PyAuCalc.

We can make LATEX paste a string into that as well...

```
\def\mystr{hello}
\def\mystrtwo{\mystr}
\input{|"python -c "print(len('\mystrtwo'));""}
```

5 ...but for some reason it fails when used with our included inputstring.

4 Execution

We had 34 Strawberries for this year's harvest. Probably not enough. So we are sad now and solve https://adventofcode.com/2019/day/8.

h e

```
1
   000000100001220022\\
   hello world 3 3 300
   Image Width: 3
                         Image Height: 2
   I want to loop 6 times for the first layer.
   The input file contains 18characters.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 1 for layer 0.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 2 for layer 0.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 3 for layer 0.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 4 for layer 0.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 5 for layer 0.
   Advanced currentlayerzerocount to 6 for layer 0.
                                                       Found a new best layer
(0) with zerocount 6.
Best Layer Zero Count is 6 for layer 0.
```

Advanced currentlayer to 1.

Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 1 for layer 1. Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 2 for layer 1. Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 3 for layer 1. Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 4 for layer 1. The current char 1 does not equal 0.

The current char 1 does not equal 0. Found a new best layer (1) with zerocount 4. Best Layer Zero Count is 4 for layer 1.

Advanced currentlayer to 2.

The current char 2 does not equal 0.

Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 1 for layer 2. Advanced currentlayer zerocount to 2 for layer 2. The current char 2 does not equal 0.

The current char 2 does not equal 0.

The current char 2 does not equal 0. Found a new best layer (2) with zerocount 2. Best Layer Zero Count is 2 for layer 2.

The current layer is now 2

References

- [1] Gonzalo Medina, Nest StrLen and ifthenelse commands, https://tex.stackexchange.com/a/15424/102826. Accessed 05.08.2020.
- [2] Dimitrios Desyllas, texify.exe in TeXworks in MSWindows 10: MiKTex encountered internal error when compile with -shell-escape, https://tex.stackexchange.com/a/437933/1028266. Accessed 05.08.2020.
- [3] Uwe Ziegenhagen, Combining LATEXwith Python (09.08.2019), https://tug.org/tug2019/slides/slides-ziegenhagen-python.pdf. Accessed 05.08.2020.