From:

Lewin

Sent:

Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:56 AM

Subject:

Re: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Doesn't sound too difficult a fix, good

From: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:51 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Bazarek, William; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.; Soto, Alexis C.;

Ryczek, Martin E.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money, DOCX

Good Morning All,

I believe the issue that was raised by PO Bartuch from Asset Forfeiture Division (email string attached) is for situations when money needs to be held in original form and then the recovering member answers "YES" to the subsequent question: "Is this money narcotics related?"

eTrack does not allow this. Here is the error message eTrack provides:

1 error has occurred

The US CURRENCY TO BE HELD IN ORIGINAL FORM currency disposition is not narcotics related.
 Please update either disposition or the narcotics related answer.

So if a recovering member wants to hold currency in original form because of evidentiary value (e.g., physical evidence, serial numbers) in a narcotics related case (narcotics IUCR Code), the eTrack will not allow it. The policy change to S07-01 and S07-01-02 from 13 May 11 only effected money designated as "Hold for Investigation" and did not change our policy on "Hold Currency in Original Form."

We can discuss further at the meeting today.

Thanks,

mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk

Research and Development Division, Unit 127

Chicago Police Department

312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285

michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:02 AM

To: Bazarek, William; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.; Soto, Alexis C.;

Ryczek, Martin E.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

We can scope out any requirements for changes and provide a time and cost estimate. Sgt Soto and Capt Ryczek should be copied on these as well. Thx

Jonathan H. Lewin
Managing Deputy Director
Public Safety Information Technology
City of Chicago
Office of Emergency Management and Communications
1411 W Madison St
Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 9:59 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike: Officer Peplinski relayed to me yesterday afternoon that e-track is available for members who seek to inventory currency as hold in original form, as to ERPS requirement of written report, I have no information on that requirement but I'm sure ERPS will explain.

Bill

From: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:45 AM

To: Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Hickey, James K.

Subject: FW: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Good Morning All,

Dan and Ann – here are the strings of emails from this morning (and one attached) about the concerns with ERPS and PSIT/eTrack in terms of hold for investigation money inventories.

Bill – it seems like there is still confusion about money inventories. ERPS is requiring a paper report to justify a hold in original form and Asset Forfeiture believes they cannot perform their investigations with the way eTrack currently processes these inventories. Is there any way you can coordinate a meeting with the stakeholders (OLA, R&D, PSIT, ERPS, Asset Forfeiture) to iron out what is happening now with these inventories and what, if any changes need to be made in AFD and ERPS SOPs, eTrack, or the policy? I could compile the list of 3 or 4 issues as I see it from Allan's original emails.

Allan is asking for resolution but I think we need a CPD discussion to sort out what exactly is currently going on before any changes to the policy is made.

Thanks,

mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk
Research and Development Division, Unit 127
Chicago Police Department
312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285
michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

Allan,

I had a discussion this morning with the group in R&D that worked on the money inventory task. If ERPS is requiring something not in the directive and if eTrack is not performing the way the policy was intended (or how Asset Forfeiture needs it to function to complete investigations), then you are right, there are definitely issues.

However, before any changes in policy are made, I think CPD needs everyone back at the table to iron out what exactly needs to be done for money inventories from the operational, policy, and technology aspects. I will reach out to Bill Bazarek to see if he can coordinate a meeting with all of the stake holders.

Thanks and I'll let you know.
mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk

Research and Development Division, Unit 127

Chicago Police Department
312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285
michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

From: Slagel, Allan T. [mailto:aslagel@shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 7:28 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Cc: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Rubino, Michelle A.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike-

The to/from requirement identified by ERPS below concerning money to be held in original form is different than the provisions of the Special Order S 07-01 dated May 13, 2011 which states:

"All money seized without a search warrant will not be inventoried as Hold for Investigation unless a justifiable and articulable reason exists for the hold described in eTrack AND the amount exceeds \$500 OR the amount is \$500 or less and the rightful owner of the money cannot be determined."

Also, the ERPS procedure is different than PSIT's understanding concerning hold in original form inventories. See attached email.

Also, as raised in the email with PSIT, are issues concerning CIBs. S07-01-04 dated January 14, 2004 did not appear to include a discussion of the to/from memo requirement or PSIT's blanket restriction on such inventories.

Thus, potentially, modification to the orders might be required along with a change by PSIT on inventorying procedures for eTrack.

Accordingly, I suggest a call as soon as practicable to review these matters so that Asset Forfeiture can proceed with its proposed training.

Thank you.

Allan

Allan T. Slagel Shefsky & Froelich Ltd. 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 312.836.4056 312.275.7604 (efax) http://www.shefskylaw.com

From: Glatz, Elizabeth L. [mailto:Elizabeth.Glatz@chicagopolice.org]

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 3:03 PM

To: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J. **Cc:** Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Heather, I had the ERPS Sergeants review the attached scenarios. The only issue was with scenario #4. In the yes response it should also include that if held in original form the requesting officer also needs to complete a To/From subject report providing a detailed explanation for the hold. We are required to have this information by Auditing and Control when audits of the vault are completed.

Lt. Liz Glatz
Evidence and Recovered Property

From: Jackson, Heather A. [mailto:HJackson@Shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 1:47 PM **To:** Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Attached please find training scenarios that were developed with AFU, in anticipation that AFU personnel will be preforming training relating to seizure of money for narcotics, pursuant to the directives amended last year. Again, the scenarios were developed with, and approved by, AFU. Please review on behalf of ERPS and OLA, and let us know if you have any questions, comments or changes to the scenarios, or if there are other CPD departments or individuals who should review/approve. We would like to obtain approval of the substance of these scenarios, which will be put into PowerPoint format, so that AFU personnel can be prepared for the training sessions. Thanks in advance.

Heather A. Jackson Shefsky & Froelich 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 p: 312.836.4172 f: 312.275.7580 http://www.shefskylaw.com This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing or saving in any manner. Thank you.

Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by regulations governing practice before the Internal Revenue Service, unless expressly stated otherwise, any advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) concerning tax issues cannot be used, and is not intended to be used, for (i) the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) the promotion, marketing or recommendation of any transaction or matter discussed in this communication (including any attachments).

From:

Kapustianyk

Sent:

Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:52 AM

Subject:

RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Attachments:

FW: Incident INC000005960802 has been assigned to you. Priority:

(14.9 KB)

Medium....

Good Morning All,

I believe the issue that was raised by PO Bartuch from Asset Forfeiture Division (email string attached) is for situations when money needs to be held in original form and then the recovering member answers "YES" to the subsequent question: "Is this money narcotics related?"

eTrack does not allow this. Here is the error message eTrack provides:

1 error has occurred

The US CURRENCY TO BE HELD IN ORIGINAL FORM currency disposition is not narcotics related.
 Please update either disposition or the narcotics related answer.

So if a recovering member wants to hold currency in original form because of evidentiary value (e.g., physical evidence, serial numbers) in a narcotics related case (narcotics IUCR Code), the eTrack will not allow it. The policy change to S07-01 and S07-01-02 from 13 May 11 only effected money designated as "Hold for Investigation" and did not change our policy on "Hold Currency in Original Form."

We can discuss further at the meeting today.

Thanks,

mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk

Research and Development Division, Unit 127

Chicago Police Department

312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285

michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:02 AM

To: Bazarek, William; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.; Soto, Alexis C.;

Ryczek, Martin E.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

We can scope out any requirements for changes and provide a time and cost estimate. Sgt Soto and Capt Ryczek should be copied on these as well. Thx

Jonathan H. Lewin Managing Deputy Director Public Safety Information Technology City of Chicago Office of Emergency Management and Communications 1411 W Madison St Chicago, IL 60607 Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 9:59 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike: Officer Peplinski relayed to me yesterday afternoon that e-track is available for members who seek to inventory currency as hold in original form, as to ERPS requirement of written report, I have no information on that requirement but I'm sure ERPS will explain.

Bill

From: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:45 AM

To: Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Hickey, James K.

Subject: FW: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Good Morning All.

Dan and Ann - here are the strings of emails from this morning (and one attached) about the concerns with ERPS and PSIT/eTrack in terms of hold for investigation money inventories.

Bill - it seems like there is still confusion about money inventories. ERPS is requiring a paper report to justify a hold in original form and Asset Forfeiture believes they cannot perform their investigations with the way eTrack currently processes these inventories. Is there any way you can coordinate a meeting with the stakeholders (OLA, R&D, PSIT, ERPS, Asset Forfeiture) to iron out what is happening now with these inventories and what, if any changes need to be made in AFD and ERPS SOPs, eTrack, or the policy? I could compile the list of 3 or 4 issues as I see it from Allan's original emails.

Allan is asking for resolution but I think we need a CPD discussion to sort out what exactly is currently going on before any changes to the policy is made.

Thanks, mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk Research and Development Division, Unit 127 Chicago Police Department 312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285

michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

Allan,

I had a discussion this morning with the group in R&D that worked on the money inventory task. If ERPS is requiring something not in the directive and if eTrack is not performing the way the policy was intended (or how Asset Forfeiture needs it to function to complete investigations), then you are right, there are definitely issues.

However, before any changes in policy are made, I think CPD needs everyone back at the table to iron out what exactly needs to be done for money inventories from the operational, policy, and technology aspects. I will reach out to Bill Bazarek to see if he can coordinate a meeting with all of the stake holders.

From: Slagel, Allan T. [mailto:aslagel@shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 7:28 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Cc: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Rubino, Michelle A.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike-

The to/from requirement identified by ERPS below concerning money to be held in original form is different than the provisions of the Special Order S 07-01 dated May 13, 2011 which states:

"All money seized without a search warrant will not be inventoried as Hold for Investigation unless a justifiable and articulable reason exists for the hold described in eTrack AND the amount exceeds \$500 OR the amount is \$500 or less and the rightful owner of the money cannot be determined."

Also, the ERPS procedure is different than PSIT's understanding concerning hold in original form inventories. See attached email.

Also, as raised in the email with PSIT, are issues concerning CIBs. S07-01-04 dated January 14, 2004 did not appear to include a discussion of the to/from memo requirement or PSIT's blanket restriction on such inventories.

Thus, potentially, modification to the orders might be required along with a change by PSIT on inventorying procedures for eTrack.

Accordingly, I suggest a call as soon as practicable to review these matters so that Asset Forfeiture can proceed with its proposed training.

Thank you.

Allan

Allan T. Slagel Shefsky & Froelich Ltd. 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 312.836.4056 312.275.7604 (efax) http://www.shefskylaw.com

From: Glatz, Elizabeth L. [mailto:Elizabeth.Glatz@chicagopolice.org]

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 3:03 PM

To: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.

Cc: Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Heather, I had the ERPS Sergeants review the attached scenarios. The only issue was with scenario #4. In the yes response it should also include that if held in original form the requesting officer also needs to complete a To/From subject report providing a detailed explanation for the hold. We are required to have this information by Auditing and Control when audits of the vault are completed.

Lt. Liz Glatz Evidence and Recovered Property

From: Jackson, Heather A. [mailto:HJackson@Shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 1:47 PM **To:** Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Attached please find training scenarios that were developed with AFU, in anticipation that AFU personnel will be preforming training relating to seizure of money for narcotics, pursuant to the directives amended last year. Again, the scenarios were developed with, and approved by, AFU. Please review on behalf of ERPS and OLA, and let us know if you have any questions, comments or changes to the scenarios, or if there are other CPD departments or individuals who should review/approve. We would like to obtain approval of the substance of these scenarios, which will be put into PowerPoint format, so that AFU personnel can be prepared for the training sessions. Thanks in advance.

Heather A. Jackson Shefsky & Froelich 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 p: 312.836.4172 f: 312.275.7580

http://www.shefskylaw.com

This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing or saving in any manner. Thank you.

Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by regulations governing practice before the Internal Revenue Service, unless expressly stated otherwise, any advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) concerning tax issues cannot be used, and is not intended to be used, for (i) the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) the promotion, marketing or recommendation of any transaction or matter discussed in this communication (including any attachments).

From:

Lewin

Sent:

Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:02 AM

Subject:

RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

We can scope out any requirements for changes and provide a time and cost estimate. Sgt Soto and Capt Ryczek should be copied on these as well. Thx

Jonathan H. Lewin
Managing Deputy Director
Public Safety Information Technology
City of Chicago
Office of Emergency Management and Communications
1411 W Madison St
Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 9:59 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.

Cc: Hickey, James K.; Peplinski, Richard J.; Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike: Officer Peplinski relayed to me yesterday afternoon that e-track is available for members who seek to inventory currency as hold in original form, as to ERPS requirement of written report, I have no information on that requirement but I'm sure ERPS will explain.

Bill

From: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:45 AM

To: Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Hickey, James K.

Subject: FW: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Good Morning All.

Dan and Ann – here are the strings of emails from this morning (and one attached) about the concerns with ERPS and PSIT/eTrack in terms of hold for investigation money inventories.

Bill – it seems like there is still confusion about money inventories. ERPS is requiring a paper report to justify a hold in original form and Asset Forfeiture believes they cannot perform their investigations with the way eTrack currently processes these inventories. Is there any way you can coordinate a meeting with the stakeholders (OLA, R&D, PSIT, ERPS, Asset Forfeiture) to iron out what is happening now with these inventories and what, if any changes need to be made in AFD and ERPS SOPs, eTrack, or the policy? I could compile the list of 3 or 4 issues as I see it from Allan's original emails.

Allan is asking for resolution but I think we need a CPD discussion to sort out what exactly is currently going on before any changes to the policy is made.

Thanks,
mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk
Research and Development Division, Unit 127
Chicago Police Department
312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285
michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

Allan.

I had a discussion this morning with the group in R&D that worked on the money inventory task. If ERPS is requiring something not in the directive and if eTrack is not performing the way the policy was intended (or how Asset Forfeiture needs it to function to complete investigations), then you are right, there are definitely issues.

However, before any changes in policy are made, I think CPD needs everyone back at the table to iron out what exactly needs to be done for money inventories from the operational, policy, and technology aspects. I will reach out to Bill Bazarek to see if he can coordinate a meeting with all of the stake holders.

Thanks and I'll let you know. mike

<><<<<<<>>>>>>>> Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk
Research and Development Division, Unit 127

Chicago Police Department 312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285

michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

From: Slagel, Allan T. [mailto:aslagel@shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 7:28 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Cc: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Rubino, Michelle A.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike-

The to/from requirement identified by ERPS below concerning money to be held in original form is different than the provisions of the Special Order S 07-01 dated May 13, 2011 which states:

"All money seized without a search warrant will not be inventoried as Hold for Investigation unless a justifiable and articulable reason exists for the hold described in eTrack AND the amount exceeds \$500 OR the amount is \$500 or less and the rightful owner of the money cannot be determined."

Also, the ERPS procedure is different than PSIT's understanding concerning hold in original form inventories. See attached email.

Also, as raised in the email with PSIT, are issues concerning CIBs. S07-01-04 dated January 14, 2004 did not appear to include a discussion of the to/from memo requirement or PSIT's blanket restriction on such inventories.

Thus, potentially, modification to the orders might be required along with a change by PSIT on inventorying procedures for eTrack.

Accordingly, I suggest a call as soon as practicable to review these matters so that Asset Forfeiture can proceed with its proposed training.

Thank you.

Allan

Allan T. Slagel Shefsky & Froelich Ltd. 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 312.836.4056 312.275.7604 (efax) http://www.shefskylaw.com

From: Glatz, Elizabeth L. [mailto:Elizabeth.Glatz@chicagopolice.org]

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 3:03 PM

To: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J. **Cc:** Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money DOCX

Heather, I had the ERPS Sergeants review the attached scenarios. The only issue was with scenario #4. In the yes response it should also include that if held in original form the requesting officer also needs to complete a To/From subject report providing a detailed explanation for the hold. We are required to have this information by Auditing and Control when audits of the vault are completed.

Lt. Liz Glatz Evidence and Recovered Property

From: Jackson, Heather A. [mailto:HJackson@Shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 1:47 PM **To:** Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Attached please find training scenarios that were developed with AFU, in anticipation that AFU personnel will be preforming training relating to seizure of money for narcotics, pursuant to the directives amended last year. Again, the scenarios were developed with, and approved by, AFU. Please review on behalf of ERPS and OLA, and let us know if you

have any questions, comments or changes to the scenarios, or if there are other CPD departments or individuals who should review/approve. We would like to obtain approval of the substance of these scenarios, which will be put into PowerPoint format, so that AFU personnel can be prepared for the training sessions. Thanks in advance.

Heather A. Jackson Shefsky & Froelich 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 p: 312.836.4172 f: 312.275.7580

http://www.shefskylaw.com

This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing or saving in any manner. Thank you.

Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by regulations governing practice before the Internal Revenue Service, unless expressly stated otherwise, any advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) concerning tax issues cannot be used, and is not intended to be used, for (i) the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) the promotion, marketing or recommendation of any transaction or matter discussed in this communication (including any attachments).

From:

Bazarek

Sent:

Wednesday, January 11, 2012 9:59 AM

Subject:

RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike: Officer Peplinski relayed to me yesterday afternoon that e-track is available for members who seek to inventory currency as hold in original form, as to ERPS requirement of written report, I have no information on that requirement but I'm sure ERPS will explain.

Bill

From: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:45 AM

To: Kranz, Daniel R.; Randell, Ann M.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Hickey, James K.

Subject: FW: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Good Morning All,

Dan and Ann – here are the strings of emails from this morning (and one attached) about the concerns with ERPS and PSIT/eTrack in terms of hold for investigation money inventories.

Bill – it seems like there is still confusion about money inventories. ERPS is requiring a paper report to justify a hold in original form and Asset Forfeiture believes they cannot perform their investigations with the way eTrack currently processes these inventories. Is there any way you can coordinate a meeting with the stakeholders (OLA, R&D, PSIT, ERPS, Asset Forfeiture) to iron out what is happening now with these inventories and what, if any changes need to be made in AFD and ERPS SOPs, eTrack, or the policy? I could compile the list of 3 or 4 issues as I see it from Allan's original emails.

Allan is asking for resolution but I think we need a CPD discussion to sort out what exactly is currently going on before any changes to the policy is made.

Thanks, mike

<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>

Sergeant Michael Kapustianyk Research and Development Division, Unit 127 Chicago Police Department 312-745-6071 / x-8-4-285 michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

Allan,

I had a discussion this morning with the group in R&D that worked on the money inventory task. If ERPS is requiring something not in the directive and if eTrack is not performing the way the policy was intended (or how Asset Forfeiture needs it to function to complete investigations), then you are right, there are definitely issues.

However, before any changes in policy are made, I think CPD needs everyone back at the table to iron out what exactly needs to be done for money inventories from the operational, policy, and technology aspects. I will reach out to Bill Bazarek to see if he can coordinate a meeting with all of the stake holders.

Thanks and I'll let you know. mike

From: Slagel, Allan T. [mailto:aslagel@shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 7:28 AM

To: Kapustianyk, Michael W.

Cc: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Rubino, Michelle A.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Mike-

The to/from requirement identified by ERPS below concerning money to be held in original form is different than the provisions of the Special Order S 07-01 dated May 13, 2011 which states:

"All money seized without a search warrant will not be inventoried as Hold for Investigation unless a justifiable and articulable reason exists for the hold described in eTrack AND the amount exceeds \$500 OR the amount is \$500 or less and the rightful owner of the money cannot be determined."

Also, the ERPS procedure is different than PSIT's understanding concerning hold in original form inventories. See attached email.

Also, as raised in the email with PSIT, are issues concerning CIBs. S07-01-04 dated January 14, 2004 did not appear to include a discussion of the to/from memo requirement or PSIT's blanket restriction on such inventories.

Thus, potentially, modification to the orders might be required along with a change by PSIT on inventorying procedures for eTrack.

Accordingly, I suggest a call as soon as practicable to review these matters so that Asset Forfeiture can proceed with its proposed training.

Thank you.

Allan

Allan T. Slagel Shefsky & Froelich Ltd. 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 312.836.4056 312.275.7604 (efax) http://www.shefskylaw.com From: Glatz, Elizabeth L. [mailto:Elizabeth.Glatz@chicagopolice.org]

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 3:03 PM

To: Jackson, Heather A.; Bazarek, William; Forlenza, Brigid C.; Shear, Marvin J. **Cc:** Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: RE: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Heather, I had the ERPS Sergeants review the attached scenarios. The only issue was with scenario #4. In the yes response it should also include that if held in original form the requesting officer also needs to complete a To/From subject report providing a detailed explanation for the hold. We are required to have this information by Auditing and Control when audits of the vault are completed.

Lt. Liz Glatz
Evidence and Recovered Property

From: Jackson, Heather A. [mailto:HJackson@Shefskylaw.com]

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 1:47 PM **To:** Glatz, Elizabeth L.; Bazarek, William

Cc: Rubino, Michelle A.; Slagel, Allan T.; Kapustianyk, Michael W.; Kilroy Jr, William A.

Subject: CPD Training_ Scenarios for seizure of money.DOCX

Attached please find training scenarios that were developed with AFU, in anticipation that AFU personnel will be preforming training relating to seizure of money for narcotics, pursuant to the directives amended last year. Again, the scenarios were developed with, and approved by, AFU. Please review on behalf of ERPS and OLA, and let us know if you have any questions, comments or changes to the scenarios, or if there are other CPD departments or individuals who should review/approve. We would like to obtain approval of the substance of these scenarios, which will be put into PowerPoint format, so that AFU personnel can be prepared for the training sessions. Thanks in advance.

Heather A. Jackson Shefsky & Froelich 111 East Wacker Drive Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60601 p: 312.836.4172 f: 312.275.7580

http://www.shefskylaw.com

This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing or saving in any manner. Thank you.

Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by regulations governing practice before the Internal Revenue Service, unless expressly stated otherwise, any advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) concerning tax issues cannot be used, and is not intended to be used, for (i) the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) the promotion, marketing or recommendation of any transaction or matter discussed in this communication (including any attachments).

From:

Lewin

Sent:

Tuesday, January 10, 2012 12:26 PM

Subject:

Re: Arrest Modifications

Good job

From: Tomasiello, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:59 AM

To: FinPreProdSignature **Subject:** Arrest Modifications

Asset Forfeiture has requested changes to the CLEAR Automated Arrest application in order to quickly identify the vehicles that are subject to seizure/forfeiture prior to the hearing date.

The identified changes are as follows:

Arrest Report

On step 9 – Arrestee Vehicle, within the top region, add a mandatory question "Was the Arrestee a driver or passenger at the time of arrest." "Yes" or "No"

If "No", the user continues to step 10.

If "Yes", a mandatory question "Will the vehicle be (from a drop down) "Towed", "Impounded", "Seized" or "DNA". The vehicle year, make, type, model, style will be required. VIN and plate not mandatory (a vehicle may not have a plate or VIN).

Arrest Vehicle Queue (New)

A new queue will be created allowing Asset Forfeiture users to query arrest reports, after the status "booking posted", that have identified a vehicle as being towed, impounded, or seized.

P.O. Michael Tomasiello Chicago Police Department Public Safety Information Technology 3510 S. Michigan Ave Chicago, IL 60653 312-745-5500 ext. 83245

From:

Tomasiello

Sent:

Tuesday, January 10, 2012 11:59 AM

Subject:

Arrest Modifications

Asset Forfeiture has requested changes to the CLEAR Automated Arrest application in order to quickly identify the vehicles that are subject to seizure/forfeiture prior to the hearing date. The identified changes are as follows:

Arrest Report

On step 9 – Arrestee Vehicle, within the top region, add a mandatory question "Was the Arrestee a driver or passenger at the time of arrest." "Yes" or "No"

If "No", the user continues to step 10.

If "Yes", a mandatory question "Will the vehicle be (from a drop down) "Towed", "Impounded", "Seized" or "DNA". The vehicle year, make, type, model, style will be required. VIN and plate not mandatory (a vehicle may not have a plate or VIN).

Arrest Vehicle Queue (New)

A new queue will be created allowing Asset Forfeiture users to query arrest reports, after the status "booking posted", that have identified a vehicle as being towed, impounded, or seized.

P.O. Michael Tomasiello Chicago Police Department Public Safety Information Technology 3510 S. Michigan Ave Chicago, IL 60653 312-745-5500 ext. 83245

From:

Bazarek

Sent:

Monday, May 16, 2011 9:44 AM

Subject:

RE: Crystal Report

Thanks

From: Roti, Nicholas J.

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:25 AM

To: Bazarek, William; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Bill.

OCD has internally, with the crystal reporting skills of PO Mark Mattozzi, developed two crystal reports to pull the seized vehicles and seized usc daily. This was just completed late last week and AFU is still assessing. AFU is using these reports and modifying their processes to see where time can be saved to get their forfeiture proceedings in under the "wire".

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:17 AM To: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Roti, Nicholas J.; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Jon/Eric: so data is available right now for Asset Forfeiture to make daily assessment's on forfeitures for vehicles?

From: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T. Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 8:17 AM

To: Bazarek, William

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

This is what Eric related to me:

"There was never any talk about PSIT creating a crystal report. The cost estimate was to put the info into the warehouse. This was needed to present to the judge.

I created a view for the last two year and informed Sgt. Rubino that the data is in the warehouse. She is reviewing it."

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:21 PM

To: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T.

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.

Subject: Crystal Report

Patrick: what is status of crystal report?

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 09:59 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 -

Hello,

If you recall last week we discussed using <u>Crystal Reports</u> as a <u>short term approach</u> to notifying the State Attorney Office of any vehicle that is seized with in 14 days for probable cause hearing.

I have been working with Jonathan Lewin's team, Eric Gaffney to allow access into the data warehouse. Eric has provided these figures: The project will take about one week and the approximate cost is \$3,010.00.

35 hours @\$86/hr=\$3,010.00

Task to include:
Add columns to tables 4hr
Add columns to views 4hr
Modify ETL Script 5hr
Test Script 4hr
Create update Script for current records 4hr
Test Script 6hr
Run Script 4hr
Review 4hr

I believe this is the last figure you needed from me and if I can be of any further assistance you can reach me on my cell phone 312-550-1634.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Chicago Police Department Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Automation of the Tow Report will be integrated into a new Unified Front End that is funded but will take approximately six (6) months to complete. This will be a comprehensive reporting platform that will replace the current AIRA (Automated Incident Reporting Application), Contact Cards, Suspicious Activity Reporting/ Information Report, Traffic Crash Report, and Tow Report. It would be cost-prohibitive to develop a separate and non-integrated tow report independent of this larger effort, but if we had to put a cost on it, I'd say it would be in the \$100,000.00 range to include mobile deployment, back-office integration, eTrack modifications, and reporting capability.

Jonathan

Jonathan H. Lewin
Managing Deputy Director
Public Safety Information Technology
City of Chicago
Office of Emergency Management and Communications
1411 W Madison St
Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:15 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Jonathon, can you tell us the approximate cost and timeline on what is described below? The comments are in response to the bill attached.

Thanks, Bob

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:03 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.; Bazarek, William; 'Slagel, Allan T.'

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.

Subject: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

I want to be perfectly clear in regards to the HB3157 and the ability of the Asset Forfeiture Unit (AFU) to notify the States Attorney Office of upcoming **VEHICLE** forfeitures within 14 days for a probable cause hearing for seizure.

AFU will need Vehicle Tow Reports to be online and/or the vehicle seized information to be populated in e-Track as property so that AFU can query on a daily basis vehicles that are seized for forfeiture.

Currently, I am working with Lt. Eugene Vann from Research and Development and Eric Gaffney and Thao Nguyen from the I.T. section to back door the system with a Crystal Report. Unfortunately, there are issues and modifications will need to be addressed in the Data Warehouse. The

Crystal Reports will be a work in progress and will only be a Band Aid to the problem; however, IF the report is accomplished it will work for CPD's needs. The report will need to be verified with the SAO to ensure that it captures all the information they require for the probable cause hearing.

With this being said - If any of the aforementioned factors are not in place, I do not foresee AFU meeting the requirements under HB3157 for VEHCILE FORFEITURES with the current process that we have in place.

I just want to be clear on AFU's part.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From:

Bazarek

Sent:

Monday, May 16, 2011 9:44 AM

Subject:

RE: Crystal Report

Thanks

From: Roti, Nicholas J.

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:25 AM

To: Bazarek, William; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Bill,

OCD has internally, with the crystal reporting skills of PO Mark Mattozzi, developed two crystal reports to pull the seized vehicles and seized usc daily. This was just completed late last week and AFU is still assessing. AFU is using these reports and modifying their processes to see where time can be saved to get their forfeiture proceedings in under the "wire".

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:17 AM **To:** Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Roti, Nicholas J.; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Jon/Eric: so data is available right now for Asset Forfeiture to make daily assessment's on forfeitures for vehicles?

From: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T. Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 8:17 AM

To: Bazarek, William

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

This is what Eric related to me:

"There was never any talk about PSIT creating a crystal report. The cost estimate was to put the info into the warehouse. This was needed to present to the judge.

I created a view for the last two year and informed Sgt. Rubino that the data is in the warehouse. She is reviewing it."

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:21 PM

To: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T.

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.

Subject: Crystal Report

Patrick: what is status of crystal report?

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 09:59 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 -

Hello,

If you recall last week we discussed using <u>Crystal Reports</u> as a <u>short term approach</u> to notifying the State Attorney Office of any vehicle that is seized with in 14 days for probable cause hearing.

I have been working with Jonathan Lewin's team, Eric Gaffney to allow access into the data warehouse. Eric has provided these figures: The project will take about one week and the approximate cost is \$3,010.00.

35 hours @\$86/hr=\$3,010.00

Task to include:
Add columns to tables 4hr
Add columns to views 4hr
Modify ETL Script 5hr
Test Script 4hr
Create update Script for current records 4hr
Test Script 6hr
Run Script 4hr
Review 4hr

I believe this is the last figure you needed from me and if I can be of any further assistance you can reach me on my cell phone 312-550-1634.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Chicago Police Department Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Automation of the Tow Report will be integrated into a new Unified Front End that is funded but will take approximately six (6) months to complete. This will be a comprehensive reporting platform that will replace the current AIRA (Automated Incident Reporting Application), Contact Cards, Suspicious Activity Reporting/ Information Report, Traffic Crash Report, and Tow Report. It would be cost-prohibitive to develop a separate and non-integrated tow report independent of this larger effort, but if we had to put a cost on it, I'd say it would be in the \$100,000.00 range to include mobile deployment, back-office integration, eTrack modifications, and reporting capability.

Jonathan

Jonathan H. Lewin Managing Deputy Director Public Safety Information Technology City of Chicago Office of Emergency Management and Communications 1411 W Madison St Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:15 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Jonathon, can you tell us the approximate cost and timeline on what is described below? The comments are in response to the bill attached.

Thanks.

Bob

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:03 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.; Bazarek, William; 'Slagel, Allan T.'

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.

Subject: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

I want to be perfectly clear in regards to the HB3157 and the ability of the Asset Forfeiture Unit (AFU) to notify the States Attorney Office of upcoming **VEHICLE** forfeitures within 14 days for a probable cause hearing for seizure.

AFU will need Vehicle Tow Reports to be online and/or the vehicle seized information to be populated in e-Track as property so that AFU can query on a daily basis vehicles that are seized for forfeiture.

Currently, I am working with Lt. Eugene Vann from Research and Development and Eric Gaffney and Thao Nguyen from the I.T. section to back door the system with a Crystal Report. Unfortunately, there are issues and modifications will need to be addressed in the Data Warehouse.

Crystal Reports will be a work in progress and will only be a Band Aid to the problem; however, IF the report is accomplished it will work for CPD's needs. The report will need to be verified with the SAO to ensure that it captures all the information they require for the probable cause hearing.

With this being said - If any of the aforementioned factors are not in place, I do not foresee AFU meeting the requirements under HB3157 for VEHCILE FORFEITURES with the current process that we have in place.

I just want to be clear on AFU's part.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From:

Bazarek

Sent:

Monday, May 16, 2011 9:44 AM

Subject:

RE: Crystal Report

Thanks

From: Roti, Nicholas J.

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:25 AM

To: Bazarek, William; Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Bill,

OCD has internally, with the crystal reporting skills of PO Mark Mattozzi, developed two crystal reports to pull the seized vehicles and seized usc daily. This was just completed late last week and AFU is still assessing. AFU is using these reports and modifying their processes to see where time can be saved to get their forfeiture proceedings in under the "wire".

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:17 AM **To:** Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Roti, Nicholas J.; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Jon/Eric: so data is available right now for Asset Forfeiture to make daily assessment's on forfeitures for vehicles?

From: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T. Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 8:17 AM

To: Bazarek, William

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

This is what Eric related to me:

"There was never any talk about PSIT creating a crystal report. The cost estimate was to put the info into the warehouse. This was needed to present to the judge.

I created a view for the last two year and informed Sgt. Rubino that the data is in the warehouse. She is reviewing it."

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:21 PM

To: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T.

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.

Subject: Crystal Report

Patrick: what is status of crystal report?

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 09:59 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 -

Hello,

If you recall last week we discussed using <u>Crystal Reports</u> as a <u>short term approach</u> to notifying the State Attorney Office of any vehicle that is seized with in 14 days for probable cause hearing.

I have been working with Jonathan Lewin's team, Eric Gaffney to allow access into the data warehouse. Eric has provided these figures: The project will take about one week and the approximate cost is \$3,010.00.

35 hours @\$86/hr=\$3,010.00

Task to include:
Add columns to tables 4hr
Add columns to views 4hr
Modify ETL Script 5hr
Test Script 4hr
Create update Script for current records 4hr
Test Script 6hr
Run Script 4hr
Review 4hr

I believe this is the last figure you needed from me and if I can be of any further assistance you can reach me on my cell phone 312-550-1634.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Chicago Police Department Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Automation of the Tow Report will be integrated into a new Unified Front End that is funded but will take approximately six (6) months to complete. This will be a comprehensive reporting platform that will replace the current AIRA (Automated Incident Reporting Application), Contact Cards, Suspicious Activity Reporting/ Information Report, Traffic Crash Report, and Tow Report. It would be cost-prohibitive to develop a separate and non-integrated tow report independent of this larger effort, but if we had to put a cost on it, I'd say it would be in the \$100,000.00 range to include mobile deployment, back-office integration, eTrack modifications, and reporting capability.

Jonathan

Jonathan H. Lewin Managing Deputy Director Public Safety Information Technology City of Chicago Office of Emergency Management and Communications 1411 W Madison St Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:15 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Jonathon, can you tell us the approximate cost and timeline on what is described below? The comments are in response to the bill attached.

Thanks, Bob

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:03 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.; Bazarek, William; 'Slagel, Allan T.'

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.

Subject: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

I want to be perfectly clear in regards to the HB3157 and the ability of the Asset Forfeiture Unit (AFU) to notify the States Attorney Office of upcoming **VEHICLE** forfeitures within 14 days for a probable cause hearing for seizure.

AFU will need Vehicle Tow Reports to be online and/or the vehicle seized information to be populated in e-Track as property so that AFU can query on a daily basis vehicles that are seized for forfeiture.

Currently, I am working with Lt. Eugene Vann from Research and Development and Eric Gaffney and Thao Nguyen from the I.T. section to back door the system with a Crystal Report. Unfortunately, there are issues and modifications will need to be addressed in the Data Warehouse. The

Crystal Reports will be a work in progress and will only be a Band Aid to the problem; however, IF the report is accomplished it will work for CPD's needs. The report will need to be verified with the SAO to ensure that it captures all the information they require for the probable cause hearing.

With this being said - If any of the aforementioned factors are not in place, I do not foresee AFU meeting the requirements under HB3157 for VEHCILE FORFEITURES with the current process that we have in place.

I just want to be clear on AFU's part.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Sent: nicholas.roti@chicagopolice.org Monday, May 16, 2011 9:25 AM

Subject:

RE: Crystal Report

Bill,

OCD has internally, with the crystal reporting skills of PO Mark Mattozzi, developed two crystal reports to pull the seized vehicles and seized usc daily. This was just completed late last week and AFU is still assessing. AFU is using these reports and modifying their processes to see where time can be saved to get their forfeiture proceedings in under the "wire".

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:17 AM **To:** Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Roti, Nicholas J.; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Jon/Eric: so data is available right now for Asset Forfeiture to make daily assessment's on forfeitures for vehicles?

From: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T. Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 8:17 AM

To: Bazarek, William

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

This is what Eric related to me:

"There was never any talk about PSIT creating a crystal report. The cost estimate was to put the info into the warehouse. This was needed to present to the judge.

I created a view for the last two year and informed Sgt. Rubino that the data is in the warehouse. She is reviewing it."

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:21 PM

To: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T.

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.

Subject: Crystal Report

Patrick: what is status of crystal report?

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 09:59 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 -

Hello,

If you recall last week we discussed using <u>Crystal Reports</u> as a <u>short term approach</u> to notifying the State Attorney Office of any vehicle that is seized with in 14 days for probable cause hearing.

I have been working with Jonathan Lewin's team, Eric Gaffney to allow access into the data warehouse. Eric has provided these figures: The project will take about one week and the approximate cost is \$3,010.00.

35 hours @\$86/hr=\$3,010.00

Task to include:
Add columns to tables 4hr
Add columns to views 4hr
Modify ETL Script 5hr
Test Script 4hr
Create update Script for current records 4hr
Test Script 6hr
Run Script 4hr
Review 4hr

I believe this is the last figure you needed from me and if I can be of any further assistance you can reach me on my cell phone 312-550-1634.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Chicago Police Department Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Automation of the Tow Report will be integrated into a new Unified Front End that is funded but will take approximately six (6) months to complete. This will be a comprehensive reporting platform that will replace the current AIRA (Automated Incident Reporting Application), Contact Cards, Suspicious Activity Reporting/ Information Report, Traffic Crash Report, and Tow Report. It would be cost-prohibitive to develop a separate and non-integrated tow report independent of this larger effort, but if we had to put a cost on it, I'd say it would be in the \$100,000.00 range to include mobile deployment, back-office integration, eTrack modifications, and reporting capability.

Jonathan

Jonathan H. Lewin Managing Deputy Director Public Safety Information Technology City of Chicago Office of Emergency Management and Communications 1411 W Madison St Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:15 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Jonathon, can you tell us the approximate cost and timeline on what is described below? The comments are in response to the bill attached.

Thanks, Bob

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:03 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.; Bazarek, William; 'Slagel, Allan T.'

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.

Subject: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

I want to be perfectly clear in regards to the HB3157 and the ability of the Asset Forfeiture Unit (AFU) to notify the States Attorney Office of upcoming **VEHICLE** forfeitures within 14 days for a probable cause hearing for seizure.

AFU will need Vehicle Tow Reports to be online and/or the vehicle seized information to be populated in e-Track as property so that AFU can query on a daily basis vehicles that are seized for forfeiture.

Currently, I am working with Lt. Eugene Vann from Research and Development and Eric Gaffney and Thao Nguyen from the I.T. section to back door the system with a Crystal Report. Unfortunately, there are issues and modifications will need to be addressed in the Data Warehouse. The Crystal Reports will be a work in progress and will only be a Band Aid to the problem; however, IF the report is accomplished it will work for CPD's needs. The report will need to be verified with the SAO to ensure that it captures all the information they require for the probable cause hearing.

With this being said - If any of the aforementioned factors are not in place, I do not foresee AFU meeting the requirements under HB3157 for VEHCILE FORFEITURES with the current process that we have in place.

I just want to be clear on AFU's part.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From:

Gaffney

Sent:

Monday, May 16, 2011 9:23 AM

Subject:

RE: Crystal Report

Yes, the table is called ARREST_VEHICLES_10_11V. It contains the last 2 years of arrests.

Eric Gaffney
Chief Programmer / Analyst
Public Safety Information Technology
City of Chicago
Office of Emergency Management and Communications
3510 S Michigan Ave
Chicago, IL 60653
312-745-5538

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 9:17 AM **To:** Lewin, Jonathan H.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Cc: Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Rodriguez, Hector; Roti, Nicholas J.; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Patterson, Joseph

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

Jon/Eric: so data is available right now for Asset Forfeiture to make daily assessment's on forfeitures for vehicles?

From: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T. Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 8:17 AM

To: Bazarek, William

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.; Gaffney, Eric A.

Subject: RE: Crystal Report

This is what Eric related to me:

"There was never any talk about PSIT creating a crystal report. The cost estimate was to put the info into the warehouse. This was needed to present to the judge.

I created a view for the last two year and informed Sgt. Rubino that the data is in the warehouse. She is reviewing it."

From: Bazarek, William

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:21 PM

To: Nguyen, Thao 'Patrick' T.

Cc: Lewin, Jonathan H.; Murphy, Brian; Price, Ralph M.

Subject: Crystal Report

Patrick: what is status of crystal report?

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 09:59 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 -

Hello,

If you recall last week we discussed using <u>Crystal Reports</u> as a <u>short term approach</u> to notifying the State Attorney Office of any vehicle that is seized with in 14 days for probable cause hearing.

I have been working with Jonathan Lewin's team, Eric Gaffney to allow access into the data warehouse. Eric has provided these figures: The project will take about one week and the approximate cost is \$3,010.00.

35 hours @\$86/hr=\$3,010.00

Task to include:
Add columns to tables 4hr
Add columns to views 4hr
Modify ETL Script 5hr
Test Script 4hr
Create update Script for current records 4hr
Test Script 6hr
Run Script 4hr
Review 4hr

I believe this is the last figure you needed from me and if I can be of any further assistance you can reach me on my cell phone 312-550-1634.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Chicago Police Department Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630

From: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Automation of the Tow Report will be integrated into a new Unified Front End that is funded but will take approximately six (6) months to complete. This will be a comprehensive reporting platform that will replace the current AIRA (Automated Incident Reporting Application), Contact Cards, Suspicious Activity Reporting/ Information Report, Traffic Crash Report, and Tow Report. It would be cost-prohibitive to develop a separate and non-integrated tow report independent of this larger effort, but if we had to put a cost on it, I'd say it would be in the \$100,000.00 range to include mobile deployment, back-office integration, eTrack modifications, and reporting capability.

Jonathan

Jonathan H. Lewin
Managing Deputy Director
Public Safety Information Technology
City of Chicago
Office of Emergency Management and Communications
1411 W Madison St
Chicago, IL 60607

Voice: 312-746-9205 Facsimile: 312-745-6990

24 Hr Help Desk: 312-744-DATA

www.ChicagoPolice.org

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (or the person responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this e-mail, and any attachment thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond to the individual sending the message, and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof.

From: Buckley Jr, Robert C.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:15 AM

To: Lewin, Jonathan H.

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.; Rubino, Michelle A.;

Bazarek, William

Subject: RE: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

Jonathon, can you tell us the approximate cost and timeline on what is described below? The comments are in response to the bill attached.

Thanks, Bob

From: Rubino, Michelle A.

Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:03 AM

To: Buckley Jr, Robert C.; Bazarek, William; 'Slagel, Allan T.'

Cc: Roti, Nicholas J.; Patterson, Joseph; Rodriguez, Hector; Kilroy Jr, William A.; Hickey, James K.

Subject: HB 3157 - Vehicle Tow Reports

I want to be perfectly clear in regards to the HB3157 and the ability of the Asset Forfeiture Unit (AFU) to notify the States Attorney Office of upcoming $\overline{\text{VEHICLE}}$ forfeitures within 14 days for a probable cause hearing for seizure.

AFU will need Vehicle Tow Reports to be online and/or the vehicle seized information to be populated in e-Track as property so that AFU can query on a daily basis vehicles that are seized for forfeiture.

Currently, I am working with Lt. Eugene Vann from Research and Development and Eric Gaffney and Thao Nguyen from the I.T. section to back door the system with a Crystal Report. Unfortunately, there are issues and modifications will need to be addressed in the Data Warehouse. The Crystal Reports will be a work in progress and will only be a Band Aid to the problem; however, IF the report is accomplished it will work for CPD's needs. The report will need to be verified with the SAO to ensure that it captures all the information they require for the probable cause hearing.

With this being said - If any of the aforementioned factors are not in place, I do not foresee AFU meeting the requirements under HB3157 for VEHCILE FORFEITURES with the current process that we have in place.

I just want to be clear on AFU's part.

Sgt. Michelle A. Rubino Organized Crime Division Asset Forfeiture Unit 312-746-7630