Local Feature Based Salient Region Detection

Chao Lv
Parallel Processing Institute
Fudan University
Ich@fudan.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Local feature descriptors have become the most important part in image / video retrieval systems. But considering the great amount of local features, thousands of local features in one HD photo, it's hard to compute them efficiently in a realistic system. In our research, we overcome this obstacle with a straightforward local feature reduction processing by using a algorithm named LFSR (Local Feature based Salient Region). With no additional computation for salient regions, this algorithm help to improve both the performance and accuracy of local feature descriptors. In our evaluation, we also compare LFSR algorithm with a state-of-the-art salient region algorithm. And the results shows that LFSR provides a thousands of times computation speedup, with an acceptable precision loss. Furthermore, when integrated with the SURF algorithm, LFSR can provide a overall 1.6X speedup for the whole processing [?].

1. INTRODUCTION

Our society has entered a data-centric era and a huge amount of data are transferred and processed on the Internet. Among them, multimedia data, such as image and video, has become one of the major data types being processed. As analyzed by CISCO Inc., video data occupies 50% of network traffic in 2011 and will increase to 90% in 2013 [1]. According to a report [6], as one of the most popular video sharing sites, more than 20-hour new videos are uploaded to YouTube every minute. Moreover, as two most popular photo sharing sites, Facebook and Flickr host billions of user-uploaded images respectively.

With the rapid increase of multimedia data, one of the most significant challenges is to understand and interpret such a huge amount of multimedia data. Currently, more and more retrieval applications are emerging to process these multimedia data, such as video recommendation [10], travel guidance systems [5] and content-based TV copy identification [7]. In these systems, a fundamental step is to extract feature information from images.

Image features can be divided into two domains – local features and global features. Local features are more precise and robust when comparing to global features. Thus they are widely used in most of image retrieval systems. But the computation of local features suffers great overhead due to thousand of features existed in one image, which leads to a great challenge for real-time processing. For example, SIFT [8] and SURF [3], two popular image retrieval algorithms [9] [2], can only achieve a speed of about three images per second, when the computation are processed on a general-purpose processor.

In general, there exist three major computation phases when processing local image features in a typical image retrieval system. First, the system detect all feature points from images. Then, with some specific formats and algorithms, each feature point is described as a high dimension vector. At last, all extracted feature are compared to each other according to the distance of their vectors.

According to a previous research [4], the computation of feature describing are obviously greater than the feature detecting in the SURF algorithm, which is caused by the great amount of local features to be described in one image. Furthermore, in a realistic image retrieval system, the performance is dominated by the number of features in the database.

So, it's possible and necessary to improve the performance of the whole system by the reduction of local features extracted from each image. In this paper, we present a algorithm named LFSR (Local Feature based Salient Region) to eliminate unimportant local features efficiently with no obvious precision loss for a image retrieval system.

The main idea of LFSR algorithm is extracting salient regions of images and only describing the local features in those regions. Without involving any other salient region algorithm, it is only based on the feature points extracted from the first phase of a typical local feature algorithm. This approach has two remarkable advantages: no additional computation for salient region detection; totally integrated with local feature algorithm to compute the salient region efficiently. The details of LFSR can be found in Section 2.

We have evaluated LFSR against a stat-of-the-art salient region algorithm. The evaluation results show that our approach has a much better performance with compatible precision and recall. Furthermore, withing a realistic image retrieval system, our evaluation shows that LFSR can help to improve both performance and accuracy.

2. ALGORITHM

2.1 Overview

The basic idea of LFSR is to compute salient regions for local feature reduction. Since we are not concerned with the precise region boundary in our task, it's possible to get approximate salient regions with much less computation. According to our observation, the distribution of features in one image is related to the salient region, which means most concerned local features located in one major region and other noises located apart from them with a much larger distance to the salient region center. Thus, a approximate salient region can be regarded as a region expanded from the geometry center of local features. Considering the distribution of local features in a image's X-axis and Y-axis, the local feature based salient region should has a width and height ratio computed from the standard deviation of feature's positions in both X-axis and Y-axis.

In some images with more than one major objects, there may exist several local feature dense region, result in multiple LFSR in one image. To identify and compute these scenario efficiently, we involve a preprocessing to do a simple segmentation on all local features.

As shown in Figure 1, there exist two major stages in LFSR algorithm. First, A segmentation should be performed on all local features to identify whether exist multiple salient region in that image. Second, in each image segmentation, LFSR computes that segmentation's salient region individually.

2.2 Local Feature Based Segmentation

One image may have multiple objects to construct a whole topic. When performing LFSR on these kind of images, we found that it's necessary to avoid computing the salient region across all local features in one run, which may lead to a significant precision loss.

There have been a lot of research about image segmentation, e.g. . But in our research, we prefer to do a approximate segmentation only according to the geometric meaning of local features. LFSR solve this problem by performing scan operations in both X-axis and Y-axis of a image. In each scan, a cut-point may be found by following these two constraints:

- 1. No local feature should be divided into multiple parts. Every local feature can be recognized as a dot with a radius that equals its scale and no cut-point should locate on that dot. This constraint is based on an observation that one local feature should contribute to only one object, not several objects.
- 2. The cut-point should be located as near as possible to the center of image. Each scan is performed from the center of a image, in order to find the nearest cut-point for that image. This constraint is also based on an observation that major objects in one real photo always locate in the center, not far away from it.

The detail steps are shown in Figure 2. The segmentation is started from the center of each axis. When a cut-point satisfying the above two constraints is found, the scan stopped and that cut-point is taken for the image segmentation. If a scan exceeds a threshold distance, for example 1/4 of image with / height, to the image center, the scan should stop and announce that there exists no valid segmentation on that dimension.

2.3 Local Feature Based Salient Region

3. EVALUATION

- 3.1 Experimental Comparison
- 3.2 Integration with SURF Descriptor

4. CONCLUSION

Acknowledgement

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Methodology, 2010-2015. 2011.
- [2] J. Bauer, N. Sunderhauf, and P. Protzel. Comparing Several Implementations of Two Recently Published Feature Detectors. *International Conference on Intelligent and Autonomous Systems*, 2007.
- [3] H. Bay, T. Tuytelaars, and L. V. Gool. SURF: Speeded up robust features. European Conference on Computer Vision, pages 404–417, 2006.
- [4] Z. Fang, D. Yang, W. Zhang, H. Chen, and B. Zang. A Comprehensive Analysis and Parallelization of an Image Retrieval Algorithm. ISPASS, 2011.
- [5] Y. Gao, J. Tang, R. Hong, Q. Dai, T. S. Chua, and R. Jain. W2GO: a travel guidance system by automatic landmark ranking. ACM Multimedia, pages 123–132, 2010.
- [6] C. Jansohn, A. Ulges, and T. M. Breuel. Detecting pornographic video content by combining image features with motion information. *International Conference on Multimedia*, 2009.
- [7] A. Joly, C. Frelicot, and O. Buisson. Robust Content-Based Video Copy Identification in a Large Reference Database. *International Conference on Image and Video Retrieval*, pages 511–516, 2003.
- [8] D. G. Lowe. Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 60(2):91–110, 2004.
- K. Mikolajczyk and C. Schmid. A performance evaluation of local descriptors. Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 27(10):1615–1630, 2005.
- [10] B. Yang, T. Mei, X.-S. Hua, L. Yang, S.-Q. Yang, and M. Li. Online video recommendation based on multimodal fusion and relevance feedback. *International Conference on Image and Video Retrieval*, pages 73–80, 2007.