

How to check the object type on runtime in TypeScript?

Asked 3 years, 9 months agoActive 3 days agoViewed 65k times

Ask Question

▲

49

▼

8

🔒

🔒

I'm trying to find a way to pass an object to function in and check it type in a runtime. This is a pseudo code:

```
function(obj:any){
  if(typeof obj === "A"){
    // do something
  }
  else if(typeof obj === "B"{
    //do something else
  }
}
a:A;
b:B;
func(a);
```

But typeof is always return "object" and I could not find a way to get the real type of "a" or "b". The instanceof did not work either and return the same. Any idea how to do it in a TypeScript?

Thank you for your help!!!

The Overflow Blog

✍

State of the Stack: a new quarterly update on community and product

✍

Podcast 320: Covid vaccine websites are frustrating. This developer built a...

Featured on Meta

📖

State of the Stack Q1 2021 Blog Post

Linked

- 0
- TypeScript check generic types equals
- 1
- Check if property of an object is of a specific type
- 1
- how to check a function's type in TypeScript?

Join Stack Overflow to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

Sign up with email

Sign up with Google

Sign up with GitHub

Sign up with Facebook

X

Share Improve this question Follow

asked May 19 '17 at 20:02

Eden1971

529 ● 1 ● 4 ● 7

Add a comment

7 Answers

ActiveOldestVotes

▲

74

▼

👍

Edit: I want to point out to people coming here from searches that this question is specifically dealing with non-class types, ie object shapes as defined by `interface` or `type` alias. For class types you can use JavaScript's `instanceof` to determine the class an instance comes from, and TypeScript will narrow the type in the type-checker automatically.

Types are stripped away at compile-time and do not exist at runtime, so you can't check the type at runtime.

What you can do is check that the shape of an object is what you expect, and TypeScript can assert the type at compile time using a [user-defined type guard](#) that returns true (annotated return type is a "type predicate" of the form `arg is T`) if the shape matches your expectation:

```
interface A {
  foo: string;
}

interface B {
  bar: number;
}

function isA(obj: any): obj is A {
  return obj.foo !== undefined
}

function isB(obj: any): obj is B {
  return obj.bar !== undefined
}

function func(obj: any) {
  if (isA(obj)) {
    // In this block 'obj' is narrowed to type 'A'
    obj.foo;
  }
  else if (isB(obj)) {
    // In this block 'obj' is narrowed to type 'B'
    obj.bar;
  }
}
```

[Example in Playground](#)

How deep you take the type-guard implementation is really up to you, it only needs to return true or false. For example, as Carl points out in his answer, the above example only checks that expected properties are defined (following the example in the docs), not that they are assigned the expected type. This can get tricky with nullable types and nested objects, it's up to you to determine how detailed to make the shape check.

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited Sep 26 '19 at 15:03

answered May 19 '17 at 20:32

Aaron Beall

36.9k ● 19 ● 70 ● 94

check this: [alioiccode.com/2016/04/23/type-checking-typescript](#) Please be shore, you see this line also: `console.log(John instanceof Person); // true ...cheers! – peter70 Aug 3 '17 at 13:19`

@peter70 That only works with class instances, not other types (like interfaces). OP mentioned `instanceof` didn't work so I assume he has a non-class instance object. – Aaron Beall Dec 3 '17 at 2:13

It is possible when transporting type information into runtime code. E.g. with a custom transformer in TS > 2.4. – Christian Jan 10 '19 at 11:56

Ugh, I wish there were a more elegant, less verbose way to do this, such as being able to assert the type in the if statement itself without the need for all these helper functions. – 55 Cancr Apr 12 '20 at 13:03

Why cant typescript allow `if (isA(obj)): obj is A { ... } ? – 55 Cancr Apr 12 '20 at 13:05`

Add a comment

▲

22

▼

🔒

Expanding on Aaron's answer, I've made a transformer that generates the type guard functions at compile time. This way you don't have to manually write them.

For example:

```
import { is } from 'typescript-is';

interface A {
  foo: string;
}

interface B {
  bar: number;
}

if (is<A>(obj)) {
  // obj is narrowed to type A
}

if (is<B>(obj)) {
  // obj is narrowed to type B
}
```

You can find the project here, with instructions to use it:

<https://github.com/woutervh/typescript-is>

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Sep 19 '18 at 7:21

user7132587

367 ● 3 ● 5

1 `typescript-is` is not good, they force me to use `typescript`. – ian park Jan 9 '19 at 16:17

@ianpark actually you are not forced to use `typescript`, you can also programmatically compile your project with the `typescript` API and configure the transformers yourself. `typescript` is the *recommended* way because it does this for you. When you use a transformer you have no other choice at the moment. And what's wrong with `typescript` anyway? :-). – user7132587 Jan 11 '19 at 9:14

yes, you're right. `typescript` is recommended way and it is good solution. My opinion is for whom do not want to add another compiler. If you use `typescript-is`, you should add another compiler or write own compile logic. It will become another complexity. – ian park Jan 15 '19 at 4:33

Add a comment

▲

4

▼

🔒

The OPs question was "I'm trying to find a way to pass an object to function in and check it type in a runtime".

Since a class instance is just an object the correct answer is to use a class instance and instanceof when runtime type checking is needed, use interface when not.

In my codebase, I will typically have a class which implements an interface and the interface is used during compilation for pre-compile time type safety, while classes are used to organize my code as well as do runtime type checks in typescript.

Works because routerEvent is an instance of NavigationStart class

```
if (routerEvent instanceof NavigationStart) {
  this.loading = true;
}

if (routerEvent instanceof NavigationEnd ||
    routerEvent instanceof NavigationCancel ||
    routerEvent instanceof NavigationError) {
  this.loading = false;
}
```

Will not work

```
// Must use a class not an interface
export interface IRouterEvent { ... }
// Fails
expect(IRouterEvent instanceof NavigationCancel).toBe(true);
```

Will not work

```
// Must use a class not a type
export type RouterEvent { ... }
// Fails
expect(IRouterEvent instanceof NavigationCancel).toBe(true);
```

As you can see by the code above, classes are used to compare the instance to the types `NavigationStart|Cancel|Error`.

Using instanceof on a Type or Interface is not possible since the ts compiler strips away these attributes during its compilation process and prior to being interpreted by JIT or AOT. Classes are a great way to create a type which can be used precompilation as well as during the JS runtime.

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Feb 22 '19 at 3:33

Alpha G33k

1,387 ● 1 ● 7 ● 18

I've been playing around with the answer from Aaron and think it would be better to test for typeof instead of just undefined, like this:

```
interface A {
  foo: string;
}

interface B {
  bar: number;
}

function isA(obj: any): obj is A {
  return typeof obj.foo === 'string'
}

function isB(obj: any): obj is B {
  return typeof obj.bar === 'number'
}

function func(obj: any) {
  if (isA(obj)) {
    console.log("A.foo:", obj.foo);
  }
  else if (isB(obj)) {
    console.log("B.bar:", obj.bar);
  }
  else { console.log("neither A nor B")}
}

const a: A = { foo: 567 }; // notice i am giving it a number, not a string
const b: B = { bar: 123 };

func(a); // neither A nor B
func(b); // B.bar: 123
```

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Sep 9 '18 at 3:12

Carl Sorenson

111 ● 2 ● 2

Add a comment

▲

0

▼

🔒

No, You cannot reference a `type` in runtime, but **yes** you can convert an `object` to a `type` with `typeof`, and do validation/sanitisation/checks against this `object` in runtime.

```
const plainObject = {
  someKey: "string",
  someKey2: 1,
};
type TypeWithAllOptionalFields = Partial<typeof plainObject>; //do further utility typings

function customChecks(userInput: any) {
  // do whatever you want with the 'plainObject'
}
```

Above is equal as

```
type TypeWithAllOptionalFields = {
  someKey?: string;
  someKey2?: number;
};
const plainObject = {
  someKey: "string",
  someKey2: 1,
};
function customChecks(userInput: any) {
  // ...
}
```

but without duplication of keynames in your code

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited May 28 '20 at 10:29

answered May 28 '20 at 4:21

kairun

46 ● 5

Add a comment

You can call the constructor and get its name

```
let className = this.constructor.name
```

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Mar 10 at 15:32

Shady Smaoui

51 ● 3

Add a comment

Alternative approach without the need of checking the type

What if you want to introduce more types? Would you then extend your if-statement? How many such if-statements do you have in your codebase?

Using types in conditions makes your code difficult to maintain. There's lots of theory behind that, but I'll save you the hassle. Here's what you could do instead:

Use polymorphism

Like this:

```
abstract class BaseClass {
  abstract theLogic();
}

class A extends BaseClass {
  theLogic() {
    // do something if class is A
  }
}

class B extends BaseClass {
  theLogic() {
    // do something if class is B
  }
}
```

Then you just have to invoke theLogic() from whichever class you want:

```
let a: A = new A();
a.theLogic();

let b: B = new B();
b.theLogic();
```

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered May 30 '20 at 11:07

Stefan Woehrer

620 ● 4 ● 9

Add a comment

Your Answer

B

I

Sign up or log in

Sign up using Google

Sign up using Facebook

Sign up using Email and Password

Post Your Answer

By clicking "Post Your Answer", you agree to our [terms of service](#), [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#)

Not the answer you're looking for? Browse other questions tagged [typescript](#) [types](#) [runtime](#) [detect](#) [typeof](#) or [ask your own question](#).

[Blog](#) [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [LinkedIn](#) [Instagram](#)

STACK OVERFLOW

Questions
Jobs
Developer Jobs Directory
Salary Calculator
Help
Mobile
Disable Responsiveness

PRODUCTS

Teams
Talent
Advertising
Enterprise

COMPANY

About
Press
Work Here
Legal
Privacy Policy
Terms of Service
Contact Us
Cookie Policy

STACK EXCHANGE NETWORK

Technology
Life / Arts
Culture / Recreation
Science
Other

Site design / logo © 2021 Stack Exchange Inc; user contributions licensed under cc by-sa. rev. 2021.3.12.35768