

#	Category	Components	Feedback	Score
1	Task 1	Identifying and Finding Inconsistencies and defects	<p>The section is well organized and good in terms of structure, coverage, and course content.</p> <p>There can be few more inconsistencies listed as a part of Task 1.</p> <p>Example: Policies and terms about the lost rental property can be added as inconsistency that is missed in the Requirement Evaluation Document. Generally, this section is well done even though some improvement is always good.</p>	8/10
2	Task 2	Documenting Conflicts	<p>Interaction Matrix</p> <p>The structure of the section is good, readable, and relevant based on course content. The conflicts were identified based on the functionalities of the ETR, and the corresponding description were given.</p>	10/10
3	Task 3	Conflict Resolution	<p>As the interaction matrix was appropriate and precise with the statements, Conflict resolution was also relevant with the descriptions and good work has been done with selecting the operators.</p>	10/10
4	Task 4	Conflict Evaluation	<p>The weighed matrices have diverse evaluation criteria which provides a closer definition to the conflict statements.</p> <p>Evaluation criteria were chosen precisely considering the use case of the statements.</p>	10/10
5	Task 5	Risk Management	<p>Identifying Risks</p> <p>Good coverage for many risks and different operators were applied as covered in the course. However, one could add more other risks related to cyber-attacks and security constraints for it.</p> <p>Quantitative assessment of the Risks</p> <p>The key risks associated with Non-functional requirements were identified however their possible consequences were not identified.</p> <p>Risk Control</p> <p>I like the approach used in this section counter measures were appropriate with the defined parameters.</p>	28/30
Total Score				66/70