

#	Category	Components	Feedback	Score
1	Task 1	Identifying and Finding Inconsistencies and defects	<p>The section is well organized and good in terms of structure, coverage, and course content. However, some of defects identified should have been investigated.</p> <p>Example : Defect # 6 - Expected to focus more on requirement Specification statements.</p> <p>There can be few more inconsistencies listed as a part of Task 1.</p> <p>Example: Policies and terms about the lost rental property can be added as an inconsistency that is missed in the Requirement Evaluation Document. Generally, this section is well done even though some improvement is always good.</p>	9/10
2	Task 2	Documenting Conflicts	<p><b>Interaction Matrix</b></p> <p>The structure of the section is good, readable, and relevant based on course content. However, the following observations were made:</p> <p>Few Statements can be concise and avoided being added as a conflict.</p> <p>Example: Statement 3 and 4 are similar and necessarily need not to be added as two separate conflicts.</p> <p>Interaction matrix was projected with lot of overlapping statements, but the observation is to avoid overlapping condition for the statement which has the same meaning.</p> <p>Example: Statement 3 and 4 can have the values "0".</p>	7/10
3	Task 3	Conflict Resolution	<p>The conflicts were restricted with few conditions. There can be few more conflicts added by understanding the nature of the system.</p> <p>Example : Conflicts could have been documented for the statements subjected to user privileges between Admin, Branch Employee, and user. Conflicts were limited</p>	9/10
4	Task 4	Conflict Evaluation	<p>The weighed matrices can have diverse evaluation criteria which provides a closer definition to the conflict statements.</p> <p>Common Evaluation Criteria were used which sounds a bit irrelevant to the conflict statements.</p> <p>Supposed to have used different operators to be able to select the best option.</p>	8/10

5	Task 5	Risk Management	<p><b><i>Identifying Risks</i></b></p> <p>Good coverage for many risks and different operators were applied as covered in the course. However, one could add more other risks related to cyber-attacks and security constraints for it.</p> <p><b><i>Quantitative assessment of the Risks</i></b></p> <p>The key risks associated with Non-functional requirements were identified however their possible consequences were not identified.</p> <p><b><i>Risk Control</i></b></p> <p>I like the approach used in this section; counter measures were appropriate with the defined parameters.</p>	27/30
<b><i>Total Score</i></b>				60/70