

Maple Finance -1

Security udit

December 15, 2023 Version 1.0.0

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Overall ssessment
- Specification
- Source Code
- Issue Descriptions and Recommendations
- Security Levels Reference
- Disclaimer

Introduction

This document includes the results of the security audit for Maple Finance's smart contract code as found in the section titled 'Source Code'. The security audit was performed by the Macro security team from November 27, 2023 to December 11, 2023.

The purpose of this audit is to review the source code of certain Maple Finance Solidity contracts, and provide feedback on the design, architecture, and quality of the source code with an emphasis on validating the correctness and security of the software in its entirety.

Disclaimer: While Macro's review is comprehensive and has surfaced some changes that should be made to the source code, this audit should not solely be relied upon for security, as no single audit is guaranteed to catch all possible bugs.

Overall ssessment

The following is an aggregation of issues found by the Macro udit team:

Severity	Count	Acknowledged	Won't Do	Addressed
Medium	2	1	-	1
Low	1	-	-	1
Code Quality	12	6	2	4
Informational	3	-	-	-

Maple Finance was quick to respond to these issues.

Our understanding of the specification was based on the following sources:

- Discussions with the Maple Finance team.
- vailable public documentation and provided docs for the specific release.

Source Code

The following source code was reviewed during the audit:

• **Repository:** maple-core-v2-private

• **Commit Hash:** a2cf31c51e3efa44ae17ef5ab4bfc1ea2581c112

Specifically, we audited the following contracts.

Contract	SHA256
modules/globals/contracts/MapleGlobal s.sol	449d783d0e35f05eb0632dcb5f5a4c8 4ed0178de79fd5878ddb40dcd1754f5 a4
modules/fixed-term- loan/contracts/MapleLoan.sol	9e41c80e34c9654f9c36b73d64019e1 4310dc5b8cd8dc9056447cde37a79c8 14
modules/fixed-term- loan/contracts/MapleLoanFactory.sol	e9958312abff174b3a66d88d75d1eb2 fdae8d1764fd6ec8d4d10ec2e9e0392 2c
modules/fixed-term- loan/contracts/MapleLoanV502Migrator. sol	6aeb640d1596775b3d20df42b7418fb 74cdcb850b8c1e6ec4774bcb2f5a1ad 0b
modules/withdrawal-manager- cyclical/contracts/MapleWithdrawalMan ager.sol	7a9429ae3022113e27250216a6a73ff 85de66ca1bb18979393ce2ecd1854ea 25
modules/withdrawal-manager- cyclical/contracts/MapleWithdrawalMan agerInitializer.sol	40692debf738632d8fc67cb3ee4f2fc fd21477a63e38715b7fb45d4728153a c6
modules/pool/contracts/MaplePoolMana ger.sol	917e6bab44c1d62202c62a23932fd31 cad8c75a441bec9b598b67a87c3629f 60

modules/pool/contracts/MaplePoolDepl oyer.sol	d3ed4259a4fe93c1d3a0c30dfc782f5 1767164fd4c4ce86da2893e88079cde 0b
modules/pool/contracts/proxy/MaplePoo IManagerInitializer.sol	bc2ce955a74c77841b6518a1e3edf84 01f4eb3aee2d987e52d45bb220122c9 70
modules/pool/contracts/proxy/MaplePoo IManagerMigrator.sol	515ac543af75401de5b2eb892dba497 c87d2772b2a53994e97d1ab704934f8 b3
modules/pool/contracts/proxy/MaplePoo IManagerStorage.sol	9a9b420c5e9d1945f4a357a4040c000 85cd210db44e10cd4a4a486f1e61301 23
modules/pool/contracts/proxy/MaplePoo IManagerWMMigrator.sol	80c550a681809f19e55563dda05c33b c61124ba7d1d56440d298166d434db4 61
modules/pool-permission-	6a20e9a8a59fe6e7f6d0698bc99e5d8
manager/contracts/MaplePoolPermissio	db00132360ddfd29693feb462e6ddf0
nManager.sol	95
modules/pool-permission-	48e1bc5cbf2e5bbac9bb46ce120d992
manager/contracts/proxy/MaplePoolPer	19833d4c578394a2b72b2cb27127c1d
missionManagerInitializer.sol	29
modules/pool-permission-	f6170f0d8e0f749c7342347b3547429
manager/contracts/proxy/MaplePoolPer	5ae199ce504992e896cf69796cae65b
missionManagerStorage.sol	b0
modules/withdrawal-manager-	553db6caa8fe3ed727e53aecdc204e3
queue/contracts/proxy/MapleWithdrawal	7f589241c6e840304cca34f7fe7a1ac
ManagerFactory.sol	fa
modules/withdrawal-manager-	48ff3f48b01ff3b9b40f80b55b9f817
queue/contracts/proxy/MapleWithdrawal	b4715452a456cf0658507a16ee6d015
ManagerInitializer.sol	0f
modules/withdrawal-manager-	d24307f9400c8208247915c0753b06b
queue/contracts/proxy/MapleWithdrawal	29c34bb43911b8ad3c893f95be66307
ManagerStorage.sol	20

modules/withdrawal-managerqueue/contracts/MapleWithdrawalMana ger.sol

68b73210a1b7d82629f9f5ca28b9fab b7e383616329140acd86181720d08e1 c5

Note: This document contains an audit solely of the Solidity contracts listed above. Specifically, the audit pertains only to the contracts themselves, and does not pertain to any other programs or scripts, including deployment scripts.

Issue Descriptions and Recommendations

Click on an issue to jump to it, or scroll down to see them all.

- M-1 ctors in manual redeem mode may remain in processed but not redeemed state for a long time Maple Withdrawal Manager may be created with values that break invariant M-2 hasPermissions() will return true if there are no lenders passed <u>L-1</u> MaplePoolPermissionManager's onlyGovernor modifier is unused Q 1 Missing indexed attributes for multiple events Q 2 Redundant assignments in the MapleWithdrawalManagerFactory Q-3 Inconsistent when Protocol Not Paused behavior between Withdrawal Q-4 Managers Improper condition in _processManualExit() Q 5 Inconsistent access control for upgrading withdrawal managers Q 6 Inconsistent pause control for upgrades Q-7 Unnecessary event emission in cyclical MapleWithdrawalManager Q-8 Inconsistent access control for MapleLoan.skim() Q-9 Q-10 Inconsistent validation in MapleLoan.skim() Q-11 Unnecessary import in MapleRefinancer Use onlyPoolManager modifier in the cyclical withdrawal manager Q-12 Default bitmaps for Pool and Function level permission allow I-1 permissionless access
- Not also skip of faul 2 and company in Dana company

Config change delay period may be too short

I-2

1-3 Not checking for L2 sequencer in Base contracts

Security Level Reference

We quantify issues in three parts:

- . The high/medium/low/spec-breaking **impact** of the issue:
 - How bad things can get (for a vulnerability)
 - The significance of an improvement (for a code quality issue)
 - The amount of gas saved (for a gas optimization)
- 2. The high/medium/low **likelihood** of the issue:
 - How likely is the issue to occur (for a vulnerability)
- 3. The overall critical/high/medium/low **severity** of the issue.

This third part – the severity level – is a summary of how much consideration the client should give to fixing the issue. We assign severity according to the table of guidelines below:

Severity	Description
(C-x) Critical	We recommend the client must fix the issue, no matter what, because not fixing would mean significant funds/assets WILL be lost.
(H-x) High	We recommend the client must address the issue, no matter what, because not fixing would be very bad, <i>r</i> some funds/assets will be lost, <i>r</i> the code's behavior is against the provided spec.
(M-x) Medium	We recommend the client to seriously consider fixing the issue, as the implications of not fixing the issue are severe enough to impact the project significantly, albiet not in an existential manner.
(L-x) Low	The risk is small, unlikely, or may not relevant to the project in a meaningful way. Whether or not the project wants to develop a fix is up to the goals and needs of the project.
(Q-x) Code Quality	The issue identified does not pose any obvious risk, but fixing could improve overall code quality, onchain composability, developer ergonomics, or even certain aspects of protocol design.
(I-x) Informational	Warnings and things to keep in mind when operating the protocol. No immediate action required.
(G-x) Gas Optimizations	The presented optimization suggestion would save an amount of gas significant enough, in our opinion, to be worth the development cost of implementing it.

Issue Details



ctors in manual redeem mode may remain in processed but not redeemed state for a long time

TOPIC STATUS IMPACT LIKELIHOOD

Protocol Design cknowledged Medium Low

ctors for which manual redeem mode is enabled may go against the purpose of the withdrawal queue mechanism by performing step 1 of the redeem flow (getting their request processed) but delaying step 2, exchanging shares for the available assets indefinitely until an appropriate time.

s a result, they may have an advantage in certain market conditions when an unrealized loss is about to increase, which they may front-run as they can perform exchange instantly while others would need to go through the withdrawal manager queue.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We will consider this for the version 2 of the withdrawal mechanism design.

Currently, we do not believe this to be a concern, as the manual flow can only be enabled for LPs by the Pool Delegate or Protocol Admins. This will be done only for over-collateralized pools, such as our cash management product where the incentive for parking funds is lower.

This flow is also designed for smart contract integrators, so we will ensure that we review the smart contracts ahead of time to see if this would be an issue. As an added precaution, we will ask our Pool Delegates and Protocol Admins to use private RPCs like Flashbots to send any transactions that would reduce the share token exchange rate, to further discourage this issue.

If an LP is seen abusing this, we can also revoke their permissions to interact with the share token or not process any future redemption requests.



Maple Withdrawal Manager may be created with values that break invariant

```
TOPIC STATUS IMPACT LIKELIHOOD
Input Validation Fixed Medium Low
```

In the withdrawal-manager-cyclical module,

MapleWithdrawalManagerInitializer._initialize() does not perform safe downcasting of provided inputs, such as startTime_, cycleDuration_, and windowDuration_. s a result, the provided system invariant may be broken.

The system is designed to reject any attempts to set a start time that falls in the past.

If provided startTime_, cycleDuration_, and windowDuration_ are greater than uint64, their values will pass initial checks such as startTime_ >= block.timestamp, but these values will get truncated on assignment, and incorrect values will be set in cycleConfigs[0]. llowing even startTime to be 0.

```
initialCycleTime: uint64(startTime_),
    cycleDuration: uint64(cycleDuration_),
    windowDuration: uint64(windowDuration_)
});

emit Initialized(pool_, cycleDuration_, windowDuration_);
emit ConfigurationUpdated({
    configId_: 0,
    initialCycleId_: 1,
    initialCycleTime_: uint64(startTime_),
    cycleDuration_: uint64(cycleDuration_),
    windowDuration_: uint64(windowDuration_)
});
}
```

Proof of concept:

```
function test_createInstance_success() external {
   // @audit - startTime set to large value
   bytes memory calldata_ = abi.encode(address(pool), uint256(type(uint
    MapleWithdrawalManager withdrawalManager_ = MapleWithdrawalManager(
    (
        uint64 initialCycleId_,
        uint64 initialCycleTime ,
        uint64 cycleDuration_,
        uint64 windowDuration
    ) = withdrawalManager_.cycleConfigs(0);
    assertEq(withdrawalManager_.pool(),
                                                 address(pool));
    assertEq(withdrawalManager_.latestConfigId(), 0);
    assertEq(initialCycleId_,
                                1);
    // @audit - initialCycleTime should never be smaller than block.tim
    // but because of truncation of values larger than type(uint64).max
    assertEq(initialCycleTime_, 0);
    assertEq(cycleDuration_,
                              7 days);
    assertEq(windowDuration_, 2 days);
}
```

Remediations to consider:

• Update implementation to perform safe downcasting and revert in case of

out-of-range values.



hasPermissions() will return true if there are no lenders passed

TOPIC STATUS IMPACT LIKELIHOOD ccess control Fixed Medium Low

MaplePoolPermissionManager 's hasPermission function accepts an arbitrary length array for lenders_ and loops through all addresses passed to check the corresponding pool permission level and bitmap. However, if no lenders are passed to this function, the returned value will be true, potentially allowing access to gated functions without permission.

It is worth mentioning that this is not possible in the current codebase, as it's impossible to provide arbitrary values to this function outside of external off-chain calls to the view function.

Consider returning false if no lenders are provided. Iternatively, document this behavior to avoid pitfalls.



MaplePoolPermissionManager's onlyGovernor modifier is unused

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Unnecessary code Fixed 2 Low

Consider removing onlyGovernor modifier from the



Missing indexed attributes for multiple events

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Events Fixed 2 Low

For the following events, consider using an indexed attribute for one or more parameters:

- IMaplePoolPermissionManager
 - Lender llowlistSet
 - Permission dminSet
 - PoolBitmapsSet
 - PoolPermissionLevelSet
- IMapleWithdrawalManagerInitializer
 - Initialized
- IMapleWithdrawalManager
 - RequestCreated (for the owner parameter)

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

Additional changes in https://github.com/maple-labs/withdrawal-manager-queue-private/commit/9822b7551a11aab5f97be761df34d08f69973fdd.

Q-3 Redundant assignments in the MapleWithdrawalManagerFactory

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Unnecessary code Wont Do Low

In both withdrawal-manager-cyclical and withdrawal-manager-queue modules, the implementation of MapleWithdrawalManagerFactory.createInstance() function contains redundant and unnecessary assignment.

isInstance[instance_ = super.createInstance(arguments_, salt_)] = true;

Consider removing the mentioned assignment since super.createInstance()
performs the same in the parent function implementation.

isInstance[instance_] = true;

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We won't be redeploying the cyclical withdrawal manager factory. For ease of maintainability we'll keep the same assignment in the new queue withdrawal manager factory.

Q-4 Inconsistent whenProtocolNotPaused behavior between Withdrawal Managers

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT ccess control cknowledged Low

cross the two withdrawal manager contract types (queue and cyclical) there are logic inconsistencies in implementing pausable functionality.

The whenProtocolNotPaused modifier checks for isFunctionPaused in the queue withdrawal manager.

```
modifier whenProtocolNotPaused() {
    require(!IGlobalsLike(globals()).isFunctionPaused(msg.sig), "WM:PAU
    _;
}
```

However, the whenProtocolNotPaused modifier in the cyclical manager checks protocolPaused which is less flexible and does not allow fine-grained control.

```
modifier whenProtocolNotPaused() {
    require(!IGlobalsLike(globals()).protocolPaused(), "WM:PROTOCOL_PAU
    _;
}
```

Consider updating implementation to provide consistent behavior between Withdrawal Managers of different types to reduce maintenance impact and prevent operational issues.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

Currently the protocol pause is only used for one function setExitCycleConfig() in the cyclical withdrawal manager. We will consider update in the future release.



Improper condition in _processManualExit()

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT
Best practices Fixed 2 Low

In the MapleWithdrawalManager, _processManualExit() has the following implementation.

The value of redeemableShares_ returned from the _calculateRedemption() is always represented by the following relationship redeemableShares_ <= shares_. Therefore, shares_ cannot ever be smaller than redeemableShares_.

The same logic applies to the similar guard condition in the processRedemptions() function.

```
require(maxSharesToProcess_ <= redeemableShares_, "WM:PR:LOW_LIQUIDITY"</pre>
```

Consider changing conditions in the implementation above to the following to indicate that only full redemption would be possible.

```
In _processManualExit()
```

```
require(shares_ == redeemableShares_, "WM:PE:NOT_ENOUGH_LIQUIDITY");
```

```
require(maxSharesToProcess_ == redeemableShares_, "WM:PR:LOW_LIQUIDITY"
```

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

Additional update in https://github.com/maple-labs/withdrawal-manager-queue-

private/pull/27/commits/f4c8e3b6e2fcbcb2d51e628de9606d354abcfd40.



Inconsistent access control for upgrading withdrawal managers

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT ccess control Fixed ☑ Low

Maple Finance now features two types of withdrawal managers: Cyclical and Queue withdrawal managers. Both of these withdrawal managers feature upgrade functionality, which is access-controlled. However, access control is inconsistent.

Upgrading the cyclical withdrawal manager is allowed for the pool delegate **or governor**, while in the case of the queue withdrawal manager, upgrades are allowed to be performed by the pool delegate **or security admin**.

```
require(msg.sender == poolDelegate_ || msg.sender == governor(), "WM:U:
```

```
require(msg.sender == poolDelegate_ || msg.sender == securityAdmin(), "
```

Consider updating access control in the Cyclical withdrawal manager to allow securityAdmin() instead of the governor().

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

Fixed to allow security admin to upgrade.

Q-7

Inconsistent pause control for upgrades

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT ccess control cknowledged Low

For the withdrawal-manager-cyclical module, MapleWithdrawalManager proxy functions do not feature pausable behavior since whenProtocolNotPaused modifier is missing. This contrasts the implementation of other similar contracts across modules in the Maple Finance codebase.

Due to the above, fine-grained control over paused functionality on a specific contract instance is unavailable. However, a fallback is a pauseable functionality on the factory level. Functions missing whenProtocolNotPaused modifier:

- MapleWithdrawalManager.migrate()
- MapleWithdrawalManager.setImplementation()
- MapleWithdrawalManager.upgrade()

The same functions have the corresponding modifier in withdrawal-manager-queue: MapleWithdrawalManager.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We will make this update when introducing function level granularity for pausing in this contract in a future iteration.

Q-8

Unnecessary event emission in cyclical MapleWithdrawalManager

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Events Wont Do Low

MapleWithdrawalManager.processExit() emits WithdrawalCanceled event in addition to WithdrawalProcessed when all lockedShares are processed, which is slightly misleading and could potentially cause errors in off-chain tracking and monitoring tools.

Consider not emitting this event when all lockedShares are processed.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

This is a requirement from our team to help with the subgraph data modeling.

Q-9

Inconsistent access control for MapleLoan.skim()

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT ccess control cknowledged Low

In the fixed-term-loan module MapleLoan.skim() is accessible to anyone, while in the open-term-loan module MapleLoan.skim() is accessible only to

the borrower and governor.

Consider updating access control for the MapleLoan.skim() in the fixed-term-loan module to prevent external parties from profiting from the mistakes of the protocol users.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We don't see this as an issue as there are inherit differences between open term and fixed term loans. For one, the open term loans don't directly hold funds to be drawn down like the fixed term loan. We don't expect the same behaviour across both loan types but this is something we will keep in mind in future iterations.

Q-10

Inconsistent validation in MapleLoan.skim()

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT Input Validation cknowledged Low

In the fixed-term-loan module MapleLoan.skim() has no zero address check for the destination address, while in the open-term-loan module MapleLoan.skim() corresponding check is present.

Consider adding a zero address check to the MapleLoan.skim() in the fixed-term-loan module to prevent assets from being inadvertently locked.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

As we are not updating the fixed term loan in this release we will defer this to a future update.

Q-11

Unnecessary import in MapleRefinancer

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Unnecessary code cknowledged Low

In the open-term-loan module, MapleRefinancer contains unused import of IFRC20.

Consider removing this unnecessary import.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We will update the MapleRefinancer in a future release when we decide to re-deploy the MapleRefinancer contract.

Q-12

Use onlyPoolManager modifier in the cyclical withdrawal manager

TOPIC STATUS QUALITY IMPACT

Best practices cknowledged Low

In the withdrawal-manager-cyclical module, the MapleWithdrawalManager contract implements pool manager access control directly in functions addShares(), removeShares(), and processExit().

```
require(msg.sender == poolManager, "WM:AS:NOT_POOL_MANAGER");
```

However, in the Queue withdrawal manager this access control is implemented using onlyPoolManager() modifier.

Consider replacing repetitive access controls with the <code>onlyPoolManager()</code> modifier in the cyclical withdrawal manager.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

We will update in a future release when we update the cyclical withdrawal manager.

1-1 Default bitmaps for Pool and Function level permission allow permissionless access

TOPIC IMPACT ccess control Informational *

In the pool-permission-manager module, the MaplePoolPermissionManager contract implements several modes for access control. Two modes that allow function level control and higher level but less fine-grained pool level control are represented by FUNCTION_LEVEL and POOL_LEVEL constant values.

These modes require corresponding bitmaps to be set in poolBitmaps mapping storage variable for each pool. When values in specific bitmap match bitmaps of lenders from respective lenderBitmaps mapping entries, access is allowed; otherwise is denied.

However, the default when corresponding bitmaps in these two modes are not set is to allow anyone access. This default comes with a high risk of misconfiguration, and actors responsible for configuring the permission manager (pool delegate and protocol admins) must take necessary precautions.

While this design choice is intentional, and it is meant to allow transfers to be allowed by default, Maple Finance will need to ensure that pool delegates are aware of misconfiguration risks.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

This is already in the natspec to ensure no operator error. However, we will highlight this in the docs too.

Config change delay period may be too short

TOPIC
Protocol Design

Impact
Informational *

In the cyclical withdrawal manager, the delay period when the new configuration starts to apply is +3 from the current cycle. This allows all users who have already locked their shares not to be affected during withdrawal (in case of enough liquidity during withdrawal).

When the pool delegate changes the configuration, it will take effect o This way all users that have already locked their shares will not have

configuration change new configuration kicks in

Users that request a withdrawal during C1 will withdraw during WW3 usin Users that lock their shares during and after C2 will withdraw in windo

However, if a delay is meant to be used as a Timelock mechanism to allow those unhappy with the new configuration to exit, the defined delay may be too short. Depending at which moment the new config is set in the current cycle, those users who haven't locked their shares yet may have time from 0 < time-to-decide < cycleDuration to decide on their withdrawal. This, in extreme cases, is a too short time period for the user to react.

Consider increasing the delay to +4, so that users who haven't yet locked their shares have at least one full cycle to decide on their withdrawal.

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

I-3

We don't see this as an issue as the user will still be able to request to withdraw in C2 and be able to exit in C4 as the window duration will still be at the start of C4. But we will keep this in mind for future designs.

Not checking for L2 sequencer in Base contracts

TOPIC

Oracles Informational *

s suggested by Chainlink documentation, Optimistic L2 oracle implementations should check their corresponding L2 Sequencer uptime feed to ensure that the sequencer is live before trusting the data feed returned by the oracle. Even with the Oracle staleness price check, if the sequencer is down, there could be multiple price changes provided by the Oracle that won't go through and will get queued and applied once the sequencer is up; if price changes are high, it could cause unfair conditions for users and borrowers.

Maple **Base** Oracles are currently not being used by the protocol; if enabled checks should be added to verify that the sequencer is live and provide a grace period to react to potential high price changes (See suggested example in Chainlink docs).

RESPONSE BY M PLE FIN NCE

This is something we will keep in mind if we decide to use oracles on the BASE/L2 deployments

Disclaimer

Macro makes no warranties, either express, implied, statutory, or otherwise, with respect to the services or deliverables provided in this report, and Macro specifically disclaims all implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, noninfringement and those arising from a course of dealing, usage or trade with respect thereto, and all such warranties are hereby excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Macro will not be liable for any lost profits, business, contracts, revenue, goodwill, production, anticipated savings, loss of data, or costs of procurement of substitute goods or services or for any claim or demand by any other party. In no event will Macro be liable for consequential, incidental, special, indirect, or exemplary damages arising out of this agreement or any work statement, however caused and (to the fullest extent permitted by law) under any theory of liability (including negligence), even if Macro has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

The scope of this report and review is limited to a review of only the code presented by the Maple Finance team and only the source code Macro notes as being within the scope of Macro's review within this report. This report does not include an audit of the deployment scripts used to deploy the Solidity contracts in the repository corresponding to this audit. Specifically, for the avoidance of doubt, this report does not constitute investment advice, is not intended to be relied upon as investment advice, is not an endorsement of this project or team, and it is not a guarantee as to the absolute security of the project. In this report you may through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to websites operated by persons other than Macro. Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such websites' owners. You agree that Macro is not responsible for the content or operation of such websites, and that Macro shall have no liability to your or any other person or entity for the use of third party websites. Macro assumes no responsibility for the use of third party software and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any outcome generated by such software.