## Queiroz et al. 2020

## 10/6/2020

Supplement to the paper Queiroz et al. (2020, Biotropica).

Ecological Synthesis Lab (SintECO).

Authors: Joel A. Queiroz, Ugo M. Diniz, Diego P. Vázquez, Zelma M. Quirino, Francisco A.R. Santos, Marco A.R. Mello, Isabel C. Machado.

See README for further info.

This tutorial aims to help reproduce the analyses and figures published in our paper. Please follow the steps described in each section, to see how each figure was drawn. Use the summary below to navigate through the sections.

## Summary

Set the stage

Process the network

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure S2 (topology)

Figure 3 (centrality)

Figure 4

Figure S1

#### Set the stage

First, you will have to get ready for running the code provided here.

Set the working directory:

```
setwd(dirname(rstudioapi::getActiveDocumentContext()$path))
```

Delete all previous objects:

```
rm(list= ls())
```

Load the required packages:

```
library(igraph)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'igraph'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       decompose, spectrum
## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
       union
library(bipartite)
## Loading required package: vegan
## Loading required package: permute
## Attaching package: 'permute'
## The following object is masked from 'package:igraph':
##
##
       permute
## Loading required package: lattice
## This is vegan 2.5-6
##
## Attaching package: 'vegan'
## The following object is masked from 'package:igraph':
##
##
       diversity
## Loading required package: sna
## Loading required package: statnet.common
##
## Attaching package: 'statnet.common'
## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
       order
##
## Loading required package: network
```

```
## network: Classes for Relational Data
## Version 1.16.0 created on 2019-11-30.
## copyright (c) 2005, Carter T. Butts, University of California-Irvine
                       Mark S. Handcock, University of California -- Los Angeles
##
##
                       David R. Hunter, Penn State University
##
                       Martina Morris, University of Washington
##
                       Skye Bender-deMoll, University of Washington
## For citation information, type citation("network").
## Type help("network-package") to get started.
##
## Attaching package: 'network'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:igraph':
##
##
       %c%, %s%, add.edges, add.vertices, delete.edges, delete.vertices,
##
       get.edge.attribute, get.edges, get.vertex.attribute, is.bipartite,
##
       is.directed, list.edge.attributes, list.vertex.attributes,
       set.edge.attribute, set.vertex.attribute
##
## sna: Tools for Social Network Analysis
## Version 2.6 created on 2020-10-5.
## copyright (c) 2005, Carter T. Butts, University of California-Irvine
## For citation information, type citation("sna").
## Type help(package="sna") to get started.
##
## Attaching package: 'sna'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:igraph':
##
       betweenness, bonpow, closeness, components, degree, dyad.census,
##
##
       evcent, hierarchy, is.connected, neighborhood, triad.census
## This is bipartite 2.15.
## For latest changes see versionlog in ?"bipartite-package". For citation see: citation("bipartite").
## Have a nice time plotting and analysing two-mode networks.
##
## Attaching package: 'bipartite'
## The following object is masked from 'package:vegan':
##
##
       nullmodel
## The following object is masked from 'package:igraph':
##
       strength
library(Rmisc)
```

```
## Loading required package: plyr
##
## Attaching package: 'plyr'
## The following object is masked from 'package:bipartite':
##
##
       empty
## The following object is masked from 'package:network':
##
##
       is.discrete
library(vegan)
library(gdata)
## gdata: read.xls support for 'XLS' (Excel 97-2004) files ENABLED.
##
## gdata: read.xls support for 'XLSX' (Excel 2007+) files ENABLED.
##
## Attaching package: 'gdata'
## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       nobs
## The following object is masked from 'package:utils':
##
##
       object.size
## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
##
       startsWith
library(ggplot2)
library(gridExtra)
##
## Attaching package: 'gridExtra'
## The following object is masked from 'package:gdata':
##
##
       combine
```

#### library(grid)

Load the custom-made functions:

```
source("RestNullModel.R")
source("PosteriorProb.R")
source("MyDiamond.R")
```

#### Process the network

Set a seed to make the results reproducible:


```
set.seed(14)
```

Import the network:

```
data <- as.matrix(read.delim("data/network.txt", row.names=1))</pre>
```

Plot the matrix to have a first impression about the network's structure:

#### visweb(data)



Convert the network to igraph format:

```
data2 <- graph_from_incidence_matrix(data, directed = F, weighted = TRUE)</pre>
```

Inform which nodes represent which taxonomic groups:

## Warning in vattrs[[name]][index] <- value: número de itens para para substituir
## não é um múltiplo do comprimento do substituto</pre>

```
V(data2)$set[(nrow(data)+1):(nrow(data)+ncol(data))] = "Plants"
```

## Figure 1

This figure was made in Photoshop as a panel of photos taken in the field. Therefore, it is not reproducible by code

#### Figure 2

This is the graph that represents the nocturnal pollination network studied.

The original graph was made in Gephi, so it is reproducible only by pseudocode. Here we describe the steps needed to reproduce it

In the next section we provide also code to plot a similar graph in R.

#### Pseudocode to reproduce Figure 2 in Gephi

- 1. Prepare the data that will be fed to Gephi:
  - a. "vertices.csv" (folder "figure2") containing vertex data. Each row corresponds to a vertex, and each column, a descriptor. Contains the columns "Id" (vertex ID), "Label" (certex code), "time-set" (left blank), "Polygon" (the shape of the vertex, the number represents the number of sides of the polygon) and "syndrome" (a discreet variable representing chiropterophily, sphingophily, other syndromes, unidentified species or pollinators).
  - b. "edges.csv" (folder "figure2") containing edge data. Each row corresponds to an interaction. Contain the columns "Source" and "Target" the two interacting species "Type" (directed or undirected), "Id", "label" (left blank), "timeset" (left blank), and "weight" (interaction frequency).
- 2. Open Gephi, click "Tools" in the upper left corner and select "Plugins". Search for the plugin "Polygon Shaped Nodes" and install it. When successfully installed, restart Gephi.
- 3. Head to Data Laboratory and select "Import Spreadsheet". Import first the "vertices.csv" file as a Nodes table, selecting comma as a separator. Click 'next'. Make sure both "Polygon" and "Syndrome" are marked as integers, then click "Finish". Select Graph Type "undirected" and click "OK".
- 4. Import the "edges.csv" file as an Edges table, selecting comma as a separator. Click "next". Make sure that "Weight" is marked as an integer and click "finish". Mark "Append to existing workplace" to associate the edges with the vertices already imported.
- 5. Head to Overview. In the Appearance tap on the left side of the window, click "Nodes", select "Partition", and choose "Syndrome" as partition. Click the squares to manually tweak node color by syndrome. Note that pollinators do not fit into syndromes and are represented by zeros.

- 6. Still in Nodes, switch to node size (icon containing growing circles), select "Unique", and change node size according to preference. In the "Edge" section, click "Unique" and then click the square to choose edge color. Click "Apply" below to make changes effective.
- 7. In the central part of the Overview window, change node position according to preference. The positions intended for our work are such that modules (identified by the network analysis in R) are visually clear.
- 8. Head to Preview. In Presets, select "Default Straight". In the Node Label section, check "Show labels" and choose label font and size. In the Edges section, check "Show edges", select "original" in Color, and uncheck "Curved". Any other setting in the Preview window may be changed according to preference.
- 9. Export file in preferred format. Module polygons were drawn in an image editing software, to which SVG format is optimal.

#### Code to reproduce Figure 2 in R

We are going to use the same igraph object prepared before:

#### data2

Set an energy-minimization layout:

```
lay1 <- layout_nicely(data2)</pre>
```

Set edge mode and width:

```
E(data2)$arrow.mode = 0
E(data2)$width = E(data2)$weight/5+1
```

Import the "diamond" vertex shape:

```
source("MyDiamond.R")
```

Set vertex shapes:

```
V(data2)$shape = V(data2)$set
V(data2)$shape = gsub("Bats","diamond",V(data2)$shape)
V(data2)$shape = gsub("Moths","square",V(data2)$shape)
V(data2)$shape = gsub("Plants","circle",V(data2)$shape)
```

Calculate the Louvain modularity (resolution = 1.0).

At this resolution, this algorithm gives very similar results as DIRT\_LPA+ (Beckett modularity). Nevertheless, by running this analysis with the *igraph* package, it is easier to plot the graph with clouds representing the modules. We did not find a similar solution using outputs from the *bipartite* package.


```
data2.lou = cluster_louvain(data2)
```

Set node and cloud colors by modularity:

```
colrs <- rainbow(length(data2.lou), alpha = 1.0, s = 1, v = 0.8)
V(data2)$color <- colrs[data2.lou$membership]
clouds = rainbow(length(data2.lou), alpha = 0.1)</pre>
```

#### Plot Figure 2:

```
par(mfrow=c(1,1),mar=c(1,1,1,5))
plot(data2.lou,
    data2,
     col = V(data2)$color,
    mark.border="lightgrey",
    mark.col=clouds,
    vertex.size=7.5,
     vertex.label=V(data2)$name,
    vertex.label.color="white",
     vertex.label.cex=.3,
     edge.color = adjustcolor("grey", alpha.f = .5),
     edge.curved=0.3,
     edge.width = 3,
     layout=lay1)
legend(x = 0.9,y = 1.0, legend = c("Bats", "Moths", "Plants"),
       pch = c(18,15,19), title="Taxon",
       text.col = "gray20", title.col = "black",
       box.lwd = 0, cex = 2, col=c("grey", "grey", "grey"))
```



## Figure S2

Here we are going to reproduce the topological analysis of the nocturnal networks. It takes several steps.

In the end, we are going to reproduce Figure S2, provided in the supplementary material, which represents the compound topology of the network.

First, set the number of permutations to be used in all null model analyses.

Consider that this kind of analysis is very resource-consuming. So have in mind your comoputer's power and memory, before setting this value.

In this tutorial, we have set the permutations to 10, in order to make analyzing and knitting faster. In our paper, we have set it to 1000, as you can see in the script "analysis.R" provided in this repo.

```
permutations <- 10
```

Generate randomized matrices using the Vázquez null model:

```
nulls <- nullmodel(data, N=permutations, method="vaznull")</pre>
```

#### Modularity

Calculate modularity (DIRT\_LPA+) for the observed network:

```
Mod <- computeModules(data, method = "Beckett")</pre>
```

Extract module membership:

```
Part <- bipartite::module2constraints(Mod)
row.Part <- Part[1:nrow(data)]
col.Part <- Part[(nrow(data)+1):(nrow(data)+ncol(data))]</pre>
```

Calculate modularity for the randomized networks:

```
nullmod <- sapply(nulls, computeModules, method = "Beckett")
modnull <- sapply(nullmod, function(x) x@likelihood)
(Mod@likelihood - mean(modnull))/sd(modnull) # Z value</pre>
```

```
## [1] 21.85726
```

```
Mod.sig <- sum(modnull>(Mod@likelihood)) / length(modnull) # p value
```

Now let us plot the observed value against the distribution of randomized values.


If the observed value (red line) falls much **higher** than the randomized values (black curve), it means that the topology in question might be a good explanation for the structure of the network, as it is much higher than expected by chance.

If the observed value falls much **lower** then than randomized values, it means that the topology in question is probably a poor explanation for the structure of the networks, as it is much lower than expected by chance.

Nevertheless, keep in mind that, on the one hand, the score of a network for a given topological metric is one of its intrinsic properties. On the other hand, the p-value estimated using a null model is a different property. Therefore, it is meaningless to think black-and-white in terms of the network being nested or not, modular or not, specialized or not. Those properties are continuous and intrinsic. The chance of a particula score having emerged by chance is a another story.

Plot the curve:

## Observed vs. randomized



Estimate the p-values:

```
Mod@likelihood #observed

## [1] 0.365191

mean(modnull) #randomized mean

## [1] 0.1661709

sd(modnull) #randomized SD

## [1] 0.009105444

(Mod@likelihood - mean(modnull))/sd(modnull) # Z-value

## [1] 21.85726

sum(modnull>(Mod@likelihood)) / length(modnull) #randomized > observed

## [1] 0

sum(modnull<(Mod@likelihood)) / length(modnull) #randomized < observed

## [1] 1</pre>
```

#### Specialization

Calculate specialization (H2') for the observed network:

```
Spec <- networklevel(data, index="H2")</pre>
```

Calculate specialization for the randomized networks:


```
randomized.Spec <- unlist(sapply(nulls, networklevel, index="H2"))
(Spec - mean(randomized.Spec))/sd(randomized.Spec) # Z value</pre>
```

```
## H2
## 10.43481
```

```
Spec.sig <- sum(randomized.Spec>Spec)/length(randomized.Spec) # p value
```

Plot the observed value against the distribution of randomized values:

## Observed vs. randomized




Estimate the p-values:

```
Spec #observed
```

```
##
## 0.3392862
mean(randomized.Spec) #randomized mean
## [1] 0.1662903
sd(randomized.Spec) #randomized SD
## [1] 0.01657873
(Spec - mean(randomized.Spec))/sd(randomized.Spec) # Z-value
##
         H2
## 10.43481
sum(randomized.Spec>(Spec)) / length(randomized.Spec) #randomized > observed
## [1] 0
sum(randomized.Spec<(Spec)) / length(randomized.Spec) #randomized < observed</pre>
## [1] 1
Nestedness
#Calculate nestedness (WNODF) for the observed network:
Nest <- networklevel(data, index="weighted NODF")</pre>
Calculate nestedness for the randomized networks:
randomized.Nest <- unlist(sapply(nulls, networklevel, index="weighted NODF"))</pre>
(Nest - mean(randomized.Nest))/sd(randomized.Nest) # Z value
## weighted NODF
      -4.145727
Nest.sig <- sum(randomized.Nest>Nest)/length(randomized.Nest) # p value
Plot the observed value against the distribution of randomized values:
plot(density(randomized.Nest), main="Observed vs. randomized",
     xlim=c(min((Nest), min(randomized.Nest)),
            max((Nest), max(randomized.Nest))))
abline(v=Nest, col="red", lwd=2, xlab="")
```

## Observed vs. randomized



Estimate the p-values:

## [1] 1

```
## weighted NODF
## 33.6547

mean(randomized.Nest) #randomized mean

## [1] 46.69572

sd(randomized.Nest) #randomized SD

## [1] 3.145652

(Nest - mean(randomized.Nest))/sd(randomized.Nest) # Z-value

## weighted NODF
## -4.145727

sum(randomized.Nest>(Nest)) / length(randomized.Nest) #randomized > observed
```

```
sum(randomized.Nest<(Nest)) / length(randomized.Nest) #randomized < observed
## [1] 0</pre>
```

#### Compound topology

Calculate compound nestedness (using WNODA) for the observed network:

Calculate constrained interaction probabilities considering the network's modular structure:

```
Pij <- PosteriorProb(M = data,

R.partitions = row.Part, C.partitions = col.Part, #Input the modular structured re

Prior.Pij = "degreeprob", #Choose the null model

Conditional.level = "modules") #Choose the kind of constraints
```

Generate randomized networks with the restricted model, considering the interaction probabilities calculated before:

Calculate compound nestedness for the randomized networks:

```
WNODAmatrix
##
          WNODArow
                          WNODAcol
                                                      WNODA_SM_row
                                                                       WNODA_DM_row
                                                                           36.04732
##
          42.09696
                          36.18075
                                          38.44400
                                                          60.10520
##
      WNODA SM col
                      WNODA DM col WNODA SM matrix WNODA DM matrix
##
          59.85543
                          26.34665
                                          59.94205
                                                          30.19088
```

Plot the observed nestedness value against the distribution of randomized values:


observed vs. randomized

WNODA matrix

observed vs. randomized

observed vs. randomized

WNODAdm matrix



WNODAsm matrix

```
par(mfrow = c(1,1))
```

Estimate the p-values:

Nestedness in the entire network:

```
praw.WNODA <- sum(WNODA.null.com>obs.com[3]) / length(WNODA.null.com)
p.WNODA <- ifelse(praw.WNODA > 0.5, 1- praw.WNODA, praw.WNODA) # P-value
```

Nestedness within the modules:

```
praw.WNODAsm <- sum(WNODAsm.null.com>obs.com[8]) / length(WNODAsm.null.com)
p.WNODAsm <- ifelse(praw.WNODAsm > 0.5, 1- praw.WNODAsm, praw.WNODAsm) # P-value
```

Nestedness between the modules:

```
praw.WNODAdm <- sum(WNODAdm.null.com>obs.com[9]) / length(WNODAdm.null.com)
p.WNODAdm <- ifelse(praw.WNODAdm > 0.5, 1- praw.WNODAdm, praw.WNODAdm) # P-value
```


Plot the compound topology: Figure S2. Now we have come to it. All previous topological analyses were needed to make this final analysis.

Sort the matrix in a way that facilitates visualizing its compound topology:

Assign colors to the modules:

```
modcol <- rainbow((length(unique(Part))), alpha=1, s = 1, v = 1)</pre>
```

#### Plot Figure S2:



#### Summary of the topological results

The network has 19 rows and 24 columns.

The network's specialization (H2) is 0.34, P = 0.

The network's modularity (DIRT\_LPA+) is 0.37, P = 0, and it contains 4 modules.

The network's nestedness (WNODF) is 0.34, P = 1.

The network shows the following scores of nestedness (WNODA):

Entire network = 0.38, P = 0.4.

Between the modules = 0.3, P = 0.5.

Within the modules = 0.6, P = 0.3.

#### Figure 3

All analyses in this section are focused on the nodes, and not on the entire network.

They are run in steps and then compiled to produce the panel of Figure 3.

Calculate specialization (d'):

```
d <- specieslevel(data,index="d")
dplants <- d$'higher level'</pre>
```

Calculate betweenness centrality (BC):

```
BC <- specieslevel(data, index="betweenness")
BCplants <- BC$higher
```

Calculate normalized degree (nk):

```
ND <-ND(data, normalised=T)
NDplants <- ND$higher</pre>
```

Compare centrality metrics by pollination syndrome.

Import the data:


```
plants <- read.xls("data/plants.xlsx", h=T) # reading compiled spreadsheet with species & metrics class
```

Change the reference level for the GLMs:

```
ord <- ordered(plants$Guild, levels = c("sphin", "chiro", "other"))</pre>
```

#### Plot Figure 3:

```
theme_set(theme_gray(base_size = 24))
pd <- ggplot(plants, aes(x=ord, y=d, fill=Guild)) +
  ylab("d'") + xlab("") + ylim(0, 0.8) +
  scale_fill_manual(values=c("darkolivegreen1", "sandybrown", "orchid1"))+
  geom_boxplot(width=0.5, color="black") +
  theme_classic() +
  theme(panel.border = element_rect(colour = "black", fill=NA, size=.5),
        axis.title.y = element_text(color="black", face ="italic", size =23),
        axis.text= element_text(color="black", size=19),
        legend.position = "none") +
   geom_text(x="other", y=0.8, label="A", size = 10)
pnk <- ggplot(plants, aes(x=ord, y=nk, fill=Guild)) +</pre>
  vlab("nk") + xlab("") + vlim(0, 1.1) +
  scale_fill_manual(values=c("darkolivegreen1", "sandybrown", "orchid1"))+
  geom_boxplot(width=0.5, color="black") +
  theme_classic() +
  theme(panel.border = element_rect(colour = "black", fill=NA, size=.5),
        axis.title.y = element_text(color="black", face ="italic", size =23),
        axis.text= element_text(color="black", size=19),
        legend.position = "none") +
  geom_text(x="other", y=1.1, label="B", size = 10)
pBC <- ggplot(plants, aes(x=ord, y=bc, fill=Guild)) +</pre>
  ylab("BC") + xlab("") + ylim(0, 0.15) +
  scale_fill_manual(values=c("darkolivegreen1", "sandybrown", "orchid1"))+
  geom_boxplot(width=0.5, color="black") +
  theme_classic() +
  theme(panel.border = element_rect(colour = "black", fill=NA, size=.5),
```



#### GLMs to compare centrality by pollination syndrome

Prepare the data:

```
##
## chiro other sphin
## 9 8 5

plants$Guild <- factor(plants$Guild, ordered = FALSE)

plants$Guild <- relevel(plants$Guild, ref="chiro") #changing reference level for GLMs
plants$Guild <- relevel(plants$Guild, ref="sphin")
plants$Guild <- relevel(plants$Guild, ref="other")</pre>
```

d':

```
glmd <- glm(plants$d ~ plants$Guild, family=quasibinomial("logit"))</pre>
summary(glmd)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = plants$d ~ plants$Guild, family = quasibinomial("logit"))
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
                   1Q
                         Median
                                       3Q
                                                Max
                        0.04888
## -0.39392 -0.08322
                                  0.15974
                                            0.23511
##
## Coefficients:
##
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                 0.1751 -7.445 4.79e-07 ***
## (Intercept)
                     -1.3035
## plants$Guildsphin 1.0753
                                  0.2531 4.249 0.000434 ***
## plants$Guildchiro
                     0.1409
                                 0.2364 0.596 0.558094
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 0.04119193)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1.72876 on 21 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 0.86102 on 19 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
glm_d <- anova(glmd, test = "Chisq")</pre>
glm_d
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: quasibinomial, link: logit
##
## Response: plants$d
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
                Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                                   21
                                         1.72876
## plants$Guild 2 0.86774
                                         0.86102 2.664e-05 ***
                                   19
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
BC:
glmbc <- glm(plants$bc ~ plants$Guild, family=quasibinomial("logit"))</pre>
summary(glmbc)
```

##

```
## Call:
## glm(formula = plants$bc ~ plants$Guild, family = quasibinomial("logit"))
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
                   1Q
                         Median
                                       3Q
                                                Max
## -0.32025 -0.25659 -0.02493
                                0.09635
                                            0.33539
## Coefficients:
##
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                    -3.30614
                                0.39779 -8.311 9.46e-08 ***
## plants$Guildsphin 0.09828
                                 0.62402
                                           0.157
                                                    0.877
## plants$Guildchiro 0.36146
                                 0.50918 0.710
                                                    0.486
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 0.04318113)
##
##
       Null deviance: 0.98081 on 21 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 0.95685 on 19 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
glm_bc <- anova(glmbc, test = "Chisq")</pre>
glm_bc
## Analysis of Deviance Table
## Model: quasibinomial, link: logit
##
## Response: plants$bc
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
##
                Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
## NULL
                                   21
                                        0.98081
## plants$Guild 2 0.023963
                                   19
                                         0.95685 0.7577
nk:
glmnk <- glm(plants$nk ~ plants$Guild, family=quasibinomial("logit"))</pre>
summary(glmnk)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = plants$nk ~ plants$Guild, family = quasibinomial("logit"))
## Deviance Residuals:
                   1Q
                         Median
                                       3Q
                                                Max
## -0.49970 -0.27872 -0.03614 0.13659
                                            0.94078
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
##
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                    -1.8307 0.3487 -5.250 4.57e-05 ***
                                 0.4828
                                          1.985 0.06180 .
## plants$Guildsphin 0.9582
## plants$Guildchiro
                      1.3664
                                 0.4194
                                          3.258 0.00414 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 0.1158454)
##
##
      Null deviance: 3.6428 on 21 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 2.2518 on 19 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
glm_k <- anova(glmnk, test = "Chisq")</pre>
glm_k
## Analysis of Deviance Table
## Model: quasibinomial, link: logit
## Response: plants$nk
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
               Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                                  21
                                         3.6428
## plants$Guild 2
                   1.3911
                                  19
                                         2.2518 0.002469 **
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
```

## Figure 4

Import the morphology data

```
morph_plants<-read.xls("data/morph_pla.xlsx", h=T)
morph_pol <- read.xls("data/morph_pol.xlsx", h=T)</pre>
```

Change the reference level for the GLMs:


```
morph_plants$module <- factor(morph_plants$module, ordered = FALSE)
morph_pol$module <- factor(morph_pol$module, ordered = FALSE)

morph_plants$module <- relevel(morph_plants$module, ref="bat")
morph_pol$module <- relevel(morph_pol$module, ref="hawk1")</pre>
```

#### Plot Figure 4:

```
ggmorph<-read.xls("data/morph_graph.xlsx",h=T)

ggplot(ggmorph, aes(x=module, y=measure, fill=variable)) +
   ylab("Measure (mm)")+ xlab("Modules")+ ylim(0, 150) +
   scale_fill_manual(values=c("slateblue", "goldenrod1", "coral1"))+
   geom_boxplot(width=0.5, color="black", position = position_dodge(width=0.5)) +
   theme_classic() +
   theme(panel.border = element_rect(colour = "black", fill=NA, size=.5) ,
        axis.title.y = element_text(color="black", size =20),
        axis.title.x = element_text(color="black", size =20),
        axis.text= element_text(color="black", size=19), legend.position = "none")</pre>
```



## GLMs to compare morphometry by module

Pollinator tongues:

```
glm_pol <- glm(morph_pol$length_pol~morph_pol$module, family=gaussian())
summary(glm_pol)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
```

```
## glm(formula = morph_pol$length_pol ~ morph_pol$module, family = gaussian())
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
       Min
                                           Max
## -40.114 -13.442
                     -1.283
                               14.301
                                        60.886
##
## Coefficients:
                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept)
                            83.11
                                       10.31
                                               8.061 7.84e-07 ***
                           -53.33
## morph_pol$modulebat
                                       15.18 -3.514 0.00313 **
                                       21.87 -2.616 0.01947 *
## morph_pol$modulehawk2
                           -57.21
## morph_pol$modulehawk3
                           -58.96
                                       17.10 -3.449 0.00358 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 744.1431)
##
##
       Null deviance: 25036 on 18 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 11162 on 15 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 185.06
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
anova(glm_pol, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
## Model: gaussian, link: identity
## Response: morph_pol$length_pol
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
##
                    Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
## NULL
                                               25036
                                       18
## morph_pol$module 3
                          13874
                                       15
                                               11162 0.0003239 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
Floral width (w) and length (l):
glm_pla_1 <- glm(morph_plants$length_pla~morph_plants$module, family=gaussian())</pre>
glm_pla_w <- glm(morph_plants$width_pla~morph_plants$module, family=gaussian())</pre>
summary(glm_pla_l)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = morph_plants$length_pla ~ morph_plants$module,
       family = gaussian())
##
## Deviance Residuals:
```


```
Median
                                   3Q
                 1Q
                                8.049
## -61.160 -14.011
                     -0.850
                                        61.986
##
## Coefficients:
##
                            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                               37.81
                                          13.45
                                                  2.812
                                                          0.0138 *
## (Intercept)
## morph plants$modulehawk1
                               26.65
                                          20.83
                                                  1.279
                                                          0.2217
                              -31.41
## morph_plants$modulehawk2
                                          20.83
                                                -1.508
                                                          0.1538
## morph_plants$modulehawk3
                              -29.53
                                          38.04 -0.777
                                                          0.4504
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 1265.831)
##
##
       Null deviance: 26920 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 17722 on 14 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 185.14
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
anova(glm_pla_l, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: gaussian, link: identity
## Response: morph_plants$length_pla
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
                       Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                                          17
                                                  26920
                                                  17722 0.06387 .
## morph_plants$module 3
                            9198.2
                                          14
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
summary(glm_pla_w)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = morph_plants$width_pla ~ morph_plants$module, family = gaussian())
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
     Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -8.920 -2.553 -0.240
                           0.335 14.540
##
## Coefficients:
##
                            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                              14.030
                                          2.328
                                                 6.028 3.1e-05 ***
## morph_plants$modulehawk1
                              -5.470
                                          3.606 -1.517
                                                          0.1515
## morph plants$modulehawk2 -12.690
                                          3.606 -3.519
                                                          0.0034 **
## morph_plants$modulehawk3 -12.320
                                          6.584 - 1.871
                                                          0.0823 .
```


```
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 37.9257)
##
       Null deviance: 1046.76 on 17 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 530.96 on 14 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 122
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
anova(glm_pla_w, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: gaussian, link: identity
##
## Response: morph_plants$width_pla
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
##
                       Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
## NULL
                                          17
                                                1046.76
                                                 530.96 0.003503 **
## morph_plants$module 3
                             515.8
                                          14
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Figure S1
Load the interaction data for the Chao1 estimator:
sampbat<- read.xls("data/sampbat.xlsx", h=T)</pre>
estimateR(sampbat, index =c("chao"))
##
                 [,1]
## S.obs
            13.000000
## S.chao1 14.000000
## se.chao1 2.283481
## S.ACE
            14.877551
## se.ACE
             1.415216
str(sampbat)
## 'data.frame':
                    1 obs. of 13 variables:
```

```
## $ Pilo_.goun: int 40
## $ Bauh_chei : int 24
## $ Ceib_glaz : int 15
## $ Ench_spec : int 40
## $ Ipom_marc : int 26
## $ Heli_baru : int 50
```

```
## $ Crot_sp : int 4
## $ Pseu_marg : int 49
## $ Indet1 : int 1
## $ Liri_sp : int 32
## $ Pipt_stip : int 3
## $ Pilo_chry : int 52
  $ Comb_sp : int 1
samphawk <- read.xls("data/samphawk.xlsx", h=T)</pre>
estimateR(samphawk, index =c("chao"))
                  [,1]
##
## S.obs
           22.0000000
## S.chao1 22.2000000
## se.chao1 0.6195203
## S.ACE
           23.3031903
## se.ACE
            2.4385542
str(samphawk)
## 'data.frame':
                   1 obs. of 22 variables:
## $ Pilo_.goun: int 83
## $ Bauh_chei : int 39
## $ Ceib_glaz : int 10
## $ Ench_spec : int 30
## $ Guet_ange : int 68
## $ Toco_form : int 18
## $ Ambu_cear : int 48
## $ Heli_baru : int 10
## $ Crot_sp : int 27
## $ Cere_jama : int 18
## $ Indet1
             : int 4
## $ Liri_sp : int 2
## $ Pipt_stip : int 5
## $ Aspi pyri : int 38
## $ Comb_sp
              : int 8
## $ Anad_colu : int 2
## $ Alib_sp : int 1
## $ Allo_sp
              : int 3
## $ Caes_sp
              : int 2
## $ Schi_bras : int 2
## $ Indet2
               : int 1
Load the interaction data for drawing the rarefaction curve:
sampling_bats <- read.xls("data/sampling_bats.xlsx", h=T)</pre>
curve_bat<- specaccum(sampling_bats, method="rarefaction")</pre>
sampling_hawkmoths <- read.xls("data/sampling_hawkmoths.xlsx", h=T)</pre>
curve_hawk<- specaccum(sampling_hawkmoths, method="rarefaction")</pre>
```

#### Plot Figure S1:





# Number of interactions

```
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
```