

FIC with a nonparametric candidate – a new strategy for FIC construction –

Martin Jullum

Norwegian Computing Center

jullum@nr.no

May 9, 2016

- 1 The FIC idea
- 2 The original FIC
- 3 The new FIC
- 4 Original vs. new FIC
- 5 Summary and concluding remarks

The general FIC idea

Classical model selection

- AIC, BIC, DIC,...
- Overall measuring information criterion

Focused Information Criterion (FIC)

- What you want to learn from the data analysis should reflect your choice of model
- Assumes the purpose of the analysis is to estimate a specific focus parameter μ
- Model selection problem \rightarrow Best estimator for μ
- Performance measure: Risk of μ -estimator (typically MSE-type)
- The FIC score is an estimate of the this risk
- Models/estimators are ranked by their FIC scores

The general FIC idea

Classical model selection

- AIC, BIC, DIC,...
- Overall measuring information criterion

Focused Information Criterion (FIC)

- What you want to learn from the data analysis should reflect your choice of model
- Assumes the purpose of the analysis is to estimate a specific focus parameter μ
- Model selection problem \rightarrow Best estimator for μ
- Performance measure: Risk of μ -estimator (typically MSE-type)
- The FIC score is an estimate of the this risk
- Models/estimators are ranked by their FIC scores

The original FIC (I)

- Assume all candidate models are parametrically nested (i.i.d. data)
 - Wide model (θ : free, γ : free), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{wide}}, \hat{\gamma}_{\text{wide}})$
 - Narrow model (θ : free, γ : fixed = γ_0), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma_0)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{narr}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{narr}}, \gamma_0)$
 - All other models assumed to lie between these, with different portions of the full γ parameter estimated/fixed
- Relies on a local misspecification framework:
 $g_n(y) = f(y; \theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- True value of μ is $\mu_{\text{true},n} = \mu(\theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- Under this framework, for each submodel S :

$$\Lambda_{S,n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n}) \rightarrow_d \Lambda_S \sim N(\text{bias}_S(\delta), \text{var}_S(\delta))$$

The original FIC (I)

- Assume all candidate models are parametrically nested (i.i.d. data)
 - Wide model (θ : free, γ : free), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{wide}}, \hat{\gamma}_{\text{wide}})$
 - Narrow model (θ : free, γ : fixed = γ_0), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma_0)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{narr}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{narr}}, \gamma_0)$
 - All other models assumed to lie between these, with different portions of the full γ parameter estimated/fixed
- Relies on a local misspecification framework:
 $g_n(y) = f(y; \theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- True value of μ is $\mu_{\text{true},n} = \mu(\theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- Under this framework, for each submodel S :

$$\Lambda_{S,n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n}) \rightarrow_d \Lambda_S \sim N(\text{bias}_S(\delta), \text{var}_S(\delta))$$

The original FIC (I)

- Assume all candidate models are parametrically nested (i.i.d. data)
 - Wide model (θ : free, γ : free), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{wide}}, \hat{\gamma}_{\text{wide}})$
 - Narrow model (θ : free, γ : fixed = γ_0), density: $f(y; \theta, \gamma_0)$, focus:
 $\hat{\mu}_{\text{narr}} = \mu(\hat{\theta}_{\text{narr}}, \gamma_0)$
 - All other models assumed to lie between these, with different portions of the full γ parameter estimated/fixed
- Relies on a local misspecification framework:
 $g_n(y) = f(y; \theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- True value of μ is $\mu_{\text{true},n} = \mu(\theta_0, \gamma_0 + \delta/\sqrt{n})$
- Under this framework, for each submodel S :

$$\Lambda_{S,n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n}) \rightarrow_d \Lambda_S \sim N(\text{bias}_S(\delta), \text{var}_S(\delta))$$

The original FIC (II)

- Risk: MSE of the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$ under the local misspecification framework, i.e. for submodel S :

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\Lambda_S) = \text{bias}_S^2(\delta) + \text{var}_S(\delta)$$

- Estimates the risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widehat{\text{risk}}_S = \widehat{\text{bias}}_S^2(\delta) + \widehat{\text{var}}_S(\delta)$$

- Constructed for
 - I.i.d. and standard regression models
 - Variable selection for Cox's prop. haz. Aalen's lin. haz. regression (with censoring)
 - Parametric time series models
 - etc.

The original FIC (II)

- Risk: MSE of the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$ under the local misspecification framework, i.e. for submodel S :

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\Lambda_S) = \text{bias}_S^2(\delta) + \text{var}_S(\delta)$$

- Estimates the risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widehat{\text{risk}}_S = \widehat{\text{bias}}_S^2(\delta) + \widehat{\text{var}}_S(\delta)$$

- Constructed for
 - I.i.d. and standard regression models
 - Variable selection for Cox's prop. haz. Aalen's lin. haz. regression (with censoring)
 - Parametric time series models
 - etc.

The original FIC (II)

- Risk: MSE of the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$ under the local misspecification framework, i.e. for submodel S :

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\Lambda_S) = \text{bias}_S^2(\delta) + \text{var}_S(\delta)$$

- Estimates the risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widehat{\text{risk}}_S = \widehat{\text{bias}}_S^2(\delta) + \widehat{\text{var}}_S(\delta)$$

- Constructed for
 - I.i.d. and standard regression models
 - Variable selection for Cox's prop. haz. Aalen's lin. haz. regression (with censoring)
 - Parametric time series models
 - etc.

Challenges for the original FIC

- Local misspecification approach has been criticized
 - “Unrealistic theoretical framework”
 - “Not to yielding a valid environment for model selection”
 - Results cannot be trusted if the true model is far from the wide model
- The original FIC cannot compare:
 - non-nested parametric models
 - differently structured nonparametric and semiparametric type models

The new FIC construction strategy

- Motivated by the 'weaknesses' and critique of the original FIC
- Properties of the new FIC
 - Able to select between differently structured models (parametric vs. semi-/nonparametric models)
 - No need for parametric models to be nested or in any way related to each other
 - Derived without relying on a local misspecification framework
 - Carries with it an additional insurance mechanism against misspecification of (all) parametric models
 - May be viewed as multiple focused hypothesis test of the adequacy of the parametric models, with a theoretically chosen significance level
- Carried out for:
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric for i.i.d. data
 - Semiparametric Cox regression vs. fully parametric proportional regression
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric dependency modelling for time series

The new FIC construction strategy

- Motivated by the 'weaknesses' and critique of the original FIC
- Properties of the new FIC
 - Able to select between differently structured models (parametric vs. semi-/nonparametric models)
 - No need for parametric models to be nested or in any way related to each other
 - Derived without relying on a local misspecification framework
 - Carries with it an additional insurance mechanism against misspecification of (all) parametric models
 - May be viewed as multiple focused hypothesis test of the adequacy of the parametric models, with a theoretically chosen significance level
- Carried out for:
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric for i.i.d. data
 - Semiparametric Cox regression vs. fully parametric proportional regression
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric dependency modelling for time series

The new FIC construction strategy

- Motivated by the 'weaknesses' and critique of the original FIC
- Properties of the new FIC
 - Able to select between differently structured models (parametric vs. semi-/nonparametric models)
 - No need for parametric models to be nested or in any way related to each other
 - Derived without relying on a local misspecification framework
 - Carries with it an additional insurance mechanism against misspecification of (all) parametric models
 - May be viewed as multiple focused hypothesis test of the adequacy of the parametric models, with a theoretically chosen significance level
- Carried out for:
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric for i.i.d. data
 - Semiparametric Cox regression vs. fully parametric proportional regression
 - Parametric vs. nonparametric dependency modelling for time series

The new FIC for i.i.d. data

- Assume data Y_1, \dots, Y_n stems from some fixed unknown distribution G
- Focus parameter defined through a functional mapping $\mu = T(\cdot)$ with $\mu_{\text{true}} = T(G)$
 - Typical focus parameters: Smooth functions of means and quantiles

- Estimate μ by plug-in estimation for each model S : $\hat{\mu}_S = T(\hat{G}_S)$
 - Nonparametric: $\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} = T(\hat{G}_n)$ with \hat{G}_n the empirical distribution
 - Parametrics (generic): $\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} = T(F_{\hat{\theta}})$ with $\hat{\theta}$ the MLE

- Performance measure:

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \text{E} \{ (\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true}})^2 \} = \text{bias}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- Approximate the risk by using asymptotic theory:

$$\widetilde{\text{risk}}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- The FIC score estimates the approximated risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

The new FIC for i.i.d. data

- Assume data Y_1, \dots, Y_n stems from some fixed unknown distribution G
- Focus parameter defined through a functional mapping $\mu = T(\cdot)$ with $\mu_{\text{true}} = T(G)$
 - Typical focus parameters: Smooth functions of means and quantiles
- Estimate μ by plug-in estimation for each model S : $\hat{\mu}_S = T(\hat{G}_S)$
 - Nonparametric: $\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} = T(\hat{G}_n)$ with \hat{G}_n the empirical distribution
 - Parametrics (generic): $\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} = T(F_{\hat{\theta}})$ with $\hat{\theta}$ the MLE
- Performance measure:

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \text{E} \{ (\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true}})^2 \} = \text{bias}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- Approximate the risk by using asymptotic theory:

$$\widetilde{\text{risk}}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- The FIC score estimates the approximated risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widehat{\widetilde{\text{mse}}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widehat{\widetilde{\text{bias}}^2}(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widehat{\widetilde{\text{var}}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

The new FIC for i.i.d. data

- Assume data Y_1, \dots, Y_n stems from some fixed unknown distribution G
- Focus parameter defined through a functional mapping $\mu = T(\cdot)$ with $\mu_{\text{true}} = T(G)$
 - Typical focus parameters: Smooth functions of means and quantiles
- Estimate μ by plug-in estimation for each model S : $\hat{\mu}_S = T(\hat{G}_S)$
 - Nonparametric: $\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} = T(\hat{G}_n)$ with \hat{G}_n the empirical distribution
 - Parametrics (generic): $\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} = T(F_{\hat{\theta}})$ with $\hat{\theta}$ the MLE
- Performance measure:

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \text{E} \{ (\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true}})^2 \} = \text{bias}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- Approximate the risk by using asymptotic theory:

$$\widetilde{\text{risk}}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- The FIC score estimates the approximated risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

The new FIC for i.i.d. data

- Assume data Y_1, \dots, Y_n stems from some fixed unknown distribution G
- Focus parameter defined through a functional mapping $\mu = T(\cdot)$ with $\mu_{\text{true}} = T(G)$
 - Typical focus parameters: Smooth functions of means and quantiles
- Estimate μ by plug-in estimation for each model S : $\hat{\mu}_S = T(\hat{G}_S)$
 - Nonparametric: $\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} = T(\hat{G}_n)$ with \hat{G}_n the empirical distribution
 - Parametrics (generic): $\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} = T(F_{\hat{\theta}})$ with $\hat{\theta}$ the MLE
- Performance measure:

$$\text{risk}_S = \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \text{E} \{ (\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true}})^2 \} = \text{bias}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- Approximate the risk by using asymptotic theory:

$$\widetilde{\text{risk}}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- The FIC score estimates the approximated risk

$$\text{FIC}_S = \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S) = \widetilde{\text{bias}}^2(\hat{\mu}_S) + \widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

FIC construction: Derivation details (I)

- Let (generically) $\mu_{0,\text{pm}} = T(F_{\theta_0})$ be the least false focus parameter value with θ_0 be the least false parameter value of θ

Under weak regularity conditions:

- Nonparametric: $\Psi_{\text{np},n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \mu_{\text{true}}) \rightarrow_d \Psi_{\text{np}} \sim N(0, v_{\text{np}})$
- Parametric $\Psi_{\text{pm},n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} - \mu_{0,\text{pm}}) \rightarrow_d \Psi_{\text{pm}} \sim N(0, v_{\text{pm}})$

Large sample approximate risks

$$\text{Nonparametric: } \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) \approx \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) = 0 + \frac{1}{n}v_{\text{np}}$$

$$\text{Parametric: } \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) \approx \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) = b^2 + \frac{1}{n}v_{\text{pm}}$$

where $b = \mu_{0,\text{pm}} - \mu_{\text{true}}$

FIC construction: Derivation details (I)

- Let (generically) $\mu_{0,\text{pm}} = T(F_{\theta_0})$ be the least false focus parameter value with θ_0 be the least false parameter value of θ

Under weak regularity conditions:

- Nonparametric: $\Psi_{\text{np},n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \mu_{\text{true}}) \rightarrow_d \Psi_{\text{np}} \sim N(0, v_{\text{np}})$
- Parametric $\Psi_{\text{pm},n} = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} - \mu_{0,\text{pm}}) \rightarrow_d \Psi_{\text{pm}} \sim N(0, v_{\text{pm}})$

Large sample approximate risks

$$\text{Nonparametric: } \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) \approx \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) = 0 + \frac{1}{n}v_{\text{np}}$$

$$\text{Parametric: } \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) \approx \widetilde{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) = b^2 + \frac{1}{n}v_{\text{pm}}$$

where $b = \mu_{0,\text{pm}} - \mu_{\text{true}}$

FIC construction: Derivation details (II)

- The convergence in distribution also holds jointly:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{np} - \mu_{\text{true}} \\ \hat{\mu}_{pm} - \mu_{0,pm} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d N_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_{np} & v_c \\ v_c & v_{pm} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- $\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{b} - b) \rightarrow_d N(0, v_b)$, with $\hat{b} = \hat{\mu}_{pm} - \hat{\mu}_{np}$ and $v_b = v_{pm} + v_{np} - 2v_c$
- $\Rightarrow E\{(\hat{b})^2\} = (E\{\hat{b}\})^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{b}) \approx b^2 + \frac{1}{n}v_b$

General estimates of risk components

- Squared parametric bias: b^2 estimated by $\max\{0, \hat{b}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\}$
- Variances: v_{np} estimated by \hat{v}_{np} , and v_{pm} estimated by \hat{v}_{pm}

FIC scores

Nonparametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{np}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{np}) = 0 + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{np}$

Parametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{pm}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{pm}) = \max\{0, (\hat{b})^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\} + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{pm}$

FIC construction: Derivation details (II)

- The convergence in distribution also holds jointly:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \mu_{\text{true}} \\ \hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} - \mu_{0,\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d N_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_{\text{np}} & v_c \\ v_c & v_{\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- $\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{b} - b) \rightarrow_d N(0, v_b)$, with $\hat{b} = \hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}} - \hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}$ and $v_b = v_{\text{pm}} + v_{\text{np}} - 2v_c$
- $\Rightarrow E\{(\hat{b})^2\} = (E\{\hat{b}\})^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{b}) \approx b^2 + \frac{1}{n}v_b$

General estimates of risk components

- Squared parametric bias: b^2 estimated by $\max\{0, \hat{b}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\}$
- Variances: v_{np} estimated by \hat{v}_{np} , and v_{pm} estimated by \hat{v}_{pm}

FIC scores

Nonparametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}}) = 0 + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{\text{np}}$

Parametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{pm}}) = \max\{0, \hat{b}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\} + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{\text{pm}}$

FIC construction: Derivation details (II)

- The convergence in distribution also holds jointly:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{np} - \mu_{\text{true}} \\ \hat{\mu}_{pm} - \mu_{0,pm} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d N_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_{np} & v_c \\ v_c & v_{pm} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- $\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{b} - b) \rightarrow_d N(0, v_b)$, with $\hat{b} = \hat{\mu}_{pm} - \hat{\mu}_{np}$ and $v_b = v_{pm} + v_{np} - 2v_c$
- $\Rightarrow E\{(\hat{b})^2\} = (E\{\hat{b}\})^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{b}) \approx b^2 + \frac{1}{n}v_b$

General estimates of risk components

- Squared parametric bias: b^2 estimated by $\max\{0, \hat{b}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\}$
- Variances: v_{np} estimated by \hat{v}_{np} , and v_{pm} estimated by \hat{v}_{pm}

FIC scores

Nonparametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{np}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{np}) = 0 + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{np}$

Parametric: $\text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_{pm}) = \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_{pm}) = \max\{0, (\hat{b})^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_b\} + \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}_{pm}$

Average/weighted FIC

- Generalisation of FIC, allowing selection with a (weighted) set of focus parameters (as for the original FIC)
- Performance measure:

$$\text{risk} = \int \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_S(t)) \, dW(t) = \int \mathbb{E} [\{\hat{\mu}_S(t) - \mu_{\text{true}}(t)\}^2] \, dW(t)$$

- Average FIC (AFIC) score:

$$\text{AFIC}_S = \int \widehat{\text{mse}}(\hat{\mu}_S(t)) \, dW(t) = \int \text{FIC}(\hat{\mu}_S(t)) \, dW(t)$$

FIC/AFIC asymptotics

Consider one of the parametric model $S : F_\theta$ with least false model specification F_{θ_0} and least false focus parameter value $\mu_{0,\text{pm}}$:

- If S is biased ($\mu_{\text{true}} \neq \mu_{0,\text{pm}}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects } S) \rightarrow 0$
- If S is fully correct ($G = F_{\theta_0}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC selects } S \text{ over nonparametric}) \rightarrow \chi_1^2(2) \approx 0.843$
 - No general results for AFIC (depends on μ and weight W)
 - AFIC for parametric with focus on all $\mu(y) = G(y)$ and $W = F_{\theta_0}$
 - Re-invents the Cramér-von Mises goodness-of-fit test, with a threshold value found by the theory itself

\Rightarrow If all parametric candidate models are biased:

$\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects nonparametric}) \rightarrow 1$

- Insurance mechanism against parametric misspecification

FIC/AFIC asymptotics

Consider one of the parametric model $S : F_\theta$ with least false model specification F_{θ_0} and least false focus parameter value $\mu_{0,\text{pm}}$:

- If S is biased ($\mu_{\text{true}} \neq \mu_{0,\text{pm}}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects } S) \rightarrow 0$
- If S is fully correct ($G = F_{\theta_0}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC selects } S \text{ over nonparametric}) \rightarrow \chi_1^2(2) \approx 0.843$
 - No general results for AFIC (depends on μ and weight W)
 - AFIC for parametric with focus on all $\mu(y) = G(y)$ and $W = F_{\theta_0}$
 - Re-invents the Cramér-von Mises goodness-of-fit test, with a threshold value found by the theory itself

⇒ If all parametric candidate models are biased:

$\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects nonparametric}) \rightarrow 1$

- Insurance mechanism against parametric misspecification

FIC/AFIC asymptotics

Consider one of the parametric model $S : F_\theta$ with least false model specification F_{θ_0} and least false focus parameter value $\mu_{0,\text{pm}}$:

- If S is biased ($\mu_{\text{true}} \neq \mu_{0,\text{pm}}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects } S) \rightarrow 0$
- If S is fully correct ($G = F_{\theta_0}$)
 - $\Pr(\text{FIC selects } S \text{ over nonparametric}) \rightarrow \chi_1^2(2) \approx 0.843$
 - No general results for AFIC (depends on μ and weight W)
 - AFIC for parametric with focus on all $\mu(y) = G(y)$ and $W = F_{\theta_0}$
 - Re-invents the Cramér-von Mises goodness-of-fit test, with a threshold value found by the theory itself

\Rightarrow If all parametric candidate models are biased:

$\Pr(\text{FIC/AFIC selects nonparametric}) \rightarrow 1$

- Insurance mechanism against parametric misspecification

Other data types (I)

Censoring

- Semiparametric Cox regression vs. fully parametric proportional hazard regression models
 - $G = (A(\cdot), \beta)$ corresponding to the hazard rate function: $\alpha(s) \exp(x^t \beta)$, with α unspecified baseline hazard and A its cumulative
 - Semiparametric Cox regression: $\hat{\beta}_{\text{cox}}$ via Cox's partial likelihood, $\hat{A}_{\text{cox}}(\cdot) = \text{Breslow estimator}$
 - Parametric estimation: Joint ML estimation of θ, β , with a parametric hazard rate function α_θ (exponential, Weibull, Gompertz, ...)
 - Typical focus parameters: Survival probabilities, quantiles and cumulative hazards, conditional on covariate values
- Without covariates: Nelson–Aalen or Kaplan–Meier estimators vs. parametric survival models

Other data types (II)

Dependency modelling in stationary Gaussian time series models

- G = Unknown spectral measure/distribution
- Nonparametric estimation: Integrated periodogram
 $\hat{G}_n(\omega) = \int_{-\pi}^{\omega} I_n(u) du$ for I_n the periodogram
- Parametric estimation: Typically ARMA-models, but also more general parametric models for the spectral distribution
- Typical focus parameters: Differences in spectral distribution, covariance lags and correlation lags

Slightly different strategies

- Density estimation for i.i.d. data
- Standard regression

Other data types (II)

Dependency modelling in stationary Gaussian time series models

- G = Unknown spectral measure/distribution
- Nonparametric estimation: Integrated periodogram
 $\hat{G}_n(\omega) = \int_{-\pi}^{\omega} I_n(u) du$ for I_n the periodogram
- Parametric estimation: Typically ARMA-models, but also more general parametric models for the spectral distribution
- Typical focus parameters: Differences in spectral distribution, covariance lags and correlation lags

Slightly different strategies

- Density estimation for i.i.d. data
- Standard regression

Original vs. new FIC scores

- Assume for comparison that all parametric models are nested between a wide (θ, γ both free) and narrow (θ free, γ fixed) model
- Original FIC scores:

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} = \hat{v}_{\text{wide}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} = \max\{0, \hat{Z}_S^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{wide}} - \hat{v}_S)\} + \hat{v}_S$$

- \hat{Z}_S is the natural estimator of the bias of $\Lambda_S \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$
($\hat{Z}_S = \hat{\omega}^t(I_q - \hat{G}_S)D_n$ in C&H (2008) notation)
- New FIC scores (scaled by n to ease comparison):

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} = \hat{v}_{\text{np}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_{\text{wide}})^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,\text{wide}})\} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_S)^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,S})\} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}}$$

Original vs. new FIC scores

- Assume for comparison that all parametric models are nested between a wide (θ, γ both free) and narrow (θ free, γ fixed) model
- Original FIC scores:

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} = \hat{v}_{\text{wide}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} = \max\{0, \hat{Z}_S^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{wide}} - \hat{v}_S)\} + \hat{v}_S$$

- \hat{Z}_S is the natural estimator of the bias of $\Lambda_S \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$
($\hat{Z}_S = \hat{\omega}^t(I_q - \hat{G}_S)D_n$ in C&H (2008) notation)
- New FIC scores (scaled by n to ease comparison):

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} = \hat{v}_{\text{np}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_{\text{wide}})^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,\text{wide}})\} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_S)^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,S})\} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}}$$

Original vs. new FIC scores

- Assume for comparison that all parametric models are nested between a wide (θ, γ both free) and narrow (θ free, γ fixed) model
- Original FIC scores:

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} = \hat{v}_{\text{wide}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} = \max\{0, \hat{Z}_S^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{wide}} - \hat{v}_S)\} + \hat{v}_S$$

- \hat{Z}_S is the natural estimator of the bias of $\Lambda_S \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_S - \mu_{\text{true},n})$
($\hat{Z}_S = \hat{\omega}^t(I_q - \hat{G}_S)D_n$ in C&H (2008) notation)
- New FIC scores (scaled by n to ease comparison):

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} = \hat{v}_{\text{np}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_{\text{wide}})^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,\text{wide}})\} + \hat{v}_{\text{wide,new}}$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} = \max\{0, (\sqrt{n}\hat{b}_S)^2 - (\hat{v}_{\text{np}} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}} - 2\hat{v}_{c,S})\} + \hat{v}_{S,\text{new}}$$

Asymptotic comp. under local misspecification

Limit of original FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_S^2 - (v_{\text{wide}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Z}_S$ ($= \omega^t(I_q - G_S)D$ in C&H (2008) notation)

Limit of new FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{np}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_0^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_{\text{wide}})\} + v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, (Z_S - Z_0)^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}})$, is zero-mean normal and stochastically independent of all Z_S
- New FIC has an additional uncertainty level (Z_0), uses nonparametric (model robust) estimates instead of wide model variances
- Original and new FIC asymptotically equivalent when $v_{\text{np}} = v_{\text{wide}}$

Asymptotic comp. under local misspecification

Limit of original FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_S^2 - (v_{\text{wide}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Z}_S$ ($= \omega^t(I_q - G_S)D$ in C&H (2008) notation)

Limit of new FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{np}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_0^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_{\text{wide}})\} + v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, (Z_S - Z_0)^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}})$, is zero-mean normal and stochastically independent of all Z_S
- New FIC has an additional uncertainty level (Z_0), uses nonparametric (model robust) estimates instead of wide model variances
- Original and new FIC asymptotically equivalent when $v_{\text{np}} = v_{\text{wide}}$

Asymptotic comp. under local misspecification

Limit of original FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,orig}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{orig}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_S^2 - (v_{\text{wide}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Z}_S$ ($= \omega^t(I_q - G_S)D$ in C&H (2008) notation)

Limit of new FIC scores

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{np,new}}^{\text{lim}} = v_{\text{np}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{\text{wide,new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, Z_0^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_{\text{wide}})\} + v_{\text{wide}},$$

$$\text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{FIC}_{S,\text{new}}^{\text{lim}} = \max\{0, (Z_S - Z_0)^2 - (v_{\text{np}} - v_S)\} + v_S$$

- $Z_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{np}} - \hat{\mu}_{\text{wide}})$, is zero-mean normal and stochastically independent of all Z_S
- New FIC has an additional uncertainty level (Z_0), uses nonparametric (model robust) estimates instead of wide model variances
- Original and new FIC asymptotically equivalent when $v_{\text{np}} = v_{\text{wide}}$

Summary and concluding remarks

- New FIC construction strategy attempting to avoid 'weaknesses' of the original approach
 - Do not rely on local asymptotics
 - Able to handle non-nested parametric models
 - Allow comparison of parametrics and semi-/nonparametrics
- May be viewed as model robust version of the original FIC
- Carries with it an insurance mechanism against parametric misspecification
- Developed for a wide range of data types where few alternative model selection procedures are available
- Simulation experiments indicate performance better than AIC/BIC for moderate to large n
- Model averaging scheme also developed
- Possibly more difficult to generalize than the original FIC