

3. set  $A$  is convex

3.5.  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i = c\}$  is convex.

proof:  $\forall u, v \in A$ .

let  $w = (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v$

$\therefore \sum k_i w_i$

$= \sum k_i [(1-\lambda)u_i + \lambda v_i]$

$= (1-\lambda)(\sum k_i u_i) + (\lambda)(\sum k_i v_i)$

$= c$

$\therefore w \in A \therefore A$  convex.

3.6  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax = b\}$

$\Rightarrow S$  convex

proof:  $\forall u, v \in S$

$A((1-\lambda)u + \lambda v) = b$

3.5. method 2:  $\therefore$  3.6  $\therefore$  3.5 proved.

parallelogram rule.

$B = \{u, v\}$  linear combination

$cA, \forall x \in A$

interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$

3.3.  $\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \quad w = a u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_m u_m$

$\mathbb{R}$  is convex set. 2.3. affine combination

3.4 set  $A = \{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$  linear combination

is convex.

$\sum a_i = 1, a_i \in \mathbb{F}$

proof:  $\forall u, v \in A$ .

$\|(1-\lambda)u + \lambda v\|$

$\leq (1-\lambda)\|u\| + \lambda\|v\|$

$\leq 1$

$\therefore A$  is convex.

2.3. ~~convex combination~~

proof.

(Exercise) 2.1. spline function

origin  $0$ , parallelogram.

1. vector space  $(V, +, \cdot)$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$\Leftrightarrow (V, +, \cdot)$  is left  $\mathbb{R}$ -module, where  $\mathbb{F}$  is the ring.

$\Leftrightarrow (V, +)$  is abelian group,

and  $\mathbb{F} \times V \rightarrow V$ :

$\forall r \in \mathbb{F}, s \in \mathbb{F}, x, y \in V$

$r(x+y) = rx + ry$

$(r+s) \cdot x = rx + sx$

$(r \cdot s) \cdot x = r \cdot (s \cdot x)$

$1_F \cdot x = x$ .

3/2.  $A_1, A_2$  convex

in vector space  $V_1, V_2$ .

$\Rightarrow A_1 \times A_2$  convex in  $V_1 \times V_2$  respectively.

Proof:  $\forall u, v \in A_1 \times A_2$

$\therefore u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2)$

let  $w = (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v$

$\therefore w = ((1-\lambda)u_1, (1-\lambda)u_2)$

$+ (\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2)$

$= ((1-\lambda)u_1 + \lambda v_1, (1-\lambda)u_2 + \lambda v_2)$

$\in A_1 \times A_2$

$\therefore A_1 \times A_2$  convex.

3/3.  $A_i$  convex in  $V_i, \forall i, \therefore \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  convex

$\Rightarrow A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  convex in  $\prod_{i=1}^n V_i$   $\forall i$ .

3/9.  $A_\alpha$  convex,  $\forall \alpha$ .

$\Rightarrow \bigcap A_\alpha$  convex.

3/10.  $C_1, C_2$  convex in vector space  $V$ .

$-k \in F$ .

$\Rightarrow kC$  convex

$\oplus C_1 + C_2$  convex.

Proof: let  $u, v \in kC$ .

$\therefore u = k_1 u_1, v = k_2 v_1$

$\therefore u = k_1 u_1, v = k_2 v_1$

$u_i, v_i \in C$

$\therefore w = k((1-\lambda)u + \lambda v)$

let  $w = (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v$

$\therefore C$  convex

$\therefore w \in C$

$\therefore w \in kC, \therefore kC$  convex.

3/7. vector space  $V$  is convex

3/8. set  $S$  convex  $\Rightarrow \bar{S}$  convex

Proof:  $\forall u, v \in \bar{S}$

$\exists x_i \rightarrow u, x_i \in S$

$y_i \rightarrow v, y_i \in S$

$\therefore (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v$

$\in \bar{S}$  convex

$\therefore (1-\lambda)x_i + \lambda y_i \in S$

$\forall i$ .

$\therefore \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((1-\lambda)x_i + \lambda y_i)$

$= (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v$

$\therefore (1-\lambda)u + \lambda v \in \bar{S}$

$\therefore \bar{S}$  convex

3/9.  $A, B$  convex  $\Rightarrow A \cap B$  convex.

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n$  convex



$\forall x, y \in B$ ,

$$\therefore x = \sum k_i x_i, x_i \in C.$$

$$y = \sum h_i y_i, y_i \in C.$$

$$\sum k_i = 1, \sum h_i = 1, k_i \geq 0, h_i \geq 0.$$

$$\text{let } w = (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y$$

$$\therefore w = (1-\lambda) \sum k_i x_i + \lambda \sum h_i y_i$$

$$\therefore (1-\lambda) \sum k_i + \lambda \sum h_i = 1.$$

$$(1-\lambda) \sum k_i \geq 0, \lambda \sum h_i \geq 0.$$

$$x_i, y_i \in C.$$

$$\therefore w \in B. \therefore B \text{ convex.}$$

17.

3.16.

convex hull of set  $C$  is  $\text{co}(C)$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \cap \{ \text{convex set } A \mid A \supset C \}$

$\Leftrightarrow \{ \text{convex combinations of points in } C \}$   $\checkmark$ .

proof:  $\textcircled{1} \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{2}$  definition.

~~3.16.1~~

16.2 now we need to prove  $\text{co}(C)$

$= B$ , where  $B = \{ \text{convex combination of points in } C \}$

$$\text{16.2.1 } B \subset \text{co}(C)$$

$$\because \forall x \in B, \exists \text{co}(C) \supset C = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i \right) \in \text{co}(C) \text{ convex}$$

$$\therefore \text{co}(C) \ni x = \sum k_i x_i, x_i \in C. = K_m \left( \sum \frac{k_i}{K_m} x_i \right) + k_{m+1} x_{m+1}$$

$$\therefore B \subset \text{co}(C)$$

$$\text{co}(C) \subset B.$$

we only need to prove  $B \text{ convex}$

3.14.  $A$  convex,

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i \in A,$$

$$\sum k_i = 1, k_i \geq 0, x_i \in A, \forall i.$$

proof: we prove it by induction.

for  $n=1$ , the result is true.

for  $n=2$ , true.

if for  $n=m$ , it is true.

then  $B = \{ \text{convex combination of points in } C \}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} k_i x_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i + k_{m+1} x_{m+1}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i} x_i \right) + k_{m+1} x_{m+1}$$

$$\in A, \text{ here } K_m = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i, K_m \neq 0.$$

3.15  $A$  convex

we only need to prove  $B \text{ convex} \Leftrightarrow \forall \text{convex combination of points in } A \text{ is still in } A. \checkmark$

This contradicts the assumption of minimality of  $m$ .

④,  $\therefore m \leq n+1$ .  
proved.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0,$$

with some  $\lambda_j > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3}, \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \gamma \lambda_i) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i - \gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i \\ &= x, \quad \forall x \in F. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \quad & \sum (\alpha_i - \gamma \lambda_i) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i - \gamma \sum \lambda_i \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } \alpha_i - \gamma \lambda_i \geq 0$$

$$\text{let } \gamma = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{\lambda_i} \mid \lambda_i > 0 \right\}$$

$$\therefore \alpha_i - \gamma \lambda_i \geq 0$$

$$\text{and } \exists j, \text{ s.t. } \alpha_j - \gamma \lambda_j = 0.$$

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \gamma \lambda_i) x_i$  is a convex representation of  $x$  in terms of fewer than  $m$  points in  $S$ .

17. Carathéodory's theorem.  $\hookrightarrow$   
 $S \subset V$ ,  $\dim(V) = n$ ,  $V$  is vector space,  
 $\forall x \in \text{co}(S)$ .  
 $\Rightarrow x$  can be represented as a convex combination of no more than  $n+1$  elements of  $S$ .

proof: let  $x \in \text{co}(S)$ .

$$\therefore x = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i, \quad x_i \in S, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1.$$

let  $m$  is the minimal number of vectors for which such a representation of  $x$  is possible.

② Suppose  $m > n+1$ . (We will get a contradictory).

for  $\{x_i - x_1, i=2, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$\exists \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m, \text{ some } \lambda_j > 0, \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i (x_i - x_1) = 0$$

$$\text{let } \lambda_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i$$



but  $\vec{x}^* = (0,0)^T$  is not local minimizer,  $f(\vec{x}^* + \text{ask}) < f(\vec{x}^*)$

4.  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  "continuous".  $\forall \theta < \alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0, \nabla^2 f(x^*)$  positive definite ~~definite~~ $\Rightarrow \hat{x}^*$  a strict local minimizer.

assumption that  $x^*$  is

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called convex

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x^k + (1-\lambda)y)$$

13

$$= x + (x) + (1 - x)f(x)$$

6.  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  convex.

(3)

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0,$$
$$= (\nabla f^*)^T d < 0$$
$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) + \mu \lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^2$$

3.  $f(x) = x_1^2 - x_2^4$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ -4x_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\text{ex } f''(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -12x^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad f''(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$f'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f''(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(0,0) = (n,n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

but  $\vec{x}^* = (0,0)^T$  is not local minimizer,  $f(\vec{x}^* + \text{skd}) < f(\vec{x}^*)$

4.  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous.  $\forall \theta < \alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$ 

This contradicts the assumption that  $x^*$  is

local minimizer of  $f$  in  $X$ .  
proved.

$$\text{if } \nabla f(x^*) \neq 0,$$
$$\Rightarrow \exists d \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nabla f(x^*)^T d < 0$$
$$\textcircled{3} \quad h'(x) = f'(x^*, d) \quad (\text{directional derivative})$$

(3)

2.  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f''$  continuous,  $x^*$  local minimizer of  $f$  on  $X$ ,

$$= (\nabla f^*)^T d < 0$$

③:  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$  时,  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$

3.  $f(x) = x_1^2 - x_2^4$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ -4x_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\text{ex } f''(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -12x^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad f''(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3)  $f$  continuous

$$f'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f''(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

13.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  continuous,  
 $f$  convex,  $f'(x^*) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow x^*$  is a global minimizer of  $f$ .

11.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  continuous,

9.  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, convex,  
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  continuous,  
 $\min f(x)$ , s.t.  $x \in X$ , (1)

$\Rightarrow$  (a)  $f$  convex on  $X$

$\Rightarrow$  solution set of (1)  
 is convex (possibly empty).

(b)  $f$  strictly convex on  $X$

$\Rightarrow$  (1) has at most one solution.

(c)  $f$  uniformly convex (on  $X$ ),  
 $X$  is non-empty, closed,

$\Rightarrow$  (1) has exactly one solution.

12.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  continuous,  
 $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , level set  $L(x^0)$   
 convex,  $f$  uniformly convex  
 on  $L(x^0)$ ,  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is  
 unique global minimizer of  $f$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \exists \mu > 0$ ,  $\mu \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq f(x) - f(x^*)$ ,  $\forall x \in L(x^0)$

$\Rightarrow$  (a)  $f$  convex  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 f(x)$  positive  
 semi-definite,  $\forall x \in X$

(b)  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  p.d.  $\forall x \in X$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  strictly convex.

(c)  $f$  uniformly convex

$\Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 f(x)$  uniformly positive definite

on  $X$ , i.e.,  $\exists \mu > 0$ , s.t.

$$d^T \nabla^2 f(x) d \geq \mu \|d\|^2$$

$$\forall x \in X, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

10.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  continuous,

$$\forall x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$L(x^0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \leq f(x^0)\}$   
 convex, and  $f$  uniformly convex

in  $L(x^0)$

$\Rightarrow L(x^0)$  compact.



descent method, step size strategy

1.  $\min f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f'$  continuous.
- ① at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , choose a direction  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in which  $f$  decreases.
- ② Starting at  $x$ , one proceeds along  $d$  as long as  $f$  reduces sufficiently.

① is ~~is~~ descent method.

②: step size strategy.

2.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
 $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x \Leftrightarrow \exists \bar{\alpha} > 0$ ,  
 $f(x + \alpha d) < f(x)$   
 $\forall \alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha}]$

3.  $f'$  continuous,  $\nabla f(x)^T d < 0$   
 $\Rightarrow d$  is descent direction.

proof: let  $\varphi(\alpha) = f(x + \alpha d)$

$\therefore f'$  continuous  
 $\therefore \varphi'(\alpha)$  continuous

$\therefore \varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(0) + \alpha \varphi'(0) + r(\alpha)$

$\frac{r(\alpha)}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \alpha \rightarrow 0^+$

$\therefore \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(0)}{\alpha} = \varphi'(0) = \nabla f(x)^T d < 0$

$\therefore \exists \bar{\alpha} > 0$ ,

$\forall \alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$ ,

$\frac{\varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(0)}{\alpha} < 0$

$\therefore \varphi(\alpha) < \varphi(0)$

$\therefore f(x + \alpha d) < f(x)$

$\therefore d$  is descent direction.

4.  $x$  is a strict local maximizer

$\Rightarrow \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n, d$  is a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$ .  
but  $\nabla f(x)^T d < 0$  doesn't hold.

S.  $d_1 = -\nabla f(x)$   
 $d_2 = -M \nabla f(x), M \in S^1$ ,  
n.p.d.

$\Rightarrow d_1$  and  $d_2$  are descent directions.

proof:  $\nabla f(x)^T d_2$

$= -\nabla f(x)^T M \nabla f(x)$

$< 0, \nabla f(x) \neq 0$

① general descent method.

input:  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x^0$ ,

begin

$k \leftarrow 1$   
while convergence criterion is not fulfilled

$k \leftarrow 1$   
get  $\alpha_k$ , st.

$f(x^k + \alpha_k d^k) < f(x^k)$

③  $x^{k+1} = x^k + \alpha_k d^k$

end while  
end.

7.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f'$  continuous,  
 $\{x^k\}$  a sequence generated by (6), such that,  $\exists \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 > 0$ ,  
(independent of  $\{x^k\}, \{d^k\}$ )  
such that

(a)  $-\nabla f(x^k)^T d^k > \theta_1 \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \|d^k\|$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ , (angle condition).

(b)  $f(x^k + \alpha_k d^k) \leq f(x^k) - \theta_2 \frac{\|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 d^k}{\|d^k\|}$

(sufficient decrease)

with  $\alpha_k > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  every accumulation point of  $\{x^k\}$  is a stationary point of  $f$ .

proof:  $\because f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \theta_2 \frac{\|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2}{\|d^k\|}$

$\therefore \{x^k\}$  is bounded, let  $x^*$  be an accumulation point of  $\{x^k\}$ .

$\therefore \{f(x^k)\}$  is bounded,  $\therefore f(x^k) \rightarrow f(x^*)$ .

$\therefore \{f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1})\} \rightarrow 0, \therefore \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \rightarrow 0$

$\therefore \nabla f(x)$  continuous,  $\therefore \nabla f(x^k) \rightarrow \nabla f(x^*)$

st.  $\|\nabla f(x^*)\| = 0, \nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . proved.

# Armijo rule

2.5. generalization of 2.4. 2.2 Armijo rule is a condition which ensures a sufficient descent.

if  $\alpha^{(l)}$  does not fulfill Armijo condition,

then  $\alpha^{(l+1)}$  is chosen,

s.t.  $\alpha^{(l+1)} \in [\underline{v}\alpha^{(l)}, \bar{v}\alpha^{(l)}]$ , Armijo rule holds.

with  $0 < \underline{v} \leq \bar{v} < 1$ . 2.4. actual calculation of  $\alpha$ :

proof:

$$\alpha = \beta^l, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ proof:}$$

$$\alpha^{(l+1)} \leq \bar{v}\alpha^{(l)}$$

where  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  fixed.

$$\leq \dots \leq \bar{v}^L \alpha^{(l)}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{(L)} = 0$$

$$\therefore \exists L, \text{ s.t. } \alpha^{(L)}$$

fulfills Armijo condition for  $\alpha \in \beta^L$ , Armijo rule holds.

3. Armijo step size strategy.

input: descent direction d.

choose  $L$  incrementally,

until for  $\alpha = \beta^L$ ,

Armijo rule holds.

$$2.3. \exists \alpha > 0, \forall \alpha \in [0, \alpha],$$

Armijo rule holds.

proof:

$$\therefore \sigma \varphi'(0) < 0$$



$$\therefore \exists \delta, \forall \alpha \in [0, \delta],$$

$$\varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(0) + \sigma \varphi'(0) \alpha$$

2. Armijo rule.

$$f(x + \sigma d) \leq f(x) + \sigma \alpha \nabla f(x)^T d$$

where  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  fixed,  $\forall f(x)^T d < 0$

begin

$$L \leftarrow 0$$

$$\alpha^{(0)} \leftarrow 1$$

while Armijo rule not holds,

$$\text{choose } \alpha^{(L+1)} \in [\underline{v}\alpha^{(L)}, \bar{v}\alpha^{(L)}]$$

$$L \leftarrow L+1$$

end while

$$\alpha \leftarrow \alpha^{(L)}$$

end

4.



proof:

4. There is error in 1.

5.  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$f'$  Lipschitz-continuous,

$L$  is the Lipschitz constant.

$\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,

~~If  $f(x+\alpha d) \leq f(x) + \alpha \nabla f(x)^T d$~~   
~~holds,  $d = -\nabla f(x)$ ,~~

$M \in S^n$  positive definite,

let  $\lambda_S = \lambda_{\min}(M^{-1})$ ,  $\lambda_G = \max \lambda(M^{-1})$ ,

If  $f'(x) \neq 0$ ,

$\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in (0, \frac{2\lambda_S(1-\sigma)}{L \lambda(M^{-1})}]$

with  $\kappa(M^{-1}) = \lambda_G / \lambda_S$  is the condition number of  $M^{-1}$ .

we have

$f(x+\alpha d) \leq f(x) + \sigma \alpha \nabla f(x)^T d$ .

$$\textcircled{4} \because f(x+\alpha d) \leq f(x) + \alpha \nabla f(x)^T d + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} L \|d\|^2$$

$$+ \alpha(1 - \kappa(M^{-1})\lambda_S - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} L) \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$\textcircled{7} \because \text{If } \sigma \leq 1 - \kappa(M^{-1})\lambda_S - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} L$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x+\alpha d)$$

$$\leq f(x) + \alpha \sigma \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$\therefore \alpha \leq \frac{2\lambda_S(1-\sigma)}{L} \frac{1}{\kappa(M^{-1})}$$

proved.

$$\textcircled{6} \|d\|^2$$

$$= \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

$$= \nabla f(x)^T M^2 \nabla f(x)$$

$$\leq \lambda_S^2 \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

$$\leq \lambda_G^2 \lambda_S^2 \nabla f(x)^T M \nabla f(x)$$

$$= -\lambda_G^2 \lambda_S^2 \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$= -\kappa(M^{-1})\lambda_S^2 \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$\textcircled{7} \because \textcircled{4}, \textcircled{6},$$

$$\therefore f(x+\alpha d)$$

$$\leq f(x) + \alpha \sigma \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha^2}{2} L (-\kappa(M^{-1})\lambda_S^2 \nabla f(x)^T d)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ let } h(\tau) = f(x+\tau \alpha d)$$

$$\therefore h'(\tau) = \alpha \nabla f(x+\tau \alpha d)^T d$$

$$h(1) = f(x+\alpha d)$$

$$h(0) = f(x)$$

$$\therefore h(1) - h(0) = \int_0^1 h'(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\therefore f(x+\alpha d) - f(x)$$

$$= \alpha \int_0^1 \nabla f(x+\tau \alpha d)^T d d\tau$$

$$\textcircled{2}$$

$$\therefore f(x+\alpha d)$$

$$= f(x) + \alpha \nabla f(x)^T d$$

$$+ \alpha \int_0^1 (\nabla f(x+\tau \alpha d)^T - \nabla f(x)^T) d d\tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \because (\nabla f(x+\tau \alpha d) - \nabla f(x))^T d$$

$$\leq \|\nabla f(x+\tau \alpha d) - \nabla f(x)\| \|d\|$$

$$\leq L \|\tau \alpha d\| \|d\|$$

$$= \tau |\alpha| L \|d\|^2$$

$$\therefore$$

① the actual step size cannot be smaller than  $\frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}}$ . In every iteration of general descent method (6),  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  Lipschitz-continuous,  $L$  is the Lipschitz-constant.

This proves ①.

② In Armijo step size strategy (3), we choose  $\alpha^0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha^{(h+1)} \leq \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{(h)}$ ,

$$\text{let } \bar{\alpha}^m < \frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}}$$

then  $\alpha_k$  will be found after at most  $m$  reductions.  $\therefore$

$$\therefore m \leq \log\left(\frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}}\right) / \log(\bar{\alpha})$$

$$m \leq N.$$

there will be at most  $m \leq \log\left(\frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}}\right) / \log(\bar{\alpha})$  generated by general descent (6) method with a step size choice

step size reductions necessary according to Armijo step size strategy (3), let  $\{M^k\}$  be a sequence of symmetric p.d. matrices, s.t.  $\exists 0 < \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} < +\infty$

with  $\lambda \leq \lambda_s^{(k)} \leq \lambda_g^{(k)} \leq \bar{\lambda}$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

where  $\lambda_s^{(k)}$  and  $\lambda_g^{(k)}$  are the smallest and the largest eigenvalue

of  $(M^k)^{-1}$  resp.

$$\therefore \lambda_s^{(k)} \geq \lambda > 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{1} \alpha_k \geq \alpha = \frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}}, \forall k \leq N.$$

$$K((M^k)^{-1}) = \lambda_g^{(k)} / \lambda_s^{(k)} \leq \bar{\lambda} / \lambda = \bar{K}$$

$$\text{with } \bar{K} = \bar{\lambda} / \lambda.$$

$$\therefore \frac{2\lambda_s^{(k)}(1-\sigma)}{L K((M^k)^{-1})} > \frac{2\lambda(1-\sigma)}{L\bar{K}} > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$