

Module 3(b): Inference for Blocked and Matched Pair Designs

Fall 2021

Matthew Blackwell

Gov 2003 (Harvard)

1/ Block randomized experiments

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.
- Main motivation: **more efficient** than standard design (ie, lower SEs)

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.
- Main motivation: **more efficient** than standard design (ie, lower SEs)
- George Box: “Block what you can and randomize what you cannot.”

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.
- Main motivation: **more efficient** than standard design (ie, lower SEs)
- George Box: “Block what you can and randomize what you cannot.”
- We will compare variance of blocked designs to complete randomization.

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.
- Main motivation: **more efficient** than standard design (ie, lower SEs)
- George Box: “Block what you can and randomize what you cannot.”
- We will compare variance of blocked designs to complete randomization.
 - Some confusion in the literature: can blocking hurt?

Block randomized experiments

- Basic idea: run completely randomized experiments within strata defined by covariates.
- Main motivation: **more efficient** than standard design (ie, lower SEs)
- George Box: “Block what you can and randomize what you cannot.”
- We will compare variance of blocked designs to complete randomization.
 - Some confusion in the literature: can blocking hurt?
 - Care needed: comparison depends on sample assumptions (Pashley & Miratrix, 2021, JEBS)

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_j : turnout in election.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_j : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_i : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.
 - Create blocks: $V_i = 1$ if voted in last election, $V_i = 0$ otherwise.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_i : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.
 - Create blocks: $V_i = 1$ if voted in last election, $V_i = 0$ otherwise.
 - n_v is the number of previous voters,

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_i : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.
 - Create blocks: $V_i = 1$ if voted in last election, $V_i = 0$ otherwise.
 - n_v is the number of previous voters,
 - $n_{nv} = n - n_v$ is the number of previous nonvoters.

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_i : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.
 - Create blocks: $V_i = 1$ if voted in last election, $V_i = 0$ otherwise.
 - n_v is the number of previous voters,
 - $n_{nv} = n - n_v$ is the number of previous nonvoters.
- SATEs within blocks defined by V_i :

$$\tau_{v, fs} = \frac{1}{n_v} \sum_{i: V_i=1} \{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\} \quad \tau_{nv, fs} = \frac{1}{n_{nv}} \sum_{i: V_i=0} \{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\}$$

Simple two block example

- GOTV mailer experiment:
 - We have n households with registered voters.
 - Complete randomization: choose n_1 households to get mailers.
 - Outcome, Y_i : turnout in election.
- What if we have data from the voter file: **previous turnout**.
 - Create blocks: $V_i = 1$ if voted in last election, $V_i = 0$ otherwise.
 - n_v is the number of previous voters,
 - $n_{nv} = n - n_v$ is the number of previous nonvoters.
- SATEs within blocks defined by V_i :

$$\tau_{v, fs} = \frac{1}{n_v} \sum_{i: V_i=1} \{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\} \quad \tau_{nv, fs} = \frac{1}{n_{nv}} \sum_{i: V_i=0} \{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\}$$

- Iterated expectations gives us:

$$\tau_{fs} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{n_v}{n_v + n_{nv}} \right)}_{\text{fraction voters}} \tau_{v, fs} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n_v + n_{nv}} \right)}_{\text{fraction nonvoters}} \tau_{nv, fs}$$

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$
 - Prob. of treatment for nonvoters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 0) = p_{nv} = n_{1,nv}/n_{nv}$

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$
 - Prob. of treatment for nonvoters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 0) = p_{nv} = n_{1,nv}/n_{nv}$
- Blocking ensures balance across blocks:

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$
 - Prob. of treatment for nonvoters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 0) = p_{nv} = n_{1,nv}/n_{nv}$
- Blocking ensures balance across blocks:
 - When $p_v = p_{nv}$, distribution of treatment is exactly the same in each block.

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$
 - Prob. of treatment for nonvoters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 0) = p_{nv} = n_{1,nv}/n_{nv}$
- Blocking ensures balance across blocks:
 - When $p_v = p_{nv}$, distribution of treatment is exactly the same in each block.
 - With complete randomization, treatment might be very imbalanced across V_i .

Block randomized design

- **Block/stratified randomized experiment:**
 - Completely randomized experiment in each block.
 - Choose $n_{1,v}$ voters to be treated, $n_{0,v} = n_v - n_{1,v}$ control.
 - Choose $n_{1,nv}$ nonvoters to be treated, $n_{0,nv} = n_{nv} - n_{1,nv}$ control.
- Probability of treatment in each group called the **propensity score**:
 - Prob. of treatment for voters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 1) = p_v = n_{1,v}/n_v$
 - Prob. of treatment for nonvoters: $\mathbb{P}(D_i = 1 \mid V_i = 0) = p_{nv} = n_{1,nv}/n_{nv}$
- Blocking ensures balance across blocks:
 - When $p_v = p_{nv}$, distribution of treatment is exactly the same in each block.
 - With complete randomization, treatment might be very imbalanced across V_i .
 - No possibility of “chance” imbalances skewing the estimates.

Estimators in blocked designs

- Within-strata difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau}_v = \bar{Y}_{1,v} - \bar{Y}_{0,v} = \frac{1}{n_{1,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{nv} = \bar{Y}_{1,nv} - \bar{Y}_{0,nv} = \frac{1}{n_{1,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

Estimators in blocked designs

- Within-strata difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau}_v = \bar{Y}_{1,v} - \bar{Y}_{0,v} = \frac{1}{n_{1,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{nv} = \bar{Y}_{1,nv} - \bar{Y}_{0,nv} = \frac{1}{n_{1,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

- Unbiased for the within-strata SATEs: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{O}] = \tau_v$

Estimators in blocked designs

- Within-strata difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau}_v = \bar{Y}_{1,v} - \bar{Y}_{0,v} = \frac{1}{n_{1,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{nv} = \bar{Y}_{1,nv} - \bar{Y}_{0,nv} = \frac{1}{n_{1,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

- Unbiased for the within-strata SATEs: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{O}] = \tau_v$
- \rightsquigarrow unbiased estimator for the overall SATE:

$$\hat{\tau}_b = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_v + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_{nv}$$

Estimators in blocked designs

- Within-strata difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau}_v = \bar{Y}_{1,v} - \bar{Y}_{0,v} = \frac{1}{n_{1,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{nv} = \bar{Y}_{1,nv} - \bar{Y}_{0,nv} = \frac{1}{n_{1,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

- Unbiased for the within-strata SATEs: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{0}] = \tau_v$
- \rightsquigarrow unbiased estimator for the overall SATE:

$$\hat{\tau}_b = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_v + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_{nv}$$

- Equivalent to the regular difference in means if $p_v = p_{nv} = 1/2$.

Estimators in blocked designs

- Within-strata difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau}_v = \bar{Y}_{1,v} - \bar{Y}_{0,v} = \frac{1}{n_{1,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,v}} \sum_{i:V_i=1} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{nv} = \bar{Y}_{1,nv} - \bar{Y}_{0,nv} = \frac{1}{n_{1,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,nv}} \sum_{i:V_i=0} (1 - D_i) Y_i$$

- Unbiased for the within-strata SATEs: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{0}] = \tau_v$
- \rightsquigarrow unbiased estimator for the overall SATE:

$$\hat{\tau}_b = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_v + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right) \hat{\tau}_{nv}$$

- Equivalent to the regular difference in means if $p_v = p_{nv} = 1/2$.
- Otherwise, standard $\hat{\tau}_{\text{diff}}$ under block design will be **biased**.

Sampling variance of blocking estimator

- Each block is a completely randomized experiment so we have:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{o}) = \frac{S_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{S_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}} - \frac{S_{\tau_i,v}^2}{n_v}$$

Sampling variance of blocking estimator

- Each block is a completely randomized experiment so we have:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{S_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{S_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}} - \frac{S_{\tau_i,v}^2}{n_v}$$

- $S_{d,v}^2$ are the within-block sample variances of the potential outcomes

Sampling variance of blocking estimator

- Each block is a completely randomized experiment so we have:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{O}) = \frac{S_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{S_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}} - \frac{S_{\tau_i,v}^2}{n_v}$$

- $S_{d,v}^2$ are the within-block sample variances of the potential outcomes
- Finite sample variance of the blocked estimator:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{O}) = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v \mid \mathbf{O}) + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{nv} \mid \mathbf{O})$$

Sampling variance of blocking estimator

- Each block is a completely randomized experiment so we have:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v | \mathbf{0}) = \frac{S_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{S_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}} - \frac{S_{\tau_i,v}^2}{n_v}$$

- $S_{d,v}^2$ are the within-block sample variances of the potential outcomes
- Finite sample variance of the blocked estimator:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b | \mathbf{0}) = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v | \mathbf{0}) + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{nv} | \mathbf{0})$$

- Use the conservative variance estimators from each strata:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_b = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}}\right) + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{1,nv}^2}{n_{1,nv}} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{0,nv}^2}{n_{0,nv}}\right)$$

Sampling variance of blocking estimator

- Each block is a completely randomized experiment so we have:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v | \mathbf{0}) = \frac{S_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{S_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}} - \frac{S_{\tau_i,v}^2}{n_v}$$

- $S_{d,v}^2$ are the within-block sample variances of the potential outcomes
- Finite sample variance of the blocked estimator:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b | \mathbf{0}) = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_v | \mathbf{0}) + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{nv} | \mathbf{0})$$

- Use the conservative variance estimators from each strata:

$$\widehat{V}_b = \left(\frac{n_v}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{1,v}^2}{n_{1,v}} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{0,v}^2}{n_{0,v}}\right) + \left(\frac{n_{nv}}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{1,nv}^2}{n_{1,nv}} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{0,nv}^2}{n_{0,nv}}\right)$$

- $\widehat{\sigma}_{d,v}^2$ are the within-strata **observed outcome variances**

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.
 - Block indicator $B_i = j$ if i is in block j .

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.
 - Block indicator $B_i = j$ if i is in block j .
 - Sizes: $n_j > 2$ and proportions $w_j = n_j/n$.

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.
 - Block indicator $B_i = j$ if i is in block j .
 - Sizes: $n_j > 2$ and proportions $w_j = n_j/n$.
 - Number treated in each block: $n_{1,j}$ and $n_{0,j} = n_j - n_{1,j}$

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.
 - Block indicator $B_i = j$ if i is in block j .
 - Sizes: $n_j > 2$ and proportions $w_j = n_j/n$.
 - Number treated in each block: $n_{1,j}$ and $n_{0,j} = n_j - n_{1,j}$
- Within-block estimators:

$$\hat{\tau}_j = \frac{1}{n_{1,j}} \sum_{i: B_i=j} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,j}} \sum_{i: B_i=j} (1 - D_i) Y_i, \quad \hat{v}(\hat{\tau}_j) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{1,j}^2}{n_{1,j}} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{0,j}^2}{n_{0,j}}$$

General blocking notation

- Blocks, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.
 - Block indicator $B_i = j$ if i is in block j .
 - Sizes: $n_j > 2$ and proportions $w_j = n_j/n$.
 - Number treated in each block: $n_{1,j}$ and $n_{0,j} = n_j - n_{1,j}$
- Within-block estimators:

$$\hat{\tau}_j = \frac{1}{n_{1,j}} \sum_{i:B_i=j} D_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n_{0,j}} \sum_{i:B_i=j} (1 - D_i) Y_i, \quad \hat{V}(\hat{\tau}_j) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{1,j}^2}{n_{1,j}} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{0,j}^2}{n_{0,j}}$$

- Aggregate blocking estimators:

$$\hat{\tau}_b = \sum_{j=1}^J w_j \hat{\tau}_j, \quad \hat{V}(\hat{\tau}_b) = \sum_{j=1}^J w_j^2 \hat{V}(\hat{\tau}_j)$$

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.
- Finite sample difference in sampling variances:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{CR} \mid \mathbf{0}) - \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{n-1} [B - W]$$

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.
- Finite sample difference in sampling variances:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{CR} \mid \mathbf{0}) - \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{n-1} [B - W]$$

- Measures of between- and within-block variation:

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{n_j}{n} \right) \{ \overline{Y}_j(1) + \overline{Y}_j(0) - (\overline{Y}(1) + \overline{Y}(0)) \}^2$$

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{n_j}{n} \frac{n - n_j}{n} \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_k \mid \mathbf{0})$$

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.
- Finite sample difference in sampling variances:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{CR} \mid \mathbf{0}) - \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{n-1} [B - W]$$

- Measures of between- and within-block variation:

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{n_j}{n} \right) \{ \overline{Y}_j(1) + \overline{Y}_j(0) - (\overline{Y}(1) + \overline{Y}(0)) \}^2$$

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{n_j}{n} \frac{n - n_j}{n} \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_k \mid \mathbf{0})$$

- Difference can be positive or negative (blocking can hurt or help)

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.
- Finite sample difference in sampling variances:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{CR} \mid \mathbf{0}) - \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{n-1} [B - W]$$

- Measures of between- and within-block variation:

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{n_j}{n} \right) \{ \overline{Y}_j(1) + \overline{Y}_j(0) - (\overline{Y}(1) + \overline{Y}(0)) \}^2$$

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{n_j}{n} \frac{n - n_j}{n} \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_k \mid \mathbf{0})$$

- Difference can be positive or negative (blocking can hurt or help)
 - **Blocking is better when outcomes vary a lot across blocks, not much within blocks** (blocks are predictive of outcome, so usually the case)

Efficiency of blocking

- Efficiency of block versus CR depends on the sampling scheme.
 - Usually blocking will be more efficient/lower variance, but not always.
- Finite sample difference in sampling variances:

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_{CR} \mid \mathbf{0}) - \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_b \mid \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{n-1} [B - W]$$

- Measures of between- and within-block variation:

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{n_j}{n} \right) \{ \overline{Y}_j(1) + \overline{Y}_j(0) - (\overline{Y}(1) + \overline{Y}(0)) \}^2$$

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{n_j}{n} \frac{n - n_j}{n} \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\tau}_k \mid \mathbf{0})$$

- Difference can be positive or negative (blocking can hurt or help)
 - **Blocking is better when outcomes vary a lot across blocks, not much within blocks** (blocks are predictive of outcome, so usually the case)
 - Blocking always more efficient for PATE under stratified sampling

How to block

- Discrete covariates \rightsquigarrow blocks by unique combinations.

How to block

- Discrete covariates \rightsquigarrow blocks by unique combinations.
- Alternative: create blocks by creating homogeneous groups in \mathbf{X} .

How to block

- Discrete covariates \rightsquigarrow blocks by unique combinations.
- Alternative: create blocks by creating homogeneous groups in \mathbf{X} .
 - Choose distance metric such as Mahalanobis distance:

$$M(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)^T \hat{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)}$$

How to block

- Discrete covariates \rightsquigarrow blocks by unique combinations.
- Alternative: create blocks by creating homogeneous groups in \mathbf{X} .
 - Choose distance metric such as Mahalanobis distance:

$$M(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)^T \hat{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)}$$

- Difficult/impossible to find optimal blocks in general, but “greedy” algorithms exist.

How to block

- Discrete covariates \rightsquigarrow blocks by unique combinations.
- Alternative: create blocks by creating homogeneous groups in \mathbf{X} .
 - Choose distance metric such as Mahalanobis distance:

$$M(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)^{\widehat{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{X})^{-1}}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_k)}$$

- Difficult/impossible to find optimal blocks in general, but “greedy” algorithms exist.
- Possible to get optimal blocks with **pair matching** ($J = n/2$).

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:
 - $W_j = 1$ if first unit is treated

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:
 - $W_j = 1$ if first unit is treated
 - $W_j = -1$ if second unit is treated

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:
 - $W_j = 1$ if first unit is treated
 - $W_j = -1$ if second unit is treated
- Unbiased difference in means estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}_p = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J W_j (Y_{1j} - Y_{2j})$$

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:
 - $W_j = 1$ if first unit is treated
 - $W_j = -1$ if second unit is treated
- Unbiased difference in means estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}_p = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J W_j (Y_{1j} - Y_{2j})$$

- Within-pair variance estimator not feasible (why?)

Matched pair design

- Keep blocking for efficiency until each block is size 2.
- **Matched pair design:**
 - Create $J = n/2$ pairs of similar units with outcomes (Y_{1j}, Y_{2j})
 - Random assignment:
 - $W_j = 1$ if first unit is treated
 - $W_j = -1$ if second unit is treated
- Unbiased difference in means estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}_p = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J W_j (Y_{1j} - Y_{2j})$$

- Within-pair variance estimator not feasible (why?)
- Across-pair variance estimator (conservative for SATE):

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\tau}_p) = \frac{1}{J(J-1)} \sum_{j=1}^J \{W_j (Y_{1j} - Y_{2j} - \hat{\tau}_p)\}^2$$