

2.3 Statements of useful asymptotic formulas

Facts 2.2 (The incomplete gamma function). The (upper) *incomplete gamma function* is defined by [16, §8.4]

$$\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

The following properties of $\Gamma(a, x)$ hold at positive real $a > 0$:

$$\Gamma(a, x) = (a-1)! \cdot e^{-x} \times \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \frac{x^k}{k!}, a \in \mathbb{Z}^+, x > 0, \quad (10a)$$

$$\Gamma(a, x) \sim x^{a-1} \cdot e^{-x}, \text{ for fixed } a > 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10b)$$

Moreover, for real $z > 0$, as $z \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that [13]

$$\Gamma(z, z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^{z-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} + O(z^{z-1} e^{-z}), \quad (10c)$$

and if $z, a \rightarrow \infty$ with $z = \lambda a$ for some $\lambda > 0$ such that $(\lambda - 1)^{-1} = o(|a|^{1/2})$, then

$$\Gamma(a, z) \sim z^a e^{-z} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-a)^n b_n(\lambda)}{(z-a)^{2n+1}}, \quad (10d)$$

where the sequence $b_n(\lambda)$ satisfies the characteristic relation that $b_0(\lambda) = 1$ and^B

$$b_n(\lambda) = \lambda(1-\lambda)b'_{n-1}(\lambda) + \lambda(2n-1)b_{n-1}(\lambda), n \geq 1.$$

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that $z, a > 0$ are real parameters. If $z = \lambda a$ for some $\lambda > 1$, then as $z \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that

$$\Gamma(a, z) \sim \frac{z^{a-1} e^{-z}}{1-\lambda} + O_\lambda(z^{a-2} e^{-z}).$$

Proof. We can see that for $\lambda > 1$, $b_n(\lambda) \sim \lambda^n \cdot n!$. It follows from (10d) that (cf. [13, §A.1])

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a, z) &\sim z^{a-1} e^{-z} \times \sum_{0 \leq n < z} \frac{(-\lambda)^n \cdot n!}{z^n \cdot (1-\lambda)^{2n+1}} \\ &= z^{a-1} e^{-z} \times \sum_{0 \leq n < z} \frac{n!}{(1-\lambda)(z-a)^n} \end{aligned}$$

Since $z-a \asymp z$, or $z-a = z(1-1/\lambda)$ is proportional to z , as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we get that for all indices of the previous sum $1 \leq n < z$ the asymptotic order of these terms is of lesser order than that of the summand corresponding to $n := 0$. Also, we see that the n^{th} summand above is at most c^n for some bounded constant $0 < c < 1$ whenever $0 \leq n < z$. \square

Lemma 2.4. For $x > e$, we have that

$$S_1(x) := \frac{x}{\log x} \times \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(-1)^k (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sim x \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\log \log x}} \right), \quad (11a)$$

$$S_2(x) := \frac{x}{\log x} \times \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{k (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sim \frac{x}{2}, \quad (11b)$$

$$S_3(x) := x \times \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sim \frac{x (\log x)}{2}. \quad (11c)$$

^BAn exact formula for $b_n(\lambda)$ is given in terms of the *second-order Eulerian number triangle* [22, A008517] as follows:

$$b_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle \lambda^k.$$

Proof of (11a). Let the component sums $T_{1,1}(x)$ and $T_{1,2}(x)$ be defined by

$$T_{1,1}(x) := \sum_{\substack{k \leq \log \log x \\ k \text{ odd}}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

$$T_{1,2}(x) := \sum_{\substack{k \leq \log \log x \\ k \text{ even}}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

Then we have that

$$S_1(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} (T_{1,2}(x) - T_{1,1}(x)).$$

We find that for all sufficiently large x , the difference of the component sums can be expressed as a sum of convergent generalized hypergeometric functions such that for $b := \log \log x$ we have the following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_{1,2}(x) - T_{1,1}(x)}{\log x} &\sim 1 - \frac{2e^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot b^{1/2}} \times \left[1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{b^{2k}}{(2+b) \cdots (2k+2+b) \times (k+3+b) \cdots (2k+2+b)} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{2e^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot b^{1/2}} \times \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{k+1}{b}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{k+3}{b}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{2k+2}{b}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $k < \left\lfloor \frac{\log \log x}{2} \right\rfloor$, we see that the denominator terms coincide with a convergent geometric series, e.g., since $j/b < 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq 2k+2$, and hence the product for the k^{th} summand in these cases is approximated by $(1+o(1))^{2k} = 1+o(1)$. Then the main term contribution of the sum over k within this range corresponds to $\left\lfloor \frac{\log \log x}{2} \right\rfloor \sim \frac{\log \log x}{2}$. For $k \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\log \log x}{2} \right\rfloor$, we have convergence of the series to an absolute constant $0 < C_0(x) < +\infty$ that varies (only slightly) with b . These cases of the previous displayed formula lead us to conclude that

$$T_{1,2}(x) - T_{1,1}(x) \sim 1 - \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\log \log x}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}}\right). \quad \square$$

Proof of (11b). We can sum exactly to see that

$$S_2(x) = \frac{x \cdot \Gamma(\log \log x, \log \log x)}{\Gamma(\log \log x)}.$$

When we apply the form of the known incomplete gamma function asymptotics from (10c) as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and apply Stirling's formula to approximate $\Gamma(N+1) = N \cdot \Gamma(N)$ for large N , we can see that $S_2(x) \sim \frac{x}{2}$. \square

Proof of (11c). We can sum $S_3(x)$ exactly to arrive at the following expression:

$$S_3(x) = \frac{x \cdot \Gamma(\log \log x, \log \log x)}{\Gamma(\log \log x)}.$$

When we apply (10c) with Stirling's formula to show that $\Gamma(\log \log x + 1) \sim \sqrt{2\pi(\log \log x)} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{e}\right)^{\log \log x}$ for all x sufficiently large, we can then conclude the result. We have written $\Gamma(\log \log x) = \frac{1}{\log \log x} \times \Gamma(\log \log x + 1)$ to apply Stirling's formula in the last step. \square

2.4 Results on the distribution of exceptional values of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$

The next theorems reproduced from [12, §7.4] characterize the relative scarcity of the distributions of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ for $n \leq x$ such that $\omega(n), \Omega(n) > \log \log x$. Since $\mathbb{E}[\omega(n)], \mathbb{E}[\Omega(n)] = \log \log n + B$ for $B \in (0, 1)$ an absolute constant in each case, these results imply a very regular, normal tendency of these arithmetic functions towards their respective average order.