

Journal of Number Theory

Exact formulas for partial sums of the Möbius function expressed by partial sums of weighted Liouville functions --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JNTH-D-21-00129R3
Article Type:	Full Length Article_closed
Keywords:	Möbius function; Mertens function; Dirichlet inverse; Liouville lambda function; prime omega function; prime counting function; Dirichlet generating function; prime zeta function.
Corresponding Author:	Maxie Dion Schmidt Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA United States
First Author:	Maxie Dion Schmidt
Order of Authors:	Maxie Dion Schmidt
Abstract:	<p>The Mertens function, $M(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, is defined as the summatory function of the classical Möbius function for $x \geq 1$. The Dirichlet inverse function $g(n) := (\omega + \mathbf{1})^{-1}(n)$ is defined in terms of the shifted strongly additive function $\omega(n)$ that counts the number of distinct prime factors of n without multiplicity. Discrete convolutions of the partial sums of $g(n)$ with the prime counting function provide new exact formulas for $M(x)$ that are weighted sums of the Liouville function involving $g(n)$ for $n \leq x$. We study the distribution of the unsigned function $g(n)$ through the auxiliary unsigned sequence $C_{\Omega}(n)$ whose Dirichlet generating function is given by $(1-P(s))^{-1}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ where $P(s) = \sum_p p^{-s}$ is the prime zeta function. An application of the Selberg-Delange method yields asymptotics for the restricted sums of $C_{\Omega}(n)$ over all $n \leq x$ such that $\Omega(n)=k$ uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$. We use these formulas to prove precise formulas for the average order of both $C_{\Omega}(n)$ and $g(n)$. Higher-order moments of these functions are predicted numerically by the conjecture that there is a limiting probability measure on \mathbb{R} whose cumulative density function gives the distribution of the distinct values of each function over $n \leq x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.</p>

Journal of Number Theory

Revised Manuscript and Responses to Referee Feedback

Exact formulas for partial sums of the Möbius function expressed by partial sums of weighted Liouville functions

Revision 4 – v2022-03-01

Summary of changes in this revised manuscript:

I have been fortunate enough to be in touch with Jeff Lagarias over the past few months on Zoom. He has taken literally hours of his time to provide feedback and discussion of the last submitted revision of the article emphasizing changes to the section and appendix-wise organization, stylistic modifications aimed at making the prior notation less cumbersome, and on enforcing general practices with respect to good mathematical writing style. Key changes in this revision include the following:

- The title has changed to be more descriptive;
- The abstract has been modified to reflect the newer changes in the article;
- The introduction has been shortened and reorganized thoughtfully to motivate the key takeaways and interpretations of the article, and to present statements of the main new results proved within;
- The results on the limiting distributions of the two primary unsigned sequences we study in the article are re-tooled and stated as conjectures (see Section 5). As proofs of these results are outside of the scope of this article, and would substantially lengthen the already long and technical contents, we aim to only provide enough motivation to support arriving at the conjectured results in the new separate section;
- There are several new discrete plots and numerical experiments featured in the figures given in Section 5 and Section 6. These figures are carefully explained in the relevant subsections of the article. The figures have been chosen carefully to showcase interesting properties of the auxiliary functions studied in the article and to point out apparent features of the new functions in comparison with the classical summatory functions, $M(x)$ and $L(x)$;
- The main sections of the article (non-appendix sections) have been shifted to reflect a more comprehensible exposition by proof method and by topic according to the auxiliary function to which the results in each section pertain;
- Several sections of the article that can be logically separated from the main body sections have been moved to separate appendices;
- Subsections of the article that do not provide extra information on which we build and extend in our new results and conjectures have been removed to shorten the article. For example, the former subsection from the introduction providing preliminaries, or a discussion of known results on $M(x)$, is now omitted from the manuscript.

Please note that most of the referee responses from the last returned revision of the article now refers to a subsection on prior known results on the Mertens function that has now been removed from the manuscript. The motivation for removing this section on the “preliminary” results on $M(x)$ is that we do not utilize nor require these existing results in the proofs and key takeaways that we wish to emphasize within the article.

Thank you very much to the editor and referee for allowing me the time to update the article and make these modifications to improve the overall quality of the manuscript.

Responses to the referee from the first revised manuscript:

Referee Point:

It takes a long time to see exactly what theorems the author is proving, and when you see ‘em, it’s unclear why anyone would do this.

Author Response:

I have received feedback from the JNT editor and from other sources in making changes to the article. A summary of the changes made in this revision of the manuscript are given in the bulleted list appearing on the previous pages of this referee response document. The changes in this revision follow hours of detailed online discussion with Jeff Lagarias. The modifications and updated structure and presentation within this revision have been made and copyedited carefully to improve the presentation of the article. Please proceed by re-reading the article from the start sections. I think you will find that the thoughtful discussion of how to best present and motivate and outline key takeaways of these new results was a productive effort.

Referee Point:

Sound’s paper is in Crelle, not Annals.

Author Response:

Thank you for catching this missed citation from my old BibTeX file. Some of the results I originally had attributed to Sound’s article with the reference are actually contained in the bibliography item by Humphries. Please note that this comment refers to a section of the article that has been removed in the latest revision of the article.

Referee Point:

Sound’s result, quoted before on page 4, just before § 1.1.3 should have “14” as the exponent of $\log \log x$, and not $5/2 + \epsilon$.

Author Response:

Thank you for catching this typographical error. Please note that this comment refers to a section of the article that has been removed in the latest revision of the article.

Referee Point:

Above this, Walfisz’s result should have, as the exponent of $\log \log x$ “ $-3/5$ ” instead of “ $-1/5$ ”.

Author Response:

Thank you for pointing out this typographical error. Please note that this comment refers to a section of the article that has been removed in the latest revision of the article.

Referee Point:

“te Riele” and “Odlyzko” have interesting variations on their spelling throughout the article.

Author Response:

Thank you for pointing out that I needed to check the spellings more carefully. Please note that this comment refers to a section of (and corresponding bibliography references in) the article that has been removed in the latest revision of the article.

Exact formulas for partial sums of the Möbius function expressed by partial sums of weighted Liouville functions

Maxie Dion Schmidt

maxieds@gmail.com

mschmidt34@gatech.edu

Georgia Institute of Technology

School of Mathematics

Tuesday 1st March, 2022

Abstract

The Mertens function, $M(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, is defined as the summatory function of the classical Möbius function for $x \geq 1$. The Dirichlet inverse function $g(n) := (\omega + \mathbb{1})^{-1}(n)$ is defined in terms of the shifted strongly additive function $\omega(n)$ that counts the number of distinct prime factors of n without multiplicity. Discrete convolutions of the partial sums of $g(n)$ with the prime counting function provide new exact formulas for $M(x)$ that are weighted sums of the Liouville function involving $|g(n)|$ for $n \leq x$. We study the distribution of the unsigned function $|g(n)|$ through the auxiliary unsigned sequence $C_\Omega(n)$ whose Dirichlet generating function is given by $(1 - P(s))^{-1}$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ where $P(s) = \sum_p p^{-s}$ is the prime zeta function. An application of the Selberg-Delange method yields asymptotics for the restricted sums of $C_\Omega(n)$ over all $n \leq x$ such that $\Omega(n) = k$ uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$. We use these formulas to prove precise formulas for the average order of both $C_\Omega(n)$ and $|g(n)|$. Higher-order moments of these functions are predicted numerically by the conjecture that there is a limiting probability measure on \mathbb{R} whose cumulative density function gives the distribution of the distinct values of each function over $n \leq x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords and Phrases: *Möbius function; Mertens function; Dirichlet inverse; Liouville lambda function; prime omega function; prime counting function; Dirichlet generating function; prime zeta function.*

Math Subject Classifications (2010): *11N37; 11A25; 11N60; 11N64; and 11-04.*

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	An asymptotic formula for certain partial sums	5
3	Properties of the function $C_\Omega(n)$	7
3.1	Uniform asymptotics for partial sums	7
3.2	Average order	10
4	Properties of the function $g(n)$	11
4.1	Signedness	12
4.2	Precise relations to $C_\Omega(n)$	13
4.3	Average order	14
5	Conjectures on limiting distributions for the unsigned sequences	14
6	Proofs of the new exact formulas for $M(x)$	16
6.1	Formulas relating $M(x)$ to the partial sums of $g(n)$	16
6.2	Plots and numerical experiments	19
6.3	Local cancellation of the formulas for $M(x)$ involving $G(x)$ along a subsequence	22
7	Conclusions	23
	Acknowledgments	24
	References	24
	Appendices on supplementary material	
A	Glossary of notation and conventions	25
B	The distributions of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$	27
C	Partial sums expressed in terms of the incomplete gamma function	28
D	Inversion theorems for partial sums of Dirichlet convolutions	31
E	Tables of computations involving $g(n)$ and its partial sums	33

1 Introduction

The Mertens function is the summatory function of $\mu(n)$ defined by the partial sums [21, A008683; A002321]

$$M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n), \text{ for } x \geq 1. \quad (1.1)$$

The partial sums of the Liouville lambda function are defined by [21, A008836; A002819]

$$L(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n), \text{ for } x \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The Mertens function is related to the partial sums in (1.2) via the relation [12, 13]

$$M(x) = \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(d) L\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d^2} \right\rfloor\right), \text{ for } x \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

We fix the notation for the Dirichlet inverse function [21, A341444]

$$g(n) := (\omega + \mathbb{1})^{-1}(n), \text{ for } n \geq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

We use the notation $|g(n)|$ to denote the absolute value of $g(n)$ where the sign of $g(n)$ is given by $\lambda(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see Proposition 4.3). We define the partial sums $G(x)$ for integers $x \geq 1$ as follows [21, A341472]:

$$G(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) |g(n)|. \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.1. *For all $x \geq 1$*

$$M(x) = G(x) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} |g(k)| \pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) \lambda(k), \quad (1.6a)$$

$$M(x) = G(x) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{x}{2}} \left(\pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) - \pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right) G(k), \quad (1.6b)$$

$$M(x) = G(x) + \sum_{p \leq x} G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor\right). \quad (1.6c)$$

The relation in (1.3) gives an exact expression for $M(x)$ with summands involving $L(x)$ that are oscillatory. In contrast, the exact expansions for the Mertens function given in Theorem 1.1 express $M(x)$ as finite sums over $\lambda(n)$ with weight coefficients that are unsigned. For $n \geq 2$, let the function $\mathcal{E}[n] \vdash (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r)$ denote the unordered partition of exponents for which $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_r^{\alpha_r}$ is the factorization of n into powers of distinct primes. For any $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ we have that

$$\mathcal{E}[n_1] = \mathcal{E}[n_2] \implies g(n_1) = g(n_2). \quad (1.7)$$

The property of the symmetry of the distinct values of $|g(n)|$ with respect to the prime factorizations of $n \geq 2$ in (1.7) shows that à priori the unsigned weights on $\lambda(n)$ in the new formulas from the theorem are comparatively easier to work with than prior exact expressions for $M(x)$ in terms of $L(x)$. Stating tight bounds on the distribution of $L(x)$ is a problem that is equally as difficult as understanding the properties of $M(x)$ well at large x or along infinite subsequences (cf. [10, 8]).

An exact expression for $g(n)$ is given by (see Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.5)

$$g(n) = \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C_\Omega(d), n \geq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

The sequence $\lambda(n)C_\Omega(n)$ has the Dirichlet generating function (DGF) $(1 + P(s))^{-1}$ and $C_\Omega(n)$ has the DGF $(1 - P(s))^{-1}$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ where $P(s) := \sum_p p^{-s}$ is the prime zeta function. The function $C_\Omega(n)$ was considered in [9] with an exact formula given by

$$C_\Omega(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ (\Omega(n))! \times \prod_{p^\alpha \parallel n} \frac{1}{\alpha!}, & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

The focus of the article is on studying statistics of the unsigned functions $C_\Omega(n)$ and $|g(n)|$ and their partial sums. The new formulas for $M(x)$ given in Theorem 1.1 provide a window from which we can view classically difficult problems about asymptotics for this function partially in terms of the properties of the auxiliary unsigned functions and their distributions.

Define the function

$$\widehat{G}(z) := \frac{\zeta(2)^{-z}}{\Gamma(1+z)(1+P(2)z)}, \text{ for } 0 \leq |z| < P(2)^{-1} \approx 2.21118.$$

Theorem 1.2. *For all sufficiently large x , there is an absolute constant $A_0 > 0$ such that uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_\Omega(n) = \frac{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi} x}{\log x} \times \widehat{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(k-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right).$$

We use Theorem 1.2 with an adaptation of the form of Rankin's method from [14, Thm. 7.20] to prove that for fixed $1 \leq r < 2$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) \geq r \log \log x}} C_\Omega(n) \ll_r x (\log x)^{r-1-r \log r} \sqrt{\log \log x}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

This result combined with the last theorem lead to a proof of the following average order formula:

Theorem 1.3. *There is an absolute constant $B_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} C_\Omega(k) = B_0 \sqrt{\log \log n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log n}\right)\right), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The relation in (1.8) is used to deduce the average order of $|g(n)|$ from Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.4. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| = \frac{6B_0(\log n)\sqrt{\log \log n}}{\pi^2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log n}\right)\right).$$

Conjecture. *There are explicit unbounded monotone increasing functions, $\mu_\Omega(x)$ and $\sigma_\Omega(x)$, and a limiting probability measure, ϕ_Ω , on \mathbb{R} with associated cumulative density function given by Φ_Ω so that for any $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$*

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \# \left\{ 3 \leq n \leq x : \frac{|g(n)| - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \mu_\Omega(x)}{\sigma_\Omega(x)} \leq y \right\} = \Phi_\Omega\left(\frac{\pi^2 y}{6}\right) + o(1), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The article is organized into sections that prove our new results for each of the functions $C_\Omega(n)$, $g(n)$ and $|g(n)|$, and then establish the proofs of the exact formulas for $M(x)$ stated in Theorem 1.1. The appendix sections provide a glossary of notation and supplementary material on topics that can be separated from the organization of the main sections of the article.

2 An asymptotic formula for certain partial sums

This section proves in Theorem 2.3 an asymptotic analysis of the generating function $\widehat{A}_z(x)$ given by the next definition. The formula proved in the theorem is used to prove the results in Section 3 which then in turn lead to proofs of the results on the unsigned inverse function $|g(n)|$ in Section 4.

Definition 2.1. For any $x \geq 1$ we define the partial sums

$$\widehat{A}_z(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{\omega(n)} C_\Omega(n) z^{\Omega(n)}.$$

The function is $C_\Omega(n)$ defined in equation (1.9) of the introduction (see Section 3).

The asymptotic analysis will be obtained via study of the following Dirichlet generating function (DGF):

Definition 2.2. Let the bivariate DGF $\widehat{F}(s, z)$ be defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and $|z| < |P(s)|^{-1}$ by

$$\widehat{F}(s, z) := \frac{1}{1 + P(s)z} \times \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^z = \frac{\zeta(s)^{-z}}{1 + P(s)z}.$$

We define the z -dependent sequence of coefficients $\{b_z(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in the series expansion of the DGF

$$\widehat{F}(s, z) \zeta(s)^z = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_z(n)}{n^s}, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ and } |z| < |P(s)|^{-1}.$$

For any $0 \leq |z| < P(2)^{-1}$ we define the function

$$\widehat{G}(z) := \frac{\widehat{F}(2, z)}{\Gamma(1 + z)}. \quad (2.1)$$

The function $\widehat{A}_z(x)$ is obtained as the partial sums of the coefficients of the DGF $\widehat{F}(s, z)$ when $s := 2$ (see equation (2.4) in the proof below). The Dirichlet generating function $\widehat{F}(s, z)$ can be studied through the Selberg-Delange method presented in Tenenbaum [22, §II.6.1] (see also [14, cf. §7.4]). In particular, we define the coefficients $\{d_z(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in the DGF of complex-valued powers of the Riemann zeta function by the series expansion

$$\zeta(s)^z = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{d_z(n)}{n^s}, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.2a)$$

Asymptotics for the partial sums

$$D_z(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} d_z(n), \text{ for } x \geq 1 \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.2b)$$

require careful evaluation via a Hankel loop contour over $\zeta(s)^z$ for general complex $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We have that [14, Thm. 7.17; §7.4]

$$D_z(x) = \frac{x(\log x)^{z-1}}{\Gamma(z)} + O_z\left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2}\right), \text{ for } x \geq 2 \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 2. \quad (2.2c)$$

The analysis given in Tenenbaum's work is not sufficient for our application, because in our case here, the auxiliary variable z is introduced, and eventually we specify that $s := 2$ (as opposed to taking $s := 1$ in Selberg's original proof [20]).

Theorem 2.3. For all sufficiently large $x \geq 2$ and $|z| < 2$

$$\widehat{A}_z(x) = \frac{x\widehat{F}(2, z)}{\Gamma(z)} (\log x)^{z-1} + O_z\left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2}\right).$$

Proof. It follows from (1.9) that we can generate exponentially scaled forms of the function $C_\Omega(n)$ by a product identity of the following form:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{C_\Omega(n)}{(\Omega(n))!} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)} z^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{z^{\Omega(p^r)}}{r! p^{rs}} \right)^{-1} = \exp(-zP(s)), \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(P(s)z) > -1.$$

This Euler product type expansion is similar in construction to the parameterized bivariate DGFs defined in [14, §7.4] [22, cf. §II.6.1]. By computing a termwise Laplace transform applied to the right-hand-side of the previous equation, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{C_\Omega(n) (-1)^{\omega(n)} z^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \exp(-tzP(s)) dt = \frac{1}{1 + P(s)z}, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(P(s)z) > -1.$$

It follows from the Euler product representation of $\zeta(s)$, which is convergent for any $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, that

$$\widehat{F}(s, z) \zeta(s)^z = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)} C_\Omega(n) z^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s} = \frac{1}{1 + P(s)z}, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ and } |z| < |P(s)|^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

The DGF $\widehat{F}(s, z)$ is an analytic function of s for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ whenever the parameter $|z| < |P(s)|^{-1}$. Indeed, whenever $|z| \leq R < |P(s)|^{-1}$

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_z(n) (\log n)^{2R+1}}{n^s} \right| < \infty.$$

Moreover, the series in the last equation is uniformly bounded for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 2$ and $|z| \leq R < |P(s)|^{-1}$.

For fixed $0 < |z| < 2$, the sequence $\{d_z(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is defined as in (2.2a). An asymptotic formula for its partial sums, $D_z(x)$, whenever $x \geq 2$ is given in (2.2c). Let $b_z(n) := (-1)^{\omega(n)} C_\Omega(n) z^{\Omega(n)}$ (in agreement with Definition 2.2) and take the convolution sequence

$$\hat{a}_z(n) := \sum_{d|n} b_z(d) d_z\left(\frac{n}{d}\right), \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

The partial sums $\widehat{A}_z(x)$ from Definition 2.1 are given by

$$\widehat{A}_z(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \hat{a}_z(n).$$

Then we have that for any $0 < |z| < 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_z(x) &= \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{2}} b_z(m) D_z\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + \sum_{\frac{x}{2} < m \leq x} b_z(m) \\ &= \frac{x}{\Gamma(z)} \times \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{2}} \frac{b_z(m)}{m} \log\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{z-1} + O\left(\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{x |b_z(m)|}{m} \times \log\left(\frac{2x}{m}\right)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We can sum the coefficients $\frac{b_z(m)}{m}$ for integers $m \leq u$ when u is taken sufficiently large as

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq u} \frac{b_z(m)}{m^2} \times m = \widehat{F}(2, z) + O_z(u^{-1}).$$

Suppose that $0 < |z| \leq R < 2$. For large x , the error term in (2.4) satisfies

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{x |b_z(m)|}{m} \log\left(\frac{2x}{m}\right)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \ll x (\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \times \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{|b_z(m)|}{m} + x (\log x)^{-(R+2)} \times \sum_{m > \sqrt{x}} \frac{|b_z(m)|}{m} (\log m)^{2R},$$

$$= O_z \left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \right).$$

When $m \leq \sqrt{x}$ we have that

$$\log \left(\frac{x}{m} \right)^{z-1} = (\log x)^{z-1} + O \left((\log m)(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \right).$$

A related bound is obtained for the left-hand-side of the previous equation expanding in powers of $\log m$ when $\sqrt{x} < m < x$ and $0 < |z| < R$. The combined sum over the interval $m \leq \frac{x}{2}$ produces the following bounds when $0 < |z| \leq R$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{2}} b_z(m) D_z \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) &= \frac{x}{\Gamma(z)} (\log x)^{z-1} \times \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{2}} \frac{b_z(m)}{m} \\ &\quad + O_R \left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \times \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{|b_z(m)| \log m}{m} + x(\log x)^{R-1} \times \sum_{m > \sqrt{x}} \frac{|b_z(m)|}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{x \widehat{F}(2, z)}{\Gamma(z)} (\log x)^{z-1} + O_R \left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \times \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{b_z(m) (\log m)^{2R+1}}{m^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{x \widehat{F}(2, z)}{\Gamma(z)} (\log x)^{z-1} + O_R \left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3 Properties of the function $C_\Omega(n)$

The function $C_\Omega(n)$ is key to understanding the unsigned inverse sequence $|g(n)|$. In this section, we define $C_\Omega(n)$ precisely and explore its properties.

Definition 3.1. We define the following bivariate sequence for integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$:

$$C_k(n) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon(n), & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \sum_{d|n} \omega(d) C_{k-1} \left(\frac{n}{d} \right), & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Using the notation for iterated convolution in Bateman and Diamond [2, Def. 2.3; §2], we have $C_0(n) \equiv \omega^{*0}(n)$ and $C_k(n) \equiv \omega^{*k}(n)$ for integers $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$. The special case of (3.1) where $k := \Omega(n)$ occurs frequently in the next sections of the article. To avoid cumbersome notation when referring to this common function variant, we suppress the duplicate index n by writing $C_\Omega(n) := C_{\Omega(n)}(n)$ [21, A008480].

Remark 3.2. By recursively expanding the definition of $C_k(n)$ at any fixed $n \geq 2$, we see that we can form a chain of at most $\Omega(n)$ iterated (or nested) divisor sums by unfolding the definition of (3.1) inductively. By the same argument, we see that at fixed n , the function $C_k(n)$ is non-zero only possibly for $1 \leq k \leq \Omega(n)$ when $n \geq 2$. We see by (1.9) that $C_\Omega(n) \leq (\Omega(n))!$ for all $n \geq 1$ with equality precisely at the squarefree integers so that $(\Omega(n))! = (\omega(n))!$ whenever $\mu^2(n) = 1$.

3.1 Uniform asymptotics for partial sums

Definition 3.3. For integers $x \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, two variants of the restricted partial sums of the function $C_\Omega(n)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) &:= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} (-1)^{\omega(n)} C_\Omega(n), \\ \widehat{C}_k(x) &:= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_\Omega(n). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we have defined the function $\widehat{G}(z) := \widehat{F}(2, z) \times \Gamma(1+z)^{-1}$ for any $0 \leq |z| < P(2)^{-1}$ in Definition 2.2 of the previous section.

Theorem 3.4. *As $x \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq 2 \log \log x$*

$$\widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) = -\widehat{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) \frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)\right).$$

Proof. When $k = 1$, we have that $\Omega(n) = \omega(n)$ for all $n \leq x$ such that $\Omega(n) = k$. The positive integers n that satisfy this requirement are precisely the primes $p \leq x$. The formula is satisfied as

$$\sum_{p \leq x} (-1)^{\omega(p)} C_{\Omega}(p) = - \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = - \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right).$$

For $2 \leq k \leq 2 \log \log x$, we will apply the error estimate from Theorem 2.3 with $r := \frac{k-1}{\log \log x}$ to

$$\widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2\pi i} \times \int_{|v|=r} \frac{\widehat{A}_{-v}(x)}{v^{k+1}} dv.$$

The error in this formula contributes terms that are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x(\log x)^{-(\operatorname{Re}(v)+2)} v^{-(k+1)} \right| &\ll \left| x(\log x)^{-(r+2)} r^{-(k+1)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2-\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}}} \cdot \frac{(\log \log x)^k}{(k-1)^k} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \cdot \frac{(\log \log x)^{k+1}}{(k-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(k-1)!} \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{k(\log \log x)^{k-5}}{(k-1)!}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We next find the main term for the coefficients of the following contour integral when $r \in [0, z_{\max}] \subseteq [0, 2)$:

$$\widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) \sim \frac{(-1)^k x}{2\pi i (\log x)} \times \int_{|v|=r} \frac{(\log x)^{-v} \zeta(2)^v}{\Gamma(1-v) v^k (1-P(2)v)} dv. \quad (3.2)$$

The main term of $\widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x)$ is then given by $-\frac{x}{\log x} \times I_k(r, x)$, where we define

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(r, x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times \int_{|v|=r} \frac{\widehat{G}(v)(\log x)^v}{v^k} dv \\ &=: I_{1,k}(r, x) + I_{2,k}(r, x). \end{aligned}$$

With $r = \frac{k-1}{\log \log x}$, the first component integral is defined to be

$$I_{1,k}(r, x) := \frac{\widehat{G}(r)}{2\pi i} \times \int_{|v|=r} \frac{(\log x)^v}{v^k} dv = \widehat{G}(r) \times \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

The second integral, $I_{2,k}(r, x)$, corresponds to an error term in the approximation. This component function is defined by

$$I_{2,k}(r, x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times \int_{|v|=r} (\widehat{G}(v) - \widehat{G}(r)) \frac{(\log x)^v}{v^k} dv.$$

Integrating by parts shows that [14, cf. Thm. 7.19; §7.4]

$$I_{2,k}(r, x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times \int_{|v|=r} (\widehat{G}(v) - \widehat{G}(r) - \widehat{G}'(r)(v-r)) (\log x)^v v^{-k} dv.$$

We find that

$$|\widehat{G}(v) - \widehat{G}(r) - \widehat{G}'(r)(v-r)| = \left| \int_r^v (v-w) \widehat{G}''(w) dw \right| \ll |v-r|^2.$$

With the parameterization $v = re^{2\pi i\theta}$ for $\theta \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we obtain

$$|I_{2,k}(r, x)| \ll r^{3-k} \times \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sin \pi\theta)^2 e^{(k-1)\cos(2\pi\theta)} d\theta.$$

Since $|\sin x| \leq |x|$ for all $|x| < 1$ and $\cos(2\pi\theta) \leq 1 - 8\theta^2$ if $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the next bounds hold for $1 \leq k \leq 2 \log \log x$.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{2,k}(r, x)| &\ll r^{3-k} e^{k-1} \times \int_0^\infty \theta^2 e^{-8(k-1)\theta^2} d\theta \\ &\ll \frac{r^{3-k} e^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-3} e^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \ll \frac{k(\log \log x)^{k-3}}{(k-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, whenever $1 \leq k \leq 2 \log \log x$

$$1 = \widehat{G}(0) \geq \widehat{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right)} \times \frac{\zeta(2)^{\frac{1-k}{\log \log x}}}{\left(1 + \frac{P(2)(k-1)}{\log \log x}\right)} \geq \widehat{G}(2) \approx 0.097027.$$

In particular, the function $\widehat{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) \gg 1$ for all $1 \leq k \leq 2 \log \log x$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\hat{h}(t)$ and $\sum_{n \leq t} \ell(n)$ are piecewise smooth and differentiable functions of t on \mathbb{R}^+ . The next formulas follow from Abel summation and integration by parts.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \ell(n) \hat{h}(n) = \left(\sum_{n \leq t} \ell(n) \right) \hat{h}(t) \Big|_1^x - \int_1^x \left(\sum_{n \leq t} \ell(n) \right) \hat{h}'(t) dt \quad (3.3a)$$

$$= \int_1^x \frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{n \leq t} \ell(n) \right] \hat{h}(t) dt \quad (3.3b)$$

Since $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$, we have that

$$\widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} (-1)^{\omega(n)} C_\Omega(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{\omega(n)} \left[\omega(n) \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x \right]_\delta \times C_\Omega(n) [\Omega(n) = k]_\delta.$$

By the proof of Lemma C.7 in the appendix section, we have that as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$L_*(t) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq t \\ \omega(n) \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log t}} (-1)^{\omega(n)} = \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \log \log t \rfloor} t}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi \log \log t}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} \right) \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Except for t within a subset of (e, ∞) with measure zero on which $L_*(t)$ may change sign, the main term of the derivative of this summatory function is approximated by

$$L'_*(t) \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \log \log t \rfloor}}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi \log \log t}}, \text{ a.e. for } t > e.$$

We apply the formula from (3.3b) to deduce that whenever $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{\log \log x - 1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi}} \times \int_{e^{e^j}}^{e^{e^{j+1}}} \frac{C_\Omega(t) [\Omega(t) = k]_\delta}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} dt \\ &\sim - \int_1^{\frac{\log \log x}{2}} \int_{e^{e^{2s-1}}}^{e^{e^{2s}}} \frac{2C_\Omega(t) [\Omega(t) = k]_\delta}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi \log \log t}} dt ds + \frac{1}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi}} \times \int_{x^{e^{-1}}}^x \frac{C_\Omega(t) [\Omega(t) = k]_\delta}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For large x , $(\log \log t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is continuous and monotone decreasing for t on $[x^{e^{-1}}, x]$ with

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log (x^{e^{-1}})}} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)\sqrt{\log \log x}}\right),$$

Then we have

$$-A_0\sqrt{2\pi}x(\log x)\sqrt{\log \log x} \times \widehat{C}'_{k,\omega}(x) = \left(\widehat{C}_k(x) - \widehat{C}_k(x^{e^{-1}})\right)(1+o(1)) - x(\log x)\widehat{C}'_k(x). \quad (3.5)$$

For $1 \leq k < \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$, we expect the integers $n \leq x$ such that $\omega(n) = \Omega(n) = k$ to satisfy

$$\widehat{C}_k(x) \gg \sum_{n \leq x} [\Omega(n) = k]_{\delta} \asymp \frac{x}{\log x} \times \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

We conclude that $\widehat{C}_k(x^{e^{-1}}) = o(\widehat{C}_k(x))$ for large x . The solution to (3.5) is of the form

$$\widehat{C}_k(x) = -A_0\sqrt{2\pi}(\log x) \times \left(\int_3^x \frac{\sqrt{\log \log t}}{\log t} \times \widehat{C}'_{k,\omega}(t) dt \right) (1+o(1)).$$

When we integrate by parts and apply Theorem 3.4, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_k(x) &= -A_0\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{\log \log x} \times \widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) + O\left(x \times \int_3^x \frac{\sqrt{\log \log t} \times \widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(t)}{t^2(\log t)^2} dt\right) \\ &= -A_0\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{\log \log x} \times \widehat{C}_{k,\omega}(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{2^k(k-1)!} \times \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}, 2 \log \log x\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x$ such that $\rho > 1$ in Proposition C.3, the proposition and Theorem 3.4 imply the conclusion. \square

3.2 Average order

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 1.2 and Proposition C.3 when $\rho = \frac{2}{3}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{3}{2} \log \log x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_{\Omega}(n) &\asymp \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{3}{2} \log \log x} \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log x)(k-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{x\sqrt{\log \log x} \times \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} \log \log x, \log \log x\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} \log \log x\right)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right) \\ &= x\sqrt{\log \log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq z < 2$, the function $\widehat{G}(z)$ is monotone in z with $\widehat{G}(0) = 1$ and $\widehat{G}(2) \approx 0.303964$. There is an absolute constant $B_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{3}{2} \log \log x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_{\Omega}(n) = B_0\sqrt{\log \log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right).$$

We claim that

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{n \leq x} C_{\Omega}(n) = \frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_{\Omega}(n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{3}{2} \log \log x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_{\Omega}(n) (1 + o(1)), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove the claim it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) \geq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x}} C_{\Omega}(n) = o\left(\sqrt{\log \log x}\right), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

We argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 by applying Theorem 2.3 and Lemma C.7 that whenever $0 < |z| < 2$ with x sufficiently large

$$\sum_{n \leq x} C_{\Omega}(n) z^{\Omega(n)} \ll_z \frac{\widehat{F}(2, z) x \sqrt{\log \log x}}{\Gamma(z)} (\log x)^{z-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

For large x and fixed $1 \leq r < 2$, we define

$$\widehat{B}(x, r) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) \geq r \log \log x}} C_{\Omega}(n).$$

We adapt the proof from the reference [14, cf. Thm. 7.20; §7.4] by applying (3.7) when $1 \leq r < 2$. Since $r \widehat{F}(2, r) = \frac{r \zeta(2)^{-r}}{1 + P(2)^r} \ll 1$ and since $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+r)} \gg 1$ for $r \in [1, 2)$, we find that

$$x \sqrt{\log \log x} (\log x)^{r-1} \gg \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) \geq r \log \log x}} C_{\Omega}(n) r^{\Omega(n)} \gg \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) \geq r \log \log x}} C_{\Omega}(n) r^r \log \log x.$$

For $r := \frac{3}{2}$ we have

$$\widehat{B}(x, r) \ll x (\log x)^{r-1-r \log r} \sqrt{\log \log x} = O\left(\frac{x \sqrt{\log \log x}}{(\log x)^{0.108197}}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

We evaluate the sums

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{k \geq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} C_{\Omega}(n) \ll \frac{1}{x} \times \widehat{B}\left(x, \frac{3}{2}\right) = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log \log x}}{(\log x)^{0.108197}}\right), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The last equation implies that (3.6) holds. \square

4 Properties of the function $g(n)$

In this section, we explore and enumerate several key properties of the inverse function $g(n)$. The partial sums of this sequence yield the new formulas for $M(x)$ stated in Theorem 1.1 proved in Section 6 below.

Definition 4.1. For integers $n \geq 1$, we define the Dirichlet inverse function

$$g(n) = (\omega + \mathbf{1})^{-1}(n), \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

The function $|g(n)|$ denotes the unsigned inverse function.

We briefly motivate the definition of $g(n)$ given in Definition 4.1 using the next argument.

Remark 4.2. Let $\chi_{\mathbb{P}}(n)$ denote the characteristic function of the primes, let $\varepsilon(n) = \delta_{n,1}$ be the multiplicative identity with respect to Dirichlet convolution, and denote by $\omega(n)$ the strongly additive function that counts the number of distinct prime factors of n (without multiplicity). We can see using elementary methods that

$$\chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon = (\omega + \mathbb{1}) * \mu. \quad (4.1)$$

Namely, the result in (4.1) follows by Möbius inversion since $\mu * 1 = \varepsilon$ and

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1 = \sum_{d|n} \chi_{\mathbb{P}}(d), \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

We recall the classic inversion theorem of summatory functions (or generalized convolutions) proved in [1, §2.14] for any Dirichlet invertible arithmetic function $\alpha(n)$ as follows:

$$G(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \alpha(n) F\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \implies F(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \alpha^{-1}(n) G\left(\frac{x}{n}\right), \text{ for } x \geq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, to express the new formulas for $M(x)$, which forms the partial sums of $\mu(n)$, we may consider the inversion of the right-hand-side form of the partial sums

$$\pi(x) + 1 = \sum_{n \leq x} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon)(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} (\omega + \mathbb{1}) * \mu(n), \text{ for } x \geq 1.$$

Theorem 6.2 in Section 6.1 provides more expansions of the inversion of partial sums of this type (in analog to equation (4.2) above).

4.1 Signedness

Proposition 4.3. *The sign of the function $g(n)$ is $\lambda(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The series $D_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)n^{-s}$ defines the Dirichlet generating function (DGF) of any arithmetic function f which is convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma_f$ where σ_f is the abscissa of convergence of the series. Recall that $D_{\mathbb{1}}(s) = \zeta(s)$, $D_{\mu}(s) = \zeta(s)^{-1}$ and $D_{\omega}(s) = P(s)\zeta(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. By (4.1) and the fact that whenever $f(1) \neq 0$, the DGF of $f^{-1}(n)$ is $D_f(s)^{-1}$, we have

$$D_{(\omega+1)^{-1}}(s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)(1+P(s))}, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \quad (4.3)$$

It follows that $(\omega+1)^{-1}(n) = (h^{-1} * \mu)(n)$ for $h := \chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon$. We first show that $\operatorname{sgn}(h^{-1}) = \lambda$. This observation then implies that $\operatorname{sgn}(h^{-1} * \mu) = \lambda$.

We recover exactly that [9, cf. §2]

$$h^{-1}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1; \\ \lambda(n)(\Omega(n))! \times \prod_{p^{\alpha} || n} \frac{1}{\alpha!}, & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, by expanding the DGF of h^{-1} formally in powers of $P(s)$ (where $|P(s)| < 1$ whenever $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 2$) we count that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+P(s)} &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h^{-1}(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k P(s)^k, \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}}} \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k}}{n^s} \times \binom{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k}{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k}, \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}}} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} \times \binom{\Omega(n)}{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since λ is completely multiplicative we have that $\lambda\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\lambda(d) = \lambda(n)$ for all divisors $d|n$ when $n \geq 1$. We also know that $\mu(n) = \lambda(n)$ whenever n is squarefree so that

$$g(n) = (h^{-1} * \mu)(n) = \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) |h^{-1}(n)|, \text{ for } n \geq 1. \quad \square$$

4.2 Precise relations to $C_\Omega(n)$

Lemma 4.4. *For all $n \geq 1$*

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda(d) C_\Omega(d).$$

Proof. We first expand the recurrence relation for the Dirichlet inverse when $g(1) = g(1)^{-1} = 1$ as

$$g(n) = - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>1}} (\omega(d) + 1) g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \implies (g * 1)(n) = -(\omega * g)(n). \quad (4.5)$$

We argue that for $1 \leq m \leq \Omega(n)$, we can inductively expand the implication on the right-hand-side of (4.5) in the form of $(g * 1)(n) = F_m(n)$ where $F_m(n) := (-1)^m (C_m(-) * g)(n)$ so that

$$F_m(n) = - \begin{cases} (\omega * g)(n), & m = 1; \\ \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>1}} F_{m-1}(d) \times \sum_{\substack{r|\frac{n}{d} \\ r>1}} \omega(r) g\left(\frac{n}{dr}\right), & 2 \leq m \leq \Omega(n); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $m := \Omega(n)$, i.e., with the expansions in the previous equation taken to a maximal depth, we obtain the relation

$$(g * 1)(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)} C_\Omega(n) = \lambda(n) C_\Omega(n). \quad (4.6)$$

The stated formula for $g(n)$ follows from (4.6) by Möbius inversion. \square

Corollary 4.5. *For all $n \geq 1$*

$$|g(n)| = \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C_\Omega(d). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. The result follows by applying Lemma 4.4, Proposition 4.3 and the complete multiplicativity of $\lambda(n)$. Since $\mu(n)$ is non-zero only at squarefree integers and since at any squarefree $d \geq 1$ we have $\mu(d) = (-1)^{\omega(d)} = \lambda(d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(n)| &= \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda(d) C_\Omega(d) \\ &= \lambda(n^2) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C_\Omega(d). \end{aligned}$$

The leading term $\lambda(n^2) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ since the number of distinct prime factors (counting multiplicity) of any square integer is even. \square

Remark 4.6. We have the following remarks on consequences of Corollary 4.5:

- Whenever $n \geq 1$ is squarefree

$$|g(n)| = \sum_{d|n} C_{\Omega}(d). \quad (4.8a)$$

Since all divisors of a squarefree integer are squarefree, for all squarefree integers $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$|g(n)| = \sum_{m=0}^{\omega(n)} \binom{\omega(n)}{m} \times m!. \quad (4.8b)$$

- The formula in (4.7) shows that the DGF of the unsigned inverse function $|g(n)|$ is given by the meromorphic function $\frac{1}{\zeta(2s)(1-P(s))}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. This DGF has a known pole to the right of the line at $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ which occurs for the unique real $\sigma \equiv \sigma_1 \approx 1.39943$ such that $P(\sigma) = 1$ on $(1, \infty)$.

4.3 Average order

Proof of Theorem 1.4. As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, the imaginary error function has the following asymptotic series expansion [18, §7.12]:

$$\operatorname{erfi}(z) = \frac{e^{z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2z^3} + \frac{3}{4z^5} + \frac{15}{8z^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^9}\right) \right). \quad (4.9)$$

We use the formula from Theorem 1.3 to sum the average order of $C_{\Omega}(n)$. The proposition and error terms obtained from (4.9) imply that as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{\sum_{n \leq t} C_{\Omega}(n)}{t^2} dt &= B_0(\log t) \sqrt{\log \log t} - \frac{B_0 \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\sqrt{\log \log t}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log t}{\log \log t}\right) \\ &= B_0(\log t) \sqrt{\log \log t} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log t}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

A classical formula for the number of squarefree integers $n \leq x$ shows that [11, §18.6] [21, A013928]

$$Q(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) = \frac{6x}{\pi^2} + O(\sqrt{x}), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, summing over the formula from (4.7), we find that for large n

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| &= \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{d \leq n} C_{\Omega}(d) Q\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &\sim \sum_{d \leq n} C_{\Omega}(d) \left(\frac{6}{d \cdot \pi^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{dn}}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} C_{\Omega}(k) + \sum_{d < n} \sum_{k \leq d} \frac{C_{\Omega}(k)}{d^2} \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The second inner sum on the right is the main term that is approximated using (4.10). \square

5 Conjectures on limiting distributions for the unsigned sequences

In this section, we motivate a conjecture that provides a limiting central limit type distribution for the function $C_{\Omega}(n)$. The relations between $C_{\Omega}(n)$ and $g(n)$ we proved in Section 4.2 then allow us to formulate a limiting central limit theorem for the distribution of the unsigned inverse sequence $|g(n)|$ under the assumption that the conjecture holds.

Conjecture 5.1. *There are explicit functions $\mu_\Omega(x)$ and $\sigma_\Omega(x)$ and a limiting probability measure ϕ_Ω on \mathbb{R} with cumulative density function (CDF) Φ_Ω such that for any real z*

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \# \left\{ 2 \leq n \leq x : \frac{C_\Omega(n) - \mu_\Omega(x)}{\sigma_\Omega(x)} \leq z \right\} = \Phi_\Omega(z) + o(1), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

Rigorous proofs of the conjectures in this section are outside of the scope of this manuscript.

Remark 5.2. A key insight in identifying the precise probability distribution with CDF $\Phi_\Omega(z)$, and formulas for the explicit functions $\mu_\Omega(x)$ and $\sigma_\Omega(x)$, yielding convergence in distribution is found in (4.4). This formula shows that $C_\Omega(n)$ is given by a multinomial coefficient with upper index $\Omega(n)$ and lower indices given by the exponents of the distinct prime powers in the factorization of $n \geq 2$. Hence, we may adapt an argument that we have a first order approximation to the distribution function $\phi_\Omega(t)$ given by the limit of a multinomial distribution. Under certain conditions on convergence of the probabilities scaled by $\Omega(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the multinomial distribution tends to a limiting multi-variable Poisson distribution [4]. Limiting distributions of the probability weights on the multinomial distributions associated with the distinct values of $C_\Omega(n)$ on $n \leq x$ that may yield a useful probability model under which we can prove our conjectured convergence in distribution are discussed in [19, cf. §1.2].

For comparison, we have the second central moment of $C_\Omega(n)$ by applying Abel summation to Theorem 1.3 in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k \leq n} C_\Omega(k)^2 - \left(\sum_{k \leq n} C_\Omega(k) \right)^2 \right) &= 2 \times \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} C_\Omega(j) C_\Omega(k), \\ &= B_0^2 n^2 (\log \log n) (1 + o(1)), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that Conjecture 5.1 is true and that the functions $\mu_\Omega(x)$, $\sigma_\Omega(x)$ and $\Phi_\Omega(z)$ are defined as in the conjecture. For any $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$*

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \# \left\{ 3 \leq n \leq x : \frac{|g(n)| - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \mu_\Omega(x)}{\sigma_\Omega(x)} \leq z \right\} = \Phi_\Omega\left(\frac{\pi^2 z}{6}\right) + o(1), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We claim that

$$|g(n)| - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} C_\Omega(n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.4 we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{n \leq x} C_\Omega(n) + \sum_{d < x} \sum_{k \leq d} \frac{C_\Omega(k)}{d^2} \right) + O(1).$$

Let the backwards difference operator with respect to x be defined for $x \geq 2$ and any arithmetic function f by $\Delta_x[f] := f(x) - f(x-1)$. We see that for large n

$$\begin{aligned} |g(n)| &= \Delta_n \left[\sum_{k \leq n} g(k) \right] \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} \times \Delta_n \left[\sum_{d \leq n} C_\Omega(d) \frac{n}{d} \right] \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(C_\Omega(n) + \sum_{d < n} C_\Omega(d) \frac{n}{d} - \sum_{d < n} C_\Omega(d) \frac{(n-1)}{d} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} C_\Omega(n) + \frac{1}{n-1} \times \sum_{k < n} |g(k)|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.4, the result follows as a re-normalization of Conjecture 5.1. \square




Figure 5.1: Numerical computation of the conjectured distribution of $|g(n)|$ in Proposition 5.3 for a special case.

Remark 5.4. For $x \geq 3$ and $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$, let the parameterized distribution on the left-hand-side of Proposition 5.3 be defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_\Omega(\mu_\Omega, \sigma_\Omega; x, z) := \frac{1}{x} \times \# \left\{ 3 \leq n \leq x : \sigma_\Omega^{-1}(x) \left(|g(n)| - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)| - \frac{6\mu_\Omega(x)}{\pi^2} \right) \leq z \right\}.$$

For the special cases of the functions $\mu_\Omega(x) := (\log x) \sqrt{\log \log x}$ and $\sigma_\Omega(x) := \sqrt{(\log x)(\log \log x)}$, the numerical plots in Figure 5.1 show numerical computations of the CDF of the histogram distribution of $\mathcal{D}_\Omega(\mu_\Omega, \sigma_\Omega; x, z)$ when $x := 5000$ and $x := 42000$. The dashed lines in each plot provide an approximate fit by the CDF of a shifted log-normal distribution with mean α and standard deviation λ . We observe that similar features in these two histogram distributions appear even for these comparatively small x .

6 Proofs of the new exact formulas for $M(x)$

In this section, we prove the formulas for $M(x)$ involving the partial sums of the function $g(n)$ stated in Theorem 1.1. These new formulas exactly identify the Mertens function with partial sums of positive unsigned arithmetic functions which are sign-weighted by $\lambda(n)$. Since the formulas in equations (1.6b) and (1.6c) suggest that a more complete understanding of the asymptotics of the summatory function of $g(n)$ may yield insights into the behavior of $M(x)$, we take the time to explore its properties somewhat here as well.

6.1 Formulas relating $M(x)$ to the partial sums of $g(n)$

Definition 6.1. For any $x \geq 1$, let the partial sums of the Dirichlet convolution $r * h$ be defined by

$$S_{r*h}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} r(d) h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Theorem 6.2. Let $r, h : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any arithmetic functions such that $r(1) \neq 0$. Suppose that $R(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} r(n)$ and $H(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} h(n)$ denote the summatory functions of r and h , respectively, and that $R^{-1}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} r^{-1}(n)$ for $x \geq 1$. The following holds for all integers $x \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{r*h}(x) &= \sum_{d=1}^x r(d) H\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right) \\ S_{r*h}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^x H(k) \left(R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) - R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $x \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^x S_{r*h}(j) \left(R^{-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor \right) - R^{-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^x r^{-1}(k) S_{r*h}(x). \end{aligned}$$

A key consequence of Theorem 6.2 (proved in the appendix via matrix methods) in the special cases where $h(n) := \mu(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ is stated as the next corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose that r is an arithmetic function such that $r(1) \neq 0$. Let the summatory function $\tilde{R}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (r * \mu)(n)$. The Mertens function is expressed by the following partial sums for all $x \geq 1$:*

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x \left(\sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \rfloor} r^{-1}(j) \right) \tilde{R}(k).$$




Figure 6.1

Definition 6.4. The summatory function of $g(n)$ is defined for all $x \geq 1$ by the partial sums

$$G(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) |g(n)|. \quad (6.1a)$$


Let the unsigned partial sums be defined for $x \geq 1$ by

$$|G|(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)|. \quad (6.1b)$$


Based on the convolution identity in (4.1), we prove the formulas in Theorem 1.1 as special cases of Corollary 6.3 below.

Proof of (1.6a) and (1.6b) in Theorem 1.1. By applying Theorem 6.2 to equation (4.1) we have that

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x \left(\pi \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 \right) g(k)$$



(a)



(b)


Figure 6.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= G(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{x}{2}} \pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) g(k) \\
 &= G(x) + G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{x}{2}-1} \left(\pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) - \pi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right) G(k).
 \end{aligned}$$


The upper bound on the sum is truncated to $k \in [1, \frac{x}{2}]$ in the second equation above because $\pi(1) = 0$. The third formula above follows directly by summation by parts. \square

Proof of (1.6c) in Theorem 1.1. Lemma 4.4 shows that

$$G(x) = \sum_{d \leq x} \lambda(d) C_{\Omega}(d) M\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right).$$



(a)



(b)

Figure 6.3

The identity in (4.1) implies

$$\lambda(d)C_{\Omega}(d) = (g * 1)(d) = (\chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon)^{-1}(d).$$

We recover the stated result by classical inversion of summatory functions. \square

6.2 Plots and numerical experiments

The plots shown in the figures in this section compare the values of $M(x)$, $L(x)$ and $G(x)$ with scaled forms of related auxiliary partial sums:

- In Figure 6.1, we plot a comparison of $M(x)$ and a scaled form of $G(x)$ for $x \leq 5000$ where the absolute constant $C_2 := \zeta(2)$ and where the function $Q(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n)$ counts the number of squarefree integers $n \leq x$ for any $x \geq 1$. A shift to the left on the x -axis of the former function is compared and seen to be similar in shape to the magnitude of $M(x)$ on this initial subinterval.
- In Figure 6.2, we provide the oscillatory ratios of $G(x)$ to $M(x)$ and $L(x)$, respectively, for $x \leq 5000$. In constructing these plots, the ratios displayed are taken to be zero when the denominator summatory




Figure 6.4

function is zero-valued. The plots in (a) exhibit reflected and rotated symmetry within clearly visible subintervals of the plot. The plots in (b) are viewed to compare the signed magnitudes of local extremum on subintervals.


- In Figure 6.3, we compare envelopes on the logarithmically scaled values of $\frac{G(x)}{x}$ to other variants of the partial sums of $g(n)$ for $x \leq 4500$. In (a) we define $G(x) := G_+(x) - G_-(x)$ where the functions $G_+(x) > 0$ and $G_-(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 1$. That is, these signed component functions denote the unsigned contributions of only those summands $|g(n)|$ over $n \leq x$ such that $\lambda(n) = \pm 1$, respectively. The summatory function $Q(x)$ in (b) has the same definition as in Figure 6.1 above. By Theorem 1.4,

$$\frac{|G|(x)}{x} \sim \frac{6B_0}{\pi^2} (\log x) \sqrt{\log \log x}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

whereas $|G(x)| \leq |G|(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$ so that

$$\frac{|G(x)|(\log x) \sqrt{\log \log x}}{Q(x)} \ll (\log x)^2 (\log \log x).$$

Thus, the bounding envelopes on $G(x)$ plotted in (b) may hold for all sufficiently large x as the scaling factor is only a logarithmic function of x away from the upper bound. Moreover, for most large x , we expect substantial cancellation in the summands of $|G(x)|$ due to the signed weights by $\lambda(n)$.

**Figure 6.5**

- In Figure 6.4, we compare two variants of the distribution of $\frac{G(n)}{n}$ for $3 \leq n \leq x$. For large $x \geq 3$ and

bounded real z , we define

$$\mathcal{G}_1(x, z) := \frac{1}{x} \times \left\{ 3 \leq n \leq x : \frac{G(n)n^{-1} - \log n \sqrt{\log \log n}}{\log x \sqrt{\log \log x}} \leq z \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2(x, z) := \frac{1}{x} \times \left\{ 3 \leq n \leq x : \frac{G(n)n^{-1} - \log x \sqrt{\log \log x}}{\log x \sqrt{\log \log x}} \leq z \right\}.$$

The normalized histograms in (a) and (b) of the figure, respectively, show the CDFs corresponding to $\mathcal{G}_1(x, z)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(x, z)$. The scaling of the function $G(n)$ by n^{-1} shows key features of these distributions at the specified two values of x with only a small bounded spread of possible values for z . The two numerically small x corresponding to the columns of the plots in (a) and (b) each show apparent quick convergence to a limiting distribution with the same shape and local peaks. The right-hand-side functions in the numerator differences of each of the two distribution variants defined in the previous equations are selected for comparison with the scaled average order of $|g(n)|$ given by Theorem 1.4.

- In Figure 6.5, we define

$$R[f][S](m, x) := R\left(\left|\frac{x}{f(m)} \log\left(1 + \frac{x}{f(m)}\right)^{-1}\right|\right) S(x)^{-1},$$

where we shift the denominator by positive one whenever $S(x) = 0$. The integer-valued input $2 \leq m \leq 64$ is shown along the horizontal axis with $1 \leq x \leq 4500$ along the vertical axis in the density plots shown in the figure above. The plots in (a) and (c) involve the sequence of m^{th} prime numbers, $\{p_m\}_{m \geq 1}$. The smoother transitions featured in the density plots of (a) and (b) comparing $L(x)$ (right) to $M(x)$ (left) for the same sequences f show that there is more apparent correlation between $G(x)$ and the former function viewed within the context of this plot type. Experiments with other sequences f (not shown) are less visually regular than the selections displayed in the figure. In general, computer simulations with $f(m)$ selected randomly from the interval $[1, m]$ produce chaotic plots (not shown) with no distinctive features nor apparent correlation with the denominator summatory functions.

6.3 Local cancellation of the formulas for $M(x)$ involving $G(x)$ along a subsequence

Definition 6.5. Suppose that p_n denotes the n^{th} prime for $n \geq 1$ [21, A000040]. The set of primorial integers is defined by [21, A002110]

$$\{n\#\}_{n \geq 1} = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n p_k \right\}_{n \geq 1}.$$

We expect that there is usually (almost always) a large amount cancellation between the successive values of the summatory function in (1.6c). Proposition 6.6 demonstrates the phenomenon well along the infinite subsequence of the primorials $\{(4m+1)\#\}_{m \geq 1}$ (see the discussion in Remark 6.7 below).

Proposition 6.6. *As $m \rightarrow \infty$, each of the following holds:*

$$-G((4m+1)\#) \asymp (4m+1)!, \tag{A}$$

$$G\left(\frac{(4m+1)\#}{p_k}\right) \asymp (4m)!, \text{ for any } 1 \leq k \leq 4m+1. \tag{B}$$

Proof. We have by (4.8b) that for all squarefree integers $n \geq 1$

$$|g(n)| = \sum_{j=0}^{\omega(n)} \binom{\omega(n)}{j} \times j! = (\omega(n))! \times \sum_{j=0}^{\omega(n)} \frac{1}{j!}$$

$$= (\omega(n))! \times \left(e + O\left(\frac{1}{(\omega(n) + 1)!}\right) \right).$$

Let m be a large positive integer. We obtain main terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq (4m+1)\# \\ \omega(n) = \Omega(n)}} \lambda(n) |g(n)| &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 4m+1} \binom{4m+1}{k} (-1)^k k! \left(e + O\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!}\right) \right) \\ &= -(4m+1)! + O\left(\frac{1}{4m+1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

The formula for $C_\Omega(n)$ stated in (1.9) then implies the result in (A). Namely, this follows since the contributions from the summands of the inner summation on the right-hand-side of (6.2) off of the squarefree integers are at most a bounded multiple of $(-1)^k k!$ when $\Omega(n) = k$. We can similarly derive that for any $1 \leq k \leq 4m+1$

$$G\left(\frac{(4m+1)\#}{p_k}\right) \asymp \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 4m} \binom{4m}{k} (-1)^k k! \left(e + O\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!}\right) \right) = (4m)! + O\left(\frac{1}{4m+1}\right). \quad \square$$

Remark 6.7. The Riemann hypothesis (RH) is equivalent to showing that

$$M(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}\right), \text{ for all } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (6.3)$$

The RH requires that the sums of the leading constants with opposing signs on the asymptotic bounds for the functions from the lemma match. In particular, we have that [5, 6]

$$n\# \sim e^{\vartheta(p_n)} \asymp n^n (\log n)^n e^{-n(1+o(1))}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The observation on the necessary cancellation in (1.6c) then follows from the fact that if we obtain a contrary result

$$\frac{M((4m+1)\#)}{\sqrt{(4m+1)\#}} \gg [(4m+1)\#]^{\delta_0}, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some fixed $\delta_0 > 0$ (in contradiction to (6.3) above).

7 Conclusions

We have identified a sequence, $\{g(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$, that is the Dirichlet inverse of the shifted strongly additive function $\omega(n)$. We showed that there is a natural combinatorial interpretation to the repetition of distinct values of $|g(n)|$ in terms of the configuration of the exponents in the prime factorization of any $n \geq 2$. The sign of $g(n)$ is given by $\lambda(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. This leads to a new exact relations of the summatory function $G(x)$ to $M(x)$ and the classical partial sums $L(x)$. In the process of studying the unsigned sequences, we have formalized and conjectured a probabilistic perspective from which to express our intuition about features of the distribution of $G(x)$ via the properties of its $\lambda(n)$ -sign-weighted summands.

The new results proved within this article are significant in providing a new window through which we can view bounding $M(x)$ through asymptotics of the auxiliary unsigned sequences and their partial sums. The computational data generated in Table E of the appendix section suggests numerically that the distribution of $G(x)$ is easier to work with than a direct treatment of $M(x)$ or $L(x)$. We expect that the methods behind the proofs we provide with respect to the Mertens function case can be generalized to identify associated strongly additive functions with the same role of $\omega(n)$ in this article. In particular, we expect that such extensions exist in connection with the signed Dirichlet inverse of any multiplicative $f > 0$ and its partial sums.

Acknowledgments

We thank the following mathematicians for offering significant discussion, feedback and correspondence over the many drafts of this manuscript: Gergő Nemes, Jeffrey Lagarias, Robert Vaughan, Steven J. Miller, Paul Pollack and Bruce Reznick. The work on the article was supported in part by funding made available within the School of Mathematics at the Georgia Institute of Technology in 2020 and 2021. Without this combined support the article would not have been possible.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] P. T. Bateman and H. G. Diamond. *Analytic Number Theory*. World Scientific Publishing, 2004.
- [3] P. Billingsley. On the central limit theorem for the prime divisor function. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 76(2):132–139, 1969.
- [4] P. Deheuvels and D. Pfeifer. Poisson approximations of multinomial distributions and point processes. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 25(1):65–89, 1988.
- [5] P. Dusart. The k^{th} prime is greater than $k(\log k + \log \log k - 1)$ for $k \geq 2$. *Math. Comp.*, 68(225):411–415, 1999.
- [6] P. Dusart. Estimates of some functions over primes without R.H, 2010.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Kac. The Gaussian errors in the theory of additive arithmetic functions. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 62(1):738–742, 1940.
- [8] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Host. The logarithmic Sarnak conjecture for ergodic weights. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 187(3):869–931, 2018.
- [9] C. E. Fröberg. On the prime zeta function. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 8:87–202, 1968.
- [10] B. Green and T. Tao. The Möbius function is strongly orthogonal to nilsequences. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):541–566, 2012.
- [11] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, editors. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. Oxford University Press, 2008 (Sixth Edition).
- [12] P. Humphries. The distribution of weighted sums of the Liouville function and Pólya’s conjecture. *J. Number Theory*, 133:545–582, 2013.
- [13] R. S. Lehman. On Liouville’s function. *Math. Comput.*, 14:311–320, 1960.
- [14] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative Number Theory: I. Classical Theory*. Cambridge, 2006.
- [15] G. Nemes. The resurgence properties of the incomplete gamma function II. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 135(1):86–116, 2015.
- [16] G. Nemes. The resurgence properties of the incomplete gamma function I. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 14(5):631–677, 2016.
- [17] G. Nemes and A. B. Olde Daalhuis. Asymptotic expansions for the incomplete gamma function in the transition regions. *Math. Comp.*, 88(318):1805–1827, 2019.

- [18] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, editors. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [19] A. D. Barbour R. Arratia and Simon Tavaré. *Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: A Probabilistic Approach*. Preprint draft, 2002.
- [20] A. Selberg. Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe. *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 18(1):83–87, 1954.
- [21] N. J. A. Sloane. The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2021. <http://oeis.org>.
- [22] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*. American Mathematical Society, third edition, 2015.
- [23] J. van de Lune and R. E. Dressler. Some theorems concerning the number theoretic function $\omega(n)$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 1975(277):117–119, 1975.

A Glossary of notation and conventions

The next listing provides a glossary of common notation, conventions and abbreviations used in the article.

Symbols

Definition

\gg, \ll, \asymp, \sim

For functions A, B , the notation $A \ll B$ implies that $A = O(B)$. Similarly, for $B \geq 0$ the notation $A \gg B$ implies that $B = O(A)$. When we have that $A, B \geq 0$, $A \ll B$ and $B \ll A$, we write $A \asymp B$. Two arithmetic functions $A(x), B(x)$ satisfy the relation $A \sim B$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(x)}{B(x)} = 1$.

$\chi_{\mathbb{P}}(n), P(s)$

The indicator function of the primes equals one if and only if $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is prime and is defined to be zero-valued otherwise. For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we define the prime zeta function to be the Dirichlet generating function (DGF) defined by $P(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{P}}(n)}{n^s}$. The function $P(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ with the exception of $s = 1$ through the formula $P(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \zeta(ks)$. The DGF $P(s)$ poles at the reciprocal of each positive integer and a natural boundary at the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$.

$C_k(n), C_{\Omega}(n)$

The first sequence is defined recursively for integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ as follows:

$$C_k(n) := \begin{cases} \delta_{n,1}, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \sum_{d|n} \omega(d) C_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right), & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It represents the multiple (k -fold) convolution of the function $\omega(n)$ with itself. The function $C_{\Omega}(n) := C_{\Omega(n)}(n)$ has the DGF $(1 - P(s))^{-1}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

$[q^n]F(q)$

The coefficient of q^n in the power series expansion of $F(q)$ about zero when $F(q)$ is treated as the ordinary generating function (OGF) of a sequence, $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Namely, for integers $n \geq 0$ we define $[q^n]F(q) = f_n$ whenever $F(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n q^n$.

$\varepsilon(n)$

The multiplicative identity with respect to Dirichlet convolution, $\varepsilon(n) := \delta_{n,1}$, defined such that for any arithmetic function f we have that $f * \varepsilon = \varepsilon * f = f$ where the operation $*$ denotes Dirichlet convolution.

Symbols**Definition** $f * g$

The Dirichlet convolution of any two arithmetic functions f and g at n is defined to be the divisor sum $(f * g)(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$.

 $f^{-1}(n)$

The Dirichlet inverse f^{-1} of an arithmetic function f exists if and only if $f(1) \neq 0$. The Dirichlet inverse of any f such that $f(1) \neq 0$ is defined recursively by $f^{-1}(n) = -\frac{1}{f(1)} \times \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>1}} f(d)f^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$ with $f^{-1}(1) = f(1)^{-1}$. When it exists, this inverse function is unique and satisfies $f^{-1} * f = f * f^{-1} = \varepsilon$.

 $\Gamma(a, z)$

The incomplete gamma function is defined as $\Gamma(a, z) := \int_z^\infty t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ by continuation for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\arg(z)| < \pi$. type

 $\mathcal{G}(z), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(z); \widehat{F}(s, z), \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(z)$

The functions $\mathcal{G}(z)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(z)$ are defined for $0 \leq |z| \leq R < 2$ on page 27 of Appendix B. The related constructions used to motivate the definitions of $\widehat{F}(s, z)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(z)$ are defined by the infinite products given on pages 5 and 8 of Section 3.1, respectively.

 $g(n), G(x), |G|(x)$

The Dirichlet inverse function, $g(n) = (\omega + 1)^{-1}(n)$, has the summatory function $G(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n)$ for $x \geq 1$. We define the partial sums of the unsigned inverse function to be $|G|(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)|$ for $x \geq 1$.

 $[n = k]_\delta, [\mathbf{cond}]_\delta$

The symbol $[n = k]_\delta$ is a synonym for $\delta_{n,k}$ which is one if and only if $n = k$, and is zero otherwise. For Boolean-valued conditions, \mathbf{cond} , the symbol $[\mathbf{cond}]_\delta$ evaluates to one precisely when \mathbf{cond} is true or to zero otherwise.

 $\lambda(n), L(x)$

The Liouville lambda function is the completely multiplicative function defined by $\lambda(n) := (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$. Its summatory function is defined by the partial sums $L(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n)$ for $x \geq 1$.

 $\mu(n), M(x)$

The Möbius function defined such that $\mu^2(n)$ is the indicator function of the squarefree integers $n \geq 1$ where $\mu(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ whenever n is squarefree. The Mertens function is the summatory function defined for all integers $x \geq 1$ by the partial sums $M(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$.

 $\omega(n), \Omega(n)$

We define the strongly additive function $\omega(n) := \sum_{p|n} 1$ and the completely additive function $\Omega(n) := \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha$. This means that if the prime factorization of any $n \geq 2$ is given by $n := p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with $p_i \neq p_j$ for all $i \neq j$, then $\omega(n) = r$ and $\Omega(n) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$. We set $\omega(1) = \Omega(1) = 0$ by convention.

 $\pi_k(x), \widehat{\pi}_k(x)$

For integers $k \geq 1$, the function $\pi_k(x)$ denotes the number of $2 \leq n \leq x$ with exactly k distinct prime factors: $\pi_k(x) := \#\{2 \leq n \leq x : \omega(n) = k\}$. Similarly, the function $\widehat{\pi}_k(x) := \#\{2 \leq n \leq x : \Omega(n) = k\}$ for $x \geq 2$ and fixed $k \geq 1$.

 $Q(x)$

For $x \geq 1$, we define $Q(x)$ to be the summatory function indicating the number of squarefree integers $n \leq x$.

 $W(x)$

For $x, y \in [0, \infty)$, we write that $x = W(y)$ if and only if $xe^x = y$. This function denotes the principal branch of the multi-valued Lambert W function taken over the non-negative reals.

Symbols $\zeta(s)$ **Definition**

The Riemann zeta function is defined by $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, and by analytic continuation to any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with the exception of a simple pole at $s = 1$ of residue one.

B The distributions of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} \omega(k) = \log \log n + B_1 + o(1),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k \leq n} \Omega(k) = \log \log n + B_2 + o(1),$$

for $B_1 \approx 0.261497$ and $B_2 \approx 1.03465$ absolute constants in each case [11, §22.10]. The next theorems reproduced from [14, §7.4] bound the frequency of the number of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ over $n \leq x$ such that $\omega(n), \Omega(n) < \log \log x$ and $\omega(n), \Omega(n) > \log \log x$. These results then reflect a distinctive tendency of these strongly additive arithmetic functions towards their respective average orders (cf. [7, 3] [14, §7.4]).

Theorem B.1. *For $x \geq 2$ and $r > 0$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, r) &:= \# \{n \leq x : \Omega(n) \leq r \log \log x\}, \\ B(x, r) &:= \# \{n \leq x : \Omega(n) \geq r \log \log x\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < r \leq 1$, then

$$A(x, r) \ll x(\log x)^{r-1-r \log r}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $1 \leq r \leq R < 2$, then

$$B(x, r) \ll_R x(\log x)^{r-1-r \log r}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem B.2. *For integers $k \geq 1$ and $x \geq 2$*

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) := \# \{2 \leq n \leq x : \Omega(n) = k\}.$$

For $0 < R < 2$, uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq R \log \log x$

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} \times \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(1 + O_R\left(\frac{k}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+z)} \times \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{z}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^z, \text{ for } 0 \leq |z| < R.$$

Remark B.3. We can extend the work in [14] on the distribution of $\Omega(n)$ to obtain corresponding analogous results for the distribution of $\omega(n)$. For integers $k \geq 1$ and $x \geq 2$, we define

$$\pi_k(x) := \# \{2 \leq n \leq x : \omega(n) = k\}.$$

For $0 < R < 2$ and as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\pi_k(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log \log x}\right) \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(1 + O_R\left(\frac{k}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)\right), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq R \log \log x$. The factors of the function $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(z)$ are defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(z) := \tilde{F}(1, z) \times \Gamma(1+z)^{-1}$ where

$$\tilde{F}(s, z) := \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s - 1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^z, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |z| \leq R < 2.$$

Let the functions

$$\begin{aligned} C(x, r) &:= \#\{n \leq x : \omega(n) \leq r \log \log x\}, \\ D(x, r) &:= \#\{n \leq x : \omega(n) \geq r \log \log x\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following upper bounds hold as $x \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} C(x, r) &\ll x(\log x)^{r-1-r \log r}, \text{ uniformly for } 0 < r \leq 1, \\ D(x, r) &\ll_R x(\log x)^{r-1-r \log r}, \text{ uniformly for } 1 \leq r \leq R < 2. \end{aligned}$$

C Partial sums expressed in terms of the incomplete gamma function

We cite the correspondence with Gergő Nemes from the Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics and his careful notes on the limiting asymptotics for the sums identified in this section. The communication of his proofs are adapted to establish the next few lemmas based on his work in [15, 16, 17].

Definition C.1 (The incomplete gamma function). The (upper) incomplete gamma function is defined by [18, §8.4]

$$\Gamma(a, z) = \int_z^\infty t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt, \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |\arg z| < \pi.$$

The function $\Gamma(a, z)$ can be continued to an analytic function of z on the universal covering of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the function $\Gamma(a, z)$ is an entire function of z .

Facts C.2. The following properties hold [18, §8.4; §8.11(i)]:

$$\Gamma(a, z) = (a-1)! e^{-z} \times \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (\text{C.1a})$$

$$\Gamma(a, z) \sim z^{a-1} e^{-z}, \text{ for fixed } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } z > 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C.1b})$$

For $z > 0$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have that [15]

$$\Gamma(z, z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^{z-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} + O(z^{z-1} e^{-z}), \quad (\text{C.1c})$$

The sequence $\{b_n(\rho)\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies $b_0(\rho) = 1$ and the following recurrence relation for $n \geq 1$:

$$b_n(\rho) = \rho(1-\rho)b'_{n-1}(\rho) + \rho(2n-1)b_{n-1}(\rho).$$

If $z, a \rightarrow \infty$ with $z = \rho a$ for some $\rho > 1$ such that $(\rho-1)^{-1} = o(\sqrt{|a|})$, then [15]

$$\Gamma(a, z) \sim z^a e^{-z} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-a)^n b_n(\rho)}{(z-a)^{2n+1}}. \quad (\text{C.1d})$$

Proposition C.3. Let a, z, ρ be positive real parameters such that $z = \rho a$. If $\rho \in (0, 1)$, then as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Gamma(a, z) = \Gamma(a) + O_\rho(z^{a-1} e^{-z}).$$

If $\rho > 1$, then as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Gamma(a, z) = \frac{z^{a-1}e^{-z}}{1 - \rho^{-1}} + O_\rho(z^{a-2}e^{-z}).$$

If $\rho > W(1) \approx 0.56714$, then as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Gamma(a, ze^{\pm\pi i}) = -e^{\pm\pi i a} \frac{z^{a-1}e^z}{1 + \rho^{-1}} + O_\rho(z^{a-2}e^z).$$

Remark C.4. The first two estimates in the proposition are only useful when ρ is bounded away from the transition point at one. We cannot write the last expansion above as $\Gamma(a, -z)$ directly unless $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ as the incomplete gamma function has a branch point at the origin with respect to its second variable. This function becomes a single-valued analytic function of its second input by continuation on the universal covering of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof of Proposition C.3. The first asymptotic estimate follows directly from the following asymptotic series expansion that holds as $z \rightarrow \infty$ [17, Eq. (2.1)]:

$$\Gamma(a, z) \sim \Gamma(a) + z^a e^{-z} \times \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-a)^k b_k(\rho)}{(z-a)^{2k+1}}.$$

Using the notation from (C.1d) and [16]

$$\Gamma(a, z) = \frac{z^{a-1}e^{-z}}{1 - \rho^{-1}} + z^a e^{-z} R_1(a, \rho).$$

From the bounds in [16, §3.1], we have

$$|z^a e^{-z} R_1(a, \rho)| \leq z^a e^{-z} \times \frac{a \cdot b_1(\rho)}{(z-a)^3} = \frac{z^{a-2}e^{-z}}{(1 - \rho^{-1})^3}$$

The main and error terms in the previous equation can also be seen by applying the asymptotic series in (C.1d) directly.

The proof of the third equation above follows from the asymptotics [15, Eq. (1.1)]

$$\Gamma(-a, z) \sim z^{-a} e^{-z} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a^n b_n(-\rho)}{(z+a)^{2n+1}},$$

by setting $(a, z) \mapsto (ae^{\pm\pi i}, ze^{\pm\pi i})$ so that $\rho = \frac{z}{a} > W(1)$. The restriction on the range of ρ over which the third formula holds is made to ensure that the formula from the reference is valid at negative real a . \square

Lemma C.5. As $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \times \left| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(-1)^k (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \right| = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{2\pi} \log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).$$

Proof. We have for $n \geq 1$ and any $t > 0$ by (C.1a) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(-1)^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = -e^{-t} \times \frac{\Gamma(n, -t)}{(n-1)!}.$$

Suppose that $t = n + \xi$ with $\xi = O(1)$. By the third formula in Proposition C.3 with the parameters $(a, z, \lambda) \mapsto (n, t, 1 + \frac{\xi}{n})$, we deduce that as $n, t \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\Gamma(n, -t) = (-1)^{n+1} \times \frac{t^n e^t}{t+n} + O\left(\frac{nt^n e^t}{(t+n)^3}\right) = (-1)^{n+1} \times \frac{t^n e^t}{2n} + O\left(\frac{t^{n-1} e^t}{n}\right). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Accordingly, we see that

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(-1)^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = (-1)^n \times \frac{t^n}{2n!} + O\left(\frac{t^{n-1}}{n!}\right).$$

By the variant of Stirling's formula in [18, cf. Eq. (5.11.8)], we have

$$n! = \Gamma(1+t-\xi) = \sqrt{2\pi} t^{t-\xi+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} (1 + O(t^{-1})) = \sqrt{2\pi} t^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} (1 + O(t^{-1})).$$

Hence, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $t := n + \xi$ and $\xi = O(1)$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = (-1)^n \times \frac{e^t}{2\sqrt{2\pi}t} + O\left(e^t t^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$

The conclusion follows by taking $n := \lfloor \log \log x \rfloor$ and $t := \log \log x$. \square

Definition C.6. For $x \geq 1$, let the summatory function (cf. [23])

$$L_\omega(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{\omega(n)}.$$

Lemma C.7. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, there is an absolute constant $A_0 > 0$ such that

$$L_\omega(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \log \log x \rfloor} x}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi} \log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log \log x}\right).$$

Proof. An adaptation of the proof of Lemma C.5 provides that for any $a \in (1, W(1)^{-1})$ where $W(1)^{-1} \approx 1.76321$, the next partial sums satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_a(x) &:= \frac{x}{\log x} \times \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor a \log \log x \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \right| \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{a}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}(a+1)a^{\{a \log \log x\}}} \times \frac{(\log x)^{a-1-a \log a}}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Here, we take $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0, 1)$ to denote the fractional part of x .

Suppose that we take $a := \frac{3}{2}$ so that $a - 1 - a \log a \approx -0.108198$. Then we expand

$$L_\omega(x) = \sum_{k \leq \log \log x} 2(-1)^k \pi_k(x) + O\left(\widehat{S}_{\frac{3}{2}}(x) + \#\left\{n \leq x : \omega(n) \geq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x\right\}\right).$$

The justification for the above error term including $\widehat{S}_{\frac{3}{2}}(x)$ is that for $0 \leq z \leq \frac{3}{2}$ we can show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(z)$ is bounded. We apply the uniform asymptotics for $\pi_k(x)$ that hold as $x \rightarrow \infty$ when $1 \leq k \leq R \log \log x$ for $1 \leq R < 2$ from Remark B.3 to evaluate the sums that provide the main term of the expansion in the previous equation. We have that $\widetilde{G}(0) = 1$ and that for any $0 < |z| < 1$ the function $\widetilde{G}(z)$ is positive, monotone in z and has an absolutely convergent series expansion in z about zero. For integers $m \geq 1$, we see by induction that

$$\sum_{k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(-1)^k (k-1)^m (\log \log x)^{k-1-m}}{(k-1)!} = \sum_{k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right).$$

We can argue by Lemma C.5 and (C.3) that for all sufficiently large x there is a limiting absolute constant $A_0 > 0$ such that

$$L_\omega(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \log \log x \rfloor} x}{A_0 \sqrt{2\pi} \log \log x} + O\left(E_\omega(x) + \frac{x}{(\log x)^{0.108197} \sqrt{\log \log x}} + \#\left\{n \leq x : \omega(n) \geq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x\right\}\right). \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The error term in (C.4) is bounded as follows when $x \rightarrow \infty$ using Stirling's formula, (C.1a) and (C.1c):

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega(x) &\ll \frac{x}{\log x} \times \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{x \Gamma(\log \log x, \log \log x)}{\Gamma(\log \log x + 1)} = \frac{x}{2 \log \log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by an application of the results in Remark B.3, the remaining term in the error estimate from (C.4) above satisfies

$$\#\left\{n \leq x : \omega(x) \geq \frac{3}{2} \log \log x\right\} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{0.108197}}. \quad \square$$

D Inversion theorems for partial sums of Dirichlet convolutions

We give a proof of the inversion type results in Theorem 6.2 below by matrix methods. Related results on summations of Dirichlet convolutions and their functional inversions appear in [1, §2.14; §3.10; §3.12; cf. §4.9, p. 95].

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Let h, r be arithmetic functions such that $r(1) \neq 0$. The following formulas hold for all $x \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{r \star h}(x) &:= \sum_{n=1}^x \sum_{d|n} r(n) h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d=1}^x r(d) H\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^x \left(R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i} \right\rfloor\right) - R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right) H(i). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

The first formula on the right-hand-side above is well known from the references. The second formula is justified directly using summation by parts as [18, §2.10(ii)]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{r \star h}(x) &= \sum_{d=1}^x h(d) R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq x} \left(\sum_{j \leq i} h(j) \right) \times \left(R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i} \right\rfloor\right) - R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We form the invertible matrix of coefficients, denoted by \hat{R} below, associated with the linear system defining $H(j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq x$ in (D.1) by setting

$$r_{x,j} := R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor\right) - R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor\right) \equiv R_{x,j} - R_{x,j+1},$$

with

$$R_{x,j} := R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor\right), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq x.$$

Since $r_{x,x} = R(1) = r(1) \neq 0$ for all $x \geq 1$ and $r_{x,j} = 0$ for all $j > x$, the matrix we have defined in this problem is lower triangular with a non-zero constant on its diagonals, and so is invertible. If we let $\hat{R} := (R_{x,j})$, then the next matrix is expressed by applying an invertible shift operation as

$$(r_{x,j}) = \hat{R} (I - U^T).$$

The square matrix U of sufficiently large finite dimensions $N \times N$ for $N \geq x$ has $(i, j)^{th}$ entries for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ that are defined by $(U)_{i,j} = \delta_{i+1,j}$ so that

$$\left[(I - U^T)^{-1} \right]_{i,j} = [j \leq i]_\delta.$$

We observe that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{j} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j|x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The previous equation implies that

$$R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor\right) - R\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{j} \right\rfloor\right) = \begin{cases} r\left(\frac{x}{j}\right), & \text{if } j|x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

We use the property in (D.2) to shift the matrix \hat{R} , and then invert the result to obtain a matrix involving the Dirichlet inverse of r as follows:

$$((I - U^T) \hat{R})^{-1} = \left(r\left(\frac{x}{j}\right) [j|x]_\delta \right)^{-1} = \left(r^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{j}\right) [j|x]_\delta \right).$$

Our target matrix in the inversion problem is defined by

$$(r_{x,j}) = (I - U^T) \left(r\left(\frac{x}{j}\right) [j|x]_\delta \right) (I - U^T)^{-1}.$$

We can express its inverse by a similarity transformation conjugated by shift operators in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} (r_{x,j})^{-1} &= (I - U^T)^{-1} \left(r^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{j}\right) [j|x]_\delta \right) (I - U^T) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor} r^{-1}(k) \right) (I - U^T) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor} r^{-1}(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor} r^{-1}(k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The summatory function $H(x)$ is given exactly for any integers $x \geq 1$ by a vector product with the inverse matrix from the previous equation in the form of

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x \left(\sum_{j=\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor + 1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor} r^{-1}(j) \right) \times S_{r \star h}(k).$$

We can prove a second inversion formula providing the coefficients of the summatory function $R^{-1}(j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq x$ from the last equation by adapting our argument to prove (D.1) above. This leads to the alternate identity expressing $H(x)$ given by

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x r^{-1}(k) \times S_{r \star h}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right). \quad \square$$

E Tables of computations involving $g(n)$ and its partial sums

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
1	1 ¹	Y	N	1	0	1.0000000	1.00000	0	1	1	0	1
2	2 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	-1	1	-2	3
3	3 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.333333	0.666667	-3	1	-4	5
4	2 ²	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.500000	0.500000	-1	3	-4	7
5	5 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.400000	0.600000	-3	3	-6	9
6	2 ¹ 3 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	2	8	-6	14
7	7 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	0	8	-8	16
8	2 ³	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.375000	0.625000	-2	8	-10	18
9	3 ²	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.444444	0.555556	0	10	-10	20
10	2 ¹ 5 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	5	15	-10	25
11	11 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	3	15	-12	27
12	2 ² 3 ¹	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.416667	0.583333	-4	15	-19	34
13	13 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.384615	0.615385	-6	15	-21	36
14	2 ¹ 7 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	-1	20	-21	41
15	3 ¹ 5 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.466667	0.533333	4	25	-21	46
16	2 ⁴	N	Y	2	0	2.5000000	0.500000	0.500000	6	27	-21	48
17	17 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.470588	0.529412	4	27	-23	50
18	2 ¹ 3 ²	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.444444	0.555556	-3	27	-30	57
19	19 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.421053	0.578947	-5	27	-32	59
20	2 ² 5 ¹	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.400000	0.600000	-12	27	-39	66
21	3 ¹ 7 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	-7	32	-39	71
22	2 ¹ 11 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-2	37	-39	76
23	23 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.434783	0.565217	-4	37	-41	78
24	2 ³ 3 ¹	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.458333	0.541667	5	46	-41	87
25	5 ²	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.480000	0.520000	7	48	-41	89
26	2 ¹ 13 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	12	53	-41	94
27	3 ³	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.481481	0.518519	10	53	-43	96
28	2 ² 7 ¹	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.464286	0.535714	3	53	-50	103
29	29 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.448276	0.551724	1	53	-52	105
30	2 ¹ 3 ¹ 5 ¹	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.433333	0.566667	-15	53	-68	121
31	31 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.419355	0.580645	-17	53	-70	123
32	2 ⁵	N	Y	-2	0	3.0000000	0.406250	0.593750	-19	53	-72	125
33	3 ¹ 11 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.424242	0.575758	-14	58	-72	130
34	2 ¹ 17 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.441176	0.558824	-9	63	-72	135
35	5 ¹ 7 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.457143	0.542857	-4	68	-72	140
36	2 ² 3 ²	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.472222	0.527778	10	82	-72	154
37	37 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.459459	0.540541	8	82	-74	156
38	2 ¹ 19 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	13	87	-74	161
39	3 ¹ 13 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487179	0.512821	18	92	-74	166
40	2 ³ 5 ¹	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.500000	0.500000	27	101	-74	175
41	41 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487805	0.512195	25	101	-76	177
42	2 ¹ 3 ¹ 7 ¹	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	9	101	-92	193
43	43 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.465116	0.534884	7	101	-94	195
44	2 ² 11 ¹	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.454545	0.545455	0	101	-101	202
45	3 ² 5 ¹	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.444444	0.555556	-7	101	-108	209
46	2 ¹ 23 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.456522	0.543478	-2	106	-108	214
47	47 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.446809	0.553191	-4	106	-110	216
48	2 ⁴ 3 ¹	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.437500	0.562500	-15	106	-121	227

Table E: Computations involving $g(n) \equiv (\omega + 1)^{-1}(n)$ and $G(x)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 500$.

- The second column labeled n provides the prime factorization of each n so that the values of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ are easily extracted.
- The next columns labeled **Sqfree** and **PPower**, respectively, list inclusion of n in the sets of squarefree integers and the prime powers.
- The next three columns provide the explicit values of the inverse function $g(n)$ and compare its explicit value with other estimates. For comparison, we define the function $\widehat{f}_1(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\omega(n)} \binom{\omega(n)}{k} \times k!$.
- The next columns indicate properties of the summatory function of $g(n)$. The notation for the (approximate) densities of the sign weight of $g(n)$ are defined as $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \times \# \{n \leq x : \lambda(n) = \pm 1\}$.
- The next three columns then show the sign weighted components to the signed summatory function, $G(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n)$, decomposed into its respective positive and negative magnitude sum contributions: $G(x) = G_+(x) + G_-(x)$ where $G_+(x) > 0$ and $G_-(x) < 0$ for all $x \geq 1$. That is, the component functions $G_{\pm}(x)$ displayed in these second to last two columns of the table correspond to the summatory function $G(x)$ with summands that are positive and negative, respectively. The rightmost column of the table provides the partial sums of the absolute value of the unsigned inverse sequence, $|G|(n) := \sum_{k \leq n} |g(k)|$.

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
49	7^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.448980	0.551020	-13	108	-121	229
50	$2^1 5^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.440000	0.560000	-20	108	-128	236
51	$3^1 17^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.450980	0.549020	-15	113	-128	241
52	$2^2 13^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.442308	0.557692	-22	113	-135	248
53	53^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.433962	0.566038	-24	113	-137	250
54	$2^1 3^3$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.444444	0.555556	-15	122	-137	259
55	$5^1 11^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-10	127	-137	264
56	$2^3 7^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.464286	0.535714	-1	136	-137	273
57	$3^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	4	141	-137	278
58	$2^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482759	0.517241	9	146	-137	283
59	59^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.474576	0.525424	7	146	-139	285
60	$2^2 3^1 5^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.483333	0.516667	37	176	-139	315
61	61^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.475410	0.524590	35	176	-141	317
62	$2^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	40	181	-141	322
63	$3^2 7^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.476190	0.523810	33	181	-148	329
64	2^6	N	Y	2	0	3.5000000	0.484375	0.515625	35	183	-148	331
65	$5^1 13^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492308	0.507692	40	188	-148	336
66	$2^1 3^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484848	0.515152	24	188	-164	352
67	67^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.477612	0.522388	22	188	-166	354
68	$2^2 17^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.470588	0.529412	15	188	-173	361
69	$3^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478261	0.521739	20	193	-173	366
70	$2^1 5^1 7^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.471429	0.528571	4	193	-189	382
71	71^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.464789	0.535211	2	193	-191	384
72	$2^3 3^2$	N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.458333	0.541667	-21	193	-214	407
73	73^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.452055	0.547945	-23	193	-216	409
74	$2^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.459459	0.540541	-18	198	-216	414
75	$3^1 5^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.453333	0.546667	-25	198	-223	421
76	$2^2 19^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.447368	0.552632	-32	198	-230	428
77	$7^1 11^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-27	203	-230	433
78	$2^1 3^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.448718	0.551282	-43	203	-246	449
79	79^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.443038	0.556962	-45	203	-248	451
80	$2^4 5^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.437500	0.562500	-56	203	-259	462
81	3^4	N	Y	2	0	2.5000000	0.444444	0.555556	-54	205	-259	464
82	$2^1 41^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.451220	0.548780	-49	210	-259	469
83	83^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.445783	0.554217	-51	210	-261	471
84	$2^2 3^1 7^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.452381	0.547619	-21	240	-261	501
85	$5^1 17^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.458824	0.541176	-16	245	-261	506
86	$2^1 43^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.465116	0.534884	-11	250	-261	511
87	$3^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.471264	0.528736	-6	255	-261	516
88	$2^3 11^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.477273	0.522727	3	264	-261	525
89	89^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.471910	0.528090	1	264	-263	527
90	$2^1 3^2 5^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.477778	0.522222	31	294	-263	557
91	$7^1 13^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483516	0.516484	36	299	-263	562
92	$2^2 23^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.478261	0.521739	29	299	-270	569
93	$3^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	34	304	-270	574
94	$2^1 47^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.489362	0.510638	39	309	-270	579
95	$5^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.494737	0.505263	44	314	-270	584
96	$2^5 3^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.500000	0.500000	57	327	-270	597
97	97^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.494845	0.505155	55	327	-272	599
98	$2^1 7^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.489796	0.510204	48	327	-279	606
99	$3^2 11^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.484848	0.515152	41	327	-286	613
100	$2^2 5^2$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.490000	0.510000	55	341	-286	627
101	101^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.485149	0.514851	53	341	-288	629
102	$2^1 3^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.480392	0.519608	37	341	-304	645
103	103^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.475728	0.524272	35	341	-306	647
104	$2^3 13^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.480769	0.519231	44	350	-306	656
105	$3^1 5^1 7^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	28	350	-322	672
106	$2^1 53^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.481132	0.518868	33	355	-322	677
107	107^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476636	0.523364	31	355	-324	679
108	$2^2 3^3$	N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.472222	0.527778	8	355	-347	702
109	109^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.467890	0.532110	6	355	-349	704
110	$2^1 5^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.463636	0.536364	-10	355	-365	720
111	$3^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.468468	0.531532	-5	360	-365	725
112	$2^4 7^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.464286	0.535714	-16	360	-376	736
113	113^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.460177	0.539823	-18	360	-378	738
114	$2^1 3^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.456140	0.543860	-34	360	-394	754
115	$5^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.460870	0.539130	-29	365	-394	759
116	$2^2 29^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.456897	0.543103	-36	365	-401	766
117	$3^2 13^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.452991	0.547009	-43	365	-408	773
118	$2^1 59^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.457627	0.542373	-38	370	-408	778
119	$7^1 17^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.462185	0.537815	-33	375	-408	783
120	$2^3 3^1 5^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.458333	0.541667	-81	375	-456	831
121	11^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.462810	0.537190	-79	377	-456	833
122	$2^1 61^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.467213	0.532787	-74	382	-456	838
123	$3^1 41^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.471545	0.528455	-69	387	-456	843
124	$2^2 31^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.467742	0.532258	-76	387	-463	850

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum d n C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
125	5^3	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.464000	0.536000	-78	387	-465	852
126	$2^1 3^2 7^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.468254	0.531746	-48	417	-465	882
127	127^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.464567	0.535433	-50	417	-467	884
128	2^7	N	Y	-2	0	4.0000000	0.460938	0.539062	-52	417	-469	886
129	$3^1 43^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.465116	0.534884	-47	422	-469	891
130	$2^1 5^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-63	422	-485	907
131	131^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.458015	0.541985	-65	422	-487	909
132	$2^2 3^1 11^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.462121	0.537879	-35	452	-487	939
133	$7^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.466165	0.533835	-30	457	-487	944
134	$2^1 67^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.470149	0.529851	-25	462	-487	949
135	$3^3 5^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.474074	0.525926	-16	471	-487	958
136	$2^3 17^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.477941	0.522059	-7	480	-487	967
137	137^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.474453	0.525547	-9	480	-489	969
138	$2^1 3^1 23^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.471014	0.528986	-25	480	-505	985
139	139^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.467626	0.532374	-27	480	-507	987
140	$2^2 5^1 7^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.471429	0.528571	3	510	-507	1017
141	$3^1 47^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475177	0.524823	8	515	-507	1022
142	$2^1 71^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478873	0.521127	13	520	-507	1027
143	$11^1 13^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482517	0.517483	18	525	-507	1032
144	$2^4 3^2$	N	N	34	29	1.6176471	0.486111	0.513889	52	559	-507	1066
145	$5^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.489655	0.510345	57	564	-507	1071
146	$2^1 73^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493151	0.506849	62	569	-507	1076
147	$3^1 7^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.489796	0.510204	55	569	-514	1083
148	$2^2 37^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.486486	0.513514	48	569	-521	1090
149	149^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483221	0.516779	46	569	-523	1092
150	$2^1 3^1 5^2$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.486667	0.513333	76	599	-523	1122
151	151^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483444	0.516556	74	599	-525	1124
152	$2^3 19^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.486842	0.513158	83	608	-525	1133
153	$3^2 17^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.483660	0.516340	76	608	-532	1140
154	$2^1 7^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.480519	0.519481	60	608	-548	1156
155	$5^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	65	613	-548	1161
156	$2^2 3^1 13^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.487179	0.512821	95	643	-548	1191
157	157^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.484076	0.515924	93	643	-550	1193
158	$2^1 79^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487342	0.512658	98	648	-550	1198
159	$3^1 53^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490566	0.509434	103	653	-550	1203
160	$2^5 5^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.493750	0.506250	116	666	-550	1216
161	$7^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.496894	0.503106	121	671	-550	1221
162	$2^1 3^4$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.493827	0.506173	110	671	-561	1232
163	163^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490798	0.509202	108	671	-563	1234
164	$2^2 41^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487805	0.512195	101	671	-570	1241
165	$3^1 5^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484848	0.515152	85	671	-586	1257
166	$2^1 83^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487952	0.512048	90	676	-586	1262
167	167^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.485030	0.514970	88	676	-588	1264
168	$2^3 3^1 7^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.482143	0.517857	40	676	-636	1312
169	13^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.485207	0.514793	42	678	-636	1314
170	$2^1 5^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.482353	0.517647	26	678	-652	1330
171	$3^2 19^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.479532	0.520468	19	678	-659	1337
172	$2^2 43^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.476744	0.523256	12	678	-666	1344
173	173^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.473988	0.526012	10	678	-668	1346
174	$2^1 3^1 29^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.471264	0.528736	-6	678	-684	1362
175	$5^2 7^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.468571	0.531429	-13	678	-691	1369
176	$2^4 11^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.465909	0.534091	-24	678	-702	1380
177	$3^1 59^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.468927	0.531073	-19	683	-702	1385
178	$2^1 89^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.471910	0.528090	-14	688	-702	1390
179	179^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.469274	0.530726	-16	688	-704	1392
180	$2^2 3^2 5^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.466667	0.533333	-90	688	-778	1466
181	181^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.464088	0.535912	-92	688	-780	1468
182	$2^1 7^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-108	688	-796	1484
183	$3^1 61^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.464481	0.535519	-103	693	-796	1489
184	$2^3 23^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.467391	0.532609	-94	702	-796	1498
185	$5^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.470270	0.529730	-89	707	-796	1503
186	$2^1 3^1 31^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.467742	0.532258	-105	707	-812	1519
187	$11^1 17^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.470588	0.529412	-100	712	-812	1524
188	$2^2 47^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.468085	0.531915	-107	712	-819	1531
189	$3^3 7^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.470899	0.529101	-98	721	-819	1540
190	$2^1 5^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.468421	0.531579	-114	721	-835	1556
191	191^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.465969	0.534031	-116	721	-837	1558
192	$2^6 3^1$	N	N	-15	10	2.3333333	0.463542	0.536458	-131	721	-852	1573
193	193^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.461140	0.538860	-133	721	-854	1575
194	$2^1 97^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.463918	0.536082	-128	726	-854	1580
195	$3^1 5^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-144	726	-870	1596
196	$2^2 7^2$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.464286	0.535714	-130	740	-870	1610
197	197^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.461929	0.538071	-132	740	-872	1612
198	$2^1 3^2 11^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.464646	0.535354	-102	770	-872	1642
199	199^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.462312	0.537688	-104	770	-874	1644
200	$2^3 5^2$	N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.460000	0.540000	-127	770	-897	1667

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
201	$3^1 67^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.462687	0.537313	-122	775	-897	1672
202	$2^1 101^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.465347	0.534653	-117	780	-897	1677
203	$7^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.467980	0.532020	-112	785	-897	1682
204	$2^2 3^1 17^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.470588	0.529412	-82	815	-897	1712
205	$5^1 41^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473171	0.526829	-77	820	-897	1717
206	$2^1 103^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475728	0.524272	-72	825	-897	1722
207	$3^2 23^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.473430	0.526570	-79	825	-904	1729
208	$2^4 13^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.471154	0.528846	-90	825	-915	1740
209	$11^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	-85	830	-915	1745
210	$2^1 3^1 5^1 7^1$	Y	N	65	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	-20	895	-915	1810
211	211^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.473934	0.526066	-22	895	-917	1812
212	$2^2 53^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.471698	0.528302	-29	895	-924	1819
213	$3^1 71^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.474178	0.525822	-24	900	-924	1824
214	$2^1 107^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476636	0.523364	-19	905	-924	1829
215	$5^1 43^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.479070	0.520930	-14	910	-924	1834
216	$2^3 3^3$	N	N	46	41	1.5000000	0.481481	0.518519	32	956	-924	1880
217	$7^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	37	961	-924	1885
218	$2^1 109^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486239	0.513761	42	966	-924	1890
219	$3^1 73^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488584	0.511416	47	971	-924	1895
220	$2^2 5^1 11^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.490909	0.509091	77	1001	-924	1925
221	$13^1 17^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493213	0.506787	82	1006	-924	1930
222	$2^1 3^1 37^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490991	0.509009	66	1006	-940	1946
223	223^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.488789	0.511211	64	1006	-942	1948
224	$2^5 7^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.491071	0.508929	77	1019	-942	1961
225	$3^2 5^2$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.493333	0.506667	91	1033	-942	1975
226	$2^1 113^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.495575	0.504425	96	1038	-942	1980
227	227^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.493392	0.506608	94	1038	-944	1982
228	$2^2 3^1 19^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.495614	0.504386	124	1068	-944	2012
229	229^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.493450	0.506550	122	1068	-946	2014
230	$2^1 5^1 23^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491304	0.508696	106	1068	-962	2030
231	$3^1 7^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.489177	0.510823	90	1068	-978	2046
232	$2^3 29^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.491379	0.508621	99	1077	-978	2055
233	233^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489270	0.510730	97	1077	-980	2057
234	$2^1 3^2 13^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.491453	0.508547	127	1107	-980	2087
235	$5^1 47^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493617	0.506383	132	1112	-980	2092
236	$2^2 59^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.491525	0.508475	125	1112	-987	2099
237	$3^1 79^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493671	0.506329	130	1117	-987	2104
238	$2^1 7^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491597	0.508403	114	1117	-1003	2120
239	239^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489540	0.510460	112	1117	-1005	2122
240	$2^4 3^1 5^1$	N	N	70	54	1.5000000	0.491667	0.508333	182	1187	-1005	2192
241	241^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489627	0.510373	180	1187	-1007	2194
242	$2^1 11^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487603	0.512397	173	1187	-1014	2201
243	3^5	N	Y	-2	0	3.0000000	0.485597	0.514403	171	1187	-1016	2203
244	$2^2 61^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.483607	0.516393	164	1187	-1023	2210
245	$5^1 7^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.481633	0.518367	157	1187	-1030	2217
246	$2^1 3^1 41^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.479675	0.520325	141	1187	-1046	2233
247	$13^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.481781	0.518219	146	1192	-1046	2238
248	$2^3 31^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.483871	0.516129	155	1201	-1046	2247
249	$3^1 83^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.485944	0.514056	160	1206	-1046	2252
250	$2^1 5^3$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488000	0.512000	169	1215	-1046	2261
251	251^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486056	0.513944	167	1215	-1048	2263
252	$2^2 3^2 7^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.484127	0.515873	93	1215	-1122	2337
253	$11^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486166	0.513834	98	1220	-1122	2342
254	$2^1 127^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488189	0.511811	103	1225	-1122	2347
255	$3^1 5^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486275	0.513725	87	1225	-1138	2363
256	2^8	N	Y	2	0	4.5000000	0.488281	0.511719	89	1227	-1138	2365
257	257^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486381	0.513619	87	1227	-1140	2367
258	$2^1 3^1 43^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484496	0.515504	71	1227	-1156	2383
259	$7^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486486	0.513514	76	1232	-1156	2388
260	$2^2 5^1 13^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488462	0.511538	106	1262	-1156	2418
261	$3^2 29^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.486590	0.513410	99	1262	-1163	2425
262	$2^1 131^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488550	0.511450	104	1267	-1163	2430
263	263^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486692	0.513308	102	1267	-1165	2432
264	$2^3 3^1 11^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.484848	0.515152	54	1267	-1213	2480
265	$5^1 53^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486792	0.513208	59	1272	-1213	2485
266	$2^1 7^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484962	0.515038	43	1272	-1229	2501
267	$3^1 89^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486891	0.513109	48	1277	-1229	2506
268	$2^2 67^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.485075	0.514925	41	1277	-1236	2513
269	269^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483271	0.516729	39	1277	-1238	2515
270	$2^1 3^3 5^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.481481	0.518519	-9	1277	-1286	2563
271	271^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.479705	0.520295	-11	1277	-1288	2565
272	$2^4 17^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.477941	0.522059	-22	1277	-1299	2576
273	$3^1 7^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	-38	1277	-1315	2592
274	$2^1 137^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478102	0.521898	-33	1282	-1315	2597
275	$5^2 11^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.476364	0.523636	-40	1282	-1322	2604

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} d C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
276	$2^2 3^1 23^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.478261	0.521739	-10	1312	-1322	2634
277	277^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476534	0.523466	-12	1312	-1324	2636
278	$2^1 139^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478417	0.521583	-7	1317	-1324	2641
279	$3^2 31^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.476703	0.523297	-14	1317	-1331	2648
280	$2^3 5^1 7^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.475000	0.525000	-62	1317	-1379	2696
281	281^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.473310	0.526690	-64	1317	-1381	2698
282	$2^1 3^1 47^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.471631	0.528369	-80	1317	-1397	2714
283	283^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.469965	0.530035	-82	1317	-1399	2716
284	$2^2 71^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.468310	0.531690	-89	1317	-1406	2723
285	$3^1 5^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.466667	0.533333	-105	1317	-1422	2739
286	$2^1 11^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.465035	0.534965	-121	1317	-1438	2755
287	$7^1 41^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.466899	0.533101	-116	1322	-1438	2760
288	$2^5 3^2$	N	N	-47	42	1.7659574	0.465278	0.534722	-163	1322	-1485	2807
289	17^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.467128	0.532872	-161	1324	-1485	2809
290	$2^1 5^1 29^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.465517	0.534483	-177	1324	-1501	2825
291	$3^1 97^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.467354	0.532646	-172	1329	-1501	2830
292	$2^2 73^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.465753	0.534247	-179	1329	-1508	2837
293	293^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.464164	0.535836	-181	1329	-1510	2839
294	$2^1 3^1 7^2$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.465986	0.534014	-151	1359	-1510	2869
295	$5^1 59^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.467797	0.532203	-146	1364	-1510	2874
296	$2^3 37^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.469595	0.530405	-137	1373	-1510	2883
297	$3^3 11^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.471380	0.528620	-128	1382	-1510	2892
298	$2^1 149^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473154	0.526846	-123	1387	-1510	2897
299	$13^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.474916	0.525084	-118	1392	-1510	2902
300	$2^2 3^1 5^2$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.473333	0.526667	-192	1392	-1584	2976
301	$7^1 43^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475083	0.524917	-187	1397	-1584	2981
302	$2^1 151^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476821	0.523179	-182	1402	-1584	2986
303	$3^1 101^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478548	0.521452	-177	1407	-1584	2991
304	$2^4 19^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.476974	0.523026	-188	1407	-1595	3002
305	$5^1 61^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478689	0.521311	-183	1412	-1595	3007
306	$2^1 3^2 17^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.480392	0.519608	-153	1442	-1595	3037
307	307^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.478827	0.521173	-155	1442	-1597	3039
308	$2^2 7^1 11^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.480519	0.519481	-125	1472	-1597	3069
309	$3^1 103^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482201	0.517799	-120	1477	-1597	3074
310	$2^1 5^1 31^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.480645	0.519355	-136	1477	-1613	3090
311	311^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.479100	0.520900	-138	1477	-1615	3092
312	$2^3 3^1 13^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.477564	0.522436	-186	1477	-1663	3140
313	313^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476038	0.523962	-188	1477	-1665	3142
314	$2^1 157^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477707	0.522293	-183	1482	-1665	3147
315	$3^2 5^1 7^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.479365	0.520635	-153	1512	-1665	3177
316	$2^2 79^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.477848	0.522152	-160	1512	-1672	3184
317	317^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476341	0.523659	-162	1512	-1674	3186
318	$2^1 3^1 53^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.474843	0.525157	-178	1512	-1690	3202
319	$11^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476489	0.523511	-173	1517	-1690	3207
320	$2^6 5^1$	N	N	-15	10	2.3333333	0.475000	0.525000	-188	1517	-1705	3222
321	$3^1 107^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476636	0.523364	-183	1522	-1705	3227
322	$2^1 7^1 23^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.475155	0.524845	-199	1522	-1721	3243
323	$17^1 19^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476780	0.523220	-194	1527	-1721	3248
324	$2^2 3^4$	N	N	34	29	1.6176471	0.478395	0.521605	-160	1561	-1721	3282
325	$5^2 13^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.476923	0.523077	-167	1561	-1728	3289
326	$2^1 163^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478528	0.521472	-162	1566	-1728	3294
327	$3^1 109^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.480122	0.519878	-157	1571	-1728	3299
328	$2^3 41^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.481707	0.518293	-148	1580	-1728	3308
329	$7^1 47^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483283	0.516717	-143	1585	-1728	3313
330	$2^1 3^1 5^1 11^1$	Y	N	65	0	1.0000000	0.484848	0.515152	-78	1650	-1728	3378
331	331^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483384	0.516616	-80	1650	-1730	3380
332	$2^2 83^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.481928	0.518072	-87	1650	-1737	3387
333	$3^2 37^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.480480	0.519520	-94	1650	-1744	3394
334	$2^1 167^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482036	0.517964	-89	1655	-1744	3399
335	$5^1 67^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483582	0.516418	-84	1660	-1744	3404
336	$2^4 3^1 7^1$	N	N	70	54	1.5000000	0.485119	0.514881	-14	1730	-1744	3474
337	337^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483680	0.516320	-16	1730	-1746	3476
338	$2^1 13^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.482249	0.517751	-23	1730	-1753	3483
339	$3^1 113^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483776	0.516224	-18	1735	-1753	3488
340	$2^2 5^1 17^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.485294	0.514706	12	1765	-1753	3518
341	$11^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486804	0.513196	17	1770	-1753	3523
342	$2^1 3^2 19^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488304	0.511696	47	1800	-1753	3553
343	7^3	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.486880	0.513120	45	1800	-1755	3555
344	$2^3 43^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488372	0.511628	54	1809	-1755	3564
345	$3^1 5^1 23^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486957	0.513043	38	1809	-1771	3580
346	$2^1 173^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488439	0.511561	43	1814	-1771	3585
347	347^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487032	0.512968	41	1814	-1773	3587
348	$2^2 3^1 29^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488506	0.511494	71	1844	-1773	3617
349	349^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487106	0.512894	69	1844	-1775	3619
350	$2^1 5^2 7^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488571	0.511429	99	1874	-1775	3649

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega}(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
351	$3^3 13^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.490028	0.509972	108	1883	-1775	3658
352	$2^5 11^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.491477	0.508523	121	1896	-1775	3671
353	353^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490085	0.509915	119	1896	-1777	3673
354	$2^1 3^1 59^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.488701	0.511299	103	1896	-1793	3689
355	$5^1 71^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490141	0.509859	108	1901	-1793	3694
356	$2^2 89^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.488764	0.511236	101	1901	-1800	3701
357	$3^1 7^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.487395	0.512605	85	1901	-1816	3717
358	$2^1 179^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488827	0.511173	90	1906	-1816	3722
359	359^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487465	0.512535	88	1906	-1818	3724
360	$2^3 3^2 5^1$	N	N	145	129	1.3034483	0.488889	0.511111	233	2051	-1818	3869
361	19^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.490305	0.509695	235	2053	-1818	3871
362	$2^1 181^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491713	0.508287	240	2058	-1818	3876
363	$3^1 11^2$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490358	0.509642	233	2058	-1825	3883
364	$2^2 7^1 13^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.491758	0.508242	263	2088	-1825	3913
365	$5^1 73^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493151	0.506849	268	2093	-1825	3918
366	$2^1 3^1 61^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491803	0.508197	252	2093	-1841	3934
367	367^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490463	0.509537	250	2093	-1843	3936
368	$2^4 23^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.489130	0.510870	239	2093	-1854	3947
369	$3^2 41^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487805	0.512195	232	2093	-1861	3954
370	$2^1 5^1 37^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486486	0.513514	216	2093	-1877	3970
371	$7^1 53^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487871	0.512129	221	2098	-1877	3975
372	$2^2 3^1 31^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.489247	0.510753	251	2128	-1877	4005
373	373^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487936	0.512064	249	2128	-1879	4007
374	$2^1 11^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486631	0.513369	233	2128	-1895	4023
375	$3^1 5^3$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488000	0.512000	242	2137	-1895	4032
376	$2^3 47^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.489362	0.510638	251	2146	-1895	4041
377	$13^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490716	0.509284	256	2151	-1895	4046
378	$2^1 3^3 7^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.489418	0.510582	208	2151	-1943	4094
379	379^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.488127	0.511873	206	2151	-1945	4096
380	$2^2 5^1 19^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.489474	0.510526	236	2181	-1945	4126
381	$3^1 127^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490814	0.509186	241	2186	-1945	4131
382	$2^1 191^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492147	0.507853	246	2191	-1945	4136
383	383^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490862	0.509138	244	2191	-1947	4138
384	$2^7 3^1$	N	N	17	12	2.5882353	0.492188	0.507812	261	2208	-1947	4155
385	$5^1 7^1 11^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490909	0.509091	245	2208	-1963	4171
386	$2^1 193^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492228	0.507772	250	2213	-1963	4176
387	$3^2 43^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490956	0.509044	243	2213	-1970	4183
388	$2^2 97^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.489691	0.510309	236	2213	-1977	4190
389	389^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.488432	0.511568	234	2213	-1979	4192
390	$2^1 3^1 5^1 13^1$	Y	N	65	0	1.0000000	0.489744	0.510256	299	2278	-1979	4257
391	$17^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491049	0.508951	304	2283	-1979	4262
392	$2^3 7^2$	N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.489796	0.510204	281	2283	-2002	4285
393	$3^1 131^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491094	0.508906	286	2288	-2002	4290
394	$2^1 197^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492386	0.507614	291	2293	-2002	4295
395	$5^1 79^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493671	0.506329	296	2298	-2002	4300
396	$2^2 3^2 11^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.492424	0.507576	222	2298	-2076	4374
397	397^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.491184	0.508816	220	2298	-2078	4376
398	$2^1 199^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492462	0.507538	225	2303	-2078	4381
399	$3^1 7^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491228	0.508772	209	2303	-2094	4397
400	$2^4 5^2$	N	N	34	29	1.6176471	0.492500	0.507500	243	2337	-2094	4431
401	401^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.491272	0.508728	241	2337	-2096	4433
402	$2^1 3^1 67^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490050	0.509950	225	2337	-2112	4449
403	$13^1 31^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491315	0.508685	230	2342	-2112	4454
404	$2^2 101^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490099	0.509901	223	2342	-2119	4461
405	$3^4 5^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.488889	0.511111	212	2342	-2130	4472
406	$2^1 7^1 29^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.487685	0.512315	196	2342	-2146	4488
407	$11^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488943	0.511057	201	2347	-2146	4493
408	$2^3 3^1 17^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.487745	0.512255	153	2347	-2194	4541
409	409^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486553	0.513447	151	2347	-2196	4543
410	$2^1 5^1 41^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.485366	0.514634	135	2347	-2212	4559
411	$3^1 137^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486618	0.513382	140	2352	-2212	4564
412	$2^2 103^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.485437	0.514563	133	2352	-2219	4571
413	$7^1 59^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486683	0.513317	138	2357	-2219	4576
414	$2^1 3^2 23^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.487923	0.512077	168	2387	-2219	4606
415	$5^1 83^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.489157	0.510843	173	2392	-2219	4611
416	$2^5 13^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.490385	0.509615	186	2405	-2219	4624
417	$3^1 139^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491607	0.508393	191	2410	-2219	4629
418	$2^1 11^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490431	0.509569	175	2410	-2235	4645
419	419^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489260	0.510740	173	2410	-2237	4647
420	$2^2 3^1 5^1 7^1$	N	N	-155	90	1.1032258	0.488095	0.511905	18	2410	-2392	4802
421	421^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486936	0.513064	16	2410	-2394	4804
422	$2^1 211^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488152	0.511848	21	2415	-2394	4809
423	$3^2 47^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.486998	0.513002	14	2415	-2401	4816
424	$2^3 53^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488208	0.511792	23	2424	-2401	4825
425	$5^2 17^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487059	0.512941	16	2424	-2408	4832

n	n	Sqfree	PPower	$g(n)$	$\lambda(n)g(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d n} \mu(d)}{ g(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_+(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_-(n)$	$G(n)$	$G_+(n)$	$G_-(n)$	$ G (n)$
426	$2^1 3^1 71^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.485915	0.514085	0	2424	-2424	4848
427	$7^1 61^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487119	0.512881	5	2429	-2424	4853
428	$2^2 107^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.485981	0.514019	-2	2429	-2431	4860
429	$3^1 11^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484848	0.515152	-18	2429	-2447	4876
430	$2^1 5^1 43^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.483721	0.516279	-34	2429	-2463	4892
431	431^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.482599	0.517401	-36	2429	-2465	4894
432	$2^4 3^3$	N	N	-80	75	1.5625000	0.481481	0.518519	-116	2429	-2545	4974
433	433^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.480370	0.519630	-118	2429	-2547	4976
434	$2^1 7^1 31^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.479263	0.520737	-134	2429	-2563	4992
435	$3^1 5^1 29^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.478161	0.521839	-150	2429	-2579	5008
436	$2^2 109^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.477064	0.522936	-157	2429	-2586	5015
437	$19^1 23^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478261	0.521739	-152	2434	-2586	5020
438	$2^1 3^1 73^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.477169	0.522831	-168	2434	-2602	5036
439	439^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476082	0.523918	-170	2434	-2604	5038
440	$2^3 5^1 11^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.475000	0.525000	-218	2434	-2652	5086
441	$3^2 7^2$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.476190	0.523810	-204	2448	-2652	5100
442	$2^1 13^1 17^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.475113	0.524887	-220	2448	-2668	5116
443	443^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.474041	0.525959	-222	2448	-2670	5118
444	$2^2 3^1 37^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.475225	0.524775	-192	2478	-2670	5148
445	$5^1 89^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476404	0.523596	-187	2483	-2670	5153
446	$2^1 223^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477578	0.522422	-182	2488	-2670	5158
447	$3^1 149^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478747	0.521253	-177	2493	-2670	5163
448	$2^6 7^1$	N	N	-15	10	2.3333333	0.477679	0.522321	-192	2493	-2685	5178
449	449^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476615	0.523385	-194	2493	-2687	5180
450	$2^1 3^2 5^2$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.475556	0.524444	-268	2493	-2761	5254
451	$11^1 41^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476718	0.523282	-263	2498	-2761	5259
452	$2^2 113^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.475664	0.524336	-270	2498	-2768	5266
453	$3^1 151^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476821	0.523179	-265	2503	-2768	5271
454	$2^1 227^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477974	0.522026	-260	2508	-2768	5276
455	$5^1 7^1 13^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476923	0.523077	-276	2508	-2784	5292
456	$2^3 3^1 19^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.475877	0.524123	-324	2508	-2832	5340
457	457^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.474836	0.525164	-326	2508	-2834	5342
458	$2^1 229^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475983	0.524017	-321	2513	-2834	5347
459	$3^3 17^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.477124	0.522876	-312	2522	-2834	5356
460	$2^2 5^1 23^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.478261	0.521739	-282	2552	-2834	5386
461	461^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.477223	0.522777	-284	2552	-2836	5388
462	$2^1 3^1 7^1 11^1$	Y	N	65	0	1.0000000	0.478355	0.521645	-219	2617	-2836	5453
463	463^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.477322	0.522678	-221	2617	-2838	5455
464	$2^4 29^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.476293	0.523707	-232	2617	-2849	5466
465	$3^1 5^1 31^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.475269	0.524731	-248	2617	-2865	5482
466	$2^1 233^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476395	0.523605	-243	2622	-2865	5487
467	467^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.475375	0.524625	-245	2622	-2867	5489
468	$2^2 3^2 13^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.474359	0.525641	-319	2622	-2941	5563
469	$7^1 67^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475480	0.524520	-314	2627	-2941	5568
470	$2^1 5^1 47^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.474468	0.525532	-330	2627	-2957	5584
471	$3^1 157^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475584	0.524416	-325	2632	-2957	5589
472	$2^3 59^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.476695	0.523305	-316	2641	-2957	5598
473	$11^1 43^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477801	0.522199	-311	2646	-2957	5603
474	$2^1 3^1 79^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476793	0.523207	-327	2646	-2973	5619
475	$5^2 19^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.475789	0.524211	-334	2646	-2980	5626
476	$2^2 7^1 17^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.476891	0.523109	-304	2676	-2980	5656
477	$3^2 53^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.475891	0.524109	-311	2676	-2987	5663
478	$2^1 239^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476987	0.523013	-306	2681	-2987	5668
479	479^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.475992	0.524008	-308	2681	-2989	5670
480	$2^3 3^1 5^1$	N	N	-96	80	1.6666667	0.475000	0.525000	-404	2681	-3085	5766
481	$13^1 37^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476091	0.523909	-399	2686	-3085	5771
482	$2^1 241^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477178	0.522822	-394	2691	-3085	5776
483	$3^1 7^1 23^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	-410	2691	-3101	5792
484	$2^2 11^2$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.477273	0.522727	-396	2705	-3101	5806
485	$5^1 97^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.478351	0.521649	-391	2710	-3101	5811
486	$2^1 3^5$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.479424	0.520576	-378	2723	-3101	5824
487	487^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.478439	0.521561	-380	2723	-3103	5826
488	$2^3 61^1$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.479508	0.520492	-371	2732	-3103	5835
489	$3^1 163^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.480573	0.519427	-366	2737	-3103	5840
490	$2^1 5^1 7^2$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.481633	0.518367	-336	2767	-3103	5870
491	491^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.480652	0.519348	-338	2767	-3105	5872
492	$2^2 3^1 41^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.481707	0.518293	-308	2797	-3105	5902
493	$17^1 29^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482759	0.517241	-303	2802	-3105	5907
494	$2^1 13^1 19^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.481781	0.518219	-319	2802	-3121	5923
495	$3^2 5^1 11^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.482828	0.517172	-289	2832	-3121	5953
496	$2^4 31^1$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.481855	0.518145	-300	2832	-3132	5964
497	$7^1 71^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482897	0.517103	-295	2837	-3132	5969
498	$2^1 3^1 83^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.481928	0.518072	-311	2837	-3148	5985
499	499^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.480962	0.519038	-313	2837	-3150	5987
500	$2^2 5^3$	N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.480000	0.520000	-336	2837	-3173	6010