Lower bounds on the summatory function of the Möbius function along infinite subsequences

Maxie Dion Schmidt Georgia Institute of Technology School of Mathematics

<u>Last Revised:</u> Monday 6th July, 2020 @ 11:44:12 - Compiled with LATEX2e

Abstract

The Mertens function, $M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, is classically defined as the summatory function of the Möbius function $\mu(n)$. The Mertens conjecture states that $|M(x)| < C \cdot \sqrt{x}$ for some absolute C > 0 for all $x \geq 1$. This classical conjecture has a well-known disproof due to Odlyzko and té Riele by computation of non-trivial zeta function zeros in conjunction with integral formulas expressing M(x). We prove the unboundedness of $|M(x)|/\sqrt{x}$ using new methods by showing that

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{|M(x)|\sqrt{\log\log x} \cdot (\log\log\log x)^2}{\sqrt{x} \cdot (\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \ge 0.106408.$$

There is a distinct stylistic flavor and new element of combinatorial analysis to our proof combined with the standard methods from analytic, additive and elementary number theory. This stylistic tendency distinguishes our methods from other proofs of established upper, rather than lower, bounds on M(x).

Keywords and Phrases: Möbius function; Mertens function; summatory function; Dirichlet inverse; Liouville lambda function; prime omega function; prime counting functions; Dirichlet generating function; asymptotic lower bounds; Mertens conjecture.

Math Subject Classifications (MSC 2010): 11N37; 11A25; 11N60; and 11N64.

Glossary of special notation and conventions

Symbol Definition

 \approx We write that $f(x) \approx g(x)$ if |f(x) - g(x)| = O(1) as $x \to \infty$.

 $\mathbb{E}[f(x)], \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\sim}$ We adapt the expectation notation $\mathbb{E}[f(x)] = h(x)$, or sometimes write that $f(x) \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\sim} h(x)$, to denote that f has an average order growth rate of h(x). This means that $\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} f(n) \sim h(x)$, or equivalently that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} f(n)}{h(x)} = 1.$$

B The absolute constant $B \approx 0.2614972$ from the statement of Mertens theorem.

 $C_k(n)$ The sequence is defined recursively for $n \ge 1$ as follows where we assume that $1 \le k \le \Omega(n)$:

$$C_k(n) := \begin{cases} \delta_{n,1}, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \sum_{d|n} \omega(d) C_{k-1}(n/d), & \text{if } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

The coefficient of q^n in the power series expansion of F(q) about zero when F(q) is treated as the ordinary generating function of some sequence, $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Namely, for integers $n\geq 0$ we define $[q^n]F(q)=f_n$ whenever $F(q):=\sum_{n\geq 0}f_nq^n$.

 $\varepsilon(n)$ The multiplicative identity with respect to Dirichlet convolution, $\varepsilon(n) := \delta_{n,1}$, defined such that for any arithmetic f we have that $f * \varepsilon = \varepsilon * f = f$ where * denotes Dirichlet convolution (see below).

f * g The Dirichlet convolution of f and g, $(f * g)(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$, where the sum is taken over the divisors d of n for $n \ge 1$.

The Dirichlet inverse of f with respect to convolution is defined recursively by $f^{-1}(n) = -\frac{1}{f(1)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 1}} f(d) f^{-1}(n/d)$ for $n \ge 2$ with $f^{-1}(1) = 1/f(1)$. The Dirichlet inverse of f with respect to convolution is defined recursively by

let inverse of f exists if and only if $f(1) \neq 0$. This inverse function, denoted by f^{-1} when it exists, is unique and satisfies the characteristic convolution relations providing that $f^{-1} * f = f * f^{-1} = \varepsilon$.

 \gg, \ll For functions A, B in x, the notation $A \ll B$ implies that A = O(B). Similarly, for $B \ge 0$ the notation $A \gg B$ implies that B = O(A).

 $g^{-1}(n), G^{-1}(x)$ The Dirichlet inverse function, $g^{-1}(n) = (\omega + 1)^{-1}(n)$ with corresponding summatory function $G^{-1}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} g^{-1}(n)$.

 H_n The first-order harmonic numbers, $H_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$, satisfy the limiting asymptotic relation

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[H_n - \log(n) \right] = \gamma,$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.5772157$ denotes Euler's gamma constant.

 $[n=k]_{\delta}$, $[\operatorname{cond}]_{\delta}$ The symbol $[n=k]_{\delta}$ is a synonym for $\delta_{n,k}$ which is one if and only if n=k, and is zero otherwise. For boolean-valued conditions, cond , $[\operatorname{cond}]_{\delta}$ evaluates to one precisely when cond is true, and to zero otherwise. This notation is called Iverson's convention.

	internet Bion Seminar Thomas, or our
Symbol	Definition
$\lambda(n)$	The Liouville lambda function is the completely multiplicative function defined by $\lambda(n) := (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$. That is, $\lambda(n) \in \{\pm 1\}$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ with $\lambda(n) = +1$ if and only if $\Omega(n) \equiv 0 \mod 2$.
$\mu(n)$	The Möbius function defined such that $\mu^2(n)$ is the indicator function of the squarefree integers, and so that $\mu(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ whenever n is squarefree.
M(x)	The Mertens function is the summatory function of $\mu(n)$ defined for all integers $x \ge 1$ by $M(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n)$.
$ u_p(n)$	The valuation function that extracts the maximal exponent of p in the prime factorization of n , e.g., $\nu_p(n)=0$ if $p\nmid n$ and $\nu_p(n)=\alpha$ if $p^\alpha n$ (or when p^α exactly divides n) for p prime, $\alpha\geq 1$ and $n\geq 2$.
$\omega(n),\Omega(n)$	We define the strongly additive function $\omega(n) := \sum_{p n} 1$ and the completely additive function $\Omega(n) := \sum_{p^{\alpha} n} \alpha$. This means that if the prime factorization of $n \geq 2$ is given by $n := p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with $p_i \neq p_j$ for all $i \neq j$, then $\omega(n) = r$ and $\Omega(n) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$. By convention, we require that $\omega(1) = \Omega(1) = 0$.
$\pi_k(x), \widehat{\pi}_k(x)$	The prime counting function variant $\pi_k(x)$ denotes the number of integers $1 \le n \le x$ for $x > 1$ with exactly k distinct prime factors: $\pi_k(x) := \#\{n \le x : \omega(n) = k\}$. Similarly, the function $\widehat{\pi}_k(x) := \#\{n \le x : \Omega(n) = k\}$ for $x \ge 2$.
P(s)	For complex s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we define the <i>prime zeta function</i> to be the DGF $P(s) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} p^{-s}$. For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, the prime zeta function is related to $\zeta(s)$ according to the formula $P(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log[\zeta(ks)]$.
Q(x)	For $x \geq 1$, we define $Q(x)$ to be the summatory function indicating the number of squarefree integers $n \leq x$. More precisely, this function is summed and identified with its limiting asymptotic formula as $x \to \infty$ in the following form: $Q(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) \sim \frac{6x}{\pi^2} + O(\sqrt{x})$.
~	We say that two arithmetic functions $A(x), B(x)$ satisfy the relation $A \sim B$ if $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{A(x)}{B(x)} = 1$.
$\zeta(s)$	The Riemann zeta function is defined by $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, and by analytic continuation on the rest of the complex plane with the exception of a simple pole at $s = 1$ of residue one.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions

We define the *Möbius function* to be the signed indicator function of the squarefree integers in the form of $[14, \underline{A008683}]$

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ (-1)^{\omega(n)}, & \text{if } \omega(n) = \Omega(n) \text{ and } n \ge 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There are many variants and special properties of the Möbius function and its generalizations [13, cf. §2]. One crucial role of the classical $\mu(n)$ is that the function forms an inversion relation for the divisor sums formed by arithmetic functions convolved with one through Möbius inversion:

$$g(n) = (f*1)(n) \iff f(n) = (g*\mu)(n), \forall n \ge 1.$$

The Mertens function, or summatory function of $\mu(n)$, is defined on the positive integers as

$$M(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n), x \ge 1.$$

The sequence of slow growing oscillatory values of this summatory function begins as follows [14, A002321]:

$$\{M(x)\}_{x>1} = \{1, 0, -1, -1, -2, -1, -2, -2, -2, -1, -2, -2, -3, -2, -1, -1, -2, -2, -3, -3, -2, -1, -2, \ldots\}.$$

Clearly, a positive integer $n \ge 1$ is squarefree, or contains no (prime power) divisors which are squares, if and only if $\mu^2(n) = 1$. A related summatory function which counts the number of squarefree integers $n \le x$ satisfies [2, §18.6] [14, A013928]

$$Q(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) \sim \frac{6x}{\pi^2} + O\left(\sqrt{x}\right).$$

It is known that the asymptotic density of the positively versus negatively weighted sets of squarefree numbers characterized by the sign of the Möbius function are in fact equal as $x \to \infty$:

$$\mu_{+}(x) = \frac{\#\{1 \le n \le x : \mu(n) = +1\}}{x} \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\sim} \mu_{-}(x) = \frac{\#\{1 \le n \le x : \mu(n) = -1\}}{x} \xrightarrow{x \to \infty} \frac{3}{\pi^{2}}$$

1.2 Properties

A conventional approach to evaluating the limiting asymptotic behavior of M(x) for large $x \to \infty$ results by considering an inverse Mellin transformation of the reciprocal of the Riemann zeta function. In particular, since

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = s \cdot \int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1,$$

we obtain that

$$M(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T-i\infty}^{T+i\infty} \frac{x^s}{s \cdot \zeta(s)} ds.$$

The previous two representations lead us to the exact expression of M(x) for any real x > 0 given by the next theorem due to Titchmarsh.

Theorem 1.1 (Analytic Formula for M(x)). Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis (RH), there exists an infinite sequence $\{T_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ satisfying $k\leq T_k\leq k+1$ for each k such that for any real x>0

$$M(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho: \zeta(\rho) = 0 \\ |\operatorname{Im}(\rho)| < T_k}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho \cdot \zeta'(\rho)} - 2 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n \cdot (2n)! \zeta(2n+1)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{2n} + \frac{\mu(x)}{2} \left[x \in \mathbb{Z}^+\right]_{\delta}.$$

A historical unconditional bound on the Mertens function due to Walfisz (circa 1963) states that there is an absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$M(x) \ll x \cdot \exp\left(-C \cdot \log^{3/5}(x)(\log\log x)^{-3/5}\right)$$
.

Under the assumption of the RH, Soundararajan more recently proved new updated estimates bounding M(x) from above for large x in the following forms [15]:

$$\begin{split} M(x) &\ll \sqrt{x} \cdot \exp\left(\log^{1/2}(x)(\log\log x)^{14}\right), \\ M(x) &= O\left(\sqrt{x} \cdot \exp\left(\log^{1/2}(x)(\log\log x)^{5/2+\epsilon}\right)\right), \ \forall \epsilon > 0. \end{split}$$

1.3 Conjectures on boundedness and limiting behavior

The RH is equivalent to showing that $M(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. There is a rich history to the original statement of the *Mertens conjecture* which asserts that

$$|M(x)| < C \cdot \sqrt{x}$$
, for some absolute constant $C > 0$.

The conjecture was first verified by Mertens for C=1 and all x<10000. Since its beginnings in 1897, the Mertens conjecture has been disproven by computation of non-trivial simple zeta function zeros with comparitively small imaginary parts in a famous paper by Odlyzko and té Riele [10]. Since the truth of the conjecture would have implied the RH, more recent attempts at bounding M(x) naturally consider determining the rates at which the function $M(x)/\sqrt{x}$ grows with or without bound along infinite subsequences, e.g., considering the asymptotics of the function in the limit supremum and limit infimum senses.

A precise statement of this problem is to produce an unconditional proof of whether $\limsup_{x\to\infty} M(x)/\sqrt{x} = +\infty$ and $\liminf_{x\to\infty} M(x)/\sqrt{x} = -\infty$, or equivalently whether there are infinite subsequences of natural numbers $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\}$ such that the magnitude of $M(x_i)x_i^{-1/2}$ grows without bound towards either $\pm\infty$ along the subsequence. We cite that it is only known by computation that [12, cf. §4.1] [14, cf. A051400; A051401]

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x)}{\sqrt{x}} > 1.060 \qquad \text{(now } \ge 1.826054),$$

and

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x)}{\sqrt{x}} < -1.009 \qquad \text{(now } \le -1.837625\text{)}.$$

Based on work by Odlyzyko and té Riele, it seems probable that each of these limits should evaluate to $\pm \infty$, respectively [10, 5, 6, 3]. Extensive computational evidence has produced a conjecture due to Gonek that in fact the limiting behavior of M(x) satisfies [9]

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x} \cdot (\log \log \log x)^{5/4}} = O(1).$$

2 An overview of the core components to the proof

We offer an initial step-by-step summary overview of the core components to our proof outlined in the next points. We hope that this sketch of the logical components to this argument makes the article easier to parse.

- (1) We prove a matrix inversion formula relating the summatory functions of an arithmetic function f and its Dirichlet inverse f^{-1} (for $f(1) \neq 0$). See Theorem 3.1 in Section 4.
- (2) This crucial step provides us with an exact formula for M(x) in terms of $\pi(x)$, the prime counting function, and the Dirichlet inverse of the shifted additive function $g(n) := \omega(n) + 1$. This formula is stated in (1). The link relating our new formula in (1) to canonical additive functions and their distributions lends a recent distinguishing element to the success of the methods in our proof.
- (3) We tighten bounds from a less classical result proved in [8, §7] providing uniform asymptotic formulas for the summatory functions, $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$, large $x \gg e$ and $1 \le k \le \log \log x$ (see Theorem 3.7). We use this result to bound sums of the form $\sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) f(n)$ from below for particular positive arithmetic functions f when x is large.
- (4) We then turn to estimating the limiting asymptotics of the quasi-periodic function, $|g^{-1}(n)|$, by proving several formulas bounding its average order as $x \to \infty$ in Section 6. We eventually use these estimates to prove a substantially unique new lower bound formulas for the summatory function $G^{-1}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) |g^{-1}(n)|$ along certain asymptotically large infinite subsequences (see Theorem 7.7).
- (5) When we return to step (2) with our new lower bounds at hand, we are led to a new unconditional proof of the unboundedness of $\frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}}$ along a very large increasing infinite subsequence of positive natural numbers. In fact, we recover a quick and rigorous proof of Theorem 3.9 given at the conclusion of Section 7.2.

3 A concrete new approach to bounding M(x) from below

3.1 Summatory functions of Dirichlet convolutions of arithmetic functions

Theorem 3.1 (Summatory functions of Dirichlet convolutions). Let $f, h : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be any arithmetic functions such that $f(1) \neq 0$. Suppose that $F(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ and $H(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} h(n)$ denote the summatory functions of f and h, respectively, and that $F^{-1}(x)$ denotes the summatory function of the Dirichlet inverse of f. We have the following exact expressions for the summatory function of f * h for all integers $x \geq 1$:

$$\pi_{f*h}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d \mid n} f(d)h(n/d)$$

$$= \sum_{d \le x} f(d)H\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{x} H(k) \left[F\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor\right) - F\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor\right)\right].$$

Moreover, for all $x \geq 1$

$$H(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{x} \pi_{f*h}(j) \left[F^{-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor \right) - F^{-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor \right) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{x} f^{-1}(n) \pi_{f*h} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Corollary 3.2 (Convolutions arising from Möbius inversion). Suppose that g is an arithmetic function such that $g(1) \neq 0$. Define the summatory function of the convolution of g with μ by $\widetilde{G}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (g * \mu)(n)$. The Mertens function is expressed by the sum

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} \left(\sum_{j=\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k+1} \right\rfloor + 1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor} g^{-1}(j) \right) \widetilde{G}(k), \forall x \ge 1.$$

Corollary 3.3 (A motivating special case). We have exactly that for all $x \ge 1$

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} (\omega + 1)^{-1}(k) \left[\pi \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 \right]. \tag{1}$$

3.2 An exact expression for M(x) in terms of strongly additive functions

Fix the notation for the Dirichlet invertible function $g(n) := \omega(n) + 1$ and define its inverse with respect to Dirichlet convolution by $g^{-1}(n) = (\omega + 1)^{-1}(n)$. We can compute exactly that (see Table T.1 starting on page 41 of the appendix section)

$$\{g^{-1}(n)\}_{n\geq 1} = \{1, -2, -2, 2, -2, 5, -2, -2, 2, 5, -2, -7, -2, 5, 5, 2, -2, -7, -2, -7, 5, 5, -2, 9, \ldots\}.$$

The sign of these positive terms is given by $\operatorname{sgn}(g^{-1}(n)) = \frac{g^{-1}(n)}{|g^{-1}(n)|} = \lambda(n)$ for all $n \ge 1$ (see Proposition 4.1).

There is not an easy, nor subtle direct recursion between the distinct values of $g^{-1}(n)$, except through auxiliary function sequences. The distribution of distinct sets of prime exponents is still fairly regular so that $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ play a crucial role in the repitition of common values of $g^{-1}(n)$. The following observation is suggestive of the quasi-periodicity of the distribution of distinct values of $g^{-1}(n)$ over $n \geq 2$:

Heuristic 3.4 (Symmetry in $g^{-1}(n)$ in the prime factorizations of n). Suppose that $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ are such that their factorizations into distinct primes are given by $n_1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ and $n_2 = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_r^{\beta_r}$ for $= \omega(n_i) \geq 1$. If $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\} \equiv \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r\}$ as multisets of prime exponents, then $g^{-1}(n_1) = g^{-1}(n_2)$. For example, g^{-1} has the same values on the squarefree integers with exactly one, two, three, and so on prime factors.

Conjecture 3.5. We have the following properties characterizing the Dirichlet inverse function $g^{-1}(n)$:

- (A) $g^{-1}(1) = 1$;
- **(B)** For all $n \ge 1$, $sgn(g^{-1}(n)) = \lambda(n)$;
- (C) For all squarefree integers $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$|g^{-1}(n)| = \sum_{m=0}^{\omega(n)} {\omega(n) \choose m} \cdot m!;$$

(D) If $n \geq 2$ and $\Omega(n) = k$, then

$$2 \le |g^{-1}(n)| \le \sum_{m=0}^{k} {k \choose m} \cdot m!.$$

We illustrate parts (B)–(D) of the conjecture clearly using the computation of initial values of this inverse sequence in Table T.1. A proof of (C) in fact follows from Lemma 6.3 stated on page 23. The realization that the beautiful and remarkably simple combinatorial form of property (C) in Conjecture 3.5 holds for all squarefree $n \ge 1$ motivates our pursuit of simpler formulas for the inverse functions $g^{-1}(n)$ through sums of auxiliary sequences of arithmetic functions (see Section 6).

We prove that (see Proposition 7.1)

$$M(x) \approx G^{-1}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} G^{-1}(k) \cdot \frac{x}{k^2 \log(x/k)}.$$

The formula in (??) then implies that we can establish new *lower bounds* on M(x) along large infinite subsequences by bounding appropriate estimates of the summatory function $G^{-1}(x)$.

3.3 Uniform asymptotics from enumerative bivariate DGFs from Mongomery and Vaughan

Theorem 3.6 (Montgomery and Vaughan). Recall that we have defined

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) := \#\{n \le x : \Omega(n) = k\}.$$

For R < 2 we have that uniformly for all $1 \le k \le R \log \log x$

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log\log x}\right) \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left[1 + O_R\left(\frac{k}{(\log\log x)^2}\right)\right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(z+1)} \times \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{z}, 0 \le |z| \le R.$$

The proof of the next result is combinatorially motivated in so much as it interprets lower bounds on a key infinite product factor of $\mathcal{G}(z)$ defined in Theorem 3.6 as corresponding to an ordinary generating function of certain homogeneous symmetric polynomials involving the primes. This interpretation allows us to recover the following uniform lower bounds on $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ as $x \to \infty$:

Theorem 3.7. We have that for all sufficiently large $x \to \infty$ and $1 \le k \le \log \log x$

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1-k}{\log\log x}\right) \gg \frac{2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x^{\frac{3}{4}}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{15}{16}(\log 2)^2\right).$$

For all large enough x we have uniformly for $1 \le k \le \log \log x$ that

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0 x^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{k}{(\log \log x)^3}\right) \right],$$

where the absolute constant is defined by $\widehat{C}_0 := 2^{\frac{3}{4}} (\log 2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{15}{16} (\log 2)^2\right) \approx 0.892418.$

3.3.1 Applications of the new uniform lower bound estimates

Our inspiration for the new bounds found in the last sections of this article allows us to approximate finite partial sums of certain bounded non-negative arithmetic functions weighted by the Liouville lambda function $\lambda(n)$.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that f(n) is an arithmetic function defined such that f(n) > 0 for all $n > u_0$ where $f(n) \gg \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(n) > 0$ whenever $n > u_0$ as $n \to \infty$. Assume also that the bounding function $\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function of t for all large enough $t \gg u_0$. We define the λ -sign-scaled summatory function of f as follows:

$$F_{\lambda}(x) := \sum_{u_0 < n \le x} \lambda(n) f(n).$$

Let the summatory weight function be defined as

$$A_{\Omega}(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log \log t \rfloor} (-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k(t).$$

Suppose that $|A_{\Omega}(t)| \gg |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(t)|$ as $t \to \infty$, the function $|A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(t)|$ is monotone increasing for $t > u_0$ large, and that $\left|\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}\left(\frac{\log\log x}{2}\right) - \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}\left(\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right| = O\left(\frac{\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(x)}{\log\log x}\right)$ as $x \to \infty$. Then we have that for sufficiently large x > e

$$|F_{\lambda}(x)| \gg \left| \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x) \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(x) \right| - \int_{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log x}{2}} \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)} \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}' \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} dt \right|. \tag{2}$$

3.3.2 Remarks

We emphasize the relevant recency of the method demonstrated by Montgomery and Vaughan in constructing a proof of Theorem 3.6. To the best of our knowledge, this textbook reference is one of the first clear-cut applications documenting something of a hybrid DGF-and-OGF approach to enumerating sequences of arithmetic functions and their summatory functions. The hybrid method is motivated by the fact that it does not require a direct appeal to traditional highly oscillatory DGF-only inversions and integral formulas involving the Riemmann zeta function. This newer interpretaion of certain bivariate DGFs offers a window into the best of both generating function series worlds: It combines the additivity implicit to the coefficients indexed by a formal power series variable formed by multiplication of these structures, while coordinating the distinct DGF-best property of the multiplicativity of distinct prime powers invoked by taking powers of an Euler product.

3.4 Cracking the classical unboundedness barrier

In Section 7, we are able to state what forms a bridge between the results we carefully prove up to that point the article. What we obtain at the conclusion of the section is the next summary theorem that unconditionally resolves the classical question of the unboundedness of the scaled function Mertens function $q(x) := |M(x)|/\sqrt{x}$ in the limit supremum sense.

Theorem 3.9 (Unboundedness of the Mertens function, q(x)). We have that

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}} = +\infty.$$

In particular, we show that

$$\left| M\left(e^{2e^{e^{2y+1}}}\right) \right| e^{-e^{e^{2y+1}}} \gg \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}e^{2y+1}}}{e^{2y+1} \cdot (2y+1)^2}, \text{ as } y \to \infty.$$

In establishing the rigorous proof of Theorem 3.9 based on our new methods, we not only show unboundedness of q(x), but also set a minimal rate (along a large infinite subsequence) at which this form of the scaled Mertens function grows without bound.

4 Preliminary proofs of new results

4.1 Establishing the summatory function properties and inversion identities

We will offer a proof of Theorem 3.1 suggested by an intuitive construction through matrix methods. Related results on summations of Dirichlet convolutions appear in [1, §2.14; §3.10; §3.12; cf. §4.9, p. 95].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let h, g be arithmetic functions such that $g(1) \neq 0$. Denote the summatory functions of h and g, respectively, by $H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} h(n)$ and $G(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} g(n)$. We define $\pi_{g*h}(x)$ to be the summatory function of the Dirichlet convolution of g with h. We have that the following formulas hold for all $x \geq 1$:

$$\pi_{g*h}(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{x} \sum_{d|n} g(n)h(n/d) = \sum_{d=1}^{x} g(d)H\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{x} \left[G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i} \right\rfloor\right) - G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i+1} \right\rfloor\right) \right] H(i). \tag{3}$$

The first formula above is well known. The second formula is justified directly using summation by parts as [-A-]

$$\pi_{g*h}(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{x} h(d)G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i \le x} \left(\sum_{j \le i} h(j)\right) \times \left[G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i} \right\rfloor\right) - G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{i+1} \right\rfloor\right)\right].$$

We next form the invertible matrix of coefficients associated with this linear system defining H(j) for all $1 \le j \le x$ in (3) by defining

$$g_{x,j} := G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor\right) - G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor\right) \equiv G_{x,j} - G_{x,j+1},$$

where

$$G_{x,j} := G\left(\left|\frac{x}{j}\right|\right), 1 \le j \le x.$$

Since $g_{x,x} = G(1) = g(1)$ and $g_{x,j} = 0$ for all j > x, the matrix we must invert in this problem is lower triangular with a non-zero constant on its diagonals, and is hence invertible. Moreover, if we let $\hat{G} := (G_{x,j})$, then this matrix is expressed by applying an invertible shift operation as

$$(g_{x,j}) = \hat{G}(I - U^T).$$

Here, U is a square matrix with sufficiently large finite dimensions whose $(i,j)^{th}$ entries are defined by $(U)_{i,j} = \delta_{i+1,j}$ such that

$$\left[(I-U^T)^{-1}\right]_{i,j} = [j \le i]_{\delta}.$$

Observe that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{j} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j | x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The previous property implies that

$$G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor\right) - G\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{j} \right\rfloor\right) = \begin{cases} g\left(\frac{x}{j}\right), & \text{if } j|x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

[-A-] For any arithmetic functions, u_n, v_n , with $U_j := u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_j$ for $j \ge 1$, we have that [11, §2.10(ii)]

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \cdot v_j = U_{n-1}v_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} U_j (v_j - v_{j+1}), n \ge 2.$$

We use the last property in (4) to shift the matrix \hat{G} , and then invert the result to obtain a matrix involving the Dirichlet inverse of g in the following form:

$$\left[(I - U^T) \hat{G} \right]^{-1} = \left(g \left(\frac{x}{j} \right) [j|x]_{\delta} \right)^{-1} = \left(g^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{j} \right) [j|x]_{\delta} \right).$$

Our target matrix in the inversion problem is defined by

$$(g_{x,j}) = (I - U^T) \left(g \left(\frac{x}{j} \right) [j|x]_{\delta} \right) (I - U^T)^{-1}.$$

We can express its inverse by a similarity transformation conjugated by shift operators as follows:

$$(g_{x,j})^{-1} = (I - U^T)^{-1} \left(g^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{j} \right) [j|x]_{\delta} \right) (I - U^T)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor} g^{-1}(k) \right) (I - U^T)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j} \right\rfloor} g^{-1}(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{j+1} \right\rfloor} g^{-1}(k) \right).$$

Hence, the summatory function H(x) is given exactly for any $x \ge 1$ by a vector product with the inverse matrix from the previous equation in the next form.

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} g_{x,k}^{-1} \cdot \pi_{g*h}(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} \left(\sum_{j=\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k-1} \right\rfloor + 1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor} g^{-1}(j) \right) \cdot \pi_{g*h}(k)$$

We can prove an inversion formula providing the coefficients of $G^{-1}(i)$ for $1 \le i \le x$ given by the last equation by adapting our argument to prove (3) above. This leads to the identity that

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} g^{-1}(x) \pi_{g*h} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right). \qquad \Box$$

4.2 Proving the characteristic signedness property of $g^{-1}(n)$

Let $\chi_{\mathbb{P}}$ denote the characteristic function of the primes, $\varepsilon(n) = \delta_{n,1}$ be the multiplicative identity with respect to Dirichlet convolution, and denote by $\omega(n)$ the strongly additive function that counts the number of distinct prime factors of n. Then we can easily prove using DGFs that

$$\chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon = (\omega + 1) * \mu. \tag{5}$$

When combined with Corollary 3.2 this convolution identity yields the exact formula for M(x) stated in (1) of Corollary 3.3.

Proposition 4.1 (The signedness property of $g^{-1}(n)$). Let the operator $\operatorname{sgn}(h(n)) = \frac{h(n)}{|h(n)| + [h(n) = 0]_{\delta}} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ denote the sign of the arithmetic function h at integers $n \geq 1$. For the Dirichlet invertible function, $g(n) := \omega(n) + 1$, we have that $\operatorname{sgn}(g^{-1}(n)) = \lambda(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. The function $D_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) n^{-s}$ denotes the Dirichlet generating function (DGF) of any arithmetic function f(n) which is convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{Re}(s) > \sigma_f$ for σ_f the abscissa of convergence of the series. Recall that $D_1(s) = \zeta(s)$, $D_{\mu}(s) = 1/\zeta(s)$ and $D_{\omega}(s) = P(s)\zeta(s)$ for Re(s) > 1. Then by (5) and the

known property that the DGF of $f^{-1}(n)$ is the reciprocal of the DGF of any arithmetic function f such that $f(1) \neq 0$, we have for all Re(s) > 1 that

$$D_{(\omega+1)^{-1}}(s) = \frac{1}{(P(s)+1)\zeta(s)}. (6)$$

It follows that $(\omega + 1)^{-1}(n) = (h^{-1} * \mu)(n)$ when we take $h := \chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon$. We first show that $\operatorname{sgn}(h^{-1}) = \lambda$. This observation implies that $\operatorname{sgn}(h^{-1} * \mu) = \lambda$. The remainder of the proof fills in the precise details needed to make our claims rigorous.

By the recurrence relation that defines the Dirichlet inverse function of any arithmetic function h such that h(1) = 1, we have that $[1, \S 2.7]$

$$h^{-1}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1; \\ -\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 1}} h(d)h^{-1}(n/d), & n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$
 (7)

For $n \ge 2$, the summands in (7) can be simply indexed over the primes p|n given our definition of h from above. This observation yields that we can inductively unfold these sums into nested divisor sums provided the depth of the expanded divisor sums does not exceed the capacity to index summations over the primes dividing n. Namely, notice that for $n \ge 2$

$$h^{-1}(n) = -\sum_{p|n} h^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), \qquad \text{if } \Omega(n) \ge 1$$

$$= \sum_{p_1|n} \sum_{p_2|\frac{n}{p_1}} h^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}\right), \qquad \text{if } \Omega(n) \ge 2$$

$$= -\sum_{p_1|n} \sum_{p_2|\frac{n}{p_1}} \sum_{p_3|\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}} h^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{p_1 p_2 p_3}\right), \qquad \text{if } \Omega(n) \ge 3.$$

Then by induction with $h^{-1}(1) = h(1) = 1$, we expand these nested divisor sums as above to the maximal possible depth as

$$\lambda(n) \cdot h^{-1}(n) = \sum_{p_1 \mid n} \sum_{p_2 \mid \frac{n}{p_1}} \times \dots \times \sum_{p_{\Omega(n)} \mid \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{\Omega(n)} - 1}} 1, n \ge 2.$$
 (8)

In fact, by a combinatorial argument we recover exactly that

$$h^{-1}(n) = \lambda(n) \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{\omega(n)})!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_{\omega(n)}!} = \lambda(n) \binom{\Omega(n)}{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\omega(n)}}.$$
(9)

These expansions imply that the following property holds for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(h^{-1}(n)) = \lambda(n).$$

Since λ is completely multiplicative we have that $\lambda\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\lambda(d)=\lambda(n)$ for all d|n and $n\geq 1$. We also know that $\mu(n)=\lambda(n)$ whenever n is squarefree, so that we obtain

$$g^{-1}(n) = (h^{-1} * \mu)(n) = \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu^2 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right) |h^{-1}(n)|, n \ge 1.$$

4.3 Statements of known limiting asymptotics

Theorem 4.2 (Mertens theorem). For all $x \geq 2$ we have that

$$P_1(x) := \sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + B + o(1), \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where $B \approx 0.2614972128476427837554$ is an absolute constant [-B-].

Corollary 4.3 (Product form of Mertens theorem). We have that for all sufficiently large $x \gg 2$

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \left(1 + o(1) \right), \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where the notation for the absolute constant 0 < B < 1 coincides with the definition of Mertens constant from Theorem 4.2. Hence, for any real $z \ge 0$ we obtain that

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^z \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{(\log x)^z}, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Proofs of Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 are given in [2, §22.7; §22.8]. We have a related analog of Corollary 4.3 that is justified using the Euler product representation for the Riemann zeta function:

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \prod_{p \le x} \frac{\left(1 - p^{-2} \right)}{\left(1 - p^{-1} \right)} = \zeta(2) e^{\gamma} (\log x) (1 + o(1)), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Facts 4.4 (Exponential integrals and the incomplete gamma function). Two variants of the *exponential integral* function are defined by the integral next representations [11, §8.19].

$$\operatorname{Ei}(x) := \int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, x \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$E_1(z) := \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-tz}}{t} dt, \operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$$

These functions are related by $\text{Ei}(-kz) = -E_1(kz)$ for real k, z > 0. We have the following inequalities providing quasi-polynomial upper and lower bounds on $\text{Ei}(\pm x)$ for all real x > 0:

$$\gamma + \log x - x \le \text{Ei}(-x) \le \gamma + \log x - x + \frac{x^2}{4},$$

$$1 + \gamma + \log x - \frac{3}{4}x \le \text{Ei}(x) \le 1 + \gamma + \log x - \frac{3}{4}x + \frac{11}{36}x^2.$$
(10a)

The (upper) incomplete gamma function is defined by [11, §8.4]

$$\Gamma(s,x) = \int_{r}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

The following properties of $\Gamma(s,x)$ hold:

$$\Gamma(s,x) = (s-1)! \cdot e^{-x} \times \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{x^k}{k!}, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+, x > 0,$$
(10b)

$$\Gamma(s,x) \sim x^{s-1} \cdot e^{-x}, s > 0, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
 (10c)

$$B = \gamma + \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \log \left[\zeta(m) \right].$$

^{[-}B-]Precisely, we have that the *Mertens constant* is defined by [14, A077761]

5 Components to the asymptotic analysis of lower bounds for sums of arithmetic functions weighted by $\lambda(n)$

5.1 A discussion of the results proved by Montgomery and Vaughan

Remark 5.1 (Intuition and constructions in Theorem 3.6). For |z| < 2 and Re(s) > 1, let

$$F(s,z) := \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^z, \tag{11}$$

and define the DGF coefficients, $a_z(n)$ for $n \geq 1$, by the product

$$\zeta(s)^z \cdot F(s, z) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{a_z(n)}{n^s}, \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Suppose that $A_z(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} a_z(n)$ for $x \geq 1$. Then we obtain the next generating function like identity in z enumerating the $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ for $1 \leq k \leq \log \log x$

$$A_z(x) = \sum_{n \le x} z^{\Omega(n)} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \widehat{\pi}_k(x) z^k \tag{12}$$

Thus for r < 2, by Cauchy's integral formula we have

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{A_z(x)}{z^{k+1}} dz.$$

Selecting $r := \frac{k-1}{\log \log x}$ for $1 \le k < 2 \log \log x$ leads to the uniform asymptotic formulas for $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ given in Theorem 3.6. Montgomery and Vaughan then consider individual analysis of the main and error terms for $A_z(x)$ to prove that

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{k-1}{\log\log x}\right) \frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{k}{(\log\log x)^2}\right)\right].$$

We will require estimates of $A_{-z}(x)$ from below to form summatory functions that weight the terms of $\lambda(n)$ in our new formulas derived in the next sections.

5.2 New uniform asymptotics based on refinements of Theorem 3.6

Proposition 5.2. For real $s \geq 1$, let

$$P_s(x) := \sum_{p \le x} p^{-s}, x \ge 2.$$

When s := 1, we have the asymptotic formula from Mertens theorem (see Theorem 4.2). For all integers $s \ge 2$ there is an absolutely defined bounding function $\gamma_0(s,x)$ such that

$$\gamma_0(s,x) + o(1) \le P_s(x)$$
, as $x \to \infty$.

It suffices to define the bound in the previous equation as as the quasi-polynomial function in s and x given by

$$\gamma_0(s, x) = s \log \left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) - s(s - 1) \log \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}s(s - 1)^2 \log^2(2).$$

[-A-] In fact, for any additive arithmetic function a(n), characterized by the property that $a(n) = \sum_{p^{\alpha}||n} a(p^{\alpha})$ for all $n \geq 2$, we have that [4, cf. §1.7]

$$\prod_{p} \left(1 - \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{z^{a(p^m)}}{p^{ms}} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{z^{a(n)}}{n^s}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Proof. Let s > 1 be real-valued. By Abel summation with the summatory function $A(x) = \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$, and where our target function smooth function is $f(t) = t^{-s}$ so that $f'(t) = -s \cdot t^{-(s+1)}$, we obtain that

$$P_s(x) = \frac{1}{x^s \cdot \log x} + s \cdot \int_2^x \frac{dt}{t^s \log t}$$

= Ei(-(s-1) log x) - Ei(-(s-1) log 2) + o(1), as x \to \infty.

Now using the inequalities in Facts 4.4, we obtain that the difference of the exponential integral functions is bounded above and below by

$$\frac{P_s(x)}{s} \ge \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) - (s-1)\log\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}(s-1)^2\log^2(2) + o(1)
\frac{P_s(x)}{s} \le \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) - (s-1)\log\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}(s-1)^2\log^2(x) + o(1).$$

We will first prove the stated form of the lower bound on $\mathcal{G}(-z)$ for $z := \frac{k-1}{\log \log x}$. Then we will discuss the technical adaptations to Montgomery and Vaughan's proof of Theorem 3.6 in Remark 5.3 to justify the new asymptotic lower bounds on $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ that hold uniformly for all $1 \le k \le \log \log x$.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. For $0 \le z < 2$ and integers $x \ge 2$, the right-hand-side of the following product is finite.

$$\widehat{P}(z,x) := \prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

For fixed, finite $x \geq 2$ let

 $\mathbb{P}_x := \{ n \ge 1 : \text{all prime divisors } p | n \text{ satisfy } p \le x \}.$

Then we can see that

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p^s} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}_x} \frac{z^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s}, x \ge 2. \tag{13}$$

By extending the argument in the proof given in [8, §7.4], we have that the formulas

$$A_{-z}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) z^{\Omega(n)} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \widehat{\pi}_k(x) (-z)^k,$$

If we let $a_n(z,x)$ be defined by the DGF

$$\widehat{P}(z,x) := \sum_{n>1} \frac{a_n(z,x)}{n^s},$$

then we show that

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_n(-z, x) = \sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) z^{\Omega(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2(x)} \widehat{\pi}_k(x) (-z)^k + \sum_{k > \log_2(x)} e_k(x) (-z)^k.$$

This assertion if correct since the products of all non-negative integral powers of the primes $p \leq x$ generate the integers $\{1 \leq n \leq x\}$ as a subset. Thus we capture all of the relevant terms needed to express $(-1)^k \cdot \widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ via the Cauchy integral formula representation over $A_{-z}(x)$ by replacing the corresponding infinite product terms with $\widehat{P}(-z,x)$ in the definition of $\mathcal{G}(-z)$.

Now we must argue that

$$\mathcal{G}(-z) \gg \prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-z}, 0 \le z < 1, x \ge 2.$$

For $0 \le z < 1$ and $x \ge 2$, we see that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}(-z) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{p} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{z}{p}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right]\right) \\ &\gg \exp\left(-z \times \sum_{p > x} \left[\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right] - \sum_{p \le x} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{z}{p}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right]\right) \\ &= \widehat{P}(-z, x) \times \exp\left(-z(B + o(1))\right) \\ &\gg_{z} \widehat{P}(-z, x), \text{ as } x \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Next, we have for all integers $0 \le k \le m < \infty$, and any sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n\ge 1}$ with sufficiently bounded partial power sums, that [7, §2]

$$[z^k] \prod_{1 \le i \le m} (1 - f(i)z)^{-1} = [z^k] \exp\left(\sum_{j \ge 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m f(i)^j\right) \frac{z^j}{j}\right), |z| < 1.$$
(14)

In our case we have that f(i) denotes the reciprocal of the i^{th} prime in the generating function expansion of (14). It follows from Proposition 5.2 that for any real $0 \le z < 1$ we obtain

$$\log \left[\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p} \right)^{-1} \right] \ge -(B + \log \log x) z + \sum_{j \ge 2} \left[a(x) - b(x)(j-1) - c(x)(j-1)^2 \right] (-z)^j$$

$$= -(B + \log \log x) z + a(x) \left(z + \frac{1}{1+z} - 1 \right)$$

$$+ b(x) \left(1 - \frac{2}{1+z} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \right)$$

$$+ c(x) \left(1 - \frac{4}{1+z} + \frac{5}{(1+z)^2} - \frac{2}{(1+z)^3} \right)$$

$$=: \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(x; z).$$
(15)

The lower bounds formed by the functions $(a(x), b(x), c(x)) \equiv (a_{\ell}(x), b_{\ell}(x), c_{\ell}(x))$ in (15) are given by the corresponding lower bounds from Proposition 5.2 as

$$(a_{\ell}(x), b_{\ell}(x), c_{\ell}(x)) := \left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right), \log\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{1}{4}\log^2 2\right).$$

We adjust the uniform bound parameter R so that

$$z \equiv z(k, x) = \frac{k-1}{\log \log x} \in [0, 1),$$

whenever $1 \le k \le \log \log x$ in the notation of Theorem 3.6. This implies that $(1+z)^{-1} \in \left(\frac{1}{2},1\right]$.

The extremal values of the coefficients of $c_{\ell}(x)$ contribute the following constant factor to our lower bound:

$$\exp\left(c_{\ell}(x)\left[1 - \frac{4}{1+z} + \frac{5}{(1+z)^2} - \frac{2}{(1+z)^3}\right]\right) \ge \exp\left(-\frac{15}{16}(\log 2)^2\right) \approx 0.637357.$$

We next consider the coefficients of $b_{\ell}(x)$ in our product expansion as

$$\exp\left(b_{\ell}(x)\left[1-\frac{2}{1+z}+\frac{1}{(1+z)^2}\right]\right) \ge \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Lastly, we will bound the contributions to the product from the coefficients of $a_{\ell}(x)$ as follows:

$$\exp\left(-a_{\ell}(x)\left[1 - \frac{1}{1+z} + z\right]\right) \ge \sqrt{\frac{\log 2}{\log x}} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right)^{z}$$

$$\gg \sqrt{\frac{\log 2}{\log x}} e^{k-1} \gg \sqrt{\frac{\log 2}{\log x}}.$$

In summary, we have arrived at a proof that as $x \to \infty$

$$\frac{e^{\gamma z}}{(\log x)^{-z}} \times \exp\left(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(u, x; z)\right) \gg \frac{2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x^{\frac{3}{4}}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{15}{16}(\log 2)^{2}\right) \times e^{(\gamma - B)z}
\gg \frac{\widehat{C}_{0}}{x^{3/4} \cdot (\log x)^{1/2}},$$
(16)

where the leading constant is numerically approximated by $\hat{C}_0 := 2^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{\log 2} \exp\left(-\frac{15}{16} (\log 2)^2\right) \approx 0.892418$.

Finally, to finish our proof of the new form of the lower bound on $\mathcal{G}(-z)$, we need to bound the reciprocal factor of $\Gamma(1-z)$. Since $z\equiv z(k,x)=\frac{k-1}{\log\log x}$ and $k\in[1,\log\log x]$, or again with $z\in[0,1)$, we obtain for minimal k and all large enough $x\gg 1$ that $\Gamma(1-z)=\Gamma(1)=1$, and for k towards the upper range of its interval that

$$\Gamma(1-z) \approx \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\log\log x}\right) = \frac{1}{\log\log x}\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\log\log x}\right) \approx \frac{1}{\log\log x}.$$

Remark 5.3 (Technical adjustments in the proof of Theorem 3.7). We now discuss the differences between our construction and that in the technical proof of Theorem 3.6 in the reference when we bound $\mathcal{G}(-z)$ from below as in Theorem 3.7. The reference proves that for real $0 \le z < 2$

$$A_{-z}(x) = -\frac{zF(1,-z)}{\Gamma(1-z)} \cdot x(\log x)^{-(z+1)} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-\operatorname{Re}(z)-2}\right). \tag{17}$$

Recall that for r < 2 we have by Cauchy's integral formula that

$$(-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{A_{-z}(x)}{z^{k+1}} dz.$$
 (18)

We first claim that uniformly for large x and $1 \le k \le \log \log x$ we have

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) = \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1-k}{\log\log x}\right) \times \frac{x(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(\log x)(k-1)!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{k}{(\log\log x)^3}\right)\right]. \tag{19}$$

Then since we have proved in Theorem 3.6 above that

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1-k}{\log\log x}\right) \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{x^{3/4}(\log x)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{(k-1)}{\log\log x},$$

the result in (19) implies our stated uniform asymptotic bound. Namely, we obtain that

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0 x^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{k}{(\log \log x)^2}\right) \right].$$

We have to provide analogs to the two separate bounds corresponding to the error and main terms of our estimate according to (17) and (18). The error term estimate is simpler, so we tackle it first in the next argument. The second part of our proof establishing the main term in (19) requires us to duplicate and adjust significant parts

of the fine-tuned reasoning given in the reference.

Error Term Bound. To prove that the error term bound holds, we estimate that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \frac{(\log x)^{-\operatorname{Re}(z)}}{z^{k+1}} \right| \ll x (\log x)^{-(r+2)} r^{-(k+1)} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k+1}}{e^{k-1} (k-1)^{k+1}}$$

$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k+1}}{e^{2(k-1)} (k-1)! (k-1)} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k+1}}{(k-1)!}$$

$$\ll \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-4}}{(k-1)!}.$$
(20)

We can calculate that for $0 \le z < 1$

$$\prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-z} = \exp\left(-\sum_{p} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{z}{p} \right) + z \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right] \right)$$

$$\sim \exp\left(-o(z) \times \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2} \right)$$

$$\gg \exp\left(-o(z) \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) \gg_z 1.$$

In other words, we have that $\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1-k}{\log\log x}\right) \gg 1$. So the error term in (20) is majorized by taking $O\left(\frac{k}{(\log\log x)^3}\right)$ as our upper bound.

Main Term Bounds. Notice that the main term estimate corresponding to (17) and (18) is given by $\frac{x}{\log x}I$, where

$$I := \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} G(-z)(\log x)^{-z} z^{-k} dz.$$

In particular, we can write $I = I_1 + I_2$ where we define

$$I_{1} := \frac{(-1)^{k-1}G(-r)}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} (\log x)^{-z} z^{-k} dz$$

$$= \frac{G(-r)(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

$$I_{2} := \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} (G(-z) - G(-r))(\log x)^{-z} z^{-k} dz$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} (G(-z) - G(-r) + G'(-r)(z+r))(\log x)^{-z} z^{-k} dz.$$

We have by a power series expansion of G''(-w) about -z and integrating the resulting series termwise with respect to w that

$$\left| G(-z) - G(-r) + G'(-r)(z+r) \right| = \left| \int_{-r}^{z} (z+w)G''(-w)dw \right| \ll G''(-r) \times |z+r|^{2} \ll |z+r|^{2}.$$

Now we parameterize the curve in the contour for I_2 by writing $z = re^{2\pi i t}$ for $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. This leads us to the bounds

$$|I_2| = r^{3-k} \times \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |e^{2\pi i t} + 1|^2 \cdot (\log x)^{re^{2\pi i t}} \cdot e^{2\pi i t} dt$$

$$\ll r^{3-k} \times \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sin^2(\pi t) \cdot e^{(1-k)\cos(2\pi t)} dt.$$

Whenever $|x| \le 1$, we know that $|\sin x| \le |x|$. We can construct bounds on $-\cos(2\pi t)$ for $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ by writing $\cos(2x) = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$ for |x| < 1/2. Then by the alternating Taylor series expansions of the sine function

$$1 - 2\sin^2(2\pi t) \ge 1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{\pi t}{3}\right)^2 \ge -1 - \frac{2\pi^2 t^2}{9} \Longrightarrow -\cos(2\pi t) \le 1 + \frac{2\pi^2 t^2}{9} \le \left(4 + \frac{2\pi^2}{9}\right)t^2 \le 1 + 3t^2.$$

So it follows that

$$|I_2| \ll r^{3-k}e^{k-1} \times \left| \int_0^\infty t^2 e^{3(k-1)t^2} dt \right|$$

$$\ll \frac{r^{3-k}e^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{3/2}} = \frac{(\log\log x)^{k-3}e^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-3/2}}$$

$$\ll \frac{k \cdot (\log\log x)^{k-3}}{(k-1)!}.$$

Thus the contribution from the term $|I_2|$ can then be asborbed into the error term bound in (19).

5.3 The distribution of exceptional values of $\Omega(n)$

The next theorems reproduced from [8, §7.4] characterize the relative scarcity of the distribution of the $\Omega(n)$ for $n \leq x$ such that $\Omega(n) > \log \log x$. The tendency of this canonical completely additive function to not deviate substantially from its average order is an extraordinary property that allows us to prove asymptotic relations on summatory functions that are weighted by its parity without having to account for significant local oscillations when we average over a large interval.

Theorem 5.4 (Upper bounds on exceptional values of $\Omega(n)$ for large n). Let

$$A(x,r) := \# \{ n \le x : \Omega(n) \le r \cdot \log \log x \},$$

$$B(x,r) := \# \{ n \le x : \Omega(n) \ge r \cdot \log \log x \}.$$

If $0 < r \le 1$ and $x \ge 2$, then

$$A(x,r) \ll x(\log x)^{r-1-r\log r}, \quad as \ x \to \infty.$$

If $1 \le r \le R < 2$ and $x \ge 2$, then

$$B(x,r) \ll_R x \cdot (\log x)^{r-1-r\log r}$$
, as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 5.5 is an analog to the celebrated Erdös-Kac theorem typically stated for the normally distributed values of the scaled-shifted $\omega(n)$ function over $n \le x$ as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 5.5 (Exact bounds on exceptional values of $\Omega(n)$ for large n). We have that as $x \to \infty$

$$\# \left\{ 3 \le n \le x : \Omega(n) - \log \log n \le 0 \right\} = \frac{x}{2} + O\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}}\right).$$

Remark 5.6. The key interpretation we need to take away from the statements of Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5 is the result proved in the next corollary. The role of the parameter R involved in stating the previous theorem is a critical bound as the scalar factor in the upper bound on $k \leq R \log \log x$ in Theorem 3.6 up to which our uniform bounds given by Theorem 3.7 hold. In contrast, for $n \geq 2$ we can actually have contributions from values distributed throughout the range $1 \leq \Omega(n) \leq \log_2(n)$ infinitely often. It is then crucial that we can show that the main term in the asymptotic formulas we obtain for these summatory functions is captured by summing only over the truncated range of $k \in [1, \log \log x]$ where the uniform bounds hold.

Corollary 5.7. Using the notation for A(x,r) and B(x,r) from Theorem 5.4, we have that for $x \ge 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$o(1) \le \frac{B(x, 1+\delta)}{A(x, 1)} \ll 2$$
, as $\delta \to 0^+, x \to \infty$.

Proof. The lower bound stated above is clear. To show that the asymptotic upper bound is correct, we compute using Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5 that

$$\frac{B(x,1+\delta)}{A(x,1)} \ll \frac{x \cdot (\log x)^{\delta-\delta \log(1+\delta)}}{O(1) + \frac{x}{2} + O\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}}\right)} \sim \frac{(\log x)^{\delta-\delta \log(1+\delta)}}{\frac{1}{2} + o(1)} \xrightarrow{\delta \to 0^+} 2,$$

as $x \to \infty$. Notice that since $\mathbb{E}[\Omega(n)] = \log \log n + B$, with 0 < B < 1 the absolute constant from Mertens theorem, when we denote the range of $k > \log \log x$ as holding in the form of $k > (1 + \delta) \log \log x$ for $\delta > 0$ at large x, we can assume that $\delta \to 0^+$ as $x \to \infty$. In particular, this holds since $k > \log \log x$ implies that

$$\lfloor \log \log x \rfloor + 1 \ge (1+\delta) \log \log x \implies \delta \le \frac{1 + \{\log \log x\}}{\log \log x} = o(1), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

6 Average case analysis of bounds on the Dirichlet inverse functions, $g^{-1}(n)$

The pages of tabular data given as Table T.1 in the appendix section (refer to page 41) are intended to provide clear insight into why we arrived at the approximations to $g^{-1}(n)$ proved in this section. The table provides illustrative numerical data by examining the approximate behavior at hand for the cases of $1 \le n \le 500$ with *Mathematica*.

6.1 Definitions and basic properties of component function sequences

We define the following auxiliary coefficient sequence for integers $n \geq 1, k \geq 0$:

$$C_k(n) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon(n), & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \sum_{d|n} \omega(d) C_{k-1}(n/d), & \text{if } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
 (21)

By recursively expanding the definition of $C_k(n)$ at any fixed $n \geq 2$, we see that we can form a chain of at most $\Omega(n)$ iterated (or nested) divisor sums by unfolding the definition of (21) inductively. By the same argument, we see that at fixed n, the function $C_k(n)$ is seen to be non-zero only for positive integers $k \leq \Omega(n)$ whenever $n \geq 2$. A sequence of relevant signed semi-diagonals of the functions $C_k(n)$ begins as [14, A008480]

$$\{\lambda(n)\cdot C_{\Omega(n)}(n)\}_{n\geq 1}\mapsto \{1,-1,-1,1,-1,2,-1,-1,1,2,-1,-3,-1,2,2,1,-1,-3,-1,-3,2,2,-1,4,1,2,\ldots\}.$$

Example 6.1 (Special cases of the functions $C_k(n)$ for small k). We cite the following special cases which are verified by explicit computation using (21) [14, $\underline{A066922}$] [-A-]:

$$C_0(n) = \delta_{n,1}$$

$$C_1(n) = \omega(n)$$

$$C_2(n) = d(n) \times \sum_{p|n} \frac{\nu_p(n)}{\nu_p(n) + 1} - \gcd(\Omega(n), \omega(n)).$$

The connection between the functions $C_k(n)$ and the inverse sequence $g^{-1}(n)$ is clarified precisely in Section 6.3. Before we can prove explicit bounds on $|g^{-1}(n)|$ through its relation to these functions, we will require a perspective on the lower asymptotic order of $C_k(n)$ for fixed k when n is large.

6.2 Uniform asymptotics of $C_k(n)$ for large all n and fixed k

The next theorem formally proves a minimal growth rate of the class of functions $C_k(n)$ as functions of fixed k and $n \to \infty$. In the statement of the result that follows, we view k as a fixed variable which is necessarily bounded in n, but is still taken as an independent parameter of n.

Theorem 6.2 (Asymptotics of the functions $C_k(n)$). For k := 0, we have by definition that $C_0(n) = \delta_{n,1}$. For all sufficiently large n > 1 and any fixed $1 \le k \le \Omega(n)$ taken independently of n, we obtain that the asymptotic main term for the expected order of $C_k(n)$ is bounded uniformly from below as

$$\mathbb{E}[C_k(n)] \gg (\log \log n)^{2k-1}$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

$$C_k(n) = \sum_{p|n} \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu_p(n)-1} C_{k-1} \left(dp^i \right), n \ge 1.$$

^{[-}A-] For all $n, k \geq 2$, we have the following recurrence relation satisfied by $C_k(n)$ between successive values of k:

Proof. We prove our bounds by induction on k. We can see by Example 6.1 that $C_1(n)$ satisfies the formula we must establish when k := 1 since $\mathbb{E}[\omega(n)] = \log \log n$. Suppose that $k \geq 2$ and let our inductive assumption provide that for all $1 \leq m < k$ and $n \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}[C_m(n)] \gg (\log \log n)^{2m-1}.$$

For all large x > e, we cite that the summatory function of $\omega(n)$ satisfies [2, §22.10]

$$\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) = x \log \log x + Bx + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

Now using the recursive formula we used to define the sequences of $C_k(n)$ in (21), we have that as $n \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{E}[C_{k}(n)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{d|n} \omega(n/d)C_{k-1}(d)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{d \leq n} C_{k-1}(d) \times \sum_{r=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor} \omega(r)$$

$$\sim \sum_{d \leq n} C_{k-1}(d) \left[\frac{\log\log(n/d)\left[d \leq \frac{n}{e}\right]_{\delta}}{d} + \frac{B}{d} + o(1)\right]$$

$$\sim \sum_{d \leq \frac{n}{e}} \left[\sum_{m < d} \frac{\mathbb{E}[C_{k-1}(m)]}{m} \log\log\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) + B \cdot \mathbb{E}[C_{k-1}(d)] + B \cdot \sum_{m < d} \frac{\mathbb{E}[C_{k-1}(m)]}{m}\right]$$

$$\gg B \times \sum_{d \leq \frac{n}{e}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[C_{k-1}(m)]}{m}$$

$$\gg B \cdot (\log n)(\log \log n)^{2k-3}.$$
(22)

In transitioning from the previous step, we have used that $B \cdot (\log n) \gg (\log \log n)^2$ as $n \to \infty$. We have also used that for large n and fixed m, by an asymptotic approximation to the incomplete gamma function we have that

$$\int_{e}^{n} \frac{(\log \log t)^{m}}{t} dt \sim (\log n)((\log \log n)^{m}, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence, the claim follows by mathematical induction for large $n \to \infty$ whenever $1 \le k \le \Omega(n)$.

6.3 Relating the auxiliary functions $C_k(n)$ to formulas approximating $g^{-1}(n)$

Lemma 6.3 (An exact formula for $g^{-1}(n)$). For all $n \ge 1$, we have that

$$g^{-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda(d) C_{\Omega(d)}(d).$$

Proof. We first write out the standard recurrence relation for the Dirichlet inverse of $\omega + 1$ as

$$g^{-1}(n) = -\sum_{\substack{d|n\\d>1}} (\omega(d) + 1)g^{-1}(n/d) \implies (g^{-1} * 1)(n) = -(\omega * g^{-1})(n).$$
 (23)

We argue that for $1 \le m \le \Omega(n)$, we can inductively expand the implication on the right-hand-side of (23) in the form of $(g^{-1} * 1)(n) = F_m(n)$ where $F_m(n) := (-1)^m \cdot (C_m(-) * g^{-1})(n)$, or so that

$$F_m(n) = -\begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 1}} F_{m-1}(d) \times \sum_{\substack{r \mid \frac{n}{d} \\ r > 1}} \omega(r) g^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{dr} \right), & m \ge 2, \\ (\omega * g^{-1})(n), & m = 1. \end{cases}$$

By repeatedly expanding the right-hand-side of the previous equation, we find that for $m := \Omega(n)$

$$(g^{-1} * 1)(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)} C_{\Omega(n)}(n) = \lambda(n) C_{\Omega(n)}(n).$$
(24)

The formula then follows from (24) by Möbius inversion applied to each side of the last equation.

Corollary 6.4. For all squarefree integers $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$g^{-1}(n) = \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d). \tag{25}$$

Proof. Since $g^{-1}(1) = 1$, clearly the claim is true for n = 1. Suppose that $n \ge 2$ and that n is squarefree. Then $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{\omega(n)}$ where p_i is prime for all $1 \le i \le \omega(n)$. Since all divisors of any squarefree n are necessarily also squarefree, we can transform the exact divisor sum guaranteed for all n in Lemma 6.3 into a sum that partitions the divisors according to the number of distinct prime factors:

$$g^{-1}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\omega(n)} \sum_{\substack{d|n\\\omega(d)=i}} (-1)^{\omega(n)-i} (-1)^i \cdot C_{\Omega(d)}(d)$$
$$= \lambda(n) \times \sum_{i=0}^{\omega(n)} \sum_{\substack{d|n\\\omega(d)=i}} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)$$
$$= \lambda(n) \times \sum_{\substack{d|n\\C_{\Omega(d)}}} C_{\Omega(d)}(d).$$

The signed contributions in the first of the previous equations is justified by noting that $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ whenever n is squarefree, and that for $d \ge 1$ squarefree we have the correspondence $\omega(d) = k \implies \Omega(d) = k$ for $1 \le k \le \log_2(d)$.

Since $C_{\Omega(n)}(n) = |h^{-1}(n)|$ using the notation defined in the the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can see that $C_{\Omega(n)}(n) = (\omega(n))!$ for squarefree $n \geq 1$. A proof of part (C) of Conjecture 3.5 follows as an immediate consequence.

Lemma 6.5. For all positive integers $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$|g^{-1}(n)| = \sum_{d|n} \mu^2 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C_{\Omega(d)}(d).$$
 (26)

Proof. By applying Lemma 6.3, Proposition 4.1 and the complete multiplicativity of $\lambda(n)$, we easily obtain the stated result. In particular, since $\mu(n)$ is non-zero only at squarefree integers and at any squarefree $d \ge 1$ we have $\mu(d) = (-1)^{\omega(d)} = \lambda(d)$. Lemma 6.3 implies

$$|g^{-1}(n)| = \lambda(n) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda(d) C_{\Omega(d)}(d)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda(nd) C_{\Omega(d)}(d)$$

$$= \lambda(n^2) \times \sum_{d|n} \mu^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C_{\Omega(d)}(d).$$

In the last equation, we see that that $\lambda(n^2) = +1$ for all $n \geq 1$ since the number of distinct prime factors (counting multiplicity) of any square integer is even.

Combined with the signedness property of $g^{-1}(n)$ guaranteed by Proposition 4.1, Lemma 6.5 shows that the summatory function is expressed as

$$G^{-1}(x) = \sum_{d \le x} \lambda(d) C_{\Omega(d)}(d) M\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right).$$

Since $\lambda(d)C_{\Omega(d)}(d) = (g^{-1} * 1)^{-1}(d) = (\chi_{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon)(d)$ where $\chi_{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes the characteristic function of the primes, we also clearly recover by inversion that

$$M(x) = G^{-1}(x) + \sum_{p \le x} G^{-1}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor\right), x \ge 1.$$

Corollary 6.6. We have that

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2}(\log n)(\log\log n) \ll \mathbb{E}|g^{-1}(n)| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{d|n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)\right].$$

Proof. To prove the lower bound, recall from the introduction that the summatory function of the squarefree integers is given by

$$Q(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) = \frac{6x}{\pi^2} + O(\sqrt{x}).$$

Then since $C_{\Omega(d)}(d) \ge 1$ for all $d \ge 1$, and since $\mathbb{E}[C_k(d)]$ is minimized when k := 1 according to Theorem 6.2, we obtain by summing over (26) that

$$\frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{n \le x} |g^{-1}(n)| = \frac{1}{x} \times \sum_{d \le x} C_{\Omega(d)}(d) Q\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor\right)$$

$$\sim \sum_{d \le x} C_{\Omega(d)}(d) \left[\frac{6}{d \cdot \pi^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{dx}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left[\mathbb{E}[C_{\Omega(x)}(x)] + \sum_{d < x} \frac{\mathbb{E}[C_{\Omega(d)}(d)]}{d}\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \times \int_0^x t^{-1/2} dt\right)$$

$$\gg \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left[\sum_{e \le d \le x} \frac{\log \log d}{d}\right] + O(1)$$

$$\sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} \times \int_e^x \frac{\log \log t}{t} dt + O(1)$$

$$\gg \frac{6}{\pi^2} (\log x) (\log \log x), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

To prove the upper bound, notice that by Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4,

$$|g^{-1}(n)| \le \sum_{d|n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d), n \ge 1.$$

Now since both of the above quantities are positive for all $n \ge 1$, we clearly obtain the upper bound stated above when we average over $n \le x$ for all large x.

6.3.1 A connection to the distribution of the primes

Remark 6.7. The combinatorial complexity of $g^{-1}(n)$ is deeply tied to the distribution of the primes $p \leq n$ as $n \to \infty$. While the magnitudes and dispersion of the primes $p \leq x$ certainly restricts the repeating of these distinct sequence values we can see in the contributions to $G^{-1}(x)$, the following statement is still clear about

the relation of the weight functions $|g^{-1}(n)|$ to the distribution of the primes: The value of $|g^{-1}(n)|$ is entirely dependent on the pattern of the *exponents* (viewed as multisets) of the distinct prime factors of $n \geq 2$. The relation of the repitition of the distinct values of $|g^{-1}(n)|$ in forming bounds on $G^{-1}(x)$ makes another clear tie to M(x) through Proposition 7.1 in the next section.

Example 6.8 (Combinatorial significance to the distribution of $g^{-1}(n)$). We have a natural extremal behavior with respect to distinct values of $\Omega(n)$ corresponding to squarefree integers, and prime powers. Namely, if for $k \geq 1$ we define the infinite sets M_k and m_k to correspond to the maximal (minimal) positive integers such that

$$M_k := \left\{ n \ge 2 : |g^{-1}(n)| = \sup_{\substack{j \ge 2\\ \Omega(j) = k}} |g^{-1}(j)| \right\},$$

$$m_k := \left\{ n \ge 2 : |g^{-1}(n)| = \inf_{\substack{j \ge 2\\ \Omega(j) = k}} |g^{-1}(j)| \right\},$$

then any element of M_k is squarefree and any element of m_k is a prime power. In particular, we have that for any $N_k \in M_k$ and $n_k \in m_k$

$$N_k = \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \cdot j!$$
, and $n_k = 2 \cdot (-1)^k$.

The formula for the function $h^{-1}(n) = (g^{-1} * 1)(n)$ defined in the proof of Proposition 4.1 implies that we can express an exact formula for $g^{-1}(n)$ in terms of symmetric polynomials in the exponents of the prime factorization of n. Namely, for $n \ge 2$ let

$$\widehat{e}_k(n) := [z^k] \prod_{p|n} (1 + z \cdot \nu_p(n)) = [z^k] \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} (1 + \alpha z), 0 \le k \le \omega(n).$$

Then we have essentially shown using (9) and (26) that we can expand

$$g^{-1}(n) = h^{-1}(n) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\omega(n)} {\Omega(n) \choose k}^{-1} \frac{\widehat{e}_k(n)}{k!}, n \ge 2.$$

The combinatorial formula for $h^{-1}(n) = \lambda(n) \cdot (\Omega(n))! \times \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} (\alpha!)^{-1}$ we derived in the proof of the key signedness proposition in Section 4 suggests further patterns and more regularity in the contributions of the distinct weighted terms for $G^{-1}(x)$ when we sum over all of the distinct prime exponent patterns that factorize $n \leq x$.

7 Lower bounds for M(x) along infinite subsequences

Proposition 7.1. For all sufficiently large x, we have that

$$M(x) \approx G^{-1}(x) + \frac{2}{\log 2} G^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - x \cdot \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{G^{-1}(t)}{t^2 \cdot \log(x/t)} dt + o(1).$$
 (27)

Proof. We know by applying Corollary 3.3 that

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{x} g^{-1}(k) \left(\pi \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 \right)$$
$$= G^{-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} g^{-1}(k) \pi \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right), \tag{28}$$

where the upper bound on the sum is truncated by the fact that $\pi(1) = 0$. We claim that as $x \to \infty$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{x/2} g^{-1}(x) \left[\pi \left(\frac{x}{k} \right) - \frac{x}{k \cdot \log(x/k)} \right] = o(1).$$
 (29)

To prove this assertion, we observe that

$$0 \le \pi(x) - \frac{x}{\log x} \le \frac{C \cdot x}{\log x},$$

for some absolute C > 0 whenever $x \ge 2$. This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{x/2} g^{-1}(x) \left[\pi \left(\frac{x}{k} \right) - \frac{x}{k \cdot \log(x/k)} \right] = O\left(\frac{x}{\log x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} \frac{g^{-1}(k)}{k} \right),$$

where by Lemma 6.3 and Theorem 3.6 we have that

$$\left|\frac{x}{\log x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} \frac{g^{-1}(k)}{k}\right| \sim \frac{1}{\log x} \times \left|\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{d \mid \frac{x}{2}} g^{-1}(d)\right]\right| \ll \frac{1}{\log x} \times \mathbb{E}\left[C_{\Omega(x)}(x)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{x \cdot \log x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{x} C_{\Omega(k)}(k) \ll \frac{1}{x^2 \cdot \log x} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\log x} \widehat{\pi}_k(x) \cdot k!$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{x \cdot (\log x)^2} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\log x} k (\log \log x)^{k-1}$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{x \cdot (\log x) (\log \log x)} \times (\log \log x)^{\log x} = o(1), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

So by summation by parts, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{x/2} g^{-1}(k)\pi(x/k) = \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} \frac{x \cdot g^{-1}(k)}{k \cdot \log(x/k)} + o(1)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\log 2} G^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} G^{-1}(k) \left[\frac{x}{k \cdot \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} - \frac{x}{(k+1) \cdot \log\left(\frac{x}{k+1}\right)}\right] + o(1)$$

$$\sim \frac{2}{\log 2} G^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} G^{-1}(k) \left[\frac{x}{k \cdot \log(x/k)} - \frac{x}{(k+1) \cdot \log(x/k)}\right] + o(1)$$
(30a)

$$\approx \frac{2}{\log 2} G^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{x/2} G^{-1}(k) \frac{x}{k^2 \cdot \log(x/k)} + o(1).$$
 (30b)

Indeed, step (30b) is justified by writing

$$\frac{x}{(k+1)\log\left(\frac{x}{k+1}\right)} = \frac{x}{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\left[\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right]} = \frac{x}{(k+1)\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)}{\log x\left[1 - \frac{\log k}{\log x}\right]}} \sim \frac{x}{(k+1)\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)}, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

The correctness of the transition from step (30a) to (30b) is verified by seeing that for Re(s) > 1, we have that

$$\left| \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{G^{-1}(k)}{k^{s+1}} \right| = \left| \int_1^\infty \frac{G^{-1}(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \right| = \left| \frac{1}{s \cdot (P(s) + 1)\zeta(s)} \right| < \infty.$$

When $s := \frac{3}{2}$, we obtain that

$$0 \le \left| \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{G^{-1}(k)}{k^2(k+1)} \right| \le \left| \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{G^{-1}(k)}{k^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right| < \infty.$$

The difference of the terms in forming the approximation in this step is bounded above and below by absolute constants as

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{x}{2}} G^{-1}(k) \left[\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \right] \right| \le \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{x}{2}} \frac{G^{-1}(k)}{k^2(k+1)} \right| = O(1).$$

For x large enough the summand factor $\frac{x}{k^2 \cdot \log(x/k)}$ is monotonic as k ranges over $k \in [1, x/2]$ in ascending order. Because this summand factor is a smooth function of k (and x) where $G^{-1}(x)$ is a summatory function with jumps only in steps of the positive integers, we can finally approximate M(x) for any finite $x \ge 2$ as follows:

$$M(x) \approx G^{-1}(x) + \frac{2}{\log 2}G^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - x \cdot \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{G^{-1}(t)}{t^2 \cdot \log(x/t)} dt + o(1).$$

We will later only use unsigned lower bound approximations to this function in the next theorems so that the signedness of the summatory function term in the integral formula above does not require more restrictive attention in constructing limiting cases as $x \to \infty$.

7.1 Establishing initial lower bounds on the summatory functions $G^{-1}(x)$

Let the summatory function $G_E^{-1}(x)$ be defined for $x \ge 1$ by

$$G_E^{-1}(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \\ d > e}} \lambda(n) \times \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > e}} \frac{(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d}.$$
 (31)

The subscript of E is a formality of notation that does not correspond to an actual parameter or any implicit dependence on E in the function defined above.

Theorem 7.2. For almost all sufficiently large integers $x \to \infty$, we have that

$$|G^{-1}(x)| \gg |G_E^{-1}(x)|.$$

Proof. First, consider the following upper bound on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$:

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| = \left| \sum_{e \le n \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \lambda(n) \times \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > e}} \frac{(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \right|$$

$$\ll \sum_{e < d \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \frac{(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)^{16}}{d} \right\rfloor$$

$$\ll (\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \times \int_e^{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \frac{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{t \cdot \log \log t} dt$$

$$= (\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \times \operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{5}{4} \log \log \left((\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \right) \right)$$

$$\ll \frac{25}{64} \cdot (\log x)^5 (\log \log x) (\log \log \log x)^2. \tag{32}$$

We compute that for almost every sufficiently large $x \to \infty$:

$$\frac{|G^{-1}(x)|}{x} = \frac{1}{x} \times \left| \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ \lambda(d) = +1}} |g^{-1}(d)| - \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ \lambda(d) = -1}} |g^{-1}(d)| \right| \gg \left| \mathbb{E}|g^{-1}(x)| - \frac{2}{x} \times \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ \lambda(d) = -1}} |g^{-1}(d)| \right|.$$

Let the summation in the previous equation be defined by

$$S_{-}(x) := \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ \lambda(d) = -1}} |g^{-1}(d)|.$$

We will find upper and lower bounds on this sum that show $\mathbb{E}|g^{-1}(x)| \gg \frac{S_{-}(x)}{x}$.

For the positive summands of $S_{-}(x)$ to be at their largest, we require that for $d \geq 2$

$$|g^{-1}(d)| = \sum_{j=0}^{\omega(d)} {\omega(d) \choose j} \cdot j!.$$

Then we have that

$$S_{-}(x) \ll \sum_{1 \le k \le \log_2(x)} \widehat{\pi}_k(x) \times \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \cdot j!.$$
 (33)

We can bound the summatory function terms by

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(x) \le \frac{\widehat{\pi}_k(x) \cdot \pi_k(x)}{\# \{ n \le x : \Omega(n) = \omega(n) \land \Omega(n) = k \}}.$$

By an argument with conditional probabilities of set densities, we find

$$\# \left\{ n \le x : \Omega(n) = \omega(n) \land \Omega(n) = k \right\} \ge \frac{1}{x} \cdot \# \left\{ n \le x : n \text{ squarefree} \land \mu(n) = (-1)^k \right\} \times \widehat{\pi}_k(x)$$
$$= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \widehat{\pi}_k(x), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

So from (33), we obtain that

$$S_{-}(x) \ll \sum_{1 \le k \le \log_2(x)} \frac{\pi^2}{3} \pi_k(x) \times \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \cdot j!.$$

$$(34)$$

We weight by the known asymptotic formula for the summatory functions $\pi_k(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} (1 + o(1))$ as $x \to \infty$ to find that

$$S_{-}(x) \ll \frac{\pi^2}{3} \times \sum_{1 \le k \le \log_2(x)} \pi_k(x) \times \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \cdot j!$$

$$\ll \frac{\pi^2}{3} \times \frac{x}{(\log x)(\log \log x)} \times \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot (\log \log x)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{j!}$$

$$\ll \frac{\pi^2}{3} \times \frac{ex}{(\log x)(\log \log x)} \times \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot (\log \log x)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{\pi^2}{3} \times \frac{ex}{(\log x)(\log \log x)^2}.$$

Thus, over these choices bounding the $g^{-1}(d)$, we obtain that $\frac{S_{-}(x)}{x} = o(1)$ as $x \to \infty$.

On the other hand, we can choose the summands to satisfy $|g^{-1}(d)| \ge 2$. We define the following densities for large $x \ge 2$ [16, cf. §1]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{+}(x) := \frac{1}{x} \cdot \#\{n \le x : \lambda(n) = +1\} \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{-}(x) := \frac{1}{x} \cdot \#\{n \le x : \lambda(n) = -1\} \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we see that

$$S_{-}(x) \gg 2x \cdot \min \left(\mathcal{L}_{-}(x), 1 - \mathcal{L}_{-}(x) \right).$$

This implies that $\frac{S_{-}(x)}{x} = O(1)$. In either of these extreme bounds on $S_{-}(x)$, we have by Corollary 6.6 that

$$\frac{|G^{-1}(x)|}{x} \gg \frac{6}{\pi^2} (\log x) (\log \log x).$$

Then naturally from (32) we have proved that as $x \to \infty$, $|G^{-1}(x)| \gg |G_E^{-1}(x)|$.

Remark 7.3. Note that the only cases of $x \ge 1$ we need to be wary of in the almost everywhere clause to applying the statement of Theorem 7.2 happen when $G^{-1}(x) = 0$. This singularity in the distribution of $G^{-1}(x)$ can only occur when

$$G^{-1}(x) = \frac{g^{-1}(x)}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^s}{s \cdot (P(s)+1)\zeta(s)} ds = 0, \text{ for } c > 1.$$

It suffices to assume that $G^{-1}(x) \neq 0$ on an asymptotically dense subset of the integers for the bounds we need to prove Corllary 3.9 in the last subsection. In particular, we only require that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \cdot \#\{n \le x : G^{-1}(n) \ne 0\} \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Corollary 7.4. We have that for almost every sufficiently large x, that as $x \to \infty$

$$\left|G_E^{-1}(x)\right| \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \times \frac{(\log x)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{\log \log \log x}} \times \left|\sum_{e < d \le \log x} \frac{\lambda(d)(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d}\right|.$$

Proof. Using the definition in (31), we obtain on average that [-A-]

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G_E^{-1}(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \lambda(n) \times \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > e}} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\substack{e < d \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}} \frac{(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \times \sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right\rfloor} \lambda(dn) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We see that by complete additivity of $\Omega(n)$ (complete multiplicativity of $\lambda(n)$) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor} \lambda(dn) = \sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor} \lambda(d) \times \lambda(n) = \lambda(d) \times \sum_{n \leq \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor} \lambda(n).$$

From Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 7.5 (see below), we can establish that

$$\left| \sum_{k \le \log \log x} (-1)^k \cdot \widehat{\pi}_k(x) \right| \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{x^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\log \log x}} =: \widehat{L}_0(x), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
 (35)

The sign of the sum obtained by taking the right-hand-side of (35) without the absolute value operation is given by $(-1)^{1+\lfloor \log \log x \rfloor}$. The precise formula for the limiting lower bound stated above for $\widehat{L}_0(x)$ is computed by symbolic summation in *Mathematica* using the new bounds on $\widehat{\pi}_k(x)$ guaranteed by the theorem, and then by applying subsequent standard asymptotic estimates to the resulting formulas for large $x \to \infty$ in the form of (10c) and Stirling's formula. It follows that

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| \gg \left| \sum_{e < d \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \times (-1)^{\left\lfloor \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right\rfloor} \cdot \widehat{L}_0 \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right|.$$
(36)

Outline for the remainder of the proof. We sketch the following steps remaining to prove our claimed lower bound on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$:

- (A) We identify an initial subinterval \mathcal{R}_x where we can expect constant sign term contributions resulting from the inputs to the function \widehat{L}_0 involving both (d, x) for x large and d on this smaller subinterval.
- (B) We factor out easily bounded terms from the expansion of the monotone \hat{L}_0 on this interval.
- (C) We determine additional asymptotic formulas we will refer to in later sections for the resulting lower bounds on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$ that are formed by restricting the range of d in (36) to \mathcal{R}_x .
- (D) We argue that the sums of oscillatory terms on the upper end of the deleted interval for $d \in (e, (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)] \setminus \mathcal{R}_x$ cannot generate trivial bounds by cancellation with the new lower bounds.

Part A. We will simplify (36) by proving that there are ranges of consecutive integers over which we obtain essentially constant sign contributions from the function $\widehat{L}_0((\log x)^5(\log\log x)/d)$ as $x \to \infty$. In particular, consider that

$$\log\log\left(\frac{(\log x)^5(\log\log x)}{d}\right) = \log\log\left((\log x)^5(\log\log x)\right)$$

$$\sum_{n \le x} h(n) \times \sum_{d \mid n} f(d) = \sum_{d \le x} f(d) \times \sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor} h(dn).$$

^{[-}A-]For any arithmetic functions f, h, we have that $[1, cf. \S 3.10; \S 3.12]$

$$+\log\left(1-\frac{\log d}{(\log x)^5(\log\log x)\log\left((\log x)^5(\log\log x)\right)}\right), \text{ as } x\to\infty.$$

If we take $d \in (e, \log x] =: \mathcal{R}_x$, we have that

$$\frac{\log d}{(\log x)^5(\log\log x)\log\left((\log x)^5(\log\log x)\right)} = o(1) \to 0, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

For d within \mathcal{R}_x , we expect that for almost every x there are at most a handful of negligible cases of comparitively small order $d \leq d_{0,x}$ such that

$$\left| \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right| \sim \left| \log \log \left((\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \right) + o(1) \right|,$$

changes in parity transitioning from $d \mapsto d+1$. An argument making this assertion precise brings leads us to two primary cases that rely on the small-order distribution of the fractional parts $f_x := \{\log \log ((\log x)^5 (\log \log x))\}$ within [0,1) for large $x \to \infty$ and any $\log d \in \mathcal{R}_x$:

(1) If the fractional part $f_x = 0$, then

$$\left\lfloor \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \log \log \left((\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \right) \right\rfloor$$

$$+ \left\lfloor -\frac{\log d}{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \log ((\log x)^5 (\log \log x))} \right\rfloor.$$

This implies that provided that

$$-1 \le -\frac{\log d}{(\log x)^5(\log\log x)\log\left((\log x)^5(\log\log x)\right)} < 0,$$

we obtain a constant multplier as $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\widehat{L}_0\left(\frac{(\log x)^5(\log\log x)}{d}\right)\right)$ whenever $d \in \mathcal{R}_x$. Since d is positive and maximized at $\log x$, this condition clearly happens for any sufficiently large x.

(2) If the fractional part $f_x \in (0,1)$, then

$$\left\lfloor \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \log \log \left((\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \right) \right\rfloor$$

$$+ \left| \left\{ \log \log \left((\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \right) \right\} - \frac{\log d}{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \log ((\log x)^5 (\log \log x))} \right|.$$

Define shorthand notation for the function $\mathcal{B}(x) := (\log x)^5 (\log \log x) \log ((\log x)^5 (\log \log x))$. We require that

$$-1 \le f_x - \frac{\log d}{\mathcal{B}(x)} < 0 \iff (1 + f_x) \cdot \mathcal{B}(x) \ge \log d > 0.$$

This property is similarly clearly attained for $d \in \mathcal{R}_x$ since $(1 + f_x) \cdot \mathcal{B}(x) \geq \mathcal{B}(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

Part B. Provided that the sign term involving both d and x from (36) does not change for $d \in \mathcal{R}_x$, we can remove any oscillations in the sums due to sign changes in the monotonically decreasing function $\widehat{L}_0(d,x) := \widehat{L}_0\left((\log x)^5(\log\log x)/d\right)$. The function $\widehat{L}_0(d,x)$ is monotone decreasing in the variable d for fixed x as we sum along the subinterval \mathcal{R}_x in ascending order. We can see that this function is decreasing in d by computing its partial derivative and evaluating the asymptotic main terms as having a leading negative sign for all large x. Thus we should select $d := \log x$ in (36) to obtain a global lower bound on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$ if we truncate the sum to range only over the subset of original indices $d \in \mathcal{R}_x$.

Part C. Let the magnitudes of the signed remainder term sums be defined for all sufficiently large x by

$$R_E(x) := \left| \sum_{\substack{\log x < d < \frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d}}} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \times (-1)^{\left\lfloor \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d}\right) \right\rfloor} \cdot \widehat{L}_0\left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d}\right) \right|.$$

Set the function $T_E(x)$ to correspond to the easily factored dependence of the less simply integrable factors in $\widehat{L}_0(d,x)$ when we set $d := \log x$ on \mathcal{R}_x . This function is defined for all large enough x as

$$T_E(x) \gg \frac{1}{\log[(\log x)^4(\log\log x)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\log\log[(\log x)^4(\log\log x)]}} \gg \frac{1}{2(\log\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\log\log\log x}}.$$
 (37)

Then in limiting cases the lower bounding function satisfies

$$S_{E,1}(x) := \left| \sum_{e < d \le (\log x)^5 (\log \log x)} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log \log d} \times (-1)^{\left\lfloor \log \log \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right\rfloor} \widehat{L}_0 \left(\frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{d} \right) \right|$$

$$\gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \times (\log x)^{\frac{5}{4}} (\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{4}} T_E(x) \times \left| \sum_{e < d \le \log x} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d} \right|$$

$$\gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \times \frac{(\log x)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \sqrt{\log \log \log x}} \times \left| \sum_{e < d \le \log x} \frac{\lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d} \right|.$$

$$(38)$$

The formulas in (36) and (38) imply the following lower bound by the triangle inequality as $x \to \infty$:

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| \gg \left| S_{E,1}(x) - R_E(x) \right| \gg S_{E,1}(x), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
 (39)

We have claimed that we can in fact drop the sum terms over upper range of $d \notin \mathcal{R}_x$ and still obtain the asymptotic lower bound on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$ stated in (39). To justify this step in the proof, we will provide limiting lower bounds on $R_E(x)$ that show that the contribution from the deleted interval in absolute value exceeds the magnitude of the corresponding sums over $d \in \mathcal{R}_x$ defined by $S_{E,1}(x)$ when x is large.

Part D. We want to arrange the signed weight coefficients $\varepsilon_{x,d} \mapsto \{\pm 1\}$ so that the function

$$M_{\pm}(x) := \left| \sum_{\substack{\log x < d < \frac{(\log x)^5 (\log\log\log x)}{e}}} \frac{\varepsilon_{x,d} \cdot \lambda(d) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log\log d} \right| \times \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log\log x)^{1/4} \sqrt{\log\log\log x}},$$

is minimal. We need to prove that this minimal sum exceeds the bound for $S_E(x)$ given in (38) in asymptotic order. That is, we prove that

$$\frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \times \frac{(\log x)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \sqrt{\log \log \log x}} \times \left| \sum_{e < d \le \log x} \frac{\lambda(d)(\log d)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d} \right| = o\left(M_{\pm}(x)\right), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

We will first estimate the minimal and maximal order of a sum of k consecutive identically signed terms in the sum that defines $M_{\pm}(x)$. For x large with $x \to \infty$ and for $1 \le k \le x$, we have that

$$0 \ll \sum_{d=x}^{x+k} \frac{(\log d)^{1/4}}{d^{1/4} \cdot (\log \log d)} \sim \int_{x}^{x+k} \frac{(\log t)^{1/4}}{t^{1/4} \cdot (\log \log t)} dt$$

$$= c^{3/4} \cdot \left(\operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{5}{4} \log \log (x+k) \right) - \operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{5}{4} \log \log x \right) \right), \text{ for some } c \in [x, x+k]$$

$$\ll \frac{25}{64} (x+k)^{3/4} (\log \log (x+k))^2 + \frac{15}{16} x^{3/4} (\log \log x)$$

$$\sim x^{3/4} (\log \log x)^2 \left[\frac{25}{64} \left(1 + \frac{k}{x} \right)^{3/4} \left(1 + \frac{k}{x(\log x)(\log \log x)} \right)^2 + \frac{15}{16(\log \log x)} \right]$$

$$\ll \frac{25 \cdot 2^{3/4}}{64} x^{3/4} (\log \log x)^2 (1 + o(1)).$$

So it follows that

$$\begin{split} M_{\pm}(x) \gg \frac{25 \cdot 2^{3/4}}{64} (\log x)^{3/4} (\log \log \log x)^2 \times \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log \log x)^{1/4} \sqrt{\log \log \log x}} \times \\ \times \frac{1}{2} \cdot \# \left\{ \log x \le d < \frac{(\log x)^5 (\log \log x)}{e} : \varepsilon_{x,d+1} \lambda(d+1) = -\varepsilon_{x,d} \lambda(d) \right\} \\ \gg \frac{25}{64 \cdot 2^{1/4}} \frac{(\log x)^2 (\log \log \log x)^{3/2}}{(\log \log x)^{1/4}}. \end{split}$$

This lower bound clearly exceeds the bound for $S_E(x)$ stated in (38).

7.1.1 A few more necessary results

We now use the superscript and subscript notation of (ℓ) not to denote a formal parameter to the functions we define below, but instead to denote that these functions form *lower bound* (rather than exact) approximations to other forms of the functions without the scripted (ℓ) .

Lemma 7.5. Suppose that $\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x) = o\left(\widehat{\pi}_k(x)\right)$ where $\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x) \geq 1$ for all integers $1 \leq k \leq \log\log x$ as $x \to \infty$. Let the weighted summatory functions be defined as

$$A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x) := \sum_{k \le \log \log x} (-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)$$
$$A_{\Omega}(x) := \sum_{k \le \log \log x} (-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k(x).$$

Futhermore, suppose that $|A_{\Omega}(x)| \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and that

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)}{\widehat{\pi}_k(x)} \ge x^{-\rho_0}$$

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)}{\widehat{\pi}_k(x)} \le x^{-\rho_1},$$

as $x \to \infty$ for some $\rho_0, \rho_1 > 0$. Then for all sufficiently large x, we have that

$$|A_{\Omega}(x)| \gg |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)|.$$

Proof. By the second conditions above, we find that

$$\left| A_{\Omega}(x) - A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x) \right| \leq |A_{\Omega}(x)| \left(1 - \inf_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)}{\widehat{\pi}_k(x)} \right) = |A_{\Omega}(x)|(1 + o(1))$$
$$\left| A_{\Omega}(x) - A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x) \right| \geq |A_{\Omega}(x)| \left(1 - \sup_{1 \leq k \leq \log \log x} \frac{\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)}{\widehat{\pi}_k(x)} \right) = |A_{\Omega}(x)|(1 + o(1)).$$

Similarly, we can see that

$$|A_{\Omega}(x)|(1+o(1)) \le |A_{\Omega}(x) + A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)| \le |A_{\Omega}(x)|(1+o(1)).$$

This implies that

$$|A_{\Omega}(x)|(1+o(1)) \ll |A_{\Omega}(x)| \pm |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)| \ll |A_{\Omega}(x)|(1+o(1)), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Because we have that $|A_{\Omega}(x)| \to 0$, the previous equation shows that $|A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)|$ is bounded above and below by a constant times $|A_{\Omega}(x)|$. In other words, $|A_{\Omega}(x)| \gg |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)|$ whenever x is sufficiently large.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. We can form an accurate $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ approximation by the smoothness of $\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x)$ that allows us to apply the Abel summation formula using the summatory function $A_{\Omega}(t)$ for t on any bounded connected subinterval of $[1,\infty)$. Namely, we obtain

$$|F_{\lambda}(x)| \gg \left| A_{\Omega}(x)f(x) - \int_{u_0}^{x} A_{\Omega}(t)f'(t)dt \right|$$

$$\gg \left| |A_{\Omega}(x)f(x)| - \int_{u_0}^{x} |A_{\Omega}(t)f'(t)|dt \right|$$

$$\gg \left| |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(x)| - \int_{u_0}^{x} |A_{\Omega}(t)f'(t)|dt \right|.$$
(40)

The stated lower bound formula for $|F_{\lambda}(x)|$ in (40) above is valid whenever

$$0 \le \left| \frac{\sum_{\log \log t < k \le \frac{\log t}{\log 2}} (-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k(t)}{A_{\Omega}(t)} \right| \ll 2, \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

Indeed, by Corollary 5.7, we have that the assertion above holds as $t \to \infty$. This property remarkably holds even when we should technically index over all $k \in [1, \log_2(x)]$ to obtain an exact formula for this summatory weight function given by $L(x) := \sum_{n < x} \lambda(n)$.

Let the function

$$\widehat{I}_{\ell}(x) := \int_{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)} \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}' \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} dt.$$

We argue that two key properties of this function hold as $x \to \infty$:

(1)
$$\int_{u_0}^x |A_{\Omega}(t)f'(t)|dt \gg \widehat{I}_{\ell}(x)$$
; and

(2)
$$\widehat{I}_{\ell}(x) = O\left(A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(\log\log x)\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(\log\log x)\right).$$

To prove property (1), observe that by hypothesis since $|A_{\Omega}(x)| \gg |A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)|$ as $x \to \infty$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \int_{u_0}^x |A_{\Omega}(t)f'(t)|dt \gg & \int_{u_0}^x |A_{\Omega}(t)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}(t)|dt \\ \gg & \left| \sum_{k=u_0}^{\log\log x} (-1)^k \left| A_{\Omega}\left(e^{e^k}\right)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}\left(e^{e^k}\right) \right| \cdot \left(e^{e^k} - e^{e^{k-1}}\right) \right| \\ \gg & \left| \sum_{k=u_0}^{\frac{\log\log x}{2}} \left[\left| A_{\Omega}\left(e^{e^{2k}}\right)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}\left(e^{e^{2k}}\right) \right| \cdot e^{e^{2k}} - \left| A_{\Omega}\left(e^{e^{2k-1}}\right)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}\left(e^{e^{2k-1}}\right) \right| \cdot e^{e^{2k-1}} \right] \right| \\ \gg & \int_{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log x}{2}} \left| A_{\Omega}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} dt \\ \gg & \int_{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right)\widehat{\tau}'_{\ell}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} dt. \end{split}$$

To prove property (2), we see by the mean value theorem, the monotonicity of $|A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(x)|$ as $x \to \infty$, and the hypothesis $\left| \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{2} \right) - \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| = O\left(\frac{\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(x)}{\log \log x} \right)$ as $x \to \infty$, that for some $c \in \left[\frac{\log \log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\log \log x}{2} \right]$ we have

$$\widehat{I}_{\ell}(x) = \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)} \left(e^{e^{2c}} \right) \right| e^{e^{2c}} \times \left| \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{2} \right) - \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|$$

$$\begin{split} &=O\left(\log\log x\cdot A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}\left(\log\log x\right)\times\left|\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}\left(\frac{\log\log x}{2}\right)-\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}\left(\frac{\log\log x}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|\right)\\ &=O\left(A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(\log\log x)\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(\log\log x)\right). \end{split}$$

Combined with the last equation in (40), properties (1) and (2) imply the stated result.

Corollary 7.6 (Conditions on our central bounding functions). Let the smooth bounding functions be defined for large $t \gg e$ as

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(t) &:= \frac{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot (\log \log t)}, \\ A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(t) &:= \frac{\widehat{C}_{0}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\log \log t}}. \end{split}$$

Then we have that as $x \to \infty$

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log\log x)^{1/4}\sqrt{\log\log\log x}} \times \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(\log x)\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}(\log x) - \int_{\frac{\log\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log\log x}{2}} A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right)\widehat{\tau}_{\ell}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right)e^{e^{2t}}dt \right|.$$

Proof. By Corollary 7.4, we have that

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log \log x)^{1/4} \sqrt{\log \log \log x}} \times \left| \sum_{e < d \le \log x} \frac{\lambda(d)(\log d)^{1/4}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d} \right|, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
 (41)

The crux of the remainder of the proof boils down to checking hypotheses in Lemma 7.5 and Lemma 3.8. We first apply Lemma 7.5 with the lower bound function resulting from Theorem 3.7 as follows:

$$\widehat{\pi}_k^{(\ell)}(x) := \frac{\widehat{C}_0 x^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

This shows that the necessary hypotheses on the function $A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(t)$ required by Lemma 3.8 are satisfied according to the sums for the function approximated by (35) for large t.

We next select this non-negative arithmetic function $f(d) := \frac{(\log d)^{1/4}}{d^{1/4} \cdot \log \log d}$ in applying Lemma 3.8. In particular, we can take the function $\hat{\tau}_{\ell}(t) := \frac{(\log t)^{1/4}}{t^{1/4} \cdot \log \log t}$, which is non-negative and monotone for all t > e. Furthermore, we compute that for large x we have

$$\left| \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| = \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \times \left| 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} \cdot \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \right)^{1/4}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right)^{1/4} \times \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} \cdot \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \right)} \right|$$

$$= \widehat{\tau}_{\ell} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \times \left| \frac{1}{4x} + \frac{3}{4x(\log x)} - \frac{1}{4x^{2}(\log x)^{2}} + \frac{3}{16x^{2}(\log x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{3}(\log x)^{2}} \right) \right|$$

$$= O\left(\frac{\widehat{\tau}_{\ell} (x)}{x} \right).$$

This argument proves that all of the requirements in Lemma 3.8 on our choice of $\hat{\tau}_{\ell}(t)$ are also satisfied. So the stated result follows from (41) and Lemma 3.8.

7.1.2 The proof of a central lower bound on the magnitude of $G_E^{-1}(x)$

The next central theorem is the last barrier required to prove Theorem 3.9 in the next subsection. Combined with Theorem 7.2 proved in the last section, the new lower bounds we establish below provide us with a sufficient mechanism to bound the formula from Proposition 7.1.

Theorem 7.7 (Asymptotics and bounds for the summatory function $G^{-1}(x)$). Let $C_{\ell,1} > 0$ be the absolute constant defined by

$$\widehat{C}_{\ell,1} = \frac{\widehat{C}_0^2}{32\pi} = \frac{(\log 2) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{15}{16}(\log 2)^2\right)}{8\sqrt{2}\pi} \approx 0.00792203.$$

We obtain the following limiting estimate for the bounding function $G_E^{-1}(x)$ as $x \to \infty$:

$$|G_E^{-1}(x)| \gg \frac{(8 - e^{1/4}) \, \widehat{C}_{\ell,1} \cdot (\log x)^{5/4}}{\sqrt{\log \log x} \cdot (\log \log \log x)^2}.$$

Proof. We can form a lower summatory function indicating the signed contributions over the distinct parity of $\Omega(n)$ for all $n \leq x$ as follows by applying (10b) and Stirling's approximation as already noted in the proof of Corollary 7.4:

$$\left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(t) \right| = \left| \sum_{k \le \log \log t} (-1)^k \widehat{\pi}_k(t) \right| \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \times \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\log \log t}}, \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$
 (42)

We select the functions $\widehat{\tau}_0(t) := \frac{(\log t)^{1/4}}{t^{1/4} \cdot \log \log t}$ and $-\widehat{\tau}_0'(t) \gg \frac{(\log t)^{1/4}}{4t^{5/4} \cdot \log \log t}$ in the form of the next equation using the notation in Corollary 7.6.

$$-\widehat{\tau}_0'(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \log \log t} \right] \gg \frac{(\log t)^{1/4}}{4t^{\frac{5}{4}} \cdot \log \log t}$$
(43)

Moreover, we have that we can select the initial form of the lower bound to be defined as follows:

$$G_E^{-1}(x) \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log\log x)^{1/4}\sqrt{\log\log\log x}} \times \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(\log x)\widehat{\tau}_0(\log x) - \int_{\frac{\log\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log\log x}{2}} \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right)\widehat{\tau}_0'\left(e^{e^{2t}}\right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} dt \right|.$$

$$(44)$$

We express the integrand function as the following function of t:

$$\widehat{I}_{\ell}(t) := \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)} \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \widehat{\tau}_{0}' \left(e^{e^{2t}} \right) \right| e^{e^{2t}} \gg \frac{\widehat{C}_{0}}{16\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{-t/2}}{t^{3/2}}. \tag{45}$$

We find from the mean value theorem applied to the monotone function from (45) that

$$\frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log\log x)^{1/4}\sqrt{\log\log\log x}} \times \int_{\frac{\log\log\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\log\log\log x}{2}} \widehat{I}_{\ell}(t)dt \ll \frac{1}{2}\widehat{I}_{\ell}\left(\frac{\log\log\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= \frac{e^{1/4} \cdot \widehat{C}_{\ell,1} \cdot (\log x)^{5/4}}{\sqrt{\log\log x} \cdot (\log\log\log x)^2}.$$
(46)

Consider the following expansion for the leading term in the Abel summation formula from (44) for comparison with (46):

$$\frac{\widehat{C}_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{(\log\log x)^{1/4}\sqrt{\log\log\log x}} \times \left| A_{\Omega}^{(\ell)}(\log x)\widehat{\tau}_0(\log x) \right| \gg \frac{8\widehat{C}_{\ell,1} \cdot (\log x)^{5/4}}{\sqrt{\log\log x} \cdot (\log\log\log x)^2} \tag{47}$$

Hence, we conclude that we can take $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$ bounded below by the difference of terms in (47) and (46).

7.2 Proof of the unboundedness of the scaled Mertens function

We finally address the main conclusion of our arguments given so far with the following proof:

Proof of Theorem 3.9. We split the interval of integration from Proposition 7.1 over $t \in [1, x/2]$ into two disjoint subintervals: one that is easily bounded from $1 \le t \le \sqrt{x}$ and the other that will conveniently give us our slow-growing tendency towards infinity along the subsequence when evaluated using Theorem 7.7. Given a fixed large infinitely tending x, we have some (at least one) point $x_0 \in [\sqrt{x}, \frac{x}{2}]$ defined such that $|G^{-1}(t)|$ is minimal and non-vanishing as

$$|G^{-1}(x_0)| := \min_{\substack{\sqrt{x} \le t \le \frac{x}{2} \\ G^{-1}(t) \ne 0}} |G^{-1}(t)|.$$

We can then apply Proposition 7.1 to bound the function as follows:

$$\frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \left| G^{-1}(x) + \frac{2}{(\log 2)} G^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - x \cdot \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{G^{-1}(t)}{t^{2} \cdot \log(x/t)} dt \right|
\gg \left| \left| \frac{G^{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{2}{(\log 2)} G^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right| - \sqrt{x} \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{|G^{-1}(t)|}{t^{2} \cdot \log(x/t)} dt \right|
\gg \sqrt{x} \times \left| \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{x/2} \frac{|G^{-1}(t)|}{t^{2} \cdot \log(x/t)} dt \right|
\gg \left(\min_{\substack{\sqrt{x} \le t \le \frac{x}{2} \\ G^{-1}(t) \ne 0}} |G^{-1}(t)| \right) \times \left| \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{\frac{x}{2}} \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{t^{2} \cdot \log(x_{0})} dt \right|
\gg \frac{2 \left| G^{-1}(x_{0}) \right|}{\log(x_{0})}.$$
(49)

In the second to last step, we observe that $G^{-1}(x) = 0$ for x on a set of asymptotic density at least bounded below by $\frac{1}{2}$, so that our claim is accurate as the integral bound does not trivially vanish at large x.

To complete the logic to the formula we arrived at in (49), first observe that the difference of terms we have in (48) corresponds to the first term having a bound from below of the form (see the proof of Theorem 7.2)

$$\left| \frac{G^{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{2}{(\log 2)} G^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right| \gg \frac{6\sqrt{x}}{\pi^2} \left| (\log x)(\log \log x) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}(\log 2)} (\log x - \log 2)(\log \log x + o(1)) \right| \\ \gg \frac{12\sqrt{x}}{\pi^2\sqrt{2}} (\log \log x), \text{ for a.e. } x, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Secondly, for the sake of argument, suppose that there is a smooth approximation for $|G^{-1}(t)|$ so that by the mean value theorem for some $c_0 \in [1, \sqrt{x}]$ and $c_1 \in [\sqrt{x}, \frac{x}{2}]$ we have

$$\sqrt{x} \left| \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{|G^{-1}(t)|}{t^{2} \cdot \log(x/t)} dt \right| \\
\gg \left| \frac{\sqrt{x} \cdot |G^{-1}(c_{0})|}{c_{0}} \times \left| \int_{1}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{t \log(x/t)} \right| + \sqrt{x} \cdot |G^{-1}(c_{1})| \times \left| \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{x/2} \frac{dt}{t^{2} \log(x)} \right| \right| \\
\gg \left| \left(\min_{\substack{1 \le c \le \sqrt{x} \\ G^{-1}(c) \ne 0}} |G^{-1}(c)| \right) \times \log \log x + \left(\min_{\substack{\sqrt{x} \le c^{*} \le \frac{x}{2} \\ G^{-1}(c^{*}) \ne 0}} |G^{-1}(c^{*})| \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right) \right|.$$

Since $G^{-1}(x)$ changes stepwise only at $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, what we in fact precisely arrive at is a close variant of this mean value theorem type observation.

By Theorem 7.2, the result in (49) implies that

$$\frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}} \gg \frac{2|G_E^{-1}(x_0)|}{\log(x_0)}.$$
 (50)

Define the infinite increasing subsequence, $\{x_{0,y}\}_{y\geq Y_0}$, by $x_{0,y}:=e^{2e^{e^{2y+1}}}$ for the sequence indices y starting at some sufficiently large finite integer $Y_0\gg 1$. We can verify that for sufficiently large $y\to\infty$, this infinitely tending subsequence is well defined as $\hat{x}_{0,y+1}>\hat{x}_{0,y}$ whenever $y\geq Y_0$. When we assume that $x\mapsto x_{0,y}$ is taken along this subsequence, we can transform the bound in the last equation into a statement about a lower bound for $|M(x)|/\sqrt{x}$ by applying Theorem 7.7 to (50) in the following form:

$$\frac{|M(x_{0,y})|}{\sqrt{x_{0,y}}} \gg \frac{2\left(8 - e^{1/4}\right) \cdot \widehat{C}_{\ell,1} \cdot (\log\sqrt{x_{0,y}})^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log\log\sqrt{x_{0,y}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log\log\log\sqrt{x_{0,y}})^{2}}, \text{ as } y \to \infty.$$
(51)

We evaluate the following limit to conclude unboundedness where $\sqrt{x_{0,y}} \to +\infty$ as $y \to +\infty$:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left[\frac{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log \log \log x)^2} \right] = +\infty.$$

There is a small, but nonetheless insightful point to explain about a technicality in stating (51). Namely, we are not asserting that $|M(x)|/\sqrt{x}$ grows unbounded along the precise subsequence of $x\mapsto x_{0,y}$ itself as $y\to\infty$. Rather, we are asserting that the unboundedness of this function can be witnessed along some subsequence whose points are taken within a large interval window of $\hat{x}_{0,y}\in\left[\sqrt{x_{0,y}},\frac{x_{0,y}}{2}\right]$ as $y\to\infty$. We choose to state the lower bound given on the right-hand-side of (51) using the monotonicity of the lower bound on $|G_E^{-1}(x)|$ we proved in Theorem 7.7 with $\hat{x}_{0,y}\geq\sqrt{x_{0,y}}$ for all $y\geq Y_0$.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, editors. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Oxford University Press, 2008 (Sixth Edition).
- [3] G. Hurst. Computations of the Mertens function and improved bounds on the Mertens conjecture. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.08551/, 2017.
- [4] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. Analytic Number Theory, volume 53. AMS Colloquium Publications, 2004.
- [5] T. Kotnik and H. té Riele. The Mertens conjecture revisited. *Algorithmic Number Theory*, 7th International Symposium, 2006.
- [6] T. Kotnik and J. van de Lune. On the order of the Mertens function. Exp. Math., 2004.
- [7] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [8] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative Number Theory: I. Classical Theory*. Cambridge, 2006.
- [9] N. Ng. The distribution of the summatory function of the Móbius function. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 89(3):361–389, 2004.
- [10] A. M. Odlyzko and H. J. J. té Riele. Disproof of the Mertens conjecture. J. REINE ANGEW. MATH, 1985.
- [11] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark, editors. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [12] P. Ribenboim. The new book of prime number records. Springer, 1996.
- [13] J. Sándor and B. Crstici. Handbook of Number Theory II. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [14] N. J. A. Sloane. The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2020.
- [15] K. Soundararajan. Partial sums of the Möbius function. Annals of Mathematics, 2009.
- [16] T. Tao and J. Teräväinen. Value patterns of multiplicative functions and related sequences. Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, 7, 2019.
- [17] E. C. Titchmarsh. The theory of the Riemann zeta function. Clarendon Press, 1951.

Table: The Dirichlet inverse function $g^{-1}(n)$ and the distribution of its T.1 summatory function

n	Primes	Sqfree	PPower	$g^{-1}(n)$	$\lambda(n)g^{-1}(n) - \hat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d\mid n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ q^{-1}(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$	$G^{-1}(n)$	$G_{+}^{-1}(n)$	$G_{-}^{-1}(n)$
1	1^1	Y	N	1	0	1.0000000	1.000000	0.000000	1	1	0
2	2^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	-1	1	-2
3	3^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.333333	0.666667	-3	1	-4
4	2^2	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.500000	0.500000	-1	3	-4
5	5^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.400000	0.600000	-3	3	-6
6	$2^{1}3^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	2	8	-6
7	7^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	0	8	-8
8	2^{3}	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.375000	0.625000	-2	8	-10
9	3^{2}	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.444444	0.555556	0	10	-10
10	$2^{1}5^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	5	15	-10
11	11^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	3	15	-12
12	$2^{2}3^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.416667	0.583333	-4	15	-19
13	13^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.384615	0.615385	-6	15	-21
14	$2^{1}7^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	-1	20	-21
15	$3^{1}5^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.466667	0.533333	4	25	-21
16	2^4	N	Y	2	0	2.5000000	0.500000	0.500000	6	27	-21
17	17^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.470588	0.529412	4	27	-23
18	$2^{1}3^{2}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.444444	0.555556	-3	27	-30
19	19^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.421053	0.578947	-5	27	-32
20	$2^{2}5^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.400000	0.600000	-12	27	-39
21	$3^{1}7^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.428571	0.571429	-7	32	-39
22	$2^{1}11^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-2	37	-39
23	23^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.434783	0.565217	-4	37	-41
24	$2^{3}3^{1}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.458333	0.541667	5	46	-41
25	5^{2}	N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.480000	0.520000	7	48	-41
26	$2^{1}13^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.500000	0.500000	12	53	-41
27	3^3	N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.481481	0.518519	10	53	-43
28	$2^{2}7^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.464286	0.535714	3	53	-50
29	29^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.448276	0.551724	1	53	-52
30	$2^{1}3^{1}5^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.433333	0.566667	-15	53	-68
31	31^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.419355	0.580645	-17	53	-70
32	2^{5}	N	Y	-2	0	3.0000000	0.406250	0.593750	-19	53	-72
33	$3^{1}11^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.424242	0.575758	-14	58	-72
34	$2^{1}17^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.441176	0.558824	-9	63	-72
35	$5^{1}7^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.457143	0.542857	-4	68	-72
36	$2^{2}3^{2}$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.472222	0.527778	10	82	-72
37	37^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.459459	0.540541	8	82	-74
38	$2^{1}19^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	13	87	-74
39	$3^{1}13^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.487179	0.512821	18	92	-74
40	$2^{3}5^{1}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.500000	0.500000	27	101	-74
41	41^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487805	0.512195	25	101	-76
42	$2^{1}3^{1}7^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	9	101	-92
43	431	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.465116	0.534884	7	101	-94
44	$2^{2}11^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.454545	0.545455	0	101	-101
45	$3^{2}5^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.444444	0.555556	-7	101	-108
46	$2^{1}23^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.456522	0.543478	-2	106	-108
47	47^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.446809	0.553191	-4	106	-110
48	$2^{4}3^{1}$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.437500	0.562500	-15	106	-121
	~	1			-		1		1		

Table T.1: Computations with $g^{-1}(n) \equiv (\omega + 1)^{-1}(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 500$.

[▶] The column labeled Primes provides the prime factorization of each n so that the values of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ are easily extracted. The columns labeled Sqfree and PPower, respectively, list inclusion of n in the sets of squarefree integers and the prime powers.

The next three columns provide the explicit values of the inverse function $g^{-1}(n)$ and compare its explicit value with

other estimates. We define the function $\hat{f}_1(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\omega(n)} {\omega(n) \choose k} \cdot k!$.

The last several columns indicate properties of the summatory function of $g^{-1}(n)$. The notation for the densities of the sign weight of $g^{-1}(n)$ is defined as $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \cdot \# \{ n \leq x : \lambda(n) = \pm 1 \}$. The last three columns then show the explicit components to the signed summatory function, $G^{-1}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g^{-1}(n)$, decomposed into its respective positive and negative magnitude sum contributions: $G^{-1}(x) = G_{+}^{-1}(x) + G_{-}^{-1}(x)$ where $G_{+}^{-1}(x) > 0$ and $G_{-}^{-1}(x) < 0$ for all $x \geq 1$.

40 7 ²	n	Primes	Sqfree	PPower	$g^{-1}(n)$	$\lambda(n)g^{-1}(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d\mid n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$	$G^{-1}(n)$	$G_{+}^{-1}(n)$	$G_{-}^{-1}(n)$
50 2 5 N N N												
Signature Sign												
22 2 ¹ 1												
53 53 7												
55 62 27		53^{1}							0.566038			-137
56 2 2 2 1	54	$2^{1}3^{3}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.444444	0.555556	-15	122	-137
57	55	$5^{1}11^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-10	127	-137
Section Sect	56	$2^{3}7^{1}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.464286	0.535714	-1	136	-137
50	57	$3^{1}19^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	4	141	-137
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	58		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.482759	0.517241	9	146	-137
61 61 7	59		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.474576	0.525424	7	146	-139
Color	60						1.1666667	0.483333	0.516667	37	176	-139
63 32 1	61						1.0000000		0.524590	35	176	-141
64 2° N												
66 23 31 1		3271										
66 2 ¹ 3 ¹ 11 ¹ Y												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-210 -223
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-230
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77	7^111^1	Y	N		0	1.0000000	0.454545	0.545455	-27	203	-230
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	78	$2^{1}3^{1}13^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.448718	0.551282	-43	203	-246
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	79	79^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.443038	0.556962	-45	203	-248
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80		N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.437500	0.562500	-56	203	-259
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81	3^{4}	N	Y	2	0	2.5000000	0.444444	0.555556	-54	205	-259
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	82		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.451220	0.548780	-49	210	-259
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	83		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.445783	0.554217	-51	210	-261
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	84			N	30		1.1666667		0.547619	-21	240	-261
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-261
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3^211^1										-286
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-286
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	101		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.485149	0.514851		341	-288
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	102		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.480392	0.519608	37	341	-304
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										35		-306
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-306
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-322
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-322
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												-324
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												-408
$ \begin{bmatrix} 120 & 2^3 3^1 5^1 & \text{N} & \text{N} & -48 & 32 & 1.3333333 & 0.458333 & 0.541667 & -81 & 375 & -456 \\ 121 & 11^2 & \text{N} & \text{Y} & 2 & 0 & 1.5000000 & 0.462810 & 0.537190 & -79 & 377 & -456 \\ 122 & 2^1 61^1 & \text{Y} & \text{N} & 5 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.467213 & 0.532787 & -74 & 382 & -456 \\ 123 & 3^1 41^1 & \text{Y} & \text{N} & 5 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.471545 & 0.528455 & -69 & 387 & -456 \\ \end{bmatrix} $												-408
		$2^3 3^1 5^1$										-456
												-456
	122		Y	N		0	1.0000000			-74		-456
$\begin{bmatrix} 124 & 2^231^1 & N & N & -7 & 2 & 1.2857143 & 0.467742 & 0.532258 & -76 & 387 & -463 & -46$	123		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.471545	0.528455	-69	387	-456
	124	2^231^1	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.467742	0.532258	-76	387	-463

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 0 0 14 2 0 2 0 5 0 16 0	$\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$ $\frac{\sum_{d n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$ 2.0000000 1.1666667 1.0000000 4.0000000 1.0000000	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$ 0.464000 0.468254 0.464567 0.460938	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$ 0.536000 0.531746 0.535433	$G^{-1}(n)$ -78 -48	$\frac{G_{+}^{-1}(n)}{387}$	$ \begin{array}{c} G_{-}^{-1}(n) \\ \hline -465 \\ -465 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 14 2 0 2 0 5 0 16 0	1.1666667 1.0000000 4.0000000	0.468254 0.464567	0.531746			
$ \begin{vmatrix} 127 & 127^1 & Y & Y & -128 & 2^7 & N & Y & -129 & 3^143^1 & Y & N & 129 & 3^15^113^1 & Y & N & -129 & 3^113^1 & Y & N & -129 & 3^15^113^1 & Y & N & -129 & 3^113^1 & Y & N & -129 & 3^113^1 & Y &$	2 0 2 0 5 0 16 0	$\frac{1.0000000}{4.0000000}$	0.464567				
$ \begin{vmatrix} 128 & 2^7 & N & Y & -1\\ 129 & 3^1 43^1 & Y & N & 3\\ 130 & 2^1 5^1 13^1 & Y & N & -1\\ \end{vmatrix} $	2 0 5 0 16 0	4.0000000			-50	417	-467
130 2 ¹ 5 ¹ 13 ¹ Y N -	16 0	1.0000000	0.460938	0.539062	-52	417	-469
			0.465116	0.534884	-47	422	-469
1 404 4041	2 0	1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-63	422	-485
131 131 ¹ Y Y -	2 0	1.0000000	0.458015	0.541985	-65	422	-487
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 14	1.1666667	0.462121	0.537879	-35	452	-487
133 7 ¹ 19 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.466165	0.533835	-30	457	-487
134 2 ¹ 67 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.470149	0.529851	-25	462	-487
135 3 ³ 5 ¹ N N		1.555556	0.474074	0.525926	-16	471	-487
136 2 ³ 17 ¹ N N		1.555556	0.477941	0.522059	-7	480	-487
137 137 ¹ Y Y - 138 2 ¹ 3 ¹ 23 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.474453	0.525547	-9 95	480	-489
$\begin{bmatrix} 138 & 2^13^123^1 & Y & N & - \\ 139 & 139^1 & Y & Y & - \end{bmatrix}$		1.0000000 1.0000000	0.471014 0.467626	0.528986 0.532374	-25 -27	480 480	$-505 \\ -507$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.1666667	0.407020	0.532574 0.528571	3	510	-507
141 3 ¹ 47 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.475177	0.524823	8	515	-507
142 2 ¹ 71 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.478873	0.521127	13	520	-507
143 11 ¹ 13 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.482517	0.517483	18	525	-507
144 2 ⁴ 3 ² N N 3	4 29	1.6176471	0.486111	0.513889	52	559	-507
145 5 ¹ 29 ¹ Y N	0	1.0000000	0.489655	0.510345	57	564	-507
146 2 ¹ 73 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.493151	0.506849	62	569	-507
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1.2857143	0.489796	0.510204	55	569	-514
$148 2^2 37^1 N N -$		1.2857143	0.486486	0.513514	48	569	-521
149 149 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.483221	0.516779	46	569	-523
150 2 ¹ 3 ¹ 5 ² N N 3		1.1666667	0.486667	0.513333	76	599	-523
151 151 ¹ Y Y - 152 2 ³ 19 ¹ N N 9		1.0000000	0.483444	0.516556	74	599	-525
152 2 ³ 19 ¹ N N S 153 3 ² 17 ¹ N N -		1.5555556 1.2857143	0.486842 0.483660	0.513158 0.516340	83 76	608 608	-525 -532
154 2 ¹ 7 ¹ 11 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.483500	0.510340	60	608	-532 -548
155 5 ¹ 31 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	65	613	-548
156 2 ² 3 ¹ 13 ¹ N N 3		1.1666667	0.487179	0.512821	95	643	-548
157 157 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.484076	0.515924	93	643	-550
158 2 ¹ 79 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.487342	0.512658	98	648	-550
159 3 ¹ 53 ¹ Y N	0	1.0000000	0.490566	0.509434	103	653	-550
160 2 ⁵ 5 ¹ N N 1	3 8	2.0769231	0.493750	0.506250	116	666	-550
161 7 ¹ 23 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.496894	0.503106	121	671	-550
162 2 ¹ 3 ⁴ N N -		1.8181818	0.493827	0.506173	110	671	-561
163 163 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.490798	0.509202	108	671	-563
164 2 ² 41 ¹ N N — — 165 3 ¹ 5 ¹ 11 ¹ Y N — —		1.2857143	0.487805	0.512195	101	671	-570
$ \begin{vmatrix} 165 & 3^15^111^1 \\ 166 & 2^183^1 \end{vmatrix} $ Y N $ \begin{vmatrix} - \\ 166 & 2^183^1 \end{vmatrix} $ Y N		1.0000000 1.0000000	0.484848 0.487952	0.515152 0.512048	85 90	671 676	$-586 \\ -586$
167 167 ¹ Y Y —		1.0000000	0.487932	0.512048	88	676	-588
168 2 ³ 3 ¹ 7 ¹ N N -		1.3333333	0.483030	0.517857	40	676	-636
169 13 ² N Y		1.5000000	0.485207	0.514793	42	678	-636
170 2 ¹ 5 ¹ 17 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.482353	0.517647	26	678	-652
171 3 ² 19 ¹ N N -	7 2	1.2857143	0.479532	0.520468	19	678	-659
172 2 ² 43 ¹ N N -	7 2	1.2857143	0.476744	0.523256	12	678	-666
173 173 ¹ Y Y -	2 0	1.0000000	0.473988	0.526012	10	678	-668
174 2 ¹ 3 ¹ 29 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.471264	0.528736	-6	678	-684
	7 2	1.2857143	0.468571	0.531429	-13	678	-691
176 2 ⁴ 11 ¹ N N -		1.8181818	0.465909	0.534091	-24	678	-702
177 3 ¹ 59 ¹ Y N 5 178 2 ¹ 89 ¹ Y N		1.0000000 1.0000000	0.468927 0.471910	0.531073 0.528090	-19 -14	683 688	$-702 \\ -702$
170 2 69 1 N S		1.0000000	0.471910	0.530726	-14 -16	688 688	-702 -704
180 2 ² 3 ² 5 ¹ N N -		1.2162162	0.469274	0.533333	-16 -90	688	-704 -778
181 181 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.464088	0.535912	-92	688	-780
182 2 ¹ 7 ¹ 13 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-108	688	-796
183 3 ¹ 61 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.464481	0.535519	-103	693	-796
184 2 ³ 23 ¹ N N		1.555556	0.467391	0.532609	-94	702	-796
185 5 ¹ 37 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.470270	0.529730	-89	707	-796
186 2 ¹ 3 ¹ 31 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.467742	0.532258	-105	707	-812
187 11 ¹ 17 ¹ Y N		1.0000000	0.470588	0.529412	-100	712	-812
188 2 ² 47 ¹ N N -		1.2857143	0.468085	0.531915	-107	712	-819
189 3 ³ 7 ¹ N N S 190 2 ¹ 5 ¹ 19 ¹ Y N -		1.5555556	0.470899	0.529101	-98	721 721	-819
		1.0000000 1.0000000	0.468421 0.465969	0.531579	-114	721 721	-835
$ \begin{vmatrix} 191 & 191^1 & Y & Y & - \\ 192 & 2^63^1 & N & N & - \end{vmatrix} $	2 0 15 10	2.3333333	0.463542	0.534031 0.536458	-116 -131	$721 \\ 721$	$-837 \\ -852$
	2 0	1.0000000	0.463542	0.536458 0.538860	-131 -133	721 721	-852 -854
193 193 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.0000000	0.461140	0.536082	-133 -128	721	-854 -854
195 3 ¹ 5 ¹ 13 ¹ Y N -		1.0000000	0.461538	0.538462	-144	726	-870
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1.3571429	0.464286	0.535714	-130	740	-870
197 197 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.461929	0.538071	-132	740	-872
198 2 ¹ 3 ² 11 ¹ N N 3		1.1666667	0.464646	0.535354	-102	770	-872
199 199 ¹ Y Y -		1.0000000	0.462312	0.537688	-104	770	-874
$200 2^35^2 N N -$	23 18	1.4782609	0.460000	0.540000	-127	770	-897

n	Primes	Sqfree	PPower	$g^{-1}(n)$	$\lambda(n)g^{-1}(n) - \hat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d\mid n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$	$G^{-1}(n)$	$G_{+}^{-1}(n)$	$G_{-}^{-1}(n)$
I	3 ¹ 67 ¹	Y			0	$\frac{ g^{-1}(n) }{1.0000000}$				•	
201 202	$2^{1}101^{1}$	Y	N N	5 5	0	1.0000000	0.462687 0.465347	0.537313 0.534653	-122 -117	775 780	-897 -897
202	$7^{1}29^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.465347	0.532020	-117 -112	785	-897 -897
203	$2^{2}3^{1}17^{1}$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.407980	0.529412	-112 -82	815	-897
204	$5^{1}41^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000007	0.470388	0.526829	-32 -77	820	-897
206	$2^{1}103^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.475728	0.524272	-72	825	-897
207	$3^{2}23^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.473430	0.526570	-79	825	-904
208	$2^{4}13^{1}$	N	N	-11	6	1.8181818	0.471154	0.528846	-90	825	-915
209	$11^{1}19^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473684	0.526316	-85	830	-915
210	$2^{1}3^{1}5^{1}7^{1}$	Y	N	65	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	-20	895	-915
211	211 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.473934	0.526066	-22	895	-917
212	2^253^1	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.471698	0.528302	-29	895	-924
213	3^171^1	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.474178	0.525822	-24	900	-924
214	$2^{1}107^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.476636	0.523364	-19	905	-924
215	$5^{1}43^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.479070	0.520930	-14	910	-924
216	$2^{3}3^{3}$	N	N	46	41	1.5000000	0.481481	0.518519	32	956	-924
217	$7^{1}31^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.483871	0.516129	37	961	-924
218	$2^{1}109^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486239	0.513761	42	966	-924
219	$3^{1}73^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488584	0.511416	47	971	-924
220	$2^25^111^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.490909	0.509091	77	1001	-924
221	$13^{1}17^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493213	0.506787	82	1006	-924
222	$2^{1}3^{1}37^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490991	0.509009	66	1006	-940
223	223^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.488789	0.511211	64	1006	-942
224	$2^{5}7^{1}$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.491071	0.508929	77	1019	-942
225	$3^{2}5^{2}$	N	N	14	9	1.3571429	0.493333	0.506667	91	1033	-942
226	$2^{1}113^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.495575	0.504425	96	1038	-942
227	227^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.493392	0.506608	94	1038	-944
228	$2^{2}3^{1}19^{1}$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.495614	0.504386	124	1068	-944
229	2291	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.493450	0.506550	122	1068	-946
230	$2^{1}5^{1}23^{1}$ $3^{1}7^{1}11^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491304	0.508696	106	1068	-962
231	$2^{3}29^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.489177	0.510823	90	1068	-978
232	2°29° 233¹	N Y	N Y	9 -2	4	1.5555556	0.491379	0.508621	99	1077	-978
233	$2^{1}3^{2}13^{1}$	N N		30	0	1.0000000	0.489270	0.510730	97	1077	-980
234 235	$5^{1}47^{1}$	Y	N N	5	14 0	1.1666667 1.0000000	0.491453 0.493617	0.508547 0.506383	127 132	$\frac{1107}{1112}$	-980 -980
236	$2^{2}59^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.493617	0.508475	125	1112	-980 -987
237	$3^{1}79^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491323	0.506329	130	1117	-987 -987
238	$2^{1}7^{1}17^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491597	0.508403	114	1117	-1003
239	239^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489540	0.510460	112	1117	-1005
240	$2^{4}3^{1}5^{1}$	N	N	70	54	1.5000000	0.491667	0.508333	182	1187	-1005
241	241^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489627	0.510373	180	1187	-1007
242	$2^{1}11^{2}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487603	0.512397	173	1187	-1014
243	3^{5}	N	Y	-2	0	3.0000000	0.485597	0.514403	171	1187	-1016
244	$2^{2}61^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.483607	0.516393	164	1187	-1023
245	$5^{1}7^{2}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.481633	0.518367	157	1187	-1030
246	$2^{1}3^{1}41^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.479675	0.520325	141	1187	-1046
247	$13^{1}19^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.481781	0.518219	146	1192	-1046
248	$2^{3}31^{1}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.483871	0.516129	155	1201	-1046
249	$3^{1}83^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.485944	0.514056	160	1206	-1046
250	$2^{1}5^{3}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488000	0.512000	169	1215	-1046
251	251^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486056	0.513944	167	1215	-1048
252	$2^2 3^2 7^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.484127	0.515873	93	1215	-1122
253	$11^{1}23^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486166	0.513834	98	1220	-1122
254	$2^{1}127^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488189	0.511811	103	1225	-1122
255	$3^{1}5^{1}17^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486275	0.513725	87	1225	-1138
256	28	N	Y	2	0	4.5000000	0.488281	0.511719	89	1227	-1138
257	257^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486381	0.513619	87	1227	-1140
258	$2^{1}3^{1}43^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484496	0.515504	71	1227	-1156
259	$7^{1}37^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486486	0.513514	76	1232	-1156
260	$2^{2}5^{1}13^{1}$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488462	0.511538	106	1262	-1156
261	$3^{2}29^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.486590	0.513410	99	1262	-1163
262	$2^{1}131^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488550	0.511450	104	1267	-1163
263	263 ¹	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486692	0.513308	102	1267	-1165
264	$2^{3}3^{1}11^{1}$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.484848	0.515152	54	1267	-1213
265	5 ¹ 53 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486792	0.513208	59	1272	-1213
266	$2^{1}7^{1}19^{1}$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.484962	0.515038	43	1272	-1229
267	3 ¹ 89 ¹	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486891	0.513109	48	1277	-1229
268	$2^{2}67^{1}$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.485075	0.514925	41	1277	-1236
269	269^{1} $2^{1}3^{3}5^{1}$	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483271	0.516729	39	1277	-1238
270	$2^{1}3^{0}5^{1}$ 271^{1}	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.481481	0.518519	-9 11	1277	-1286
271 272	271^{4} $2^{4}17^{1}$	Y	Y	-2 11	0	1.0000000	0.479705	0.520295	-11	1277	-1288
272	$3^{1}7^{1}13^{1}$	N Y	N N	$-11 \\ -16$	6	1.8181818 1.0000000	0.477941 0.476190	0.522059	-22 -38	1277	-1299
273	$3^{1}7^{1}13^{1}$ $2^{1}137^{1}$	Y	N N	1	0	1.0000000	0.476190	0.523810	-38 -33	1277 1282	-1315 -1315
274	5^211^1	N Y	N N	5 -7	0	1.2857143	0.478102	0.521898 0.523636	-33 -40		-1315 -1322
275	$2^{2}3^{1}23^{1}$	N N	N N	$-7 \\ 30$	$\frac{2}{14}$	1.2857143	0.476364 0.478261	0.523636 0.521739	-40 -10	1282 1312	-1322 -1322
276	$2\ 3\ 23$ 277^1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.478261	0.521739	-10 -12	1312	-1322 -1324
211	211	1	1	I -2	U	1.0000000	0.470534	0.023400	1 -12	1312	-1324

278 2 ¹ 139 ¹	n	Primes	Sqfree	PPower	$g^{-1}(n)$	$\lambda(n)g^{-1}(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d\mid n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$	$G^{-1}(n)$	$G_{+}^{-1}(n)$	$G_{-}^{-1}(n)$
280 2 ² h ² h ² h ²	78	$2^{1}139^{1}$	Y	N	5	0		0.478417	0.521583		1317	-1324
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	79	3^231^1	1		-7	2					1317	-1331
282 2 ¹ / ₃ d q T	80		N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.475000	0.525000	-62	1317	-1379
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.473310	0.526690	-64	1317	-1381
284 2 ² 7 ¹ N N -7 2 1.2857 ¹ 43 0.46831 0.531600 -82 285 3 ¹ 5 ¹ 91 Y N -16 0 1.0000000 0.466007 0.53333 -105 286 2 ¹ 11 ¹ 13 ¹ Y N 5 0 1.0000000 0.465003 0.534965 -116 288 2 ⁵ 2 ² N N -47 42 1.765974 0.465298 0.534722 -161 -116 -120							1.0000000		0.528369	-80	1317	-1397
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							1.0000000		0.530035		1317	-1399
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		1						1317	-1406
287 7 ⁴ 41 Y N 5											1317	-1422
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1317	-1438
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1						1322	-1438
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1322 1324	-1485 -1485
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		1						1324	-1485 -1501
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1329	-1501
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1						1329	-1508
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1329	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1359	-1510
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95	$5^{1}59^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.467797	0.532203	-146	1364	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	96	$2^{3}37^{1}$	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.469595	0.530405	-137	1373	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	97		N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.471380	0.528620	-128	1382	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	98		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.473154	0.526846	-123	1387	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1						1392	-1510
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1392	-1584
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1397	-1584
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1402	-1584
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1						1407	-1584
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1407	-1595
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1412	-1595 -1595
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								$1442 \\ 1442$	-1595 -1597
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1						1472	-1597
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1477	-1597
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		l .						1477	-1613
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1477	-1615
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$2^33^113^1$	N		l .						1477	-1663
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	313^{1}	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.476038	0.523962	-188	1477	-1665
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	$2^{1}157^{1}$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.477707	0.522293	-183	1482	-1665
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15		N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.479365	0.520635	-153	1512	-1665
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16		N		-7	2	1.2857143	0.477848	0.522152	-160	1512	-1672
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		l .						1512	-1674
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1512	-1690
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					l .						1517	-1690
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1517	-1705
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1522	-1705
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					l .						1522	-1721
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					l .						1527 1561	-1721 -1721
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					l .						1561	-1721 -1728
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1								1566	-1728
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1						1571	-1728
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											1580	-1728
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1		1						1585	-1728
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1								1650	-1728
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	31		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.483384	0.516616	-80	1650	-1730
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					-7				0.518072	-87	1650	-1737
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		l .						1650	-1744
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1								1655	-1744
$ \begin{vmatrix} 337 & 337^1 & Y & Y & -2 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.483680 & 0.516320 & -16\\ 338 & 2^113^2 & N & N & -7 & 2 & 1.2857143 & 0.482249 & 0.517751 & -23\\ 339 & 3^1113^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.48376 & 0.516224 & -18\\ 340 & 2^25^117^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.485294 & 0.514706 & 12\\ 341 & 11^131^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.486804 & 0.513196 & 17\\ 342 & 2^13^219^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.48304 & 0.511696 & 47\\ \end{vmatrix} $											1660	-1744
$ \begin{vmatrix} 338 & 2^113^2 & N & N & -7 & 2 & 1.2857143 & 0.482249 & 0.517751 & -23 \\ 339 & 3^1113^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.483776 & 0.516224 & -18 \\ 340 & 2^25^117^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.485294 & 0.514706 & 12 \\ 341 & 11^131^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.486804 & 0.513196 & 17 \\ 342 & 2^13^219^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.48304 & 0.511696 & 47 \\ \end{vmatrix} $					l .						1730	-1744
$ \begin{vmatrix} 339 & 3^1113^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.483776 & 0.516224 & -18 \\ 340 & 2^25^117^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.485294 & 0.514706 & 12 \\ 341 & 11^131^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.486804 & 0.513196 & 17 \\ 342 & 2^13^219^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.488304 & 0.511696 & 47 \end{vmatrix} $											1730	-1746
$ \begin{vmatrix} 340 & 2^25^117^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.485294 & 0.514706 & 12 \\ 341 & 11^131^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.486804 & 0.513196 & 17 \\ 342 & 2^13^219^1 & N & N & 30 & 14 & 1.1666667 & 0.488304 & 0.511696 & 47 \end{vmatrix} $			1		l .						1730	-1753
											1735 1765	-1753 -1753
342 2 ¹ 3 ² 19 ¹ N N 30 14 1.1666667 0.488304 0.511696 47					1						1765 1770	-1753 -1753
											1800	-1753 -1753
$\begin{bmatrix} 343 & 7^3 & N & Y & -2 & 0 & 2.0000000 & 0.486880 & 0.513120 & 45 \end{bmatrix}$		7^{3}	N N	Y	-2	0	2.0000000	0.486880			1800	-1755 -1755
344 2 ³ 43 ¹ N N 9 4 1.5555556 0.488372 0.511628 54			1								1809	-1755
$\begin{bmatrix} 345 & 3^15^123^1 & Y & N & -16 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.486957 & 0.513043 & 38 \end{bmatrix}$					1						1809	-1771
346 2 ¹ 173 ¹ Y N 5 0 1.000000 0.488439 0.511561 43			1								1814	-1771
$\begin{bmatrix} 347 & 347^1 & Y & Y & -2 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.487032 & 0.512968 & 41 \end{bmatrix}$			1								1814	-1773
348 2 ² 3 ¹ 29 ¹ N N 30 14 1.1666667 0.488506 0.511494 71	48	$2^23^129^1$	N	N	30		1.1666667	0.488506		71	1844	-1773
$\begin{bmatrix} 349 & 349^1 & Y & Y & -2 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.487106 & 0.512894 & 69 \end{bmatrix}$	49		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487106	0.512894	69	1844	-1775
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	50	$2^15^27^1$	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.488571	0.511429	99	1874	-1775

25	Primes	Sqfree	PPower	$g^{-1}(n)$	$\lambda(n)g^{-1}(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n)$	$\frac{\sum_{d\mid n} C_{\Omega(d)}(d)}{ g^{-1}(n) }$	$\mathcal{L}_{+}(n)$	$\mathcal{L}_{-}(n)$	$G^{-1}(n)$	$G_{+}^{-1}(n)$	$G_{-}^{-1}(n)$
1 00	1 3 ³ 13 ¹	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.490028	0.509972	108	1883	-1775
35	$2 2^5 11^1$	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.491477	0.508523	121	1896	-1775
35	$3 353^1$	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490085	0.509915	119	1896	-1777
35	$4 2^1 3^1 59^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.488701	0.511299	103	1896	-1793
35	$5 5^171^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490141	0.509859	108	1901	-1793
35	$6 2^2 89^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.488764	0.511236	101	1901	-1800
35	$7 3^17^117^1$	Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.487395	0.512605	85	1901	-1816
35	$8 2^1 179^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488827	0.511173	90	1906	-1816
35		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.487465	0.512535	88	1906	-1818
36		N	N	145	129	1.3034483	0.488889	0.511111	233	2051	-1818
36		N	Y	2	0	1.5000000	0.490305	0.509695	235	2053	-1818
36		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491713	0.508287	240	2058	-1818
36		N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490358	0.509642	233	2058	-1825
36		N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.491758	0.508242	263	2088	-1825
36		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493151	0.506849	268	2093	-1825
36		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491803	0.508197	252	2093	-1841
36		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490463	0.509537	250	2093	-1843
36		N N	N N	$-11 \\ -7$	6 2	1.8181818	0.489130 0.487805	0.510870	239 232	2093	-1854 -1861
37		Y	N	-16	0	1.2857143 1.0000000	0.486486	0.512195 0.513514	232	2093 2093	-1801 -1877
37		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.480480	0.513514 0.512129	216	2093	-1877 -1877
37		N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.487871	0.512129 0.510753	251	2128	-1877 -1877
37		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000007	0.489247	0.512064	249	2128	-1879
37		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.486631	0.513369	233	2128	-1895
37	1 0	N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488000	0.512000	242	2137	-1895
37		N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.489362	0.510638	251	2146	-1895
37		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490716	0.509284	256	2151	-1895
37	$8 2^1 3^3 7^1$	N	N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.489418	0.510582	208	2151	-1943
37		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.488127	0.511873	206	2151	-1945
38		N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.489474	0.510526	236	2181	-1945
38		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.490814	0.509186	241	2186	-1945
38		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492147	0.507853	246	2191	-1945
38	77 1	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.490862	0.509138	244	2191	-1947
38		N	N	17	12	2.5882353	0.492188	0.507812	261	2208	-1947
38		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490909	0.509091	245	2208	-1963
38	0 1	Y	N	5_	0	1.0000000	0.492228	0.507772	250	2213	-1963
38	0 1	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490956	0.509044	243	2213	-1970
38		N Y	N Y	-7 -2	2 0	1.2857143	0.489691 0.488432	0.510309	236	2213	-1977 -1979
39		Y	N	65	0	1.0000000 1.0000000	0.488432	0.511568 0.510256	234 299	$\frac{2213}{2278}$	-1979 -1979
39		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.489744	0.508951	304	2283	-1979 -1979
39		N	N	-23	18	1.4782609	0.489796	0.510204	281	2283	-2002
39		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491094	0.508906	286	2288	-2002
39		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492386	0.507614	291	2293	-2002
39	4 4	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.493671	0.506329	296	2298	-2002
39	$6 2^2 3^2 11^1$	N	N	-74	58	1.2162162	0.492424	0.507576	222	2298	-2076
39	$7 397^1$	Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.491184	0.508816	220	2298	-2078
39	$8 2^1 199^1$	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.492462	0.507538	225	2303	-2078
39		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.491228	0.508772	209	2303	-2094
40		N	N	34	29	1.6176471	0.492500	0.507500	243	2337	-2094
40		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.491272	0.508728	241	2337	-2096
40		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490050	0.509950	225	2337	-2112
40	0 1	Y	N	5_	0	1.0000000	0.491315	0.508685	230	2342	-2112
40		N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.490099	0.509901	223	2342	-2119
40		N Y	N N	-11 -16	6	1.8181818 1.0000000	0.488889	0.511111	212	2342	-2130
40	1 1	Y	N N	-16 5	0 0	1.000000	0.487685 0.488943	0.512315 0.511057	196	2342 2347	-2146 -2146
40	0 1 1	N Y	N N	-48	32	1.3333333	0.488943	0.511057 0.512255	201 153	2347	-2146 -2194
40		Y	Y	-48 -2	0	1.0000000	0.487745	0.512255 0.513447	153	2347	-2194 -2196
41		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.485366	0.513447	135	2347	-2190 -2212
41		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486618	0.513382	140	2352	-2212
41		N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.485437	0.514563	133	2352	-2219
41		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.486683	0.513317	138	2357	-2219
41	4 0 4	N	N	30	14	1.1666667	0.487923	0.512077	168	2387	-2219
41	1 1	Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.489157	0.510843	173	2392	-2219
41	F 1	N	N	13	8	2.0769231	0.490385	0.509615	186	2405	-2219
41		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.491607	0.508393	191	2410	-2219
41		Y	N	-16	0	1.0000000	0.490431	0.509569	175	2410	-2235
41		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.489260	0.510740	173	2410	-2237
42	4	N	N	-155	90	1.1032258	0.488095	0.511905	18	2410	-2392
42		Y	Y	-2	0	1.0000000	0.486936	0.513064	16	2410	-2394
42		Y	N	5	0	1.0000000	0.488152	0.511848	21	2415	-2394
42		N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.486998	0.513002	14	2415	-2401
42		N	N	9	4	1.5555556	0.488208	0.511792	23	2424	-2401
42	$5 5^2 17^1$	N	N	-7	2	1.2857143	0.487059	0.512941	16	2424	-2408

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2424 -2424 2429 -2424 2429 -2431 2429 -2447 2429 -2463 2429 -2463 2429 -2545 2429 -2545 2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2662 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2488 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2685	5 -2 -18 -34 -36 -116 -118	$\begin{array}{c} 0.512881 \\ 0.514019 \end{array}$	0.487119	1.0000000	0	1.0				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2431 2429 -2447 2429 -2463 2429 -2545 2429 -2545 2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2602 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2670	$ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ -18 \\ -34 \\ -36 \\ -116 \\ -118 \end{array} $	0.514019			U	-10	N	Y	$2^{1}3^{1}71^{1}$	426
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2447 2429 -2463 2429 -2465 2429 -2545 2429 -2547 2429 -2563 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2662 2448 -2652 2448 -2662 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670	-18 -34 -36 -116 -118			1.0000000	0	l		Y	$7^{1}61^{1}$	427
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2463 2429 -2465 2429 -2547 2429 -2547 2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2662 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670	-34 -36 -116 -118		0.485981	1.2857143	2	-7	N	N	2^2107^1	428
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2465 2429 -2545 2429 -2547 2429 -2563 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2662 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2670	-36 -116 -118	0.515152	0.484848	1.0000000	0	-16	N	Y		429
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2545 2429 -2547 2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2670	-116 -118	0.516279	0.483721	1.0000000	0	-16				430
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2547 2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2483 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2670	-118	0.517401	0.482599	1.0000000	0	l	Y	Y		431
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2563 2429 -2579 2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2602 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670		0.518519				l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 2429 & -2579 \\ 2429 & -2586 \\ 2434 & -2586 \\ 2434 & -2602 \\ 2434 & -2664 \\ 2434 & -2652 \\ 2448 & -2652 \\ 2448 & -2668 \\ 2448 & -2670 \\ 2478 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \\ \end{array}$						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2429 -2586 2434 -2586 2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2483 -2670 2484 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 2434 & -2586 \\ 2434 & -2602 \\ 2434 & -2664 \\ 2434 & -2652 \\ 2448 & -2652 \\ 2448 & -2668 \\ 2448 & -2670 \\ 2478 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \\ \end{array}$						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2434 -2602 2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2483 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2434 -2604 2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2483 -2670 2483 -2670 2493 -2670						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2434 -2652 2448 -2652 2448 -2668 2448 -2670 2478 -2670 2483 -2670 2488 -2670 2493 -2670						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2448 & -2652 \\ 2448 & -2668 \\ 2448 & -2670 \\ 2478 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \end{array}$						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2448 & -2670 \\ 2478 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \end{array}$						I		N	3^27^2	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2478 & -2670 \\ 2483 & -2670 \\ 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \end{array}$						-16		Y	$2^113^117^1$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2483 & -2670 \\ 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \end{array} $	-222	0.525959	0.474041	1.0000000	0	-2	Y	Y		443
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{r} 2488 & -2670 \\ 2493 & -2670 \end{array} $	-192	0.524775	0.475225	1.1666667	14	30	N	N		444
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2493 - 2670						l				445
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2493 - 2685						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2493 -2687						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2493 & -2761 \\ 2498 & -2761 \end{array} $						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2498 -2761 $2498 -2768$						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2503 - 2768						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2508 -2768						I	N	Y	$2^{1}227^{1}$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2508 -2784	-276	0.523077	0.476923	1.0000000	0	-16	N	Y	$5^17^113^1$	455
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2508 -2832	-324	0.524123	0.475877	1.3333333	32	-48	N	N		456
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2508 -2834						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2513 -2834						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2522 -2834						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2552 -2834						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2552 & -2836 \\ 2617 & -2836 \end{array} $						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2617 -2838 2617 -2838						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2617 -2849						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2617 -2865						I				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2622 -2865			0.476395			5	N	Y		466
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2622 -2867	-245	0.524625	0.475375	1.0000000	0	-2	Y	Y		467
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2622 -2941	-319	0.525641	0.474359	1.2162162	58	-74				468
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2627 -2941						l		1		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2627 -2957						l				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2632 & -2957 \\ 2641 & -2957 \end{array} $						l				
	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2641 & -2957 \\ 2646 & -2957 \end{array} $						I				
	2646 -2973						I				
	2646 -2980						I				
$ \begin{vmatrix} 477 & 3^253^1 & N & N & -7 & 2 & 1.2857143 & 0.475891 & 0.524109 & -311 \\ 478 & 2^1239^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.476987 & 0.523013 & -306 \end{vmatrix} $	2676 -2980						I				
	2676 -2987	-311	0.524109	0.475891	1.2857143		-7	N	N		477
$\begin{bmatrix} 479 & 479^{1} & Y & Y & -2 & 0 & 1,0000000 & 0,475992 & 0,524008 & -308 & -$	2681 -2987						I				
	2681 -2989	-308	0.524008	0.475992	1.0000000	0	-2	Y	Y		479
480 2 ⁵ 3 ¹ 5 ¹ N N -96 80 1.6666667 0.475000 0.525000 -404	2681 -3085						I				
$ \begin{vmatrix} 481 & 13^{1}37^{1} & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.476091 & 0.523909 & -399 \\ 482 & 2^{1}241^{1} & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.000000 & 0.477178 & 0.522822 & -394 \end{vmatrix} $	2686 -3085						l				
$ \begin{vmatrix} 482 & 2^1241^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.477178 & 0.522822 & -394 \\ 483 & 3^17^123^1 & Y & N & -16 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.476190 & 0.523810 & -410 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2691 & -3085 \\ 2691 & -3101 \end{array} $						l				
484 2 ² 11 ² N N 14 9 1.3571429 0.477273 0.522727 -396	2705 -3101 -3101						l				
485 5 ¹ 97 ¹ Y N 5 0 1.0000000 0.478351 0.521649 -391	2710 -3101						I		1		
486 2 ¹ 3 ⁵ N N 13 8 2.0769231 0.479424 0.520576 -378	2723 -3101						l				
487 487 Y Y -2 0 1.0000000 0.478439 0.521561 -380	2723 -3103						I				
488 2 ³ 61 ¹ N N 9 4 1.5555556 0.479508 0.520492 -371	2732 -3103						l				
489 3 ¹ 163 ¹ Y N 5 0 1.0000000 0.480573 0.519427 -366	2737 -3103						I				
490 2 ¹ 5 ¹ 7 ² N N 30 14 1.1666667 0.481633 0.518367 -336	2767 -3103						l				
491 491 ¹ Y Y -2 0 1.000000 0.480652 0.519348 -338	2767 -3105						l				
	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2797 & -3105 \\ 2802 & -3105 \end{array} $						l				
$ \begin{vmatrix} 493 & 17^129^1 & Y & N & 5 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.482759 & 0.517241 & -303 \\ 494 & 2^113^119^1 & Y & N & -16 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.481781 & 0.518219 & -319 \end{vmatrix} $	2802 -3105 $2802 -3121$						l				
495 3 ² 5 ¹ 11 ¹ N N 30 14 1.1666667 0.482828 0.517172 -289	2832 -3121 $2832 -3121$						l				
496 2 ⁴ 31 ¹ N N -11 6 1.8181818 0.481855 0.518145 -300	2832 -3132						l				
497 7 ¹ 71 ¹ Y N 5 0 1.0000000 0.482897 0.517103 -295	2837 -3132						I				
$oxed{498} 2^{1}3^{1}83^{1} Y N -16 0 1.0000000 0.481928 0.518072 -311$			0.518072				I	N	Y		498
$\begin{bmatrix} 499 & 499^1 & Y & Y & -2 & 0 & 1.0000000 & 0.480962 & 0.519038 & -313 \end{bmatrix}$	2837 -3148						I				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{rrr} 2837 & -3148 \\ 2837 & -3150 \\ 2837 & -3173 \end{array} $	-336	0.520000	0.480000	1.4782609	18	-23	N	N	2^25^3	500