# Notes on Linear Panel Model

Max Leung

May 10, 2024

# 1 Fixed Effect Model

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\mathbf{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 Level 1

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_i + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \underbrace{(e\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i)}_{\mathbf{u}_i}$$
Level 2

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_N \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{e} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_N \end{pmatrix} 
\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{e}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
Level 3

where  $\alpha_i$  is unobserved heterogeneity,  $\varepsilon_i$  is idiosyncratic error,  $u_i$  is composite error.

### 1.1 Assumption

#### 1.1.1 Strong/strict exogeneity of regressors

For all t,

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|\boldsymbol{x}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_{iT})=0$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{arepsilon}_i|oldsymbol{X}_i)=\mathbf{0}$$

### 1.2 OLS estimator is inconsistent and biased

The necessary condition for OLS estimator to be consistent is  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = 0$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{u}_{i}) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{u}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_{i}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})+\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{e}\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_{i}) \end{split}$$
because of strict exogeneity

 $\mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) \neq 0 \iff \mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, OLS estimator is inconsistent if  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

The necessary condition for OLS estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = 0$ . However,  $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = 0$  as  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'0) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) \neq 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) \neq 0$ . As a result, OLS estimator is biased if  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) \neq 0$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) = \mathbf{0} \text{ as } \mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'e\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'e\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}.$$
 Thus,  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i) \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) \neq 0$ 

OLS estimator of  $\beta$  is inconsistent and biased if  $\alpha_i$  is correlated with  $X_i$  ( $u_i$  is also correlated with  $X_i$ ). This is called omitted variable bias. To tackle this, we simply eliminate  $\alpha_i$  by using different methods.

## 1.3 Fixed Effect Estimator / Within Estimator

#### 1.3.1 Demean operator

$$Q = I_T - T^{-1}ee'$$

This Q is symmetric and idempotent,

$$egin{aligned} m{Q}' &= (m{I}_T - T^{-1} e e')' \ &= m{I}_T' - T^{-1} e'' e' \ &= m{I}_T - T^{-1} e e' = m{Q} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{Q}' &= oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{Q} &= (oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e') (oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e') (oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e') (oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e' - T^{-1} e e' oldsymbol{I}_T + T^{-1} e e' T^{-1} e e' \\ &= oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e' - T^{-1} e e' + T^{-2} e e' e e' \\ &= oldsymbol{I}_T - 2 T^{-1} e e' + T^{-1} e e' \\ &= oldsymbol{I}_T - T^{-1} e e' = oldsymbol{Q} \end{aligned}$$

#### 1.3.2 Demean transformed model

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{y}_i &= oldsymbol{Q}(oldsymbol{X}_ieta + oldsymbol{e}lpha_i + oldsymbol{e}lpha_i + oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i \ &= oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{X}_ieta + oldsymbol{Q}lpha_i \ &= oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{X}_ieta + oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i \end{aligned}$$

Level 2

It is because

$$egin{aligned} m{Q}m{e} &= (m{I}_T - T^{-1}m{e}m{e}')m{e} \ &= m{I}_Tm{e} - T^{-1}m{e}m{e}'m{e} \ &= m{e} - T^{-1}m{e}T \ &= m{e} - m{e} = m{0} \end{aligned}$$

It can be written as  $y_i - e\bar{y}_i = (X_i - e\bar{x}_i')\beta + (\varepsilon_i - e\bar{\varepsilon}_i)$  because

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{X}_i &= oldsymbol{I}_T oldsymbol{X}_i - T^{-1} oldsymbol{e} oldsymbol{e}' oldsymbol{X}_i \ &= oldsymbol{X}_i - T^{-1} oldsymbol{e} \left(1 & \cdots & 1
ight) egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{x}'_{i1} \ dots \ oldsymbol{x}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \ &= oldsymbol{X}_i - oldsymbol{e} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T oldsymbol{x}'_{it} \ &= oldsymbol{X}_i - oldsymbol{e} ar{oldsymbol{x}}'_i \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{y}_i &= oldsymbol{I}_T oldsymbol{y}_i - T^{-1} oldsymbol{e} oldsymbol{e}' oldsymbol{y}_i \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - T^{-1} oldsymbol{e} \left(1 \quad \cdots \quad 1
ight) egin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \ \vdots \ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{e} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{e} ar{y}_i \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{y}}_i = (\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i')\boldsymbol{\beta} + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \mathbf{e}\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_i)$  can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{x}'_{i} / \beta + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} )$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} - \bar{x}'_{i} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} - \bar{x}'_{i} \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_{i})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - \bar{x}_{i})' \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i} = (x_{it} - \bar{x}_{i})' \beta + (\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i})$$

Level 1

#### 1.3.3 OLS estimator of the demean transformed model / Fixed Effect (FE) estimator

$$\widehat{\beta}_{within}^{ols} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (QX_i)'QX_i\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (QX_i)'Qy_i$$
 Level 2
$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)'\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i)$$
 Level 1

It is because

$$egin{aligned} (oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{X}_i)'oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{X}_i &= (oldsymbol{X}_i')'(oldsymbol{X}_i - oldsymbol{e}ar{x}_i') \ &= (oldsymbol{X}_{i1}') - egin{pmatrix} 1 \ dots \ x_{iT}' - ar{x}_i' \ dots \ x_{iT}' - ar{x}_i' \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} x_{i1}' - ar{x}_i' \ dots \ x_{iT}' - ar{x}_i' \end{pmatrix} \ &= egin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - ar{x}_i)' \ dots \ x_{iT} - ar{x}_i' \end{pmatrix} \ &= egin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - ar{x}_i)' \ dots \ (x_{iT} - ar{x}_i)' \end{pmatrix} \ &= egin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - ar{x}_i)' \ dots \ (x_{iT} - ar{x}_i)' \end{pmatrix} \ &= egin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - ar{x}_i)' \ dots \ (x_{iT} - ar{x}_i)' \end{pmatrix} \ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - ar{x}_i)(x_{it} - ar{x}_i)' \end{aligned}$$

$$(QX_{i})'Qy_{i} = (X_{i} - e\bar{x}'_{i})'(y_{i} - e\bar{y}_{i})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{x}'_{i})'(\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{i})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} - \bar{x}'_{i} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} - \bar{x}'_{i} \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_{i}) & \cdots & (x_{iT} - \bar{x}_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_{i})(y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i})$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i})'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i})'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_{i} \qquad \text{Level 2}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}T\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}')\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}T\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i})$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - T\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}'\right]^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - T\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$$

$$= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}' \quad \cdots \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{N}'\right) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{N} \end{pmatrix} - T\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1} \quad \cdots \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{N}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{N}' \end{pmatrix}\right]^{-1} \left(\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}' \quad \cdots \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{N}'\right) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{N} \end{pmatrix} - T\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1} \quad \cdots \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{N}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X} - T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}\right]^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} - T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)$$
Level 3

 $(QX_i)'QX_i = (X_i - e\bar{x}_i')'(X_i - e\bar{x}_i')$ 

It is because

$$= (X'_i - \bar{x}''_i e')(X_i - e\bar{x}'_i)$$

$$= X'_i X_i - X'_i e\bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i e' X_i + \bar{x}_i e' e\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - X'_i e\bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i e' X_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - (e' X_i)' \bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i e' X_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - (\sum_{t=1}^T x'_{it})' \bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i \sum_{t=1}^T x'_{it} + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - (\sum_{t=1}^T x_{it}/T) T\bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i T \sum_{t=1}^T x'_{it}/T + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - (\sum_{t=1}^T x_{it}/T) T\bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$= X'_i X_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{x}'_i$$

$$(QX_i)'Qy_i = (X_i - e\bar{x}'_i)'(y_i - e\bar{y}_i)$$

$$= X'_i y_i - X'_i e\bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i e' y_i + \bar{x}_i e' e\bar{y}_i$$

$$= X'_i y_i - (e' X_i)' \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i e' y_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i$$

$$= X'_i y_i - (\sum_{t=1}^T x'_{it})' \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} + \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i$$

$$= X'_i y_i - (\sum_{t=1}^T x_{it}/T) T\bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i T\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}/T + \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i$$

$$= X'_i y_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i$$

$$= X'_i y_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i + \bar{x}_i T\bar{y}_i$$

#### 1.3.4 The necessary condition for consistency and unbiasedness

The necessary condition for FE estimator (OLS estimator of the demean transformed model) to be consistent is  $\mathbb{E}((QX_i)'Q\varepsilon_i) = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}((QX_i)'Q\varepsilon_i) &= \mathbb{E}(X_i'Q'Q\varepsilon_i) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_i'Q\varepsilon_i) \qquad \text{as } Q \text{ is idempotent and symmetric} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_i'Q\varepsilon_i|X_i)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_i'Q\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i|X_i))}_{0} \qquad \text{because of strict exogeneity} \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Thus, FE estimator satisfies the necessary condition for consistency given strict exogeneity assumption. Indeed, strict exogeneity is stronger than what is required. To see this, first note that for any t,

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}|\boldsymbol{x}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_{iT}) = \boldsymbol{0} \implies \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 for all  $s$ 

It is because for any t and s,

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{x}_{is}arepsilon_{it}) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{x}_{is}arepsilon_{it} | oldsymbol{x}_{i1}, \cdots, oldsymbol{x}_{iT})) \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{x}_{is}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(arepsilon_{it} | oldsymbol{x}_{i1}, \cdots, oldsymbol{x}_{iT})}_{0}) \ &= oldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$$

The necessary condition for FE estimator to be consistent can also be written as  $\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i)) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\bar{\varepsilon}_i) - \mathbb{E}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\varepsilon_{it}) + \mathbb{E}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\bar{\varepsilon}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

It is because  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for any t and s implies

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{it}) = \boldsymbol{0} \\ &\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}T^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{is}) = T^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{is})}_{\boldsymbol{0}} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ &\mathbb{E}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(T^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = T^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it})}_{\boldsymbol{0}} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ &\mathbb{E}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}) = \mathbb{E}(T^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{is}T^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{it}) = T^{-2}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it})}_{\boldsymbol{0}} = \boldsymbol{0} \end{split}$$

Thus, the weaker assumption  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for any t and s is sufficient for  $\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0}$ 

The necessary condition for FE estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(Q\varepsilon_i|QX_i) = 0$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(Qarepsilon_i|QX_i) = Q\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(arepsilon_i|X_i)}_{\mathbf{0}}$$
 as  $Q$  is constant and strict exogeneity  $=\mathbf{0}$ 

# 1.3.5 Conditional Variance of $\widehat{m{eta}}^{ols}_{within}$

Given independence of i,

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) &= Var([\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}Var(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i)[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1'} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'Var(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i)\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \end{split}$$

It is because

$$Var(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Var(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}'Var(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}')'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}'Var(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\boldsymbol{Q}''\boldsymbol{X}_{i}''$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Q}'Var(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}$$

1.3.6 
$$Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \boldsymbol{I}_T$$

If  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is homoskedasticity and serially uncorrelated across t i.e.,  $Var(\varepsilon_i|X_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$  (further assume independence of i and strict exogeneity), we have  $\varepsilon_i|X_i \sim iid\ [0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T]$ .

$$Var(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\mathbf{X}_{i}) = \mathbf{Q}Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\mathbf{X}_{i})\mathbf{Q}' = \mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{T}\mathbf{Q}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2}(\mathbf{I}_{T} - T^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{e}') = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{T} & -\frac{1}{T} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{T} \\ -\frac{1}{T} & 1 - \frac{1}{T} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{T} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{T} & -\frac{1}{T} & \cdots & 1 - \frac{1}{T} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $Q\varepsilon_i$  is homoskedasticity but negatively serially correlated. For any t,

$$Var(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(1 - \frac{1}{T}) \iff \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \frac{T}{T - 1}Var(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \frac{T}{T - 1}\widehat{Var}(\widehat{\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i}})$$

$$= \frac{T}{T - 1}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i} - (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols})^{2}}{NT - (K + N)}$$

$$= \frac{T}{T - 1}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(y_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{it}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols} - (\bar{y}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols}))^{2}}{NT - (K + N)}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{T}{T - 1}} \approx 1 \text{ if } T \text{ is large.}$$

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \underbrace{\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \boldsymbol{I}_T [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \end{split}$$
 Level 2 
$$= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^T (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)']^{-1} \end{split}$$
 Level 1

1.3.7  $Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i$ 

We have  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i | \boldsymbol{X}_i \sim inid [\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]$ .

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i))(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i))'|\boldsymbol{X}_i]\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i))(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i))'|\boldsymbol{X}_i]\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{0})(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i - \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{0})'|\boldsymbol{X}_i]\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i)'|\boldsymbol{X}_i]\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{Q}'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i)'|\boldsymbol{X}_i]\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)']^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)\mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{it}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{is}|\boldsymbol{X}_i](\boldsymbol{x}_{is} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)'[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)']^{-1} \end{split}$$

It is because

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i})' \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}(\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i})'|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i})' \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i}'|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{X}_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \\ \vdots \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}^{2}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}^{2}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \\ \vdots \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( (\boldsymbol{x}_{i1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) & (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}^{2}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}^{2}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \\ \vdots \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) \mathbb{E}[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{it}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{is}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}] (\boldsymbol{x}_{is} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \end{split}$$

Finite sample adjustment can also be added. In Stata,  $\frac{N}{N-1}\frac{T-1}{T-(K-1)}$  is multiplied.

# 1.3.8 GLS estimator of the demean transformed model if $Var(\varepsilon_i|X_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$

 $\varepsilon_i | X_i \sim iid [0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2 I_T]$  implies  $Q \varepsilon_i | X_i \sim iid [0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2 Q]$ , we want to find a GLS transformer  $T_{GLS}$  such that

$$egin{aligned} Var(oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{i}|oldsymbol{X}_{i}) &= \sigma_{arepsilon}^{2}oldsymbol{I}_{T} \ oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}Var(oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{i}|oldsymbol{X}_{i})oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}' &= \sigma_{arepsilon}^{2}oldsymbol{I}_{T} \ oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}oldsymbol{Q}^{1/2}oldsymbol{Q}^{1/2}oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}' &= oldsymbol{I}_{T} \ oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}oldsymbol{Q}^{1/2}oldsymbol{Q}^{\prime 1/2}oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}' &= oldsymbol{I}_{T} \ oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}oldsymbol{Q}^{1/2}(oldsymbol{T}_{GLS}oldsymbol{Q}^{1/2})' &= oldsymbol{I}_{T} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $T_{GLS} = Q^{-1/2}$ 

$$Q^{-1/2}Qy_i = Q^{-1/2}(QX_i\beta + Q\varepsilon_i) = Q^{-1/2}QX_i\beta + Q^{-1/2}Q\varepsilon_i$$

Thus, we have  $Var(\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}Var(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\mathbf{X}_i)\mathbf{Q}'^{-1/2} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Q}^{1/2}\mathbf{Q}^{1/2}\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\mathbf{I}_T.$ 

By Gauss-Markov Theorem, GLS estimator is efficient.

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\beta}_{within}^{gls} &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} (Q^{-1/2}QX_{i})'Q^{-1/2}QX_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Q^{-1/2}QX_{i})'Q^{-1/2}Qy_{i} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q'^{-1/2}Q^{-1/2}QX_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q'^{-1/2}Q^{-1/2}Qy_{i} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q^{-1/2}Q^{-1/2}QX_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q^{-1/2}Q^{-1/2}Qy_{i} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q^{-}QX_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Q^{-}Qy_{i} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'QX_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}'Q'Qy_{i} = \widehat{\beta}_{within}^{ols} \end{split}$$

So, FE estimator is also efficient

For generalized inverse,  $Q'Q^-Q = Q$ . As Q is idempotent and symmetry, Q = QQ' = Q'Q. Therefore,  $Q'Q^-Q = Q'Q$ .

#### 1.4 First-Difference Estimator

#### 1.4.1 First-difference operator

#### 1.4.2 First difference transformed model

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\Delta} y_i &= oldsymbol{\Delta} (X_ieta + elpha_i + arepsilon_i) \ &= oldsymbol{\Delta} X_ieta + oldsymbol{\Delta} elpha_i + oldsymbol{\Delta} arepsilon_i \ &= oldsymbol{\Delta} X_ieta + oldsymbol{\Delta} arepsilon_i \ &= oldsymbol{\Delta} X_ieta + oldsymbol{\Delta} arepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

Level 2

It is because

It can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i2} - y_{i1} \\ y_{i3} - y_{i2} \\ y_{i4} - y_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - y_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i2} - x'_{i1} \\ x'_{i3} - x'_{i2} \\ x'_{i4} - x'_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} - x'_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i2} - \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \varepsilon_{i3} - \varepsilon_{i2} \\ \varepsilon_{i4} - \varepsilon_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \varepsilon_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i2} - y_{i1} \\ y_{i3} - y_{i2} \\ y_{i4} - y_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - y_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i2} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i1})' \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{i3} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i2})' \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{i4} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i3})' \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i2} - \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \varepsilon_{i3} - \varepsilon_{i2} \\ \varepsilon_{i4} - \varepsilon_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \varepsilon_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} = (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$
Level 1

#### 1.4.3 OLS estimator of the first difference transformed model

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{fd}^{ols} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{X}_i\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{y}_i$$
 Level 2

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=2}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})'\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=2}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}) (y_{it} - y_{i,t-1})$$
 Level 1

It is because

$$(\Delta \boldsymbol{X}_{i})' \Delta \boldsymbol{X}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i3} - x_{i2})' \\ (x_{i4} - x_{i3})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i3} - x_{i2})' \\ (x_{i4} - x_{i3})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= ((x_{i2} - x_{i1}) \quad (x_{i3} - x_{i2}) \quad (x_{i4} - x_{i3}) \quad \cdots \quad (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})) \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i2} - x_{i1})' \\ (x_{i3} - x_{i2})' \\ (x_{i4} - x_{i3})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{t=2}^{T} (x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})(x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})'$$

$$= \sum_{t=2}^{T} (x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})(x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})'$$

$$= \sum_{t=2}^{T} (x_{i1} - x_{i,t-1})(x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})'$$

$$= ((x_{i2} - x_{i1})' \\ (x_{i3} - x_{i2})' \\ (x_{i4} - x_{i3})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i2} - y_{i1} \\ y_{i3} - y_{i2} \\ y_{i4} - y_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - y_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= ((x_{i2} - x_{i1}) \quad (x_{i3} - x_{i2}) \quad (x_{i4} - x_{i3}) \quad \cdots \quad (x_{iT} - x_{i,T-1})) \begin{pmatrix} y_{i2} - y_{i1} \\ y_{i3} - y_{i2} \\ y_{i4} - y_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - y_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

### 1.4.4 The necessary condition for consistency and unbiasedness

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{T} (x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})(y_{it} - y_{i,t-1})$ 

The necessary condition for FD estimator (OLS estimator of the FD transformed model) to be consistent is  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta X_i)'\Delta \varepsilon_i = 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}((\Delta X_i)'\Delta \varepsilon_i) &= \mathbb{E}(X_i'\Delta'\Delta \varepsilon_i) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_i'\Delta \Delta' \varepsilon_i|X_i)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_i'\Delta \Delta'\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i|X_i)}_{0}) \end{split}$$
 because of strict exogeneity 
$$= 0$$

Thus, FD estimator satisfies the necessary condition for consistency given strict exogeneity assumption. Indeed, strict exogeneity is stronger than what is required. To see this, first note that for any t

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}|\boldsymbol{x}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_{iT}) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$$
 for all  $s$ 

The necessary condition for FD estimator to be consistent can also be written as  $\mathbb{E}((x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{it}) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) = \mathbf{0}$$

It is because  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for any t and s implies

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{it}\varepsilon_{i:t-1}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i:t-1}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i:t-1}\varepsilon_{i:t-1}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus, the weaker assumption  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{x}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for any t and s is sufficient for  $\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbf{0}$ 

The necessary condition for FD estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta \varepsilon_i | \Delta X_i) = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta arepsilon_i | \Delta X_i) = \Delta \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(arepsilon_i | X_i)}_{\mathbf{0}}$$
 as  $\Delta$  is constant and strict exogeneity  $= \mathbf{0}$ 

# 1.4.5 Conditional Variance of $\hat{\beta}_{fd}^{ols}$

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{fd}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) &= Var([\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{y}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}Var(\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{y}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i)[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1'} \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'Var(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i)\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \end{split}$$

# **1.4.6** $Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \boldsymbol{I}_T$

If  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is homoskedasticity and serially uncorrelated across t i.e.,  $Var(\varepsilon_i|X_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$  (further assume independence of i and strict exogeneity), we have  $\varepsilon_i|X_i \sim iid\ [\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T]$ .

$$Var(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon_i}|\boldsymbol{X_i}) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{X_i})\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\boldsymbol{I_T}\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
Thus,  $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$  is homoskedastic-

ity but not serially uncorrelated e.g.  $Cov(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1} - \varepsilon_{i,t-2} | \mathbf{X}_i) = -\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 < 0$ . Therefore, we cannot apply Gauss-Markov Theorem.

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{fd}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) &= [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\Delta}' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{\Delta}' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\Delta}' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \end{split}$$

1.4.7  $Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i$ 

We have  $\varepsilon_i | X_i \sim inid [0, \Omega_i],$ 

 $Var(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}])(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}])'|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}]\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} - \mathbf{0})(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} - \mathbf{0})'|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}]\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}]\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}]\boldsymbol{\Delta}' = \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}$ 

$$Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{fd}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)' E[\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i)'|\boldsymbol{X}_i] \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i)'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}$$

If  $\varepsilon_{it}$  follows random walk process i.e.,  $\varepsilon_{it} = \varepsilon_{i,t-1} + v_{it}$  where  $v_{it}$  follows white noise process,  $\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1} = v_{it}$  follows white noise process. Thus,  $\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}$  is homoskedasticity and serially uncorrelated as they are the properties of white noise process. As a result, FD estimator is efficient in this case by applying Gauss-Markov Theorem.

# 1.5 Least-Squares Dummy Variable Estimator

$$oldsymbol{y} = (oldsymbol{I}_N \otimes oldsymbol{e}) oldsymbol{lpha} + oldsymbol{X} oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{arepsilon} = ig((oldsymbol{I}_N \otimes oldsymbol{e}) \quad oldsymbol{X}ig) oldsymbol{igatharpoonup}_{oldsymbol{eta}} oldsymbol{+} oldsymbol{arepsilon}$$

Level 3

$$\begin{split} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{dv}^{ols} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{dv}^{ols} \end{pmatrix} &= \left[ \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e}) & \boldsymbol{X} \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e}) & \boldsymbol{X} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e}) & \boldsymbol{X} \end{pmatrix}' \boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e})'(\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e}) & (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e})'\boldsymbol{X} \\ \boldsymbol{X}'(\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e}) & \boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{e})'\boldsymbol{y} \\ \boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T\boldsymbol{I}_N & T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \\ T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}' & \boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T\bar{\boldsymbol{y}} \\ \boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{dv}^{ols} &= \left[ \boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X} - T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'\bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \right]^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} - T\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{within}^{ols} \end{split}$$

It is because

$$((I_N \otimes e) \quad X)' ((I_N \otimes e) \quad X) = \begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes e)' \\ X' \end{pmatrix} ((I_N \otimes e) \quad X)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes e)'(I_N \otimes e) & (I_N \otimes e)'X \\ X'(I_N \otimes e) & (I_N \otimes e)'X \end{pmatrix}$$

$$((I_N \otimes e)'(I_N \otimes e) = \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e' & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e'e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e'e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (I_N \otimes e)'X = \begin{pmatrix} e' & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e'X_1 \\ \vdots \\ e'X_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^T x'_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{t=1}^T x'_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} T\sum_{t=1}^T x'_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ T\sum_{t=1}^T x'_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} T\overline{x}_1' \\ \vdots \\ T\overline{x}_N' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= T\overline{X}$$

$$egin{aligned} ig((I_N\otimes e) & oldsymbol{X}ig)'oldsymbol{y} = ig(egin{aligned} (I_N\otimes e)' \ oldsymbol{X}' \ oldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{y} \ & = ig(egin{aligned} (I_N\otimes e)'oldsymbol{y} \ oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Another way to show the equivalence of within estimator and dummy variable estimator by using Frisch-Waugh-Lovell Theorem,

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + (oldsymbol{I}_N \otimes oldsymbol{e})oldsymbol{lpha} + oldsymbol{arepsilon} \ oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{XE} \ oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{E}oldsymbol{lpha}_{XE} + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{XE} \ oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{E}oldsymbol{lpha}_{XE} + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{XE} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\alpha}_{yE} &= (E'E)^{-1}E'y \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\begin{pmatrix} e' & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' \end{pmatrix})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e' & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e' e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ e' y_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (e'e)^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & (e'e)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e'y_1 \\ \vdots \\ e'y_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (e'e)^{-1}e'y_1 \\ \vdots \\ (e'e)^{-1}e'y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^T y_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ T^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^T y_{Nt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e\bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ e\bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e\bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ e\bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e\bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ e\bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Qy_1 \\ \vdots \\ Qy_N \end{pmatrix} = Qy \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ &= Qy \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly,

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_{XE} = oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{X}$$

By Frisch-Waugh-Lovell Theorem,

$$egin{aligned} \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{dv}^{ols} &= (\widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_{XE}^\prime \widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_{XE})^{-1} \widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_{XE}^\prime \widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_{yE} \ &= [(oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX}]^{-1} (oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{Qy} = \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{unithin}^{ols} \ &= [(oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX}]^{-1} (oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{Qy} = \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{unithin}^{ols} \ &= [(oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX}]^{-1} (oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{Qy} = \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{unithin}^{ols} \ &= [(oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX}]^{-1} (oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{Qy} = \widehat{oldsymbol{Q}}_{unithin}^{ols} \ &= [(oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX}]^{-1} (oldsymbol{QX})^\prime oldsymbol{QX} = [($$

If  $N \to \infty$ , the number of  $\alpha_i$  estimated goes to infinity. If T is fixed, the LSDV estimates are consistent for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  (as FE estimates for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is consistent for fixed T and  $N \to \infty$ ) but inconsistent for  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . (There is no incidental parameters problem as the estimates for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  are not contaminated). If T also  $\to \infty$ , then the LSDV estimates of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  are also consistent.

# 2 Random Effect Model

$$y_{it} = \boldsymbol{x}_{it}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_i + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \underbrace{(e\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i)}_{\mathbf{y}_i}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix}$$
$$y = X\beta + (I_N \otimes e)\alpha + \varepsilon$$

## 2.1 Assumptions

# 2.1.1 Strong/Strict Exogeneity of Regressors

For all t,

$$E(\varepsilon_{it}|\boldsymbol{x}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_{iT})=0$$

Equivalently,

$$E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

#### 2.1.2 Covariance Structure

$$egin{aligned} arepsilon_i | oldsymbol{X}_i &\sim iid \ [\mathbf{0}, \sigma_arepsilon^2 oldsymbol{I}_T] \ & lpha_i | oldsymbol{X}_i &\sim iid \ [\mathbf{0}, \sigma_lpha^2] \ & arepsilon_i oldsymbol{\perp} lpha_i | oldsymbol{X}_i \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2 Moments of $u_i|X_i$

$$\Omega := Var(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = Var(\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) 
= Var(\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) + Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) 
= \boldsymbol{e}Var(\alpha_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\boldsymbol{e}' + Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) 
= \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}\boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{e}' + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\boldsymbol{I}_{T} 
= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \end{pmatrix} 
= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

because of  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i \perp \alpha_i | \boldsymbol{X}_i$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{u}_i|oldsymbol{X}_i) &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{e}lpha_i+alepsilon_i|oldsymbol{X}_i) \ &= oldsymbol{e}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(lpha_i|oldsymbol{X}_i)}_0 + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i|oldsymbol{X}_i)}_{oldsymbol{0}} \ &= oldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{iT}) = 0$  called orthogonality assumption.  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_i) = 0 \implies Cov(\alpha_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . It is because  $Cov(\alpha_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i \mathbf{X}_i) - \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i)\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_i|\mathbf{X}_i)) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_i))\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|\mathbf{X}_i)\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . There is no OVB i.e.,  $\mathbf{u}_i$  is not correlated with  $\mathbf{X}_i$ .

 $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0}$  means that the necessary condition for OLS estimator to be unbiased is satisfied. Moreover,  $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = \mathbf{0}$  which means that the necessary condition for OLS estimator to be consistent is also satisfied. However,  $u_i|X_i$  is homoskedasticity but serially correlated. Thus, the necessary condition for OLS estimator to be efficient is not satisfied. As a result, it is not efficient.

As we know the covariance structure of  $u_i|X_i$  due to the strong assumptions in random effect model, we can use GLS estimation, which yields efficient estimates.

# 2.3 Random Effect Estimator (GLS Estimator)

#### 2.3.1 GLS transformed model

We want to find a  $T_{GLS}$  such that

$$Var(T_{GLS}u_i|X_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$$
 $T_{GLS}Var(u_i|X_i)T_{GLS}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$ 
 $T_{GLS}\Omega T_{GLS}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$ 
 $T_{GLS}\Omega^{1/2}\Omega^{1/2}T_{GLS}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$ 
 $T_{GLS}\Omega^{1/2}\Omega'^{1/2}T_{GLS}' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$ 
 $T_{GLS}\Omega^{1/2}(T_{GLS}\Omega^{1/2})' = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$ 

So,  $T_{GLS} = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2}$ . Define  $\psi^2 := \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}{T \sigma_{\rho}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}$ .

$$\begin{split} & \Omega = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}' \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \frac{T\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \frac{T\sigma_{\alpha}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} - \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \frac{T\sigma_{\alpha}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} - \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} - 1) T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + (\frac{1}{\psi^{2}} - 1) T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \frac{1}{\psi^{2}} T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}' - T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}') \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} - T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}' + \frac{1}{\psi^{2}} (T^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e}' - \boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \boldsymbol{I}_{T})) \\ & = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\boldsymbol{Q} + \frac{1}{\psi^{2}} (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} - \boldsymbol{Q})) \end{split}$$

$$\Omega^{-1} = [\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(\boldsymbol{Q} + \frac{1}{\psi^{2}}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} - \boldsymbol{Q}))]^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-2}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{-} + \psi^{2}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{Q}^{-}))$$

$$= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-2}(\boldsymbol{Q} + \psi^{2}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} - \boldsymbol{Q}))$$

$$\Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Q} + \psi(\boldsymbol{I}_T - \boldsymbol{Q}))$$
  
$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}\Omega^{-1/2} = (\boldsymbol{Q} + \psi(\boldsymbol{I}_T - \boldsymbol{Q}))$$

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\boldsymbol{e} \alpha_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i})) = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{u}_{i}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}$$
So,  $Var(\sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{u}_{i} | \boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} Var(\boldsymbol{u}_{i} | \boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{'-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \Omega^{-1/2} \Omega \Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \Omega^{-1/2} \Omega^{1/2} \Omega^{1/2} \Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{I}_{T}$ 

$$(Q + \psi(I_T - Q))y_i = (Q + \psi(I_T - Q))X_i\beta + (Q + \psi(I_T - Q))e\alpha_i + (Q + \psi(I_T - Q))\varepsilon_i$$
 Level 2

It can also be written as

$$y_i - \lambda e \bar{y}_i = (X_i - \lambda e \bar{x}_i') \beta + (1 - \lambda) e \alpha_i + (\varepsilon_i - \lambda e \bar{\varepsilon}_i)$$
 Level 2

where  $\lambda = 1 - \psi = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{T\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}}$ . It is because

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_{ar{arepsilon}} \Omega^{-1/2} oldsymbol{y}_i &= (oldsymbol{Q} + \psi(oldsymbol{I}_T oldsymbol{Q}) oldsymbol{y}_i &= oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{y}_i + \psi(oldsymbol{I}_T oldsymbol{Q} oldsymbol{y}_i) \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{e} ar{y}_i + \psi oldsymbol{e} ar{y}_i \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{e} ar{y}_i (1 - \psi) \ &= oldsymbol{y}_i - \lambda oldsymbol{e} ar{y}_i \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_{arepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} X_i eta &= (Q + \psi(I_T - Q)) X_i eta &= Q X_i eta + \psi(I_T X_i eta - Q X_i eta) \ &= (X_i - e ar{x}_i') eta + \psi(X_i eta - (X_i - e ar{x}_i') eta) \ &= (X_i eta - e ar{x}_i' eta) + \psi(X_i eta - X_i eta + e ar{x}_i' eta) \ &= X_i eta - e ar{x}_i' eta + \psi e ar{x}_i' eta \ &= (X_i - e ar{x}_i' + \psi e ar{x}_i') eta \ &= (X_i - e ar{x}_i' (1 - \psi)) eta \ &= (X_i - \lambda e ar{x}_i') eta \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega^{-1/2} e \alpha_{i} = (Q + \psi(I_{T} - Q)) e \alpha_{i} = Q e \alpha_{i} + \psi(I_{T} e \alpha_{i} - Q e \alpha_{i})$$

$$= 0 \alpha_{i} + \psi(e \alpha_{i} - 0 \alpha_{i})$$

$$= \psi e \alpha_{i}$$

$$= (1 - \lambda) e \alpha_{i}$$

Random effect estimator is the OLS estimator of the beta in the transformed model  $\mathbf{y}_i - \lambda \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{y}}_i = (\mathbf{X}_i - \lambda \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i')\boldsymbol{\beta} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{e}\alpha_i + (\varepsilon_i - \lambda \mathbf{e}\bar{\varepsilon}_i)$ .

Fixed effect / within estimator is the OLS estimator of the beta in the transformed model  $y_i - e\bar{y}_i = (X_i - e\bar{x}_i')\beta + (\varepsilon_i - e\bar{\varepsilon}_i)$ .

Pooled OLS estimator is the OLS estimator of the beta in the original model  $y_i = X_i\beta + e\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i$ .

As  $T \to \infty$ ,  $\lambda \to 1$ ,  $y_i - \lambda e \bar{y}_i = (X_i - \lambda e \bar{x}_i')\beta + (1 - \lambda)e\alpha_i + (\varepsilon_i - \lambda e \bar{\varepsilon}_i)$  converges to  $y_i - e \bar{y}_i = (X_i - e \bar{x}_i')\beta + (\varepsilon_i - e \bar{\varepsilon}_i)$ Thus, random effect estimator converges to fixed effect / within estimator as  $T \to \infty$ .

As  $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 \to 0$ ,  $\lambda \to 0$ ,  $y_i - \lambda e \bar{y}_i = (X_i - \lambda e \bar{x}_i')\beta + (1 - \lambda)e\alpha_i + (\varepsilon_i - \lambda e \bar{\varepsilon}_i)$  converges to  $y_i = X_i\beta + e\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i$  Thus, random effect estimator converges to pooled OLS estimator as  $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 \to 0$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{x}'_{i}) \beta + (1 - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_{i} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\varepsilon}_{i})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_{i1} - \lambda \bar{x}'_{i} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{iT} - \lambda \bar{x}'_{i} \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \lambda)\alpha_{i} \\ \vdots \\ (1 - \lambda)\alpha_{i} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} - \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_{i1} - \lambda \bar{x}_{i})' \\ \vdots \\ (x_{iT} - \lambda \bar{x}_{i})' \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \lambda)\alpha_{i} \\ \vdots \\ (1 - \lambda)\alpha_{i} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} - \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_{it} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i} = (x_{it} - \lambda \bar{x}_{i})' \beta + \underbrace{(1 - \lambda)\alpha_{i} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}_{i})}_{v_{it}}$$
Level 1

#### 2.3.2 OLS estimator of the GLS transformed model i.e., Random Effect / GLS estimator

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{re}^{ols} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \lambda e \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}')' (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \lambda e \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}')\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \lambda e \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}')' (\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \lambda e \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i})$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})'\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) (y_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i})$$
Level 1

If  $x_{it}$  is replaced by  $x_{it} - \bar{x}$  and  $\bar{x}_i$  is replaced by  $\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}$ ,

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) - \lambda(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i + \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - (1 - \psi)\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i + (1 - \psi)\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i + \psi \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i + \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \psi \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) + \psi(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) - \lambda(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) - \lambda(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))' = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) + \psi(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) + \psi(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \psi \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' + \psi \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \psi^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)(\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \psi^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

It is because

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' - \sum_{i=1}^{N} T\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})'$$

$$= \mathbf{0}$$

Similarly, if  $y_{it}$  is replaced by  $y_{it} - \bar{y}$  and  $\bar{y}_i$  is replaced by  $\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) - \lambda(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))((y_{it} - \bar{y}) - \lambda(\bar{x}_{i} - \bar{y})) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})(y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i}) + \psi^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(\bar{y}_{i} - \bar{y})$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_{re}^{ols} = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)' + \psi^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})')^{-1}$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i) + \psi^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}))$$

If 
$$T \to \infty$$
,  $\psi^2 \to 0$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_{re}^{ols} \to \hat{\beta}_{within}^{ols}$ 

If  $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 \to 0$ ,  $\psi^2 \to 1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_{re}^{ols} \to \hat{\beta}_{pool}^{ols}$  It is because

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) + (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})) ((\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) + (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}))' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})' \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(y_{it} - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})(y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})(\bar{y}_{i} - \bar{y})$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\beta}_{pool}^{ols} = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})')^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (y_{it} - \bar{y}))$$

$$= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}})')^{-1}$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) (y_{it} - \bar{y}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}))$$

So, pooled OLS estimator is an inefficient weighted average of within and between effects. RE estimator is an efficient weighted average of within and between effects. As RE model assumes  $\varepsilon_i | X_i \sim iid [0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2 I_T]$ ,

$$Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{re}^{ols}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \right]^{-1}$$

# **2.3.3** Between Effect Model and estimation of $\sigma_{\alpha}^2$

$$\begin{split} \bar{y}_i &= \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \overbrace{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_i}^{v_i} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_B^2 &= Var(v_i) = Var(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \\ &= Var(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i) + Var(\bar{\varepsilon}_i) \\ &= Var(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i) + T^{-1}Var(\varepsilon_{it}) \end{split}$$

as  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is serially uncorrelated

$$\underbrace{Var(\alpha_i)}_{\sigma_{\alpha}^2} = \underbrace{Var(v_i)}_{\sigma_B^2} - T^{-1} \underbrace{Var(\varepsilon_{it})}_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}$$

# 3 GMM Estimation of Linear Panel Model

# 3.1 Linear Panel Model

$$egin{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \ dots \ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{x}'_{i1} \ dots \ oldsymbol{x}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{eta} + egin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{X}_i oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{u}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

## 3.2 Exogeneity Assumption

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i'\boldsymbol{u}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

 $Z_i$  is a  $T \times r$  matrix. r is the number of exogeneous variables in  $X_i$  plus the number of instrumental variables for endogeneous variables in  $X_i$ . In GMM context, r is also the number of moment conditions.

K is the number of parameters.

 $r \geq K$ . If r = K, the model is just-identified, GMM is the same as MM; if r > K, the model is over-identified.

#### 3.2.1 Summation Assumption

The weakest exogeneity assumption

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1}' \ dots \ oldsymbol{z}_{iT}' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{u}_{i}) = \mathbb{E}(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{z}_{i1}' \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{iT}' \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}) = \mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{z}_{i1} \quad \cdots \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{iT}) \begin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}) = \mathbb{E}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{z}_{it}u_{it}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

#### 3.2.2 Contemporaneous Exogeneity Assumption

Stronger

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Z}_i &= egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{z}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} egin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \ dots \ \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{z}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} egin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{z}_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} egin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ dots \ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{iT} \end{matrix}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{iT} \end{matrix}^{\prime} \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{iT} \end{matrix}^{\prime} ) \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \end{matrix}^{\prime} ) \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \end{matrix}^{\prime} ) \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i2} \end{matrix}^{\prime} ) \ &= \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i1} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i1} \end{matrix}^{$$

#### Weak/Sequential Exogeneity Assumption 3.2.3

Stronger

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Z}_i &= egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i2} & dots & dots \ dots & dots & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i2} & dots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i2} \end{pmatrix} & dots & dots \ oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i2} \end{pmatrix} & egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{u}_{i1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ oldsymbol{z}_{i1} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & \ddots & oldsymbol{z}'_{i1} & oldsymbol{\omega}'_{i1} & oldsymb$$

which is equivalent as  $\mathbb{E}(z_{is}u_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$ .

Strong form of sequential exogeneity  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|\mathbf{z}_{it},\cdots,\mathbf{z}_{i1})=0$  implies weak form of sequential exogeneity  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is}u_{it})=\mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$  as  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is}u_{it})=\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is}u_{it}|\mathbf{z}_{it},\cdots,\mathbf{z}_{i1}))=\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|\mathbf{z}_{it},\cdots,\mathbf{z}_{i1})}_{0})=\mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$ .

It also implies  $Cov(\mathbf{z}_{is},u_{it})=\mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$  as  $Cov(\mathbf{z}_{is},u_{it})=\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is}u_{it})}_{\mathbf{0}}-\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is})\mathbb{E}(u_{it})=-\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_{is})\mathbb{E}(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|\mathbf{z}_{it},\cdots,\mathbf{z}_{i1})}_{0})=\mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t.$ 

#### Strong/Strict Exogeneity Assumption 3.2.4

The strongest exogeneity assumption

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{(z'_{i1} & \cdots & z'_{iT})} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & oldsymbol{(z'_{i1} & \cdots & z'_{iT})} & dots & dots \ dots & & dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & & \ddots & oldsymbol{0} \ oldsymbol{0} & & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{(z'_{i1} & \cdots & z'_{iT})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i'u_i) = \mathbb{E}\left( \begin{array}{cccc} (z_{i1}' & \cdots & z_{iT}') & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & (z_{i1}' & \cdots & z_{iT}') & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & (z_{i1}' & \cdots & z_{iT}') \end{array} \right)' \begin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left( \begin{array}{cccc} \left( z_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT} \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT} \\ \end{array} \right) & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \left( z_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT} \\ \end{array} \right) \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left( \begin{array}{cccc} \left( z_{i1}u_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT}u_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT}u_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z_{iT}u_{iT} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}(z_{iT}u_{i1}) \\ \mathbb{E}(z_{i1}u_{i2}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}(z_{iT}u_{i2}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}(z_{iT}u_{iT}) \\ \end{array} \right)$$

which is equivalent as  $\mathbb{E}(z_{is}u_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $s = 1, \dots, T$ 

Strong form of strict exogeneity  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|\boldsymbol{z}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{iT})=0$  implies weak form of strict exogeneity  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}u_{it})=\boldsymbol{0}$  for  $s=1,\cdots,T$ . Since for  $s=1,\cdots,T$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}u_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}u_{it}|\boldsymbol{z}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{iT}))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|\boldsymbol{z}_{i1},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{iT})}_{0})$$

### 3.3 GMM Estimator of Linear Panel Model

#### 3.3.1 Unconditional Moment Condition

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{u}_{i}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0})) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

where  $\beta_0$  is the true population parameter. So,  $g(d_i; \theta_0) = Z_i' u_i = Z_i' (y_i - X_i \beta_0)$ 

#### 3.3.2 Objective / Loss Function

We want to find  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  from the parameter space such that the squared distance between  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))$  i.e.,

$$\begin{split} &[\rho(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0})))]^{2} \qquad \text{where } \rho(.) \text{ is a metric function} \\ &= ||\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))||^{2} \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))}_{0})'\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0})))}_{0}) \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N)'\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N) \geq 0 \qquad \text{as distance cannot be negative} \end{split}$$

is as close to the zero as possible. The distance is a function of  $\beta$  i.e.,

$$Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) := (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_i'(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})/N)' \mathbf{W}_N(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_i'(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})/N) \ge 0$$

If  $W_N$  is symmetric and positive definite, then  $Q_N(\beta)$  is strictly convex. So, first order condition becomes sufficient and there is an unique minimizer.

#### 3.3.3 Gradient Vector

$$\nabla Q_{N}(\beta) = \frac{\partial Q_{N}(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)}{\partial \beta}$$

$$= 2(\frac{\partial (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)}{\partial \beta'})' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)$$

$$= 2[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{y}_{i}}{\partial \beta'} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta}{\partial \beta'})/N]' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)$$

$$= 2[\sum_{i=1}^{N} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta}{\partial \beta'}/N]' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)/N)$$

$$= -2(1/N^{2})\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i})' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)$$

$$= -2(1/N^{2})\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_{i}'\mathbf{Z}_{i}'' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{y}_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta)$$

$$= -2(1/N^{2})[(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_{i}'\mathbf{Z}_{i})' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{y}_{i}) - (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_{i}'\mathbf{Z}_{i})' \mathbf{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'\mathbf{X}_{i})\beta]$$

If r = K, both  $(\frac{\partial (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})/N)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'})'$  and  $\mathbf{W}_{N}$  are square matrixes and invertible. In this case, FOC is  $\nabla Q_{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pmm}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{Z}_{i}'(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pmm})/N = \mathbf{0}$  which is MM estimation.

#### 3.3.4 First Order Condition

$$\begin{split} -2(1/N^2)[(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) - (\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm}] &= \boldsymbol{0} \\ (\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) - (\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm} &= \boldsymbol{0} \\ (\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i})\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm} \\ [(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i})]^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) &= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm} \end{split}$$

Special case: if  $\mathbf{W}_N = (\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{Z}_i)^{-1}$ ,

$$egin{aligned} \widehat{eta}_{pgmm} &= [(\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i) (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{Z}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{Z}_i' oldsymbol{X}_i)]^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i) (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{Z}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{Z}_i' oldsymbol{y}_i) \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i \widehat{oldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{2SLS}]^{-1} \widehat{oldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{2SLS} \sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{Z}_i' oldsymbol{y}_i \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{Z}_i \widehat{oldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{2SLS}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (oldsymbol{Z}_i \widehat{oldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{2SLS})' oldsymbol{y}_i = \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{p2SLS} \end{aligned}$$

Special case: if r = K, the model is just-identified, GMM is the same as MM,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pmm} &= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm} = [(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}) \boldsymbol{W}_{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i})]^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}) \boldsymbol{W}_{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{y}_{i}) \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i})^{-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{N}^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{Z}_{i})^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}) \boldsymbol{W}_{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{y}_{i}) \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i})^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \boldsymbol{y}_{i}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{piv} \end{split}$$

Special case: if all regressors are exogeneous:  $\mathbf{Z}_i = \mathbf{X}_i$  (which implies r = K),

$$egin{aligned} \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{pgmm} &= \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{piv} \ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{X}_i)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{y}_i) = \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{pols} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm} &= [(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{X}_{i})]^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}'\boldsymbol{Z}_{i})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) \\ &= [(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}' \quad \cdots \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{N}') \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{N} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{W}_{N} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z}_{1}' & \cdots & \boldsymbol{Z}_{N}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{N} \end{pmatrix}]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{1}' & \cdots & \boldsymbol{X}_{N}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{N} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{W}_{N} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z}_{1}' & \cdots & \boldsymbol{Z}_{N}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{N} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= [\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{y} \end{split}$$

# 3.4 Conditional Variance of $\widehat{eta}_{pqmm}$

$$Var(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'Var(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}')'$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'Var(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{Z}''\mathbf{W}_{N}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}'')$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'Var(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}Var(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}))(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}))'|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u})'|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{Z}''|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z})\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}$$

$$[\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}]^{-1'} = [\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}]'^{-1}$$

$$= [\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}''\mathbf{W}'_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}'']^{-1}$$

$$= [\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}''\mathbf{W}'_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}'']^{-1}$$

$$= [\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W}_{N}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}]^{-1}$$

$$\begin{split} Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pgmm}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Z}) &= Var([\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Z}) \\ &= [\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1}Var(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Z})[\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1'} \\ &= [\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Z})\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}[\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}_{N}\boldsymbol{Z}'\boldsymbol{X}]^{-1} \end{split}$$

# 4 GMM Estimation of Fixed Effect Model

$$egin{aligned} y_{it} &= oldsymbol{x}_{it}'oldsymbol{eta} + lpha_i + arepsilon_{it} \ oldsymbol{y}_i &= oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + \underbrace{(oldsymbol{e}lpha_i + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i)}_{oldsymbol{u}_i} \ oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + (oldsymbol{I}_N \otimes oldsymbol{e})oldsymbol{lpha} + oldsymbol{arepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

## 4.1 Assumption

 $\alpha_i$  is potentially correlated with  $X_i$ , so  $u_i$  is potentially correlated with  $X_i$ 

 $\varepsilon_i$  is also potentially correlated with  $X_i$ , so  $u_i$  is potentially correlated with  $X_i$ 

Even after eliminating  $\alpha_i$  by using any arbitrary operators T,  $\tilde{u}_i := Tu_i$  is still potentially correlated with  $\tilde{X}_i := TX_i$  because of the potential correlation between  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $X_i$ . Thus,  $\tilde{X}_i$  is potentially endogeneous.

If  $\tilde{X}_i$  is endogeneous, OLS estimation is inconsistent and biased. We should use IV estimation (for just-identified case) and 2SLS estimation (for over-identified case). IV and 2SLS estimation are special cases of GMM estimation.

#### 4.2 GMM Estimator of Fixed Effect Model

There exists a T such that Te = 0.

#### 4.2.1 Transformed Model

$$egin{aligned} ilde{m{y}}_i &:= m{T}m{y}_i = m{T}(m{X}_im{eta} + m{u}_i) = m{T}m{X}_im{eta} + m{T}m{u}_i := m{X}_im{eta} + ilde{m{u}}_i \ & ilde{m{u}}_i := m{T}m{u}_i = m{T}(m{e}lpha_i + m{arepsilon}_i) = m{T}m{e}lpha_i + m{T}m{arepsilon}_i = m{ar{o}}_i = m{ar{e}}_i = m{ ilde{e}}_i \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $\tilde{u}_i = T\varepsilon_i$  is correlated with  $\tilde{X}_i := TX_i$  if  $\varepsilon_i$  is correlated with  $X_i$ .

If 
$$T = Q = I_T - T^{-1}ee'$$
,

$$egin{aligned} ilde{oldsymbol{y}}_i &= ilde{oldsymbol{X}}_i oldsymbol{eta} + ilde{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_i \ (oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{e}ar{y}_i) &= (oldsymbol{X}_i - oldsymbol{e}ar{oldsymbol{x}}_i') oldsymbol{eta} + (oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i - oldsymbol{e}ar{eta}_i) \ (oldsymbol{y}_{it} - ar{oldsymbol{y}}_i) &= (oldsymbol{x}_{it} - ar{oldsymbol{x}}_i)' oldsymbol{eta} + (oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i - oldsymbol{e}ar{eta}_i) \end{aligned}$$

Under weak form of weak/sequential exogeneity assumption  $\mathbb{E}(z_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$ .

For  $s \leq t$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i})) &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{0} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{it}/T) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1} + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{is} + \dots + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{iT}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1}) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{is}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{iT})) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1}) + \boldsymbol{0} + \dots + \boldsymbol{0}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1})) \end{split}$$

So  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{it}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i))$  is not necessarily equal to zero under weak form of weak/sequential exogeneity assumption. If weak form of strong/strict exogeneity is assumed  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0} \ \forall s$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0} \ \forall s$ . So,  $\boldsymbol{z}_{is}$ ,  $s=1,\cdots,T$  satisfy the exclusion restriction (exogeneity) requirement of valid instrument since  $Cov(\boldsymbol{z}_{is},\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i))}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is})\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i))}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is})\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i)$ 

$$-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is})(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it})}_{0}-T^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it})}_{0})=\boldsymbol{0} \ \forall s \ (\text{additionally assume} \ \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it})=0). \ \text{So, we have}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{z}_{is}(arepsilon_{it}-ar{arepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0} \ & \iff \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{Z}_i'(arepsilon_i - ear{arepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0} \ & \iff \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{Z}_i' ilde{arepsilon}_i) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

We can then apply IV estimation in GMM framework.

If  $T = \Delta$ 

$$egin{aligned} ilde{oldsymbol{y}}_i &= ilde{oldsymbol{X}}_i oldsymbol{eta} + ilde{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_i \ oldsymbol{\Delta y}_i &= oldsymbol{\Delta X}_i oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{\Delta arepsilon}_i \ (y_{it} - y_{i,t-1}) &= (oldsymbol{x}_{it} - oldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})' oldsymbol{eta} + (arepsilon_{it} - arepsilon_{i,t-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Under weak form of weak/sequential exogeneity assumption  $\mathbb{E}(z_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$ .

For s < t, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) \qquad \text{as } s < t \implies s \le t$$

$$= \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{as } s < t \iff s < t - 1$$

So,  $z_{is}$  for s < t satisfy the exclusion restriction (exogeneity) requirement of valid instrument since  $Cov(z_{is}, \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) = 0$  for s < t (additionally assume  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}) = 0$ ). Equivalently,

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} t = 2; oldsymbol{z}_{i1}' & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & t = 3; oldsymbol{\left(z_{i1}' & z_{i2}'\right)} & dots & dots \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \ddots & oldsymbol{0} & t = T; oldsymbol{\left(z_{i1}' & \cdots & z_{iT-1}'\right)} \end{pmatrix}$$

So, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\iff \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\boldsymbol{\Delta}\varepsilon_{i}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\iff \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}'\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}) = \mathbf{0}$$

We can then apply IV estimation in GMM framework.

# 5 GMM Estimation of Random Effect Model

$$egin{aligned} y_{it} &= oldsymbol{x}_{it}'oldsymbol{eta} + lpha_i + arepsilon_{it} \ oldsymbol{y}_i &= oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + \underbrace{\left(oldsymbol{e}lpha_i + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i
ight)}_{oldsymbol{u}_i} \ oldsymbol{y} &= oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + (oldsymbol{I}_N \otimes oldsymbol{e})oldsymbol{lpha} + oldsymbol{arepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

## 5.1 Assumption

 $\alpha_i$  is not correlated with  $X_i$ .

 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$  is potentially correlated with  $\boldsymbol{X}_i$ , so  $\boldsymbol{u}_i$  is potentially correlated with  $\boldsymbol{X}_i$ . Thus,  $\boldsymbol{X}_i$  is potentially endogeneous.

If  $X_i$  is endogeneous, OLS estimation is inconsistent and biased. We should use IV estimation (for just-identified case) and 2SLS estimation (for over-identified case). IV and 2SLS estimations are special cases of GMM estimation.

Assume

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{u}_i|\boldsymbol{Z}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 Which is stronger than  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i'\boldsymbol{u}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$  as  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{u}_i|\boldsymbol{Z}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$  implies  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i'\boldsymbol{u}_i) = \boldsymbol{0}$ 

And assume

$$Var(\boldsymbol{u}_i|\boldsymbol{Z}_i) = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\alpha}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### 5.1.1 Optimal Moment Condition

$$D_{i} = \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial u'_{i}}{\partial \beta}|Z_{i})Var(u_{i}|Z_{i})^{-1}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial (Z_{i}\beta)'}{\partial \beta}|Z_{i})\Omega_{i}^{-1}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(Z'_{i}|Z_{i})\Omega_{i}^{-1}$$

$$= Z'_{i}\Omega_{i}^{-1}$$

Optimal unconditional moment is

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{D}_ioldsymbol{u}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{Z}_i'oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{Z}_i'oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{Z}_i'oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{E}((oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{Z}_i)'oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= oldsymbol{\sigma}_{arepsilon}^2\mathbb{E}((oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{Z}_i)'oldsymbol{\sigma}_{arepsilon}^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= oldsymbol{\sigma}_{arepsilon}^2\mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{E}((oldsymbol{\sigma}_{arepsilon}^{-1/2}oldsymbol{Z}_i)'oldsymbol{\sigma}_{arepsilon}oldsymbol{\Omega}_i^{-1/2}oldsymbol{u}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the model should be transformed by  $\sigma_{\varepsilon} \Omega_{i}^{-1/2}$ 

# 5.2 GMM Estimator of Random Effect Model

# 5.2.1 Transformed Model

$$\begin{split} & \text{formed Model} \\ & \sigma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\boldsymbol{e} \alpha_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i})) = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{u}_{i}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \\ & (\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \lambda \boldsymbol{e} \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}) = (\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \lambda \boldsymbol{e} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}') \boldsymbol{\beta} + [(1 - \lambda) \boldsymbol{e} \alpha_{i} + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} - \lambda \boldsymbol{e} \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i})] \\ & \lambda = 1 - \psi = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{T \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}} \\ & (\boldsymbol{y}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}) = (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + [(1 - \lambda) \alpha_{i} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i})] \end{split}$$

Under weak form of weak/sequential exogeneity assumption  $\mathbb{E}(z_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $s \leq t$ .

For  $s \leq t$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}[(1-\lambda)\alpha_{i} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \lambda\bar{\varepsilon}_{i})]) &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(1-\lambda)\alpha_{i} + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \lambda\bar{\varepsilon}_{i})) \\ &= (1-\lambda)\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\alpha_{i}) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) - \lambda\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}) \\ &= (1-\lambda)\boldsymbol{0} + \boldsymbol{0} - \lambda E(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{it}/T) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{T}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1} + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{is} + \dots + \boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{iT}) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1}) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{is}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{iT})) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1}) + \boldsymbol{0} + \dots + \boldsymbol{0}) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{T}(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i1}) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{i,s-1})) \end{split}$$

So  $\mathbb{E}(z_{it}(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i))$  is not necessarily equal to zero under weak form of weak/sequential exogeneity assumption.

If weak form of strong/strict exogeneity assumption is assumed  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbf{0} \ \forall s$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i)) = \mathbf{0} \ \forall s$ So,  $\boldsymbol{z}_{is}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, T$  satisfy the exclusion restriction (exogeneity) requirement of valid instrument.

So, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{z}_{is}[(1-\lambda)\alpha_i + (\varepsilon_{it} - \lambda\bar{\varepsilon}_i)]) = \mathbf{0}$$
 for  $\forall s$   $\iff \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i'[(1-\lambda)\boldsymbol{e}\alpha_i + (\varepsilon_i - \lambda\boldsymbol{e}\bar{\varepsilon}_i)]) = \mathbf{0}$ 

We can then apply IV estimation in GMM framework.

# 6 Dynamic Linear Panel Model

# 6.1 Assumption

#### 6.1.1 Weak/Sequential Exogeneity

For  $t = 2, \dots, T$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|y_{i,t-1},\cdots y_{i1},\alpha_i)=0$$

This implies

$$\mathbb{E}(y_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = 0, \ \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}) = 0 \quad and \quad \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i\varepsilon_{it}) = 0$$
 for  $s < t$ 

And

$$Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it}) = 0$$
 and  $Cov(\alpha_i, \varepsilon_{it}) = 0$  for  $s < t$ 

It is because

$$Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(y_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(y_{is})\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(y_{is}\varepsilon_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \cdots y_{i1}, \alpha_i)) - \mathbb{E}(y_{is})\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \cdots y_{i1}, \alpha_i))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(y_{is}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \cdots y_{i1}, \alpha_i)}_{0}) - \mathbb{E}(y_{is})\mathbb{E}(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \cdots y_{i1}, \alpha_i)}_{0})$$
 as  $s < t$ 

$$= 0$$

#### 6.2 Model

#### 6.2.1 No Transformation

$$y_{it} = \gamma y_{i,t-1} + \boldsymbol{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \underbrace{(\alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it})}_{u_{it}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(y_{i,t-1},\alpha_i) &= Cov(\gamma y_{i,t-2} + \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1},\alpha_i) \\ &= \gamma Cov(y_{i,t-2},\alpha_i) + Cov(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}'\boldsymbol{\beta},\alpha_i) + Var(\alpha_i) + \underbrace{Cov(\varepsilon_{i,t-1},\alpha_i)}_{0} \\ &= \gamma Cov(y_{i,t-2},\alpha_i) + \boldsymbol{\beta}' Cov(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1},\alpha_i) + Var(\alpha_i) \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$
 assume  $Cov(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1},\alpha_i) \neq 0$  and  $Var(\alpha_i) > 0$ 

so that

$$Cov(y_{i,t-1}, u_{it}) = Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it})$$

$$= \underbrace{Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \alpha_i)}_{\neq 0} + \underbrace{Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{it})}_{0}$$

$$\neq 0$$

The necessary condition for OLS estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{it}) = 0$ . As  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{it}) = 0 \implies Cov(y_{i,t-1}, u_{it}) = 0$ . As a result,  $Cov(y_{i,t-1}, u_{it}) \neq 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{it}) \neq 0$ . Thus, OLS estimator is biased.

# 6.2.2 Special case: no $x_{it}$

$$y_{it} = \gamma y_{i,t-1} + \underbrace{\left(\alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}\right)}_{u_{it}}$$

The necessary condition for OLS estimator to be consistent is  $\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}u_{it}) = 0$ . However,

$$\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}u_{it}) = \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}(\alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\alpha_i) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{it})}_{0} > 0$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\alpha_i) &= \mathbb{E}((\gamma y_{i,t-2} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1})\alpha_i) \\ &= \gamma \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-2}\alpha_i) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}\alpha_i) \\ &= \gamma \mathbb{E}((\gamma y_{i,t-3} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-2})\alpha_i) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}\alpha_i|y_{i,t-2},\cdots,y_{i1},\alpha_i)) \\ &= \gamma^2 \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-3}\alpha_i) + \gamma \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \gamma \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{i,t-2}\alpha_i) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}|y_{i,t-2},\cdots,y_{i1},\alpha_i)}_{0}) \\ &= \gamma^2 \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-3}\alpha_i) + \gamma \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) \\ &\cdots \\ &= \gamma^{t-2} \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-(t-2+1)}) + \gamma^{t-2-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) \\ &= \gamma^{t-2} \mathbb{E}(y_{i1}) + \gamma^{t-3} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i^2) \\ &= \gamma^{t-2} y_{i1} + \gamma^{t-3} Var(\alpha_i) + \cdots + Var(\alpha_i) \\ &> 0 \end{split} \qquad y_{i1} \text{ is initial value and assume } \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i) = 0 \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, OLS estimator is inconsistent. The necessary condition for OLS estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}) = 0$ . As  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}u_{it}) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}u_{it}) \neq 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(u_{it}|y_{i,t-1}) \neq 0$ . Thus, OLS estimator is biased. It can also be seen by OVB formula.

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{short} &= \frac{Cov(y_{it}, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})} \\ &= \frac{Cov(\gamma_{long}y_{i,t-1} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})} \\ &= \gamma_{long} + \frac{Cov(\alpha_i, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})} + \overbrace{\frac{Cov(\varepsilon_{it}, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})}}^{0} \\ &= \gamma_{long} + \frac{Cov(\alpha_i, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})} \end{split}$$

$$\gamma_{short} - \gamma_{long} = \frac{Cov(\alpha_i, y_{i,t-1})}{Var(y_{i,t-1})} > 0$$
 if  $Var(y_{i,t-1}) > 0$ 

$$Cov(\alpha_i, y_{i,t-1}) = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i y_{i,t-1}) - \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i)\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}) > 0$$
 see above for  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i y_{i,t-1}) > 0$  and assume  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i) = 0$ 

Thus, OLS estimator is biased upward / over-estimate.

#### 6.2.3 Within Transformation

$$\begin{aligned} y_{it} - \bar{y}_i &= \gamma (y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1}) + (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)' \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \\ Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \bar{\varepsilon}_i) &= Cov(\gamma y_{i,t-2} + \boldsymbol{x}'_{i,t-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1}, T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{it}) \\ &\neq 0 \\ & \qquad \qquad \text{since } \varepsilon_{i,t-1} \text{ is correlated with } T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Cov(y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1}, \varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \neq 0$$

The necessary condition for FE estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i|y_{i,t-1}-\bar{y}_{i,-1},\boldsymbol{x}_{it}-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)=0$ . As  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i|y_{i,t-1}-\bar{y}_{i,-1},\boldsymbol{x}_{it}-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)=0$ . As a result,  $Cov(y_{i,t-1}-\bar{y}_{i,-1},\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i)\neq 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it}-\bar{\varepsilon}_i|y_{i,t-1}-\bar{y}_{i,-1},\boldsymbol{x}_{it}-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)\neq 0$ . Thus, FE estimator is biased.

#### 6.2.4 Special case: no $x_{it}$

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_i = \gamma(y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1}) + (\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i)$$

The bias is called Nickell (1981) bias / dynamic panel bias. If  $\gamma > 0$ , the bias must be negative. The bias converges to zero when  $T \to \infty$ .

#### 6.2.5 First Difference Transformation

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\delta} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i} \\ \begin{pmatrix} y_{i3} - y_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} - y_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_{i2} - y_{i1} & (\boldsymbol{x}_{i3} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i2})' \\ \vdots \\ y_{i,T-1} - y_{i,T-2} & (\boldsymbol{x}_{iT} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,T-1})' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i3} - \varepsilon_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} - \varepsilon_{i,T-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} &= \gamma(y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) + (\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) \end{split} \quad t \geq 3 \end{split}$$

$$Cov(y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}, \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) = Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{it}) - Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) - Cov(y_{i,t-2}, \varepsilon_{it}) + Cov(y_{i,t-2}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= 0 - Cov(y_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) - 0 + 0 \qquad \text{as } Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it}) = 0 \text{ for } s < t$$

$$= -Cov(\gamma y_{i,t-2} + \mathbf{x}'_{i,t-1}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= -\gamma \underbrace{Cov(y_{i,t-2}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})}_{0} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\beta}'} \underbrace{Cov(\mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})}_{0} - \underbrace{Cov(\alpha_i, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})}_{0} - Var(\varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$< 0 \qquad \text{assume } Var(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) > 0$$

The necessary condition for FD estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}|y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}) = 0$ . As  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}|y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i,t-1}) = 0$ . As a result,  $Cov(y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}, \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) \neq 0$ . Thus, FD estimator is biased.

#### 6.2.6 Special case: no $x_{it}$

$$y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} = \gamma(y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) + (\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

The necessary condition for FD estimator to be consistent is  $\mathbb{E}((y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = 0$ . However,

$$\mathbb{E}((y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{it}) - \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) - \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-2}\varepsilon_{it}) + \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-2}\varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= 0 - \mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-1}\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) - 0 + 0 \qquad \text{as } \mathbb{E}(y_{is}\varepsilon_{it}) = 0 \text{ for } s < t$$

$$= -\mathbb{E}((\gamma y_{i,t-2} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1})\varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= -\gamma \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(y_{i,t-2}\varepsilon_{i,t-1})}_{0} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i\varepsilon_{i,t-1})}_{0} - \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}^2)$$

$$= -Var(\varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= 0 \qquad \text{assume } Var(\varepsilon_{i,t-1}) > 0$$

Thus, FD estimator is inconsistent. The necessary condition for FD estimator to be unbiased is  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1} | y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) = 0$ . As  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1} | y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}((y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}((y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2})(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) \neq 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1} | y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) \neq 0$ . Thus, FD estimator is biased.

Thus, IV estimation (for just-identified case) or 2SLS estimation (for over-identified case) is applied. IV and 2SLS estimations are special cases of GMM estimation.

Under weak/sequential exogeneity,  $Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it}) = 0$  for s < t. This implies for  $s < t - 1 \iff s \le t - 2$ 

$$Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{it-1}) = Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it}) - Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$$= 0 - Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) \qquad \text{as } s < t-1 \implies s < t$$

$$= 0 \qquad \text{as } s < t-1$$

Note that  $Cov(y_{is}, \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(y_{is}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = 0$  as  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) = 0$  under weak/sequential exogeneity. So,  $y_{is}$  for  $s \le t-2$  satisfy the exclusion restriction (exogeneity) requirement of valid instrument. i.e.,

$$\tilde{z}'_{i3} = (y_{i1}, \Delta x'_{i3})$$
 at  $t = 3$  at  $t = 4$ 

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{iT} = (y_{i1}, \cdots, y_{i,T-2}, \Delta \boldsymbol{x}'_{iT})$$
 at  $t = T$ 

That is, 
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{it} = [y_{i1}, \cdots, y_{i,t-2}, \Delta \boldsymbol{x}'_{it}]$$
.  $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{i3} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{i4} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix}$ 

So, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{z}_{it}(\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\iff \mathbb{E}(Z_i' \Delta \varepsilon_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

We can then apply 2SLS estimation in GMM framework. This is the same as Arellano-Bond estimator.

#### 6.2.7 Anderson-Hsiao Estimator

Anderson & Hsiao (1981) considers a special case  $y_{is}$  for s=t-2 i.e.,  $y_{i,t-2}$  as the instrument since they not only satisfy the exclusion restriction (exogeneity) requirement but also satisfy the relevancy requirement of valid instrument i.e., correlates with  $y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}'_{it} = [y_{i,t-2}, \Delta \boldsymbol{x}'_{it}]$ 

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} \left(y_{i1} & \Delta oldsymbol{x}'_{i3}
ight) & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & \left(y_{i2} & \Delta oldsymbol{x}'_{i4}
ight) & dots & dots \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & \left(y_{i,T-2} & \Delta oldsymbol{x}'_{iT}
ight) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{z}'_{it} = \left[\underbrace{\Delta y_{i,t-2}}_{y_{i,t-2}-y_{i,t-3}}, \Delta x'_{it}\right]$$

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} \left( \Delta y_{i2} & \Delta x'_{i4} 
ight) & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \\ dots & \left( \Delta y_{i3} & \Delta x'_{i5} 
ight) & dots & dots \\ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \\ oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & \left( \Delta y_{i,T-2} & \Delta x'_{iT} 
ight) \end{pmatrix}$$

As only one instrument is used at each t, the number of moments is equal to the number of parameters i.e., r = K. In such case, GMM estimation = MM estimation = IV estimation.

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{AH}^{pgmm} = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}' \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{AH}^{piv}$$

#### 6.2.8 Arellano-Bond Estimator

Arellano & Bond (1991) considers all the possible cases i.e.,  $y_{is}$  for  $s \leq t-2$ . Except t=3, more than one instruments are used, number of moments is larger than the number of parameters i.e., r > K. GMM estimation is 2SLS estimation if  $\mathbf{W}_N = (\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{Z}_i)^{-1}$ .

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}'_{it} = [y_{i1}, \cdots, y_{i,t-2}, \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{it}]$$

$$oldsymbol{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} \left(y_{i1} & \Delta x'_{i3}
ight) & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \\ dots & \left(y_{i1} & y_{i2} & \Delta x'_{i4}
ight) & dots & & dots \\ dots & & dots & \ddots & & dots \\ oldsymbol{0} & & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} & \left(y_{i1} & \cdots & y_{i,T-2} & \Delta x'_{iT}
ight) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{AB}^{pgmm} = [(\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_i' \boldsymbol{Z}_i) \boldsymbol{W}_N (\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{Z}_i' \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_i)]^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_i' \boldsymbol{Z}_i) \boldsymbol{W}_N (\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{Z}_i' \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)$$

if 
$$\mathbf{W}_N = (\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{Z}_i)^{-1}, \, \widehat{\delta}_{AB}^{pgmm} = \widehat{\delta}_{AB}^{2SLS}$$

if 
$$\mathbf{W}_N = \widehat{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}, \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{AB}^{pgmm} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{AB}^{opgmm}$$

# 7 Pooled Model and Clustered Standard Error

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\mathbf{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 Level 1

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}'_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_i + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{iT} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{e}\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i)}_{\mathbf{u}_i}$$
Level 2

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} e & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix} 
\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + (\mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{e})\alpha + \varepsilon$$
Level 3

where  $\alpha_i$  is unobserved heterogeneity,  $\varepsilon_i$  is idiosyncratic error,  $u_i$  is composite error.

If  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0$ , OLS estimator is likely to be unbiased and consistent.  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0}$  as  $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'e\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i|X_i) = X_i'e\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X) + \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, the necessary condition for OLS estimator to be unbiased is satisfied if  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0$ 

 $\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = \mathbf{0}$  as  $\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_i'u_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'\mathbb{E}(u_i|X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(X_i'\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, the necessary condition for OLS estimator to be consistent is satisfied if  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(\alpha_iX_i) = \mathbf{0}$$
 and  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_i|X_i) = 0 \implies Cov(\alpha_i,X_i) = \mathbf{0}$  as  $Cov(\alpha_i,X_i) = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_iX_i) - \mathbb{E}(\alpha_i)\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pooled}^{ols} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{y}_{i}$$
 Level 2
$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}'\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} y_{it}$$
 Level 1

As pooled model does not perform any transformation before OLS estimation, so Q = I.

$$Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pooled}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{I}' \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{I}' Var(\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{I}' \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}$$
$$= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_i' \boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}$$

If  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is homoskedasticity and serially uncorrelated across t i.e.,  $Var(\varepsilon_i|X_i) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T$  (further assume independence of i and strict exogeneity), we have  $\varepsilon_i|X_i \sim iid\ [\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 I_T]$ 

$$egin{aligned} &= \sigma_arepsilon^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N oldsymbol{X}_i' oldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \ &= \sigma_arepsilon^2 [\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T oldsymbol{x}_{it} oldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

If  $Var(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i$ , we have  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i|\boldsymbol{X}_i \sim inid [\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]$ 

$$= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{i}' | \boldsymbol{X}_{i}]}_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}[\boldsymbol{X}_{i}]} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}' \boldsymbol{X}_{i}]^{-1}$$

$$= [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{s=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{is} | \boldsymbol{X}_{i}] \boldsymbol{x}_{is}' [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1}$$

## 7.1 Petersen (2009) Simulation Result

#### 7.1.1 Only individual fixed effect

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\mathbf{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

If there is only  $\alpha_i$  (individual fixed effect) and  $\alpha_i$  is not correlated with  $x_{it}$  (so no OVB), OLS estimator is unbiased and clustered standard error clustered by individual is unbiased. In contrast, conventional standard error, White standard error, Newey-West standard error, Fama-Macbeth standard error are biased downward (over-rejection).

#### 7.1.2 Only time fixed effect

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\mathbf{\beta} + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

If there is only  $\gamma_t$  (time fixed effect) and  $\gamma_t$  is not correlated with  $\boldsymbol{x}_{it}$  (so no OVB), OLS estimator is unbiased and Fama-Macbeth standard error and clustered standard error clustered by time (only if T is large) is unbiased. In contrast, conventional standard error are biased downward (over-rejection).

#### 7.1.3 Both individual and time fixed effect

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\mathbf{\beta} + \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Clustered standard error clustered by both individual and time is unbiased. Cameron, Gelbach, & Miller (2011), and Thompson (2011) suggests clustered standard error clustered by both individual and time = Clustered standard error clustered by individual + Clustered standard error clustered by time - White standard error. Simulation result seems work.

# 7.2 Clustering Problem

#### 7.2.1 Block bootstrapping

Suggested by MacKinnon, Nielsen, & Webb (2022).

#### 7.2.2 Clustered standard error with independence of i (Liang & Zeger, 1986)

Clustered standard error can handle both heteroscedasticity and serial correlation within a cluster / group.

$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pooled}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_i \boldsymbol{X}_i [\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{X}_i'\boldsymbol{X}_i]^{-1}$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_i = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_i' = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1}^2 & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i2} & \cdots & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT} \\ \vdots & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i2}^2 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i1} & \cdots & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i,T-1} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iT}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_2 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_{pooled}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_i) = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{s=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \hat{\varepsilon}_{it} \hat{\varepsilon}_{is} \boldsymbol{x}_{is}' [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1}$$

If N (the number of cluster) is small, it is biased. However, we can adjust it by Bell & McCaffrey (2002)'s Bias-Reduced Linearization (BRL) adjustment (analogous to HC2 (MacKinnon & White, 1985) in cross-sectional case), and using t-distribution with N-K degree of freedom, instead of standard normal distribution. Finite sample adjustment can also be added. In Stata,  $\frac{N}{N-1}\frac{T-1}{T-K}$  is multiplied.

In BRL adjustment, we replace  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$  by

$$\widetilde{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_i = oldsymbol{A}_i \widehat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_i$$

where  $A_i'A_i = (I_T - H_i)^{-1}$  where  $H_i = X_i(X'X)^{-1}X_i'$  the projection/hat matrix.

There are many possible  $A_i$ , Bell & McCaffrey (2002) uses eigen-decomposition of the inverse of the residual marker  $I_T - H_i$  i.e.,

$$egin{aligned} (oldsymbol{I}_T - oldsymbol{H}_i)^{-1} &= oldsymbol{P} oldsymbol{\Lambda} oldsymbol{P}' \ &= oldsymbol{P} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} oldsymbol{P}' \ &= oldsymbol{P} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} (oldsymbol{P} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2})' \ &= oldsymbol{A}' oldsymbol{A}'' \end{aligned}$$

where P is a matrix in which vectors are eigenvectors;  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues items.

A weight can also be added, this generalizes the Newey-West estimator.

$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pooled}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{s=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} w_{t,s} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{it} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{is} \boldsymbol{x}_{is}' [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1}$$

where

$$w_{t,s} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|s-t|}{L+1} & \text{if } |s-t| \le L\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Petersen (2009) finds that this adjustment is worser than the one without weight.

#### 7.2.3 Clustered standard error with dependence of i (Driscoll & Kraay, 1998)

$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{pooled}^{ols}|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{w}_{t,s} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{it} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{js} \boldsymbol{x}_{js}' [\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{x}_{it}']^{-1}$$

where

$$w_{t,s} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|s-t|}{L+1} & \text{if } |s-t| \le L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It requires large T. L is up to you.

# 8 References

- Anderson, T. W., & Hsiao, C. (1981). Estimation of Dynamic Models with Error Components. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 76(375), 598–606.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations. The Review of Economic Studies, 58(2), 277-297.
- Bell, R. M., & McCaffrey, D. F. (2002). Bias Reduction in Standard Errors for Linear Regression with Multi-Stage Samples. Survey Methodology, 28(2), 169–179.
- Cameron, A. C., Gelbach, J. B., & Miller, D. L. (2011). Robust Inference With Multiway Clustering. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 29(2), 238–249.
- Cameron, A. C., & Trivedi, P. K. (2005). Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications.
- Driscoll, J. C., & Kraay, A. C. (1998). Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimation with Spatially Dependent Panel Data. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 80(4), 549–560.
- Hansen, B. E. (2022). Econometrics.
- Liang, K.-Y., & Zeger, S. L. (1986). Longitudinal Data Analysis Using Generalized Linear Models. Biometrika, 73(1), 13–22.
- MacKinnon, J. G., Nielsen, M. Ø., & Webb, M. D. (2022). Cluster-robust inference: A guide to empirical practice. Journal of Econometrics, 232(2), 272–299.
- MacKinnon, J. G., & White, H. (1985). Some Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimators with Improved Finite Sample Properties. Journal of Econometrics, 29(3), 305–325.
- Nickell, S. (1981). Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects. Econometrica, 49(6), 1417–1426.
- Petersen, M. A. (2009). Estimating Standard Errors in Finance Panel Data Sets: Comparing Approaches. The Review of Financial Studies, 22(1), 435–480.
- Thompson, S. B. (2011). Simple formulas for standard errors that cluster by both firm and time. Journal of Financial Economics, 99(1), 1–10.