
B Sc Thesis Exposé

Megamodel-driven Traceability Recovery & Exploration of Correspondence & Conformance Links

> Maximilian Meffert (210 101 205)

University of Koblenz-Landau

Motivation



O/R/X Correspondence & Conformance Links

Formal Background

• Parthood[2][3]

```
x \text{ partOf } x
x \text{ partOf } y \land y \text{ partOf } x \Rightarrow x = y
x \text{ partOf } y \land y \text{ partOf } z \Rightarrow x \text{ partOf } z
x \text{ properPartOf } y \Leftrightarrow x \text{ partOf } y \land \neg(y \text{ partOf } x)
```

• Correspondence[2]

```
 \begin{aligned} &(a_1,a_2) \in R \subseteq L_1 \times L_2 \\ & \wedge \forall b_1 \in L_1 : b_1 \; \mathsf{partOf} \; a_1 \Rightarrow (\exists ! b_2 \in L_2 : b_2 \; \mathsf{partOf} \; a_2 \wedge b_1 \; \mathsf{correspondsTo}_R \; b_2) \\ & \wedge \forall b_2 \in L_2 : b_2 \; \mathsf{partOf} \; a_2 \Rightarrow (\exists ! b_1 \in L_1 : b_1 \; \mathsf{partOf} \; a_2 \wedge b_2 \; \mathsf{correspondsTo}_R \; b_1) \\ & \Rightarrow a_1 \; \mathsf{correspondsTo}_R \; a_2 \end{aligned}
```

• Conformance[2]

 $\forall x \in Any : x \in L \subseteq Any \Leftrightarrow \exists d \in D \subseteq Any : x \text{ conformsTo } d$

Research Hypotheses

RH1 Fragment Correspondence Hypothesis

```
\forall a_1 \in L_1, a_2 \in L_2 \exists b_1 \in L_1, b_2 \in L_2: \\ a_1 \text{ correspondsTo}_R \ a_2 \Rightarrow b_1 \text{ partOf } a_2 \land b_2 \text{ partOf } a_2 \land b_1 \text{ correspondsTo}_R \ b_2
```

RH2 Fragment Conformance Hypothesis

```
\forall a_1 \in L, a_2 \in D \exists b_1 \in L, b_2 \in D:
 a_1 conformsTo a_2 \Rightarrow b_1 partOf a_2 \land b_2 partOf a_2 \land b_1 conformsTo b_2
```

Research Questions

RQ1 Is correspondence to some extend strictly mereologically induced?

```
\forall a_1 \in L_1, a_2 \in L_2 \exists b_1 \in L_1, b_2 \in L_2:
a_1 \text{ correspondsTo}_R a_2
\Rightarrow b_1 \text{ properPartOf } a_2 \land b_2 \text{ properPartOf } a_2 \land b_1 \text{ correspondsTo}_R b_2
```

RQ2 Is conformance to some extend strictly mereologically induced?

$$\forall a_1 \in L_1, a_2 \in L_2 \exists b_1 \in L_1, b_2 \in L_2:$$
 $a_1 \text{ conformsTo } a_2$
 $\Rightarrow b_1 \text{ properPartOf } a_2 \land b_2 \text{ properPartOf } a_2 \land b_1 \text{ conformsTo } b_2$

Thesis Objectives

- TO1 Implementation of a MegaL/Xtext-extension capable of recovering traceability links representing parthood, correspondence and conformance relationships between code fragments.
- TO2 Implementation of a MegaL/Xtext-extension allowing for an user to visually explore traceability links, i.e. parthood, correspondence and conformance relationships between code fragments
- TO3 Providing an extensive discussion comparing MegaL with related approaches on traceability recovery.
- TO4 Providing an extensive discussion comparing MegaL with related approaches on ontologies for software artifacts or software engineering in general.
- TO5 Providing an answer for the research questions.

References 1

 Jean-Marie Favre, Ralf Lämmel, and Andrei Varanovich. Modeling the linguistic architecture of software products.
 In Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems - 15th International Conference, MODELS 2012, Innsbruck, Austria, September 30-October 5, 2012. Proceedings, pages 151–167, 2012.

[2] Ralf Lämmel.

Coupled software transformations revisited.

In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Software Language Engineering, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 31 - November 1, 2016, pages 239–252, 2016.

[3] Achille C. Varzi.

Parts, wholes, and part-whole relations: The prospects of mereotopology. Data Knowl. Eng., 20(3):259–286, 1996.