

Context:

As per General Guidelines “1. Every year, there is a DAO-wide vote to determine which DAO members will serve on a special council on the APE Foundation (the DAO’s “Board”). The purpose of the Board is to administer DAO proposals and serve the vision of the community

. This initial Board will only serve six months.”

Motivation:

With one of the purposes of the Board being to serve the vision of the community, shouldn't the Board include an independent member? Possibly even more than one.

While all current members play key relevant roles in the industry, one thing in common between all Board members is that, either explicitly or implicitly, all of them represent their respective investment institution / have their own agendas

making the DAO Board 100% VC controlled with 0% community / independent representation - putting at risk the true nature of being a DAO.

Keen to hear everyone's comments on this and hope to help with a fruitful debate.