[GIP-31](#) was a hard fork on Gnosis Chain that changed an existing, "should-be-immutable" contract code with a new bytecode to fix a reentrancy issue (517 tokens were impacted). I haven't seen any broader discussion about this incident, nor have I seen many callouts. I think it's time to change that (and by that, I mean having a productive discussion). Could such an incident also happen on Ethereum? Do we need further governance mechanisms to prevent such an incident completely (e.g. disallowing such EIP proposals etc.). Please drop your thoughts here.

Two similar incidents:

- Polygon:

- [Polygon Lack Of Balance Check Bugfix Review — $2.2m Bounty | by Immunefi | Immunefi | Medium](#)

- https://polygon.technology/blog/all-you-need-to-know-about-the-recent-network-upgrade

- [Polygon Lack Of Balance Check Bugfix Review — $2.2m Bounty | by Immunefi | Immunefi | Medium](#)

- https://polygon.technology/blog/all-you-need-to-know-about-the-recent-network-upgrade

- Binance

- [Release v1.1.16 · bnb-chain/bsc · GitHub](#)

- [Release v1.1.16 · bnb-chain/bsc · GitHub](#)