

Moving forward, it sounds to me that one of the infrastructure-level things we need to get right is to increase large voter participation earlier in the AIP process. This was mentioned by the gov stewards and it looks apparent if you follow the AIP themselves. Most AIPs seem to have a short discussion period, after which they are forced into a vote which results in rejection without any indication in the discussion phase as to what could have been changed to get more votes.

It seems important to loop in more voters earlier into the AIP process before we go into snapshot voting so that we get a clearer idea of how the vote might go. It would also help adjust the proposal to make it more tenable for more people. I'm curious if anyone has any ideas as to how this can be done. I'll start with a few:

- Integrate Telegram notifications when AIPs get into a new "temperature check" phase. Here voters are informed via TG that there's an AIP about to head into snapshot and feedback will be requested.
- Task a group of 4 selected randomly from within a pool specified to write 2 FOR and 2 AGAINST. Have these summaries attached to the proposal. This should help voters quickly grasp the proposal and understand short term/long term effects and vote accordingly.