In this post, we describe another type of PoW (dual PoW) to produce a block, which reveals similar properties to classical PoW (namely, primal PoW) - a probability of producing a block is proportional to the miner's hash power, but the resulting statistics of block time and hash value are somewhat dual

. A similar property can be found for linear programming (LP) and so we name the algorithms as primal/dual PoW.

```
Primal PoW
: A list of miners (0, ..., n - 1
) concurrently solves a hash-based puzzle so that a miner has the right to produce next time if the hash value of the block
satisfies:
h_j \leq d
where i
is the index of the miner, h_j
is the hash value of the block mined, and d
is the difficulty.
Assuming there is no network latency, the miner who finds the block hash earliest will win, i.e.,
i = \arg \min_j (t_j)
where t_j
is the time that a miner solves the puzzle, and i
is the index of the miner that is chosen as the block producer in this round.
Dual PoW
: A list of miners (0, ..., n - 1
) concurrently solves a hash-based puzzle in time t
. At t
, each miner reveals the block with the smallest hash value h j
during mining, and the miner with the smallest hash value has the right to produce the block, i.e.,
i = \arg \min_j(h_j)
, and
t_j = t, \forall j \in {0, ..., n - 1}
With the definitions of the primal and dual PoW, we first have the following result:
Result 1: Linear Probability
: Assuming the hash powers of the miners are [H_0, H_1, ..., H_{n - 1}]
```

PoW is  $p_i = \frac{H_i}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{H}_j}}$ 

, the probability of a miner producing a block for both primal/dual

## Result 2: Dual Statistics

: Another interesting result is that the statistics of the block mined may exhibit dual property, which is summarized below:

## Algorithm

**Block Time** 

Block Hash
Primal PoW
Exponential(1/expected_block_time)
Uniformly distributed in [0, d]
Dual PoW
t
Exponential *

(\*) Approximate from Beta distribution (link)

Application to Blockchain

: Directly applying dual PoW to the blockchain may be vulnerable to self-fish attack - if a miner finds a hash value that is small enough, it may start to mine the next block before t

expires. A further solution to alleviate the issue is under investigation. One direction may be that a block with a specific height is unknown until t

expires by incorporating the smallest hash values of other miners that are broadcasted after t

into the block.