

Why was the decision made to restrict BAKC tokens from claiming \$APE unless the claim function is called 1:1 with a MAYC or BAYC that also has unclaimed tokens?

With how many holders have had BAYC and MAYC with no BAKC paired with them, this restriction has doomed a great deal of \$APE to be unclaimable.

I personally see no advantage, other than reducing the supply of \$APE coin from the original allocation that will actually make it into the hands of the community members who hold the NFTs.

Why shouldn't we make an AIP that makes all BAKC \$APE claimable?

Perhaps a middleground is each BAYC or MAYC can only be used to claim from a BAKC once, but the BAYC or MAYC need not be in an unclaimed \$APE state.