

I'll spare everyone the time of using a lot of words and get to the meat of it.

The Arbitrum foundation has essentially admitted to nullifying the need of governance via the DAO and arbitrarily posted a governance proposal with a vote that didn't matter.

To that end, there is only 1 real solution and it's to issue a buy-back of \$ARB (all that was sold and clearly shown what prices, when, and how) and to do so in an orderly manner to regain goodwill from the community.

The buyback period should be done in the same duration that the sell period began (ie from the start of the selling till the last sell) and using a more clean TWAP for the week.

Tail scenarios:

If the foundation doesn't support the proposal and continues to sell \$ARB, the bid will evaporate and the token will collapse as selling ~700m more \$ARB is incredibly short-sighted for the project and doesn't bear any use for the foundation in the near term.

If the foundation also directly buys back the \$ARB without governance, the foundation will clearly show that the project is in fact not decentralized and will (likely) be subject to securities laws.

In the end, the option seems clear. Cease all selling and put the issue to 2 separate votes:

1. Vote on AIP-1 and the foundation accepts the vote and sends back all tokens to the DAO.
2. Vote on AIP-3, the buyback of the former \$ARB tokens with the stablecoins that were received.

Godspeed.