Potential Governance proposal and discussion thread:

The topic of incentivising new validators has come up several times in the last few weeks, and with the recent "upgrade" to secret-3, it seemed time to re-raise the topic.

## **Summary**

Running a basic Validator node requires roughly 58000 SCRT (~100k USD) staked in order to break even on server costs. This puts new Validators at a severe disadvantage when it comes to keeping and maintaining their servers. The Secret Network has high requirements for running a proper node, making the initial investment for just breaking even very large and potentially insurmountable given they'll then show up at the end of the list.

#### I propose:

- 1. Reconsidering the current delegations from the foundation (?).
- 2. Creating a list of requirements that, once met, a new Validator node can at least break even on costs.

## **Cost Analysis of Running a Validator Node**

The following are VPS providers and (roughly\*) their costs, when starting a new node given the recommendations found on the <a href="https://www.ups.compliance.org/">wps.compliance.org/</a>.

Note I'm basing this off the following recommendations: - Processor: E-series rather than E3 (due to age) - SSD: 1TB+ - RAM: 16GB+ (discussions appear to be happening that 32GB may be required)

vultr: \$185/mo

psychz: \$144/mo

leaseweb: \$89/mo

nforce: \$95/mo

phoenixNAP: \$210/mo

This is just for the basic hardware, not including load balancers, sentry nodes, or other costs. Taking an average of the above, we get the average cost of a single validator being

roughly \$145/mo.

In order to reach the break-even point of \$145/mo, a validator must have (roughly\*) 58,000 SCRT staked. This is found given the following assumptions:

- 1. SCRT is \$2 each
- 2. Monthly SCRT staking rewards are 2.5%
- 3. The validating node uses a commission rate of 5% (rounding down from the actual average of 5.230%)

To get the commission of 1 SCRT:

Staking rewards per month =  $1 * 0.025 \Rightarrow 0.025 = \{\text{reward}\}\ \text{Commission} = \{\text{reward}\} * 0.05 \Rightarrow 0.025 * 0.05 = 0.00125 = \{\text{commission}\}\ \text{Commission}\} * $2 \Rightarrow 0.00125 * $2 = $0.0025 = \{\text{commission in usd per 1 SCRT}\}$ 

\$145/0.0025 = 58000 SCRT

Therefore, in order to break even on running a validator node, over \$100k USD must be delegated to the node.

# **Proposals**

The following are several potential options for incentivizing new validator nodes.

#### **Re-Balance Delegations**

During the governance meeting on 9/15/2021, it was pointed out that over 500k SCRT has been delegated to an inactive validator (specifically, Iqlusion). Perhaps there should be a list of rules for receiving a delegation, such as having a minimum uptime, as well as the minimum requirement (thoughts) outlined below.

## **Set Minimum Validator Requirements**

Another way to do this would be to give a delegation "reward" for meeting certain requirements. As a rough example, ideally each validator would have:

- 1. Alerts in case their node goes down
- 2. Alerts setup to a channel specific for secret validators (in case another emergency arises again, or even just a basic network upgrade)
- 3. Sentry Nodes

If you, as a Validator, show you have done each step you "earn" a certain amount of delegations. Say, you set up a prometheus server with grafana and alerts, you receive 50k delegations (from where?).

This would also have the benefit of ensuring a certain level of stability/expectations for each Validator.