

Scrt is in a delicate situation right now.

New users are being lead by airdrops to get involved into governance. Great idea, they should feel they are part of the network.

What is not so good, that most airdrops are measuring involvement by simply checking if they voted. Unfortunately this leads to rash decisions, which combined with lacking policy can lead to a very dangerous outcome.

Let me explain.

This network has functioned with a hardcore base through thick and thin. A small team knows what's happening at all times, voting is easy.

This small network now starts to grow. The hard core of experienced people in the know gets diluted by fresh new users, starting to dilute the knowledge.

Now, because this is the crypto world, we want and need to move fast. 100x in 6 months, woohoo!

But. For this we need to onboard 100x new users. That makes the core of knowledge to just 1% of the network. 99% see the voting page for the first time.

They - the 99% - are voting blindly.

How is this dangerous?

People who don't know how to vote but are required to, will vote in -mostly- one of 2 ways. Either they are voting with the majority, or they are voting abstain. Check the voting page. Yes and abstain are more and more used by more and more people.

All any bad actor needs to do is to have a team of voters early at the polls, who are voting the required outcome. The 99% will follow.

Without extra motivation, the vote would be rejected without enough turnover. Honest people would prefer not to vote rather than vote blindly. But by voting, regardless if they voted with the majority or abstained, the ignorant are helping dangerous or harmful policy come to fruition.

This is why it is absolutely necessary that voters are informed.