

EIP-7623: Increase Calldata Cost

[EIP-7623](#) aims to recalibrate the cost of nonzero

calldata bytes.

The proposal's goal is to reduce the maximum possible block size

without affecting regular users who are not using Ethereum exclusively for DA. This comes with reducing the variance in block size

and makes room for scaling

the block gas limit or the blob count.

With implementing this EIP:

- DA transactions pay 68 gas per nonzero calldata byte.
- All others pay 16 gas per nonzero calldata byte.

This is achieved with a conditional formula for determining the gas used per transaction.

Why EIP-7623?

Today, we see a huge discrepancy between the the average/median block size and the maximum possible block size.

This comes with the following downsides:

- Inefficiencies as a result of not fully leveraging available resources while having them ready.
- Big variance in block size.
- Max-size blocks have no use case except DoS.

With [EIP-4844](#), DA users have the option to move to using blobs → “they can just switch”.

With increasing calldata cost for DA transactions to 68 gas per byte, the maximum possible block size can be reduced from ~2.8 MB to ~0.5 MB.

[

drawing

700×300 16.6 KB

](<https://ethresear.ch/uploads/default/original/2X/6/64d6985bb28d41f096444105322b52616dda16cb.png>)

Reducing the Beacon block size makes room to increase the blob count and/or the block gas limit.

EIP-7623

We have two constants:

Parameter

Value

STANDARD_TOKEN_COST

4

TOTAL_COST_FLOOR_PER_TOKEN

17

Currently we determine the gas used for calldata per transaction

as $\text{nonzero_bytes_in_calldata} * 16 + \text{zero_bytes_in_calldata} * 4$

We now one-dimensionalize the current gas formula:

$$\text{Let tokens_in_calldata} = \text{zero_bytes_in_calldata} + \text{nonzero_bytes_in_calldata} * 4$$

This effectively combines zero and nonzero byte calldata into tokens_in_calldata

The current formula for determining the gas used per transaction is then is equivalent to:

$$\text{tx.gasused} = (21000 \setminus + \text{isContractCreation} * (32000 + \text{InitCodeWordGas} * \text{words}(\text{calldata})) \setminus + \text{STANDARD_TOKEN_COST} * \text{tokens_in_calldata} \setminus + \text{evm_gas_used})$$

The EIP changes it to:

$$\text{tx.gasUsed} = \{ 21000 \setminus + \max (\text{STANDARD_TOKEN_COST} * \text{tokens_in_calldata} \setminus + \text{evm_gas_used} \setminus + \text{isContractCreation} * (32000 + \text{InitCodeWordGas} * \text{words}(\text{calldata})), \text{TOTAL_COST_FLOOR_PER_TOKEN} * \text{tokens_in_calldata})$$

This formula ensures that transactions that spend at least 52 (68-16) gas per calldata byte on EVM operations (=any Opcode) will continue having a calldata cost per byte of 16 gas.

DA transactions will pay 68 gas per calldata byte.

E.g. widely used methods such as transfer

or approve

consume ~45k and require 64 bytes calldata. Thus, they spend more than the threshold of 52 (68-16) bytes per calldata byte on EVM operations ($45_000 - 21_000 - 64 \cdot 16 > 5264$

).

This formula ensures that regular users remain unaffected.

When?

Based on the low complexity and the community's preference to scale blobs and/or block gas limit, this EIP should be considered for the Pectra hardfork.

Who would pay the 68 gas?

Transactions are unaffected if they spend at least 3.25 times more gas on EVM operations than on calldata. The same can be expressed as spending ~76% of the total gas minus the 21k base cost:

We have the following following variables:

- G_{total}

as the total gas used by a transaction.

- G_{base}

as the base cost of a transaction, which is 21,000 gas.

- G_{calldata}

as the gas used for calldata.

- G_{EVM}

as the gas used for EVM operations with $G_{\text{EVM}} = G_{\text{total}} - G_{\text{base}} - G_{\text{calldata}}$

The conditions described can be translated into the following expressions:

1. Transactions continue with 16 gas per calldata byte if:

$$G_{\text{EVM}} \geq 3.25 \times G_{\text{calldata}}$$

1. The same can be expressed as transactions need to spend at least ~76% of the total gas minus the 21k base cost:

$$\frac{G_{\text{EVM}}}{G_{\text{total}} - G_{\text{base}}} \geq 0.76$$

[

1000×500 42.8 KB

](https://ethresear.ch/uploads/default/original/2X/7/7a09a8e24f53bd3ee043def76079d89063db6dd8.png)

As visible in the above chart, in the last 7 days (17-24 Feb), 4.19% of the transaction would have paid the 68 gas cost. Those 4.19% of transactions were executed by 1.5% of the total addresses

who are responsible of 20% of the total calldata

.

For more information on the impact on individual Solidity methods, check[this](#).

A vast majority of transactions have a significantly higher EVM/calldata gas

ratio.

[

1000×500 18.1 KB

](https://ethresear.ch/uploads/default/original/2X/a/a148ed536a2be0186e717ee530110582b516f872.png)

As visible above, most transactions already spend >90% of their gas on EVM operations, compared to the total gas minus the 21k base cost.

The thin bar on the very left of the chart at the 0% tick is mainly caused by DA and transactions having messages/comments in their calldata.

The following chart visualizes the calldata size in bytes of those transactions that spend 0% on EVM resources (ignoring the 21k base cost now).

We can see that the large number of transaction had a very low number of calldata bytes. The higher numbers are DA transactions.

[

1000×800 23.6 KB

](https://ethresear.ch/uploads/default/original/2X/6/6ee9cb107deaf0ce71d6974a357364e12c5f9d43.png)

Useful Links

- [Impact on individual Solidity methods.](#)
- EIP-7623 ([EIP-7623: Increase calldata cost](#))
- [Draft implementation](#) by Marius Van Der Wijden
- [On Increasing the Block Gas Limit](#)
- [On Block Sizes, Gas Limits and Scalability](#)