

I have a question about why the majority of proposals are focused on reducing the emission of dydx tokens?

Initially, the idea was to distribute the tokens in a decentralized manner. There was a tokenomics model created where the team and investors have a certain portion of the supply.

The other part of the rewards should have been distributed to the users of the protocol through staking rewards, trading rewards, LP rewards, etc. Now we're seeing that a bigger portion of these rewards will go to the community treasury

If the tokens are not distributed to a large number of users, after unlocking, the team and VC will control a majority of the supply. And whoever controls the majority of tokens also controls the community treasury. Isn't this a risk for decentralization, which we want to achieve in V4? It's more like a philosophical question. No offence to the Team and Investors.

I'm not saying that the team or investors should get fewer tokens, maybe the problem can be solved with a more progressive governance system, one that truly uses the community treasury in the best interests of the community, with direct participation and control from the community