

For the Uniswap Delegate Reward Initiative, there's a week-long period for delegate candidates to submit their applications. However, we believe that it's important to give ample time as much as possible to ensure all the eligible delegates are included. Therefore, the application is posted now to give more time. Please note that at least been an Uniswap delegate for 3 months or more (Voted on Snapshot or Onchain vote at least 3 months ago) and Voting Power of 10k \$UNI or more OR Authored or co-authored a proposal that at least passed the Snapshot Vote will be based on the date of [Uniswap Delegate Reward proposal](#) on snapshot ends.

[\[Temp Check\] Uniswap Delegate Reward -3 Months-Cycle 1](#)

Application Eligibility

There will be a week-long period for delegate candidates to submit their applications. If there are more than 11 eligible candidates, then the top 11 will be decided based on objective metrics outlined below. Considering past onchain votes, 19-21 delegates have been a consistent contributor amount to the voting, this acts as a mechanism to prevent sybil attack while also ensuring delegates who might have lesser voting power can be rewarded as well.

For the first cycle, the eligibility for the application will be

1. At least been an Uniswap delegate for 3 months or more (Voted on Snapshot or Onchain vote at least 3 months ago)
2. Voting Power of 10k \$UNI or more OR Authored or co-authored a proposal that at least passed the Snapshot Vote

The reason for the application eligibility was that the first cycle should be focusing on Delegates that have been committed to Uniswap DAO, and ideally, the Initiative can encourage more to participate and thus more delegates will be eligible by Cycle 2.

Application Form

Applicant Name:

Link to Delegate Forum Platform:

Link to Snapshot Profile:

Link to Tally/ Agora/ onchain voting profile:

Links to the proposal that you authored or co-authored that passed the snapshot (Required if the voting power is less than 10k \$UNI):

Links to the proposal that you authored or co-authored that passed the Onchain Vote:

Joined Uniswap Gov Workshop Before? If so, when?:

Joined Uniswap Community Call Before? If so, when?:

Applicant Summary including why interested in applying for the Program (1 Paragraph):

Metrics for Selection of Top 11 Candidates

In case there are more than 11 eligible applicants, the top 11 will be chosen by the following objective metrics. The highest point would be 10.

1. Voting Participation

-Considering one of the primary roles of the delegate is to receive voting power from their delegators to vote on behalf for the best of Uniswap, voting participation are crucial to ensure quorums are met and malicious proposals are prevented. The Full point from this category is 5.

The onchain part is weighted more heavily due to its usual frictions such as gas cost, as well as its importance that its votes directly can dictate code changes or treasury. The voting rate is based on the past 3 months.

1. Offchain Voting (Snapshot)
2. 80% and above : 2
3. 70% till 80% : 1.5
4. 60% till 70%: 1
5. 50% or below but above 0%: 0.5
6. 0% : 0

2.Onchain Voting

1. 80% and above : 3
2. 70% till 80% : 2.25
3. 60% till 70%: 1.5
4. 50% or below but above 0%: 0.75
5. 0% : 0
6. Proposal Authorship

-Helping to write proposals for Uniswap DAO is important. However, we also want to prevent low-quality or malicious proposals. Therefore, only passed votes would count. The full point for this category is 4.

The onchain part is weighted more heavily as it's more difficult to have a proposal to pass the onchain vote as well as its importance that its proposals directly can dictate code changes or treasury.

In case of non-binary proposals, if the choice equivalent to "No" was present, and the end voting result was another choice than "No", then it would be considered as valid for below. For example, Uniswap Treasury Working Group (UTWG) Election wouldn't be valid for the points as there's no "No" vote . But [Temp] Uni Onboarding Package - BSC would be valid for the points as there was a choice of "Against". And the voting result was "\$1m".

1. Authored or Co authored a proposal that passed offchain (snapshot) vote before.
2. Yes, 2 or more : 1
3. Yes, 1 : 0.5
4. No: 0

2.Authored or Co authored a proposal that passed onchain vote before

1. Yes, 2 or more : 3
2. Yes, 1 : 1.5
3. No: 0
4. Other Governance Participation

-The full point for this category is 1.

This category is to recognize other ways one could contribute to discussion regarding Uniswap Governance. This can be achieved by either

1. Joined Uniswap Gov Workshop Before
2. Yes: 1
3. No: 0

Or

1. Joined Uniswap Community Call Before
2. Yes: 1
3. No: 0

Tie Breaker

-In rare cases if there are ties, this will be first decided by how many "likes" one received on the forum. Considering likes would ideally be tied to how many posts one has made and also how liked their posts are. We believe this is a fair way to have them as Tie Breakers.