

Although it is not required for architectural correctness, or, in principle, protocol adherence, Anoma needs to select a model of computation to standardize on for pragmatic purposes.

### Basic assumptions

- We assume that differences in “physical” computational primitives and costs thereof can be modeled as a set of different finite fields, each with bounded constant costs for operations in the field.
- We assume a boundable, known, monotonically increasing cost of nonlocality of reference ([ef](#)).

### Requirements

This model of computation will be used for, at minimum, transaction execution:

- Post-ordering computation performed by validators to compute final transactions
- Local computation performed by the interaction engine to compose partial transactions

Both of these computations are of type PTX \to PTX

(see [here](#)).

These uses impose some requirements; in particular:

- Individual, metered operations (~ instructions, rewrite rules, etc.) must be bounded-constant-cost in space and time (otherwise the cost accounting itself becomes too complex and computationally expensive).
- The model must be sufficiently expressive to handle runtime reflection and evaluation.

### Additional possible uses

- Could be used for known solver algorithms for which bleeding-edge efficiency (which will never be possible with metered computation) is not critical.
- With the appropriate VM, could be used as an actual computational substrate for Typhon in the future, if conducive.

### Bonus properties

#### Execution segment transformation

Ideally, the model would have an efficient bidirectional transformation to and from [execution segments](#) for verification.

#### Concurrency

Ideally, the model would be able to represent concurrent computations, parts of which could be evaluated in parallel. This should be reflected in the cost model (perhaps by partitioning a program into parallel segments in a DAG, which seems like a similar problem to execution segmentation).

### Candidates

(WIP)

- “NockFF” (Nock with finite fields)
- “NockDAG” (Nock with DAGs instead of binary trees)
- “PolyObject” (Prototype-based object systems with internal polynomial logic, probably has a stack)