My observation is that working groups tend to be the <u>self-appointed board</u> followed by co-opting (election/ratification) of "inner" circle. Whilst it gets shit done, it tends to overlook:

- a) those busy and missed the window to object/vote
- b) passive participants due to language, shunning or some other access barriers
- c) people with key skills but without compensation don't wish to volunteer (opportunity costs)

The nomination/ratification addresses some aspects but a competitive bidding / boasting round tends to elevate those with the most popularity or biggest voice. These may not be truly representative of the entire Uniswap ecosystem (welcome data to confirm/nullify).

LexDAO has been experimenting with <u>sourceCred</u>, a system that passively monitors discord activity. What it does is looks at activity and creates a graph measuring "engagement".

Theoretically, if we run the right type of analysis we can look at the contrib/listen ratios eg (from another tool)

[

image

1005×356 24.9 KB

](https://global.discourse-cdn.com/business6/uploads/uniswap1/original/2X/c/c42a35a33d0a6cb084361ba828bef485e9bbf871.jpeg)

For those that want to shift away from a "board" style WG selection towards more<u>do-ocracy</u> WG, this may be a useful metagov technique to identify under-represented groups and deliberately engage them, either direct or via delegates to represent their interests. This may be considered when there are DAO-wide impacts like selection of legal

entities (deliberately plural).

Questions to consider

- 1. is the current system of populating working groups good enough?
- 2. is there evidence one way or another that certain ecosystem profiles are not represented in particiating in governance?
- 3. should there be options to deliberately include contrary or minority opinions

for potentially contentious decision making? cf 10th-man rule or concept of loval opposition.

|| The reason why this is a sensitive topic is that to implement sourceCred, it needs access to the discord server and comes with privacy concerns. ||