

## Proofs

for the purpose of these proofs, our user prediction is  $p$

, the realized market returns are  $y$

, the meta-model prediction is  $m$

, our optimizer performs  $O(x) = \arg\max_x [y.T x - C(x)] = r$ .  $SWG[p, fobj(y,m)]$  is the stake-weighted gradient operator, and  $\text{norm}(x) = \sum(x^2)^{0.5}$

Lemma 0.1:  $SWG[p, fobj(y,m)] = (p - m).T \text{grad\_m}[fobj(y,m)]$

by definition:

$SWG[p, fobj(y,m)] = \sum(\text{stakes}) * \text{grad\_stakes}[fobj(y, \sum(\text{stakes} * \text{preds}) / \sum(\text{stakes}))][p].T$

$= \sum(\text{stakes}) * \text{grad\_m}[fobj(y, m)] \text{grad\_stakes}[\sum(\text{stakes} * \text{preds}) / \sum(\text{stakes})][p].T$

$= \sum(\text{stakes}) \text{grad\_m}[fobj(y, m)]$

$[ \text{preds} / \sum(\text{stakes}) - \sum(\text{stakes} * \text{preds}) / \sum(\text{stakes})^2 ][p].T$

$= \text{grad\_m}[fobj(y, m)] [ \text{preds} - \sum(\text{stakes} * \text{preds}) / \sum(\text{stakes}) ][p].T$

$= \text{grad\_m}[fobj(y, m)].T * (p - m)$

Lemma 0.2:

$\text{grad\_r}[C(r)] = m$

By definition  $r = \arg\max_x [m.T x - C(x)]$

At a maximum the gradient is zero therefore:

$\text{grad\_r}[m.T r - C(r)] = 0$

$\text{grad\_r}[m.T r] = \text{grad\_r}[C(r)]$

$m = \text{grad\_r}[C(r)]$

Lemma 0.3:

$\text{Jacobian\_m}[r] = H^{-1}$

$m = \text{grad\_r}[C(r)]$

(Lemma 0.2)

$\text{Jacobian\_r}[m] = \text{Jacobian\_r}[\text{grad\_r}[C(r)]]$

$\text{Jacobian\_r}[m] = \text{Hessian\_r}[C(r)]$

$\text{Jacobian\_r}[m] = H$

$\text{Jacobian\_m}[r] = H^{-1}$

Lemma 0.4:  $\text{grad\_x}[f(x/\text{norm}(x))]$

$= 1/\text{norm}(x) (\text{grad\_x}[f(x/\text{norm}(x))] - (x.T \text{grad\_x}[f(x/\text{norm}(x))]) / (x.T x) x)$

Proof 1:  $SWG[p, \{fobj(y,m): y.T O(m) - C(O(m))\}] = (p - m).T H^{-1} (y - m)$

Lemma 1.1 :  $\text{grad\_m}[y.T O(m) - C(O(m))] = (p - m).T H^{-1} (y - m)$

$\text{grad\_m}[y.T O(m) - C(O(m))] = \text{grad\_m}[-y.T r(m) + C(r(m))]$

`=

- $\text{Jacobian\_m}[r] y + \text{grad\_m}[C(r(m))]$

` =

- $\text{Jacobian}_m[r] y + \text{Jacobian}_m[r] \text{grad}_r[ C(r(m)) ]`$

` =

- $H^{-1} y + H^{-1} \text{grad}_r[ C(r(m)) ]`$  (Lemma 0.3)

` =

- $H^{-1} y + H^{-1} m`$  (Lemma 0.2)

$$= H^{-1}(m - y)$$

Proof:

$$\text{SWG}[p, \{\text{fobj}(y, m): y.T O(m) - C(O(m))\}] =$$

$$(p - m).T \text{grad}_m[ y.T O(m) - C(O(m)) ]` \text{ (Lemma 0.1)}$$

$$(p - m).T H^{-1} (y - m)$$

$$\text{(Lemma 1.1)}$$

QED

$$\text{Proof 2: } \text{SWG}[p, \{\text{fobj}(y, m): y.T O(m/\text{norm}(m))\}] = (p - m).T (H^{-1} y - (m.T H^{-1} y)/(m.T m) m)$$

$$\text{Lemma 2.1: } \text{grad}_m[ y.T O(m) ] = H^{-1} y$$

$$\text{grad}_m[ y.T O(m) ] = \text{grad}_m[ -y.T r(m) ]$$

` =

- $\text{Jacobian}_m[r] y`$

` =

- $\text{Jacobian}_m[r] y`$

` =

- $H^{-1} y`$  (Lemma 0.3)

` =

- $H^{-1} y`$

$$= H^{-1} y$$

`Lemma

$$2.2: \text{grad}_p[ y.T O(m/\text{norm}(m)) ] = (H^{-1} y - (m.T H^{-1} y)/(m.T m) m)$$

$$m)``$$

$$\text{grad}_p[ y.T O(m/\text{norm}(m)) ] = (H^{-1} y - (m.T H^{-1} y)/(m.T m) m)$$

(Lemma

1.1 and Lemma 0.4)`

Proof:

$$\text{SWG}[p, \{\text{fobj}(y, m): y.T O(m/\text{norm}(m))\}] =$$

$$(p - m).T \text{grad}_m[ y.T O(m) - C(O(m)) ]`$$

$$\text{SWG}[p, \{\text{fobj}(y, m): y.T O(m/\text{norm}(m))\}]$$

$$= (p - m).T H^{-1}$$

$$($$

$$H^{-1}y - (m.T H^{-1}y)/(m.T m) \quad (Lemma\ 0.1\ and\ Lemma\ 2.2)$$