

Ethereum Gas Exactimation

In Ethereum, estimating gas for a given transaction is a tricky problem to solve (especially when attempting to maintain [EIP-114](#) compliance). Most of the well-known Ethereum implementations like Geth¹ and Parity² use interval halving (binary search) to estimate gas by running transactions through the EVM until an approximate estimation converges.

At [Truffle](#), we decided such an approach was an unnecessarily CPU-intensive solution to the problem and set out to find a (theoretically) more performant and perfectly accurate way of estimating gas. The result? [Gas exactimation](#). In this tutorial, we'll go over gas exactimation at a high level in order to demonstrate its precision.

Complete example available at [the following repo](#).

Prerequisites: You should be familiar with Truffle, Ganache, and Solidity. If you need an introduction please consult the following resources:

- [Truffle Quickstart](#)
- [Ganache Quickstart](#)
- [Solidity Documentation](#)

EIP-114, or the “1/64ths rule” ¶

[EIP-114](#) mandates that certain stackdepth-creating opcodes withhold 1/64th of remaining gas from the stack they create. In practice this means:

1. The gas required for a successful transaction can be greater than the actual gas spent (similar to how gas refunds behave).
2. The extra gas required for a successful transaction varies depending on the transaction's initial gas
3. amount.

A long-standing issue with [Ganache](#) has been the fact that we haven't returned EIP-114 compliant gas estimations. This has caused our gas estimates to be too low in cases where a transaction executed certain opcodes. Gas exactimation addresses this by considering how the gas withheld at any nested stack depth/frame affects the gas needed outside of its execution context.

Let's see it in action.

Create a New Truffle Project ¶

We will use `truffle init` to create a new Truffle project and then wire up an example [Solidity](#) smart contract and test script.

```
// In a new project directory...
```

```
truffle init
```

Setup an Example Smart Contract ¶

With our new project initialized, we will create an example file: `ContractFactory.sol`.

`touch ./contracts/ContractFactory.sol` Our file will have two contracts, `Contract` and `ContractFactory`. `ContractFactory` will have the method `createInstance` that we will use to create a new `emptyContract`.

```
// ./contracts/ContractFactory.sol pragma solidity ^0.5.0;
```

```
contract ContractFactory { function createInstance() public { new Contract(); } }
```

`contract Contract { constructor() public {} }` Note the `new` keyword being used to create a new `Contract`. A valid statement containing the `new` keyword gets compiled to bytecode containing the `CREATE` opcode which is subject to the [EIP-114](#) 1/64th gas withholding.

Write a Test Case ¶

Next, we will write a `ContractFactory` test case.

`touch ./test/ContractFactory.js` This test case will deploy `ContractFactory` to a Ganache test network and use a gas estimate provided by Ganache to create a new `Contract`.

```
// ./test/ContractFactory.js const ContractFactory = artifacts.require("ContractFactory");
```

```
contract("ContractFactory", () => { it("...should deploy and successfully call createInstance using the method's provided gas estimate", async () => { const contractFactoryInstance = await ContractFactory.new();

const gasEstimate = await contractFactoryInstance.createInstance.estimateGas();

const tx = await contractFactoryInstance.createInstance({
  gas: gasEstimate
});
assert(tx);

}); });
```

A Quick Check ¶

Before we run our test, we'll download the most recent version of Truffle that uses Ganache before gas exactimation.

// In the project directory...

npm i truffle@5.0.13 And we'll make sure we have the latest version of Truffle installed globally that uses Ganache with gas exactimation.

npm i -g truffle

Testing Before and After ¶

npm test ... Error: Returned error: VM Exception while

processing transaction: revert And when we use gas exactimation...

truffle test ... Contract: ContractFactory

✓ ...should deploy and successfully call createInstance using the method's provided gas estimate (130ms) 1

passing (143ms) !!!!

Testing Exactimation ¶

But is gas exactimation actually exact ?

We'll open our test file and subtract exactly a single unit of gas from the gasEstimate before sending our test transaction.

```
// ./test/ContractFactory.js const ContractFactory
```

```
=
```

```
artifacts.require( "ContractFactory" ) ; contract( "ContractFactory" , ()
```

```
=
```

```
{
```

```
it( "...should deploy and successfully call createInstance using the method's provided gas estimate" , async ()
```

```
=
```

```
{
```

```
const contractFactoryInstance
```

```
=
```

```
await ContractFactory.new() ; const gasEstimate
```

```
=
```

```
await contractFactoryInstance.createInstance.estimateGas() ; const tx
```

```
=
```

```
await contractFactoryInstance.createInstance({
```

```
gas: gasEstimate - 1
```

```
});
```

```
assert( tx );
```

```
}); }); Running our test again against Ganache with gas exactimation...
```

```
truffle test ... Error: Returned error: VM Exception while
```

```
processing transaction: revert Exactimation confirmed .
```

Note: Since the initial release of gas exactimation, an even more performant iteration of the algorithm is currently in review [here](#).