

We are live! <https://app.pairwise.vote>

In Retro Funding 6, Pairwise has 2 use cases.

- The first use case is as official tooling, supporting Badgeholders and Guest Voters in building their ballot with a simple and fun UX.
- The second use case is as an experiment to engage the broader community in RetroFunding 6 and try out liquid democracy as a potential Retro Funding voting methodology.

Quick Intro to Pairwise

Pairwise is an open-source voting dapp that simplifies the Retro Funding voting experience, letting voters assign a star rating to each project and select the better of 2 options. This allows us to aggregate the voter's choices into an ordered list. Pairwise is designed to be user-friendly and intuitive, converting simple subjective inputs into objective, measurable outputs, minimizing the cognitive burden of voting.

[

1600×980 254 KB

](https://europe1.discourse-cdn.com/bc41dd/original/2X/b/b8ecc974f6431faf9cd6b2745e35ccc75eec89db.jpeg)

Supporting the Official Voting Experience

If you are a Badgeholder or Guest Voter, give Pairwise a try! We put in a lot of work to make your decision making process easier.

Pairwise for RF6 will be very similar to RF5. Visit the [RF5 post for all the details](#), but here is the TL;DR:

[

773×735 50.1 KB

](https://europe1.discourse-cdn.com/bc41dd/original/2X/2/2450522efd729eb5f63a36644f0fd30a8ec2a835.png)

- Head to [Pairwise](#)
- Vote on the Budget
- Vote on your assigned Category
- Adjust the final distribution and Update your ballot and return to the main UI to finalize your vote.
- Comeback to [Pairwise](#) rank more categories and experiment with liquid democracy, this last step will not affect official results, it's for experimental purposes.

The Big Experiment: Bringing Liquid Democracy to Retro Funding 6

It's happening! After our [fun experiment](#) in Retro Funding 4, we wanted to offer the broader community a chance to engage in Retro Funding again! Our goal is to make RF6 more impactful by enabling everyone to participate. We hope it will promote projects, educate the community, and foster collaboration and network effects within the Superchain, while also testing experimental features to advance the voting process of Retro Public Goods Funding.

[

972×886 610 KB

](https://europe1.discourse-cdn.com/bc41dd/original/2X/9/97ea1ed7e9244ef30f47178013837bdcdd4926f2.png)

As part of the Superchain community you will be able to delegate or vote on your favorite projects on Retro Funding 6 and be part of the experimentation with Liquid Democracy.

Any community member that wants to engage with Retro Funding 6 will be able to assign a delegate for the budget and each of the three categories of the round. Delegates can also delegate their voting power and the voting power of those that

have delegated to them!

Anyone will be able to delegate their decision on each category to any Farcaster user, even if the user has not connected to Pairwise yet. It's incredibly simple and makes it possible for your voice to be heard in our RF6 experiment in under 5 minutes.

[

|480x593.5471698113207

1285x1600 274 KB

](https://europe1.discourse-cdn.com/bc41dd/original/2X/f/f3652adae49efd2c600e2b055b0767a6dc195750.jpeg)

Voting Power Distribution

Pairwise is allowing ANYONE to engage with RF6. While everyone can play, not everyone has voting power in our experiment. We have three different stakeholder groups, and each one will have their own separate results which will be very interesting to compare.

NOTE: Only Badgeholders and Guest Voters that import their ballot to the official voting application will count towards distributing funds, the Liquid Democracy Pairwise RF6 experiment does not allocate any OP.

HOLDERS of OP and/or World ID

- Link: [Optimistic Etherscan Token Balances](#)
- WHALE: 10M OP, 50 holders, Votes: 100
- Diamond: 1M OP, 190 holders, Votes: 50
- Platinum: 100k OP, 1000ish holders, Votes: 25
- Gold: 10k OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 15
- Silver: 1k OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 5
- Bronze: 500 OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 3
- WHALE: 10M OP, 50 holders, Votes: 100
- Diamond: 1M OP, 190 holders, Votes: 50
- Platinum: 100k OP, 1000ish holders, Votes: 25
- Gold: 10k OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 15
- Silver: 1k OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 5
- Bronze: 500 OP, Countless Holders, Votes: 3
- Snapshot to taken Oct 18th.

Note: To address potential sybil issues, connecting your World ID will move your rank one category higher. If you hold less than 500 OP, you'll be a Bronze holder. If you're already Bronze, you'll move up to Silver, and so on.

DELEGATES

- Link: [Optimism Tally Delegates](#)
- Diamond: 1M Delegated OP, 23 delegates, Votes: 8
- Platinum: 100k Delegated OP, 40 delegates, Votes: 5
- Gold: 15k Delegated OP, 64 delegates, Votes: 3
- Silver: 5k Delegated OP, 175 delegates, Votes: 2
- Bronze: 2.5k Delegated OP, 185 delegates, Votes: 1
- Diamond: 1M Delegated OP, 23 delegates, Votes: 8

- Platinum: 100k Delegated OP, 40 delegates, Votes: 5
- Gold: 15k Delegated OP, 64 delegates, Votes: 3
- Silver: 5k Delegated OP, 175 delegates, Votes: 2
- Bronze: 2.5k Delegated OP, 185 delegates, Votes: 1
- Snapshot taken Oct 22.

BADGEHOLDERS & GUEST VOTERS

- 1 address 1 vote
- Guest Voters might have their voting power reduced to .25 or .5 depending on a Badgeholder vote after the round is over.

Pseudonymity

We are excited to experiment with liquid democracy using the [same tech stack we used in RF4](#). We have big dreams for the future of zk-powered liquid democracy, but we had to cut completely pseudonymous Liquid Democracy from scope to hit the RF6 deadline. We are leaving the Bandada infrastructure in place so we can integrate it in future rounds. In this round we effectively have a centralized voting infrastructure but votes and delegations will not be publicly known or displayed, nor do we have any intention of looking into it.

You can however choose to make your vote public, with a cast on Farcaster.

[

1216×1192 101 KB

](<https://europe1.discourse-cdn.com/bc41dd/original/2X/f/fad2b00e0d8b883cc7b5280635449ee97699c22e.jpeg>)

Thank you for playing!

We are hopeful this will make a small step towards the original dream of DAOs, where a swarm of community members can easily and meaningfully engage in decision making. The current state of DAO governance has digressed into relying on 5-30 powerful representatives to decide everything for most DAOs. We must continue to iterate and experiment.

Liquid Democracy is clearly a potential path to fix this. Instead of having one delegate for everything, you choose a delegate based on the topic, and if they don't feel informed on a decision, they can delegate all the voting power they have to someone else.

Help us move closer to making this potentially game changing DAO technology a reality by participating in Pairwise's Retro Funding 6 experiment! The link will be posted soon. We welcome your feedback to make your experience better as we continue evolving.