

Through recent discussions, it has become evident that my use of an exaggerated southern style, while aiming to enhance anonymity, has come at the cost of clarity. This realization struck me when the Sovereign Finance AVC presented my questions, posed in that exaggerated southern style, during their meeting. It has prompted me to reconsider my approach.

Initially, I placed utmost importance on anonymity, believing that AVCs should be accepting of any means that promote anonymity for ADs. However, I now believe it is reasonable for both AVCs and ADs to strive for a balance between anonymity and clarity in AD communications. If the clarity of communication is not excessively compromised, then everyone should support measures that enhance anonymity. However, if the level of clarity is significantly hindered, it may be justifiable to oppose such measures at this stage.

In essence, it is crucial to find a middle ground where both anonymity and clarity are valued, ensuring that the benefits of enhanced anonymity outweigh any potential loss of clarity.