

Problem

The [Community Governance Process](#) is not working as intended due to the absence of UNI holders' participation.

All the temperature/consensus checks so far have had between one to three voters.

Solution

As consensus can't be reached with soft governance yet, I propose to try to achieve it with 'hard' governance.

This would imply that proposing delegates (currently it's Dharma and Gauntlet) would identify pressing matters and set up a Preliminary Voting on them to decide the solution.

By Preliminary Voting, I mean voting to establish consensus without implementing the code changes.

Example

As we can gather from [the Uniswap Community call](#), the Community considers the liquidity mining program matter pressing.

One of the delegates could propose to vote on:

1. the budget for the liquidity mining program (like the [temperature check](#) snapshot)
2. the pools that get included.

Then the final, binary vote would decide the actual outcome with code implementation.

The budget could be defined by either an average or a median of all the votes cast.

This way, every vote will matter - as it will push the final number to one direction or another.

Do you approve of the usage of Preliminary Voting?

- Yes
- No
- Neutral
- Not Sure

0

voters