

## Instructions:

Name (organization or individual)

Wallet Address or ENS

Tally Profile URL (create a profile [here](#))

What area are you most interested in contributing to? choose up to two tags:

- Public Goods funding
- DeFi development on Arbitrum
- NFT development on Arbitrum
- Gaming development on Arbitrum
- Improving Governance participation
- Supporting Infrastructure
- Tooling, Improving protocol decentralization
- IRL Arbitrum community gatherings

Please share your stance on overall goals for the DAO:

eg — how aggressive should we be in liquidity mining, what is the goal of the DAO?

Please share your stance on issues that were raised previously, in other communities, as described below:

Sample Voting Issue 1:

### [Issue Overview](#)

- Uniswap planned to use Flipside to attract new users to Uniswap through bounties. Although the program outline and funding was fine, the proposal was contentious because it gave Flipside crypto too much control over allocating UNI to bounties and oversight of the entire program.
- For instance, Flipside had 3/7 seats on the allocation committee and 1/3 seats on the Oversight committee. There was also concern since none of the other analytics service providers were involved in the proposal.
- This proposal flew under the radar but at the 11th hour got very heated. Large votes from university clubs supported the proposal since they would get a seat on the allocation committee. However, Dune and Leshner spoke up about the issue because of the centralization of power and favor of one service provider.

Prompts to Answer:

1. How would you vote?

For

Against

1. What amendments would you make to the proposal if any?
2. How would you approach the tradeoff between centralization of authority and the ability to get things done?

Sample Voting Issue 2:

Issue Overview:

[Overview Link 1](#)

[Overview Link 2](#)

FEI RARI Hack Reimbursement: In April 2022 Rari was hacked for 80M, a vote was passed to reimburse those affected. Then in May 2022 another vote to refund the Rari hacked was brought forward this time it was not passed.

Prompts to Answer:

Outside the flipping of the vote, how would you choose to handle this situation?

i.e should parties be reimbursed for an exploit or not? (Please choose one of the below options and then elaborate upon your reasoning)

1. Full Reimbursement
2. No Reimbursement
3. Split Reimbursement

Please elaborate on what instances you believe it is right to refund and which are not.

Languages I speak and write:

Disclosure of Conflict(s) of Interest:

Diversity of opinions is critical to making progress and determining the future direction of the

Arbitrum ecosystem. We recognize and celebrate the fact that delegates will have diverse views and we both encourage and anticipate good-faith debates in the governance process. That being said, it's critical that all featured delegates are operating with Arbitrum's best interest in mind, so please affirm that you don't have any conflicts of interest that would prevent you from using your best judgement to operate in the best interests of advancing the Arbitrum ecosystem.