Posting the transactions individually rather than in batches to the DA layer seems inefficient - was also the initial idea behind Celestia if I remember correctly. Having multiple sequencers isn't just paramount to censorship-resistance, but also liveness. Initially, there doesn't even need to be a consensus mechanism to determine fair ordering of transactions at the rollup level, could have a naïve leader election algorithm to rotate the sequencers.

In either case, it is still fundamentally different from a sidechain because all rollup data from the transactions are published to receive consensus over ordering and to ensure data availability – sidechain's only post state commitments of blocks that were already validated by the sidechain validator set.

Additionally, specific censorship-resistant mechanics could be implemented, such as crLists, that can be used to hold sequencers accountable if they are censoring specific transactions that are deemed eligible to be included in blocks.