

MakerDao has been able to prove the inefficiency of burning its token; This mechanism is brought back to the table, we can see that during years of implementation, burning MKR did not bring any advantage, we should not think that it will do so now; A holder who remains committed does not directly perceive a benefit from the burning of another who decided to leave.

The idea of redistribution is more objective, if what we are looking for is to over-incentivize staking  
, then permanence

If this set of strategies seeks to encourage long-term holding, and with it interest in the government; The MKR that the user loses when leaving must remain in the pool

, therefore each staker will have a portion of the pool equivalent to their stake; With this, the APY will potentially be more convincing to attract new stakers, plus the MKR of those who resign remains among those who are still committed.

We propose:

Based on our previous proposal [Enhanced Sagittarius Engine](#)

Exit fee must go in the form of bribes to veBAL or vAURA

Mechanism:

Each MKR that the user leaves when leaving will be sent to the veBAL or vAURA stakers in the form of bribes; so that they direct emissions to the Sagittarius module

Goals:

- 1- Capital efficiency: increase profit, externally, using a mechanism that does not generate an additional cost for Maker.
- 2- This mechanism fixes low-incentive market moments; when the staker perceives a disadvantage in their position, and potentially exits; This will create an automatic incentive for the rest, pure game theory, receiving rewards from the bribes.

Enhanced Sagittarius Engine (ESE) will have profit from:

- MakerDao Fees
- Lockup Exit Fee - In the form of rewards from bribes
- Swap fees
- Bribes Rewards
- SubDaos Token Farming

Also including:

- Voting Power
- Borrowing power

We are working on a global proposal, which includes [my previous posts](#); ESE can be a great recipient of profits from the ecosystem; We are excited because this is bringing external interests, and thus capital, to encourage the growth of MakerDao.

This proposal is part of a set of research that we are working on; We believe possible, and necessary, a comprehensive change regarding tokenomic and capital efficiency factors within MakerDao