PROPOSAL NAME:

What AIPs a Steward can put up independently when they are in a working group?

TEAM DESCRIPTION:

@bigbull - FYI. A vote was held by the GWG and the majority of Stewards did not vote for it to be a GWG proposal. Therefore this proposal is being proposed on an individual basis by <a>@bigbull

- Profile bigbull ApeCoin DAO
- · Experience at the Board level in corporations globally.
- Experience in Fortune 100 companies.
- Honorary Fellow Top Global University.
- X KapitalCrypto Bigbull

PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION:

We have many working groups with specific focus areas. The idea is that if you are in a Working Group and wish to put up a proposal related to that working group. E.g. this proposal is related to Governance, you first have to ask your working group and the Stewards if they wish to be co-authors on the proposal. If the majority of working group stewards refuse to be on the proposal you can still put the proposal up with the disclaimer that the working group has rejected it to be an official working group proposal.

If a working group Steward puts up an AIP on a topic not related to their Working Group, but potentially related to another Working Group, there is no change from the current process and they are not required to ask for approval from the related working group.

This would apply to current (Governance, Marketing and Communications, Metaverse Working and Web3 Development Groups) and any future Working Groups.

BENEFIT TO APECOIN ECOSYSTEM:

- Working Group Stewards are working in roles in the DAO and as they are using the title of that role when putting up an AIP it can be confusing for voters. There is an implied endorsement from that working group.
- Enhances communication and collaboration amongst working group stewards (within the same working group).
- · Another point, is that if an AIP is from one Steward, if they leave for whatever reason (personal, don't get re-elected etc) then the Working Group Organisation, e.g. GWG Non Profit is NOT responsible for it. If it is from the group, then a Steward leaves the Group will need to take over the implementation of it. This is better as we have short terms, 1 to 2 years as Stewards, so it ensures better growth of the Working Groups.

DEFINITIONS:

Working Groups are intentional community led infrastructure, which allow for the execution of DAO-approved mandates. Working Group Charters outline a mandate for a Working Group, which must align with the vision, and values of the ApeCoin DAO.

[

image

1920×1082 195 KB

[(https://us1.discourse-cdn.com/apecoin/original/2X/0/0ef8f93b0128fca14be9ae4cfd7d5327304ebe20.jpeg)

STEPS TO IMPLEMENT:

• This would start as soon as the AIP is approved. It would be ongoing until an AIP is passed to replace this AIP.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

- How many Working Group AIPs are initiated by each Steward in that Working Group.
- Track how many AIPs each working group has put up to vote and how many each group has had pass.

• Slack will be used to track the internal votes of the stewards. The Secretary will make a quarterly summary report.

REPORTING EXPECTATIONS:

They would put in the Working Group quarterly reports how many times the WG accepted or rejected their first right of refusal to be the author or co-author (TBC) of AIPs. The Secretary Initiative would be responsible for the KPI updates.

OVERALL COST:

"Total amount requested from the ApeCoin Ecosystem Fund = \$0."

• It would require the working groups to keep a log of the accepting or rejecting of the first right of refusal to author an AIP strictly within the core focus of that working group.