

[@rene @Wondertan](#) What should the libp2p topics for pruned vs non-pruned nodes be?

Continuing the discussion here from the GitHub PR:

[@rene](#):

The pruned node topic will not be an additional topic but rather the current topic that we have which is full . The additional topic will be for archival nodes (archival ).

We should call storage nodes archival to better indicate that they retain + serve historical blocks.

[@musalbas](#):

IMO it should be the other way round: the existing topic should be for archival nodes, otherwise you will break backwards compatibility, because you will clutter the existing topic with non-archival nodes, which will not be backwards compatible with older nodes that are discovering nodes in the existing topic, and thinking they have all the historical blocks.

We could keep full for archival and introduce an additional topic such as pruned .