

In this post, Chaos Labs proposes a framework for communication and collaboration around proposals and methodologies for Aave Risk Managers.

In addition to this framework, we believe that the formation of a Risk Council (as discussed [here](#)), with the participation of the Aave risk managers, will allow an improved and streamlined risk management process.

## Goals:

1. Create clarity for the community
2. Maximize the advantages of multiple risk, namely:
3. Independent analyses and research
4. Greater surface area coverage
5. Independent analyses and research
6. Greater surface area coverage
7. Reduce tasks and overhead for all parties

## Communications:

Generally, we believe in public and transparent communications surrounding proposals and risk-related discussions.

However, we do want to outline instances where we encourage private and direct collaboration between the risk managers:

- Major security risks where public communication/information could pose a threat to the protocol.
- Informing other contributors regarding upcoming proposals to provide any major feedback and prepare public comments for quick turnaround.
- Iteration and ideation on methodologies that could be done jointly for community use.
- Coordination of proposals regarding new chain or asset listings

## Use Cases:

### Major market events

- During major market events, risk managers should coordinate items to be worked on to maximize coverage of all areas and bring risk mitigation proposals as soon as possible.
- If capacity allows, independent and multiple research and analysis should be prioritized to allow alternative proposals and ignite data-driven community discussion.

### New asset listings

- Risk managers should coordinate and create a proposal with independent analyses and parameter recommendations (besides parameters that have an agreed-upon methodology) for the community to delve into.
- The proposal should highlight the differences in recommendations (if they exist) and the tradeoffs between the parties' proposals.

### Ongoing risk parameter updates

- This part should remain independent as methodologies and simulations are proprietary.
- As mentioned in the communications section, internal communications between risk managers are encouraged before posting recommendations to facilitate feedback, as well as to prepare clear messaging to the community in cases where recommendations differ.

## Methodologies

- Risk managers should aim to converge on community-approved methodologies when possible and mutually agreed upon. Supply caps and borrow caps are examples of such potential instances.

## **Next Steps:**

We invite the community to provide feedback and discuss the topics above, and suggest additional points that may have been overlooked. Following the community discussion, we will incorporate the comments into a revised framework for the relevant parties to follow.