

Hello, I was brainstorming a trustless withdrawal process for pooled staking in eth2.0. Two things to note:

1. This may be useless if/when `withdrawal_address` can be set to a smart contract directly.
2. The security model requires a threshold set of honest pooled nodes to operate (threshold was selected over multisig for potential key loss).

Furthermore, I understand the cost of many of the required ops (BLS verify onchain) may stray from making this pragmatic in which case this is purely an academic exercise.

At the moment I am focusing solely on the withdrawal process.

[

Eth2StakedPool (1)

1301×669 72.9 KB

](<https://ethresear.ch/uploads/default/original/2X/9/9f6d669e421a587234e6f0f707a1202d68055836.png>)

The Idea

1. The `withdrawal_address` is set to a threshold BLS key where the key shards are distributed to the validator and n pool participants
2. When the withdrawal is initiated, the distributed key holder (DKH) generates a eth2.0 transaction moving funds from the shared `withdrawal_address` to a `target_address` controlled by the (DKH). The DKH signs this txData with its BLS key share.
3. The DKH submits the txData on eth1.0 HTLC smart contract and sets the value=eth2.0 `withdrawal_amount`
4. Since we use threshold BLS instead of multisig, m of n nodes in the pool sign txData and resubmit to HTLC. We require an honest majority to ensure that the submitted value \geq the eth2.0 value
5. The HTLC contract defines the following condition:

```
if(txData == valid_bls_sig){
```

```
    distribute funds to pool holders based on registry
```

```
}
```

1. The DKH receives the fully signed txData and can execute on eth2.0 to send funds to `targetAddress`

Issues

- we use threshold instead of multisig to prevent a withholding attack (but cause other problems)
- sybil/collusion attack - would require multiple random sub-committee selection rounds to sign txData

Not discussed and needs more thought:

- Distributed BLS KeyGen ceremony for shared withdrawal key
- Validator “bond” to prevent malicious attestations
- Trustless depositing (would likely extend from the HTLC smart contract acting as a registry and after accumulating $> 32\text{ETH}$ calling the eth deposit contract and setting the initial withdrawal address once a set of nodes fund the contract. Of course the dkeygen between the pooled nodes needs to be performed prior to this event)