Recently we have seen multiple proposals on the DYDX-chain regarding staking a portion of the Community Pool funds via Liquid Staking Providers (Mintscan (passed), Mintscan (rejected), Mintscan (passed)). During the conversations on the forum there are 2 major intents to be seen by (a portion of) the participants;

1. The preference to diversify on providers to reduce the risk inherited by LSTs and by relying on a single source
2. The preference of Antonio to encourage native staking from the Community Pool instead of relying on a service provider at all

In that light it is interesting to see that Osmosis is currently leading the pack with a set of proposals to diversify on the LST-assets held by the Osmosis Community Pool (Re-evaluation of Liquid Staked OSMO held by the Community Pool - Proposal Discussion - Osmosis Community Hall, Mintscan, Mintscan, Mintscan). The intent is to make sure Osmosis does not rely on a single protocol while still making sure that liquidity is efficiently used and competition is supported as well.

That being said, looking at the recent voting for also liquid staking a part of the Community Pool which was downvoted it is not desired to have a conversation right now on diversification of LST providers. However, I would like to have a more thorough conversation on the remark made by @antonio with respect to the preference of more native staking of the funds from the Pool. This conversation is meant to explore that intent, see what is needed, find out what is hindering and if possible derive steps on the path towards that preferred situation.

First of all I would like to invite@antonio to share more insights based on this desire as expressed in[DRC] dYdX Community Staking with pSTAKE Finance - #23 by antonio and the mentioning of a possible legal structure as in[DRC] dYdX Community Staking with pSTAKE Finance - #29 by antonio.

@antonio, will you do the honors and kick-off with sharing more information? Thanks!