

I've been wondering lately just how much of each AIP voters actually read before casting their vote. Personally, I'd love to see a more informed voting populace, and while I'm not even sure if this is possible to implement here we go:

When reading the synopsis of a Live AIP on Snapshot, there is always a link to the full article. Discourse can track, by scrolling, which articles users have "read." If it's possible, would anyone be in favor of withholding/gating the ability to vote until the original article has been marked as "read" by the voter?

The pros: voters would need to take the time to at least click into the full, original proposal before casting their vote. Ideally, this leads to greater engagement with the AIP in question and hopefully a more informed vote.

The cons: such restrictions could impede routine voting, and additional hurdles might be perceived as antithetical to a freely accessible, democratic process. It might also be argued that the Snapshot synopsis should contain everything a voter might need to make an informed decision (which I'd disagree with).

Thoughts?