Following is the polynomial commitment scheme between Prover and Verfier. I was looking at the KATE scheme and I noticed it could be done differently and easier. Note that it doesn't require trusted setup, nor attaching additional proof to the result F(t)

for a challange t

.

Let me know what you think. Is it useful? Can you break it?

Pairing

The pairing is a map e: G 1 \times G 2 \rightarrow G T

where G_1

and G_2

are additive groups and G_T

is multiplicative group.

Both groups have generators. P

for G_1

and Q

for G_2

. These are publically known.

Pairing e

satisfies:

$$e(aP,\,bQ)=e(P,\,abQ)=e(abP,\,Q)=e(P,Q)^{A}$$

$$e(P, Q)^{a+b} = e(P, Q)^a \cdot e(P, Q)^b$$

Commitment

Prover has a secret polynomial F

.

$$F(x) = f_0 + f_1x + ... + f_nx^n$$

Firstly Prover generates two random secret numbers a

and b

. They are used to hide coefficients of F

and compose new polynomial K

.

$$K(x) = (a + bf_0) + (a+bf_1)x + ... + (a+bf_n)x^n$$

Second step is projecting K

on G2

- . It means multiplying all coefficients by Q
- . This creates new polynomial Z

over G_2

.

```
Z(x) = K(x)Q \setminus Z(x) = (a + bf_0)Q + (a + bf_1)Qx + ... + (a + bf_n)Qx^n \setminus Z(x) = Z_0 + Z_1x + ... + Z_nx^n Final part of the commitment is hiding a on G_1 and b on G_2. M = aP \setminus N = bQ The commitment C to polynomial F can be send to Verifier. C = (Z, M, N)
```

Challange

Knowing C

, Verifier can ask Prover to calculate F(t)

for a given t

Prover computes F(t)

and sends the result back to the verifier.

Verification

```
Verifier knows: t, F(t) and C = (Z, M, N). To make sure F(t) is correct, the following check needs to be satisfied. p(M, (1+t+..+t^{n})Q) \cdot p(F(t)P, N) = p(P, Z(t))
```

Reasoning

Following transforms right-hand side of the verification check to the left-hand side.

```
\label{eq:continuous} $$ \left( a + bf_0 \right) + (a + bf_1)t + ... + (a + bf_n)t^n \right) \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + bf_0) + (a + bf_1)t + ... + (a + bf_n)t^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + bf_0) + (a + bf_1)t + ... + (a + bf_n)t^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + at_n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &= p(P, Q)^{\{(a + at_1) + at_1 + ... + bf_nt^n\}} \\ &=
```