

Hi, we know fixed total supply model is good for asset token because people appreciate scarcity.

But I think continuous token model is better for utility token because objective is not increasing value of single token but increasing benefits.

There are a couple of new token models.

The objective is to come up with new token models which satisfy...

1. mintable ( we can keep rewarding key contributors like miners )
2. have liquidity from day one
3. no need for ICO or exchanges
4. useful to incentivize all contributors toward single goal

bonding curve model

[Medium – 28 Aug 19](#)

## **Tokens 2.0: Curved Token Bonding in Curation Markets**

Since introducing Curation Markets in earnest earlier this year, quite a few projects have started adopting some of the designs.

Reading time: 7 min read

burn-and-mint model

[multicoin.capital](https://multicoin.capital)

## **New Models for Utility Tokens**

There are three types of cryptoassets: stores of value, security tokens, and utility tokens. General-purpose stores of value should be valued using the equation of exchange because these currencies are independent monetary bases.

And this is my proposal which combine bonding curve model and burn-and-mint model.

[Medium – 7 Nov 18](#)

## **Dynamic Bonding Curve**

This is my thought experiments about continuous token model especially about bonding curve model.

Reading time: 5 min read

Please share your idea.

Thanks!