In order to get back Uniswap's original liquidity share from Sushi, the UNI token issuance uses a powerful airdrop, which is really helpless.

Even so, the first airdrop of UNI tokens has many points worthy of reflection. For example, where did UNI go? Does Uniswap want to vote for users or addresses? An address does not correspond to a person. This is the biggest problem. In other words, many of the UNIs that were airdropped for the first time were cast in vain, which is equivalent to Uniswap taking the initiative to be slapped by users.

Now, there are a bunch of applications or projects that want to airdrop UNI; next, what if someone comes up with a "reasonable reason" to airdrop UNI?

It sounds like there are good reasons for the projects and applications that you want to airdrop. The questions are: 1. How to verify that each UNI token can be truly voted to the user instead of the address? 2. How to determine valid users? 3. How to prevent cheating?

The first airdrop of UNI was a helpless "feat". If the second, third, or even Nth airdrop occurs, what is UNI?

Airdrop is an art, and there are many ways to do it. Uniswap made many very low-level mistakes in its first airdrop, so that some members of the crypto community believed that UNI was just another altcoin or air currency. There is a Chinese proverb, "Eat a ditch, grow a wisdom", I believe that the Uniswap community colleagues can seriously reflect on the many mistakes of the first airdrop, and they will be more mature in the second airdrop.

I am from China, and the English is translated using Google Translator. It is very likely that the words are not expressive or cause unnecessary misunderstandings. But for Uniswap to stop making low-level mistakes, that's all.

What are your thoughts on the UNI airdrop?

Please open your heart and speak freely; Tao Lu welcomes rational objections.

Thank you for taking the time to read and feedback!