We are proud to announce the initial outcomes of the third month of the Delegate Incentive Program. We can now share results with the Arbitrum ecosystem.

May Participants

For May's iteration of the program, 48

participants enrolled, out of which 44

met the necessary requirements to qualify.

[

image

872×701 98.1 KB

](https://global.discourse-cdn.com/standard17/uploads/arbitrum1/original/2X/5/58c4c0d7c4f875f78f329d5b5f735842c153e56b.png)

You can see the full list [here

](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zf1IY 5TUzn6p8db06L4ykF15wgrUmeDRsNJ721KEXw/edit?usp=drive link).

Parameters Breakdown

Snapshot Voting

During the month, there were a total of 40 Snapshot Votes

- , which were considered for the assignment of scores by SV
- . It is important to note that only those proposals that ended in May were counted. These are the proposals that were taken into account:
 - 1. 15 LTIPP Votations.
 - 2. 18 STIP Votations.
 - 3. GovHack at ETH CC (Brussels)
 - 4. Grant Request Curve Finance
 - 5. Proposal for Approval of DeDaub as the ADPC Security Advisor
 - 6. Pilot Phase: M&A for Arbitrum DAO
 - 7. Arbitrum Multi-sig Support Service (MSS)
 - 8. Streamlining the LTIPP Bounties
 - 9. Kwenta x Perennial: Arbitrum Onboarding Incentives

Tally Voting

For this month, there were a total of 3 Tally Votes

considered for TV scoring. It is important to note that only those proposals that ended in May were counted. These are the proposals that were considered:

- 1. Grant Request Curve Finance
- 2. GovHack at ETH CC (Brussels)
- 3. Double-Down on STIP Successes (STIP-Bridge)

Communication Rationale

As stated in our [forum post

](https://forum.arbitrum.foundation/t/about-ltipp-in-aprils-delegate-incentive-program/23103), LTIPP Communication Rationales won't be taken into consideration for this parameter.

For the CR

, the published rationals of all the votes of the month were considered, taking into account Snapshot and Tally, that is to say, that to obtain the maximum qualification in this aspect a delegate had to express his rational of all the votes of the month, in other words, 28 (25 Snapshot + 3 Tally). Commenting in proposals 25 proposals were considered. These proposals were the ones that went through Snapshot excluding the LTIPP proposals. May Results You can see the dashboard with the results implemented by Karma [here](https://arbitrum.karmahq.xyz/delegate-compensation). [1600×757 143 KB](https://global.discoursecdn.com/standard17/uploads/arbitrum1/original/2X/d/ddf62171dc34bcbf8ada0b6133ed481cb7974074.png) Of all the participating delegates, 29 were eligible to receive compensation. Delegate Address Tally Profile **PARB** mcfly 0x821f2b40d965b81202b181Aba1c7a380C49Ed675 mcfly profile 4.740,00 cp0x 0x6f9BB7e454f5B3eb2310343f0E99269dC2BB8A1d cp0x Profile 4.590,00 404DAO 0xe93d59cc0bcecfd4ac204827ef67c5266079e2b5 404DAO Profile 4.340,00 Bob-Rossi

Bob Rossi Profile

4.330,00

BlockworksResearch

0xb29A655f3D67B2B6724Fb22B2C2303cB660c946B

0xA160b58a0108BC139Aafc1dC67846fcc2aD6D4Cd BlockworksResearch Profile 4.290,00 MaxLomu 0xd333Bc5c9670C9cEb18f9A2CF02C6E86807a8227 MaxLomu Profile 4.270,00 **PGov** 0x3fb19771947072629c8eee7995a2ef23b72d4c8a **PGov Profile** 4.230,00 **UADP** 0x8326D18edfC50B4335113C33b25116ec268FF3fE **UADP Profile** 4.210,00 BristolBlockchain 0x43D3938Ebd74106e2d177f9A304C1E9f914f2b52 **BristolBlockchain Profile** 4.083,75 **DAOplomats** 0xd4879f876eE383067F80ACAdBE283B93141908e9 **DAOplomats** 4.031,96 0x_ultra 0x04330a444F9D051713b13c934d478a552165C205 0x_Ultra Profile 4.030,00 StableLab 0x9c489E4efba90A67299C1097a8628e233C33BB7B StableLab Profile 4.015,36 Jojo 0x1de39f894c2DC773C8A11862F58165EcC7611C91 Jojo Profile 3.840,00 L2BEAT 0x41626BA92c0C2a1aD38fC83920300434082B1870

3.835,30 Gauntlet 0xFd2892eFf2615C9F29AF83Fb528fAf3fE41c1426 **Gauntlet Profile** 3.810,00 HiringDevs.eth 0x22aA1F4173b826451763EbfCE22cf54A0603163c hiringdevs.eth Profile 3.786,25 jameskbh 0x714D8b5874b3a6a69f289f4e857F4C9670074296 Jameskbh Profile 3.780,71 Tane 0xB79294D00848a3A4C00c22D9367F19B4280689D7 **Tane Profile** 3.765,36 PrincetonBlockchain 0x18BF1a97744539a348304E9d266aAc7d446a1582 PrincetonBlockchain Profile 3.743,04 0xCasio 0x47E98aA12dB2017295d33e94002521820442F827 **0xCasio profile** 3.730,00 Karpatkey 0x583E3EDc26E1B8620341bce90547197bfE2c1ddD Karpatkey Profile 3.660,00 TreasureDAO 0x0eB5B03c0303f2F47cD81d7BE4275AF8Ed347576 **TreasureDAO Profile** 3.644,05 Frisson 0xb5B069370Ef24BC67F114e185D185063CE3479f8 Frisson Profile

Profile L2beat

3.381,25
ITUblockchain
0xBEC643BD5b7F5e9190617CA4187ef0455950C51C
ITUblockchain profile
3.200,12
Bobbay
0x5a35923ed6950eff4412ef6d27cea8b1d405a844
Bobbay Profile
3.144,05
Savvy DeFi
0x4f54Cab19B61138e3c622a0bD671C687481eC030
Savvy DeFi Profile
3.128,45
olimpio
0xF4B0556B9B6F53E00A1FDD2b0478Ce841991D8fA
olimpo Profile
3.115,18
GFXLabs
0xa6e8772af29b29B9202a073f8E36f447689BEef6
GFX Labs Profile
3.039,05
Kuiqian.eth
0xf3FE8c6c75bE4afB2F8200Fc77339abE4D7CFF33
Kuiqian Profile
3.000,00
Total
110.808,51
Bonus Points
There were no qualified delegates to receive Bonus Points this month.
Costs
We track all cost data for greater transparency in our Payment Distribution Thread.
Incentives to delegates (May)
According this the results presented before the total cost destined to the delegates this month will it be 110.808,51 ARB
Why haven't they finished paying for the months of March and April?
That's because there are some delegates that still haven't completed their KYC process and they still remain unpaid.
When the kyc will be completed, the remaining payments will be sent to the delegates.

New Members of the Program

As we said in previous posts, any delegate canapply to the program anytime.

We have two new participants that will be part of it from the next month:

- Arana Digital @AranaDigital
- Vertex Protocol @Vertex Protocol

[CALL TO ACTION!] Dispute Period

As stated in the <u>proposal</u>, delegates have 2 days to express their disagreement with the results presented by the Incentive System Administrator.

To raise a dispute, delegates should do so by posting a message in the forum using the following template:

Title: Dispute

User name

Reason for dispute (please detail)

Conclusions

This month has been the busiest so far in terms of data collection for the Delegate Incentive Program. We are delighted to see how delegate activity has evolved, demonstrating increased engagement and participation in governance processes.

[

1076×356 120 KB

](https://global.discourse-

cdn.com/standard17/uploads/arbitrum1/original/2X/1/1086df86fb28c9f6d1b00b0af26cbd419fed0c9c.jpeq)

Given the high volume of Communication Rationales (CR

) collected this month, we acknowledge the possibility of errors. We invite all delegates to double-check our table (link tabla publica) their recorded CRs to ensure accuracy.

[Here

](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B4ZWxgndFj8P2AbG2wDycBZ4u8zPUhP9mfikiaujaJw/edit#gid=52566004) you can see all the information related to CP and CR.

If you want to give any feedback, don't hesitate to do it in this [thread

[(https://forum.arbitrum.foundation/t/delegate-incentive-program-questions-and-feedback/20946).