

The Ethereum ecosystem is nascent and yet it already has achieved financial inequality by the very nature of its design. People with superior financial resources have had a head start and reaped significant benefits in contrast to most of the users. These are the new Elites.

Their power is the Ether which despite its novelty has value only in relation to the traditional currency it is denominated in usually the USD. (Thus IMO a global financial system collapse would make the ether worthless and destroy all value created)

Could this situation have been avoided during the early years of design by making the expansion of the blockchain via mining a very inclusive process? Was this a priority issue?

Is there a case for sharing mining rewards with the actual users of the blockchain on the basis of transactions because without users

the chain will simply not grow and expand?

Should not the miners be compensated for their CAPEX + OPEX + fixed ROI% by the Foundation and the Users?

Is there a case for a DAO + Defi solution to the above proposal with participants being the Foundation, Miners, and Users?

Hence, we can foresee a future of development that inequitably rewards the elites because of the distinct financial advantage they enjoy.

Are we simply exchanging a physical world order for a supposedly decentralized digital world that similarly does not guarantee financial fairness and equity?

Is the problem also with the notion of value which is still identified with the value of a crypto in the USD?

Is there a need for a paradigm shift in the notion of economic value using a trustless digital metric that allows for a wider level of participation and equitable distribution of benefits?

Would this not lead to a disruptive change and transformation of the real economy via the Daaps/DeFi/DAO community?

Is this not true value creation, equitable distribution, and decentralization?