"Halt Changes To Core Process & Voting Mechanics for One Year"

Abstract

This proposal is an informational indication that the ApeCoin DAO token holders will refrain from implementing changes to the core processes or voting mechanics for the duration of one year. Rather than establishing hard rules, this proposition acts as a cautionary statement against potential risks associated with changes advocated by individuals frustrated with or possessing limited understanding of the principles of a truly decentralized organization.

Motivation

The catalyst for this proposal is the rising inclination among certain DAO participants towards changing the voting process, particularly favoring quadratic voting. By advising against incendiary topics or emotionally charged conversations, this proposal promotes stability and advocates for proposals that align with the collective interests of the DAO. It underscores the importance of researching the snapshot voting history and engaging with experienced individuals or new entities like the Ape Assembly before putting forward a proposal.

Rationale

The proposal's justification lies in the belief that DAO's democratic structure, where each voter has distinct interests and reasons for participation, should not be hastily modified. Changes such as implementing 2/3 majorities or quadratic voting, altering AIP-1, or mandating terms on new participants like milestone funding or redirection to a working group or proposed small grant program, may not necessarily enhance the organization's functionality. This proposal seeks to foster stability and discourage contentious discussions like vote shaming or negative sentiment against large delegates and voters.

Specifications

The specifications of this proposal recommend a complete halt on major changes to ApeCoin DAO's core processes and voting mechanics for one year. The implementation of this proposal doesn't entail introducing new rules but rather creating an environment that dissuades alterations that might disrupt the established order.

Timeline

Upon reaching consensus, this proposal will be put into effect immediately, halting all major changes to the core processes and voting mechanics for a period of one year. Following this term, an evaluation and reconsideration of any possible changes can be carried out based on a more informed and comprehensive understanding of the organization.

Overall Cost

This proposal doesn't directly involve any financial expenditure as it calls for preserving the current system rather than implementing new features or changes. However, the indirect cost lies in the potential stagnation of the system and the delay in possibly beneficial updates. Nevertheless, the intention is to prevent hasty, uninformed decisions that could potentially incur higher costs, both financially and operationally, in the long run.

Hello, we are the writersclub. Inspired by some of overline writersclub. Inspired by some of overline writersclub33@gmail.com with proposal ideas or inquiries. We do not always respond to messages but are recruiting.