The idea of taking in account IN and HOLD time for the airdrop to kick the governance is great. However I would suggest to have a max cap for the airdrop to have a more distributed airdrop and so initial governance.

I understand that big depositor are vital for<u>lido.fi</u> and someone may say that having a max cap disincentive big deposit. Well this isn't the case since this information is given after not before, same as minimum deposit required.

If the goal of this airdrop isn't only about rewarding but kickstarting the governance this is something to think about.

To make it short:

IN

· regard when one took the risk and deposited,

HOLD

• regard how long one maintained the position,

AMOUNT

· regard how much ETH one deposited,

LP

· regard if and how much liquidity one provided for stETH-ETH,

and

DISTRIBUITED

• based on the criteria above see the distribution output and find a balance by "equalising" so we do not end with less than 5 address having +95% of the 0.5% initial governance airdrop. Having a Max CAP could help on this.

Sure is fair for those who deposited more to have more but is the voice of someone that deposited 1k ETH worth 1000% more than one with a 100 ETH deposit?

I think this is vital for initial governance distribution, reward in a balanced way to have a wide distribuite governance.

Let me know what you all think.