

This has bugged me for some time now. And in speaking to others - who, for obvious reasons haven't actually publicly voiced it - I am not alone.

Why are proposals sent to vote out of order?

e.g. Since June 21, I have written...[checks notes]...18 (!!) proposals.

[AIP-??? : Streamline Proposal Times and Reporting](#)

[AIP-??? : Update treasury dashboard to reflect pre-allocated funds](#)

[AIP-??? : Update AIP Tracking Data](#)

[AIP-??? : Improving Funding Allocations To Benefit The DAO](#)

[AIP-??? : APE Foundation DAO Transparency Reports](#)

[AIP-497: Restore Elections Data Visibility](#)

[AIP-487: ApeCoin Community Engagement Platform](#)

[AIP-478: Require Ape Foundation To Disburse AIP Funds In Timely Fashion](#)

[AIP-477: Special Council To Clarify The APE Foundation](#)

[AIP-476: ApeCoin Gaming Sub-Domains](#)

[AIP-475: Fast Track Proposals and Enforce Leadership Transparency](#)

[AIP-474: Delegated ApeCoin Community Voting Wallet](#)

[AIP-471: Special Council To Propose Voting System Reform](#)

[AIP-469: Ape Foundation To Clarify Ability To Terminate Contracts](#)

[AIP-467: Proposal To Revise Special Council & WG Stewards Term Limits](#)

[AIP-466: Proposal To Close Non-Essential Working Groups](#)

[AIP-465: Alignment Of Working Group Terms And Budgets](#)

[AIP-464: Future Election Leadership Requirements](#)

And before you say "It depends on the author

", yes, that can be the case. But how does that explain the fact that, in my case, there hasn't been a single

time whereby info was requested by the facilitators or the Special Council regarding my proposals and I haven't responded in a timely fashion. In fact - and I checked this in the message stream - there hasn't been an instance whereby I didn't respond to a proposal query within a 24 hr period.

To wit:

Yesterday's voting cycle has the following proposals:

p-date = date proposal first posted

f-date = date final material response/change added to the proposal

v-date = date proposal sent to vote

AIP

P-DATE

F-DATE

V-DATE

[AIP-489: Restoring Balance](#)

07/03/24

07/22/24

08/15/24

[AIP-481: Building the Modular IP Layer with ApeCoin](#)

06/27/24

07/30/24

08/15/24

[AIP-474: Delegated ApeCoin Community Voting Wallet](#)

06/24/04

07/18/24

08/15/24

[AIP-472: ApeLance: WEB3 Freelance Marketplace on ApeChain](#)

06/23/24

07/23/24

08/15/24

[AIP-468: Bored Trading Co Powered By ApeCoin](#)

06/28/24

07/18/24

08/15/24

[AIP-463: Empowering Otherside Wiki](#)

06/19/24

07/16/24

08/15/24

[AIP-457: The Public Bored - Phase 2](#)

06/13/24

07/24/24

08/15/24

While there are instances whereby some otherwise completed proposals require follow-up from the facilitators, that's immaterial to the queue itself when you look at the time lapse between the last material (critical revisions) response, the creation date, and the voting date.

For example: With no more responses required for AIP-464 (06/19/24, 06/29/24), how is it that AIP-468 to AIP-489 are up for vote?

We saw this happen with AIP-454 [Banana Bill] which went up on June 13th, and was up for vote on June 20th. However, with the impending release (currently delayed) of ApeChain, it made sense for this proposal and similar infra and/or vital proposals be sent to vote as soon as is reasonably possible. And because there was a lot of noise surrounding that proposal leapfrogging others, I wrote [AIP-475](#) to make it official and to alleviate such musings related to such impactful proposals.

So, this doesn't make any sense to me - at all. And so, I would very much like an explanation from the [@Facilitators](#) or the [@Stewards_Governance](#)