Really great and thorough post Barnabe! A lot to react to here, so I'll try to do this in chunks and start first maybe with higher-level comments & questions for you (along with everyone else following this topic).

Goals of PBS

This is more so for me but I find it helpful to start with an explicit outlining of what outcomes we're trying to achieve with PBS. In my mind, a successful PBS design should consider the broader goals below. And I haven't thought through enough as to whether or not there's some "impossibility theorem" or yet another form of a "trilemma" in here:

- 1. Maximizing value accrual for proposers
- 2. Minimizing the difference in returns on capital between sophisticated & unsophisticated proposers
- 3. Censorship resistance
- 4. Minimizing external, "off-chain" dependencies
- 5. Minimizing incremental protocol complexity

Summary of PEPC as you envision it

And here's my attempt at a tl;dr of your tl;dr

- 1. Use an EigenLayer-like system for enforcing certain rules and behaviors in the builder market
- 2. Open question of whether to use optimistic or validity proofs
- 3. The data availability problem rears its ugly head yet again

Some questions that popped into my mind

- Until we have a strong consensus on what outcomes we're trying to optimize for, it's difficult I think to react to specific proposals or ideas around mechanism design?
- · What EIPs would this type of system depend

on?

· What EIPs would be nice to have

and make the implementation of PEPC far easier / more elegant? [Initial thought is that 4337 (AA) might be somewhat helpful here]

- Should something like EigenLayer be enshrined or not? Essentially the same question people have asked around
 other fairly dominant "side-car" pieces of software or middleware whether it be scaling solutions, liquid staking, and/or
 MEV relays
- What are some low effort / low cost ways we can simulate different types of mechanism designs here? Or "do it live" but in far lower stakes environments (testnets, sidechains, etc)?