As part of researching cross-shard transactions the <u>TXRX research team</u> has built a <u>cross-shard transaction simulator</u> named Vorpal.

All of the probabilistically generated data can be found here: <a href="https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1sloCwAnJ2Ok2zkuwjtBaFbyZdag-z4Dy?usp=sharing">https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1sloCwAnJ2Ok2zkuwjtBaFbyZdag-z4Dy?usp=sharing</a>

Throughput is tracked using two metrics, transactions

and transaction segments

<u>detailed in this previous research post</u> Transaction segments are portions of a transaction that result from a cross-shard call, where the transaction is the encapsulation of all the transaction segments.

How cross-shard transaction probabilities are calculated. After each transaction segment

the cross-shard probability is recomputed resulting in a decaying probability for the encapsulating transaction.

Below is an example of a cross-shard probability calculation at a probability = 0.99

, and the x axis is the resulting transaction segments

# Test: Probabilistic cross-shard sweep

This test is a sweep of the --crossshard

value from 0.0 - 0.99

over multiple simulations. --crossshard

is the probability a cross-shard call will occur within a transaction.

#### Results

## Configuration

collision rate 0.0113712

shards 64 slot 12 blocksize 512 witnesssize 256 transactionsize 1 tps 10000 duration 500 probability 0.0 - 0.99 collision 0.01 sweep FALSE generate FALSE output None outputtransactions None input None

#### Conclusion

As the probability of a cross-shard transaction increases linearly there is an exponential decrease in transactional throughput. At the maximum value of a cross-shard probability = 0.99

represents a ~0.503

proportional decrease in transaction throughput.

An average Eth1 transaction contains ~1.33

cross-contract call per transaction.

Assuming shards will have a uniform distribution of contracts the probability of a cross contract call resulting in a cross-shard transaction is  $63/64 = \sim 0.984375$ 

which is very close to the right hand side of this exponential slope. Resulting in ~1.315

cross-shard calls per transaction without any contract modifications or load balancing.

## Recommendations

As a recommendation contract vanking should be implemented as part of the protocol to allow shard balancing.

Cross-shard calls should be economically priced to incentivize the utilization of contract yanking.

#### **Next Steps**

As part of this research the next steps will be to run Eth1 transactions into the simulator to capture non-probabilistic scenarios. Additionally, contract yanking will be tested to detect if there is a improvement in transactional throughput.

Investigate in-protocol control loop based contract yanking.		