

So @optimismFND

gave 900k \$OP to unverified #delegator

's application with a never created wallet, and @PikaProtocol

did #rugpull

on.

Developer @EthanDev6

ENS-address never existed, yet in August 2022 Pika Protocol received 900,000 \$OP tokens as part of the OptimismDAO's governance funding program.

So what @optimismFND

did about this? Really they never checked dev's existence, yet gave 900k token?

Delegate: [Delegate Commitments \[OLD\] - #186 by ethan0](#)

Proposal: [\[GF: Phase 0 Proposal\] Pika Protocol](#)

OP Rewards: [OP Rewards Impact Analysis: Pika Protocol](#)

Yet #PikaProtocol

generously explaining their #rug

on social media, while protocols v4 trap is still pinned. #L2

's are racing for adoption, but those who do not protect its #userbase

will loose in the long run for sure.

We need more @zachxbt

alike peoples within protocols, and more transparent protocol approach about those issues. Lectures need to be taken, and announced!

Possible related users:

[@ethan0](#)

Ethan

Joined May 25, 2022

[@Kit](#)

Joined September 7, 2023

[@freemartian](#)

kian khorsandi

Joined May 26, 2022

[@satomiss](#)

Joined January 18, 2023

ps. this was a tweet in first place, don't want to put more time since I already cannot take my funds from v3 and feeling the rug pain. I really wanted to see a protocol that cares about those issues. You can name me QQ, that's ok. Just wanted to put my tweet into more visible scene for future conversation.