

soispoke:

Weighted rewards: Knowing which proposer included which transactions enables rewards to be weighted according to how many other committee members have included each transaction. This leads to greater incentives to include transactions no one else wants to include (e.g., “censorable transactions”) and helps prevent [discouragement attacks](#)

I think the flip side to this is that this could introduce an incentive for a validator in the committee to receive but not propagate a transaction, increasing its chance of not being included as its seen by less than the IT of validators. This probably isn't a bug effect though and is largely counterbalanced by the desire to have a transaction included in the first place.