Do we have a system for following up with approved AIPs about how they've been going? Even if we release funds all at once, it's a great record to have so that we can see history of returning AIP authors. Fool me once kinda thing. Also so we can help if they're struggling.

Maybe have ApeCoin recipients check in at 1/3 and 2/3 points of their timeline, or 50% and 75%. Are they on time, ahead, delayed, facing unexpected challenges? What's the updated timeline or tradeoffs they had to make, features they maybe have to drop/reconsider.

This is especially important for larger projects using considerable amounts of ApeCoin.

Update May 9

: thanks for the feedback! kicked off this AIP to address this topic:

[AIP-261: Progress-based Funding](#) [Withdrawn AIPs

](/c/withdrawn/18)

THE PROBLEM: proposals receive 100% of funding upfront with no obligation to set KPIs (Key Performance Indicators) and report back to DAO with progress updates. ISSUES THIS CREATES:

Voters reject proposals that don't appear bulletproof b/c 100% of proposal funding is at risk. Voters have to over-rely on team's existing reputation, which means that newer and lesser-known community members are less likely to have their proposals approved. If a proposal turns out to be a scam, all of approved a…