

The KISS AVC has included two Advisory Council tasks in its Aligned Scope Proposal-

Advisory Work for Protocol Advisory Council Members

- Provide support on bridge design in the form of an audit / second look. Priority 4/5. Not to exceed 100k DAI
- Provide support on newchain design / development principals in the form of an audit / second look. This includes a second opinion on the purpose and necessity of newchain. Priority 3/5. Not to exceed 200k DAI

These budgets are meant to be accommodative and we do not expect applicants to attempt to use them all, as per the following language also in the KISS AVC Aligned Scope Proposal-

Applicants should note that budgets for Advisory Councils are intentionally accommodative across the board in order to avoid having to delay by a full monthly governance cycle in unforeseen circumstances. They are not meant to be fully spent, and attempts to spend full budgets are less likely to be approved.

Do these numbers of 100k DAI and 200k DAI, respectively, seem reasonable nonetheless? The KISS AVC would appreciate a response from each developer team tagged, even if the response is abstaining from answering.

[@jetstream-ea](#) [@PhoenixLabs](#) [@PullUpLabs](#)