As a grant applicant, I wanted to know where I rank in relation to other similar projects.

Currently the ranking is somewhat opaque and not immediately easy to understand.

You can see this in Reference [4], where the rubric scores were all in one cell.

I did some quick analysis to get the public data that was presented into a shape that was good for data analysis.

Here is link to the cleaned data.

- [Dropbox - expanded-data.csv - Simplify your life](#)

Here is a link to a repo so anyone can reproduce/correct my work.

- [GitHub - 1a35e1/op-s5r2-data](#)

Here are some quick things we can do now.

[

image

1720×1538 268 KB

](https://global.discourse-cdn.com/business7/uploads/bc41dd/original/2X/2/278185bffbfdb996f2adcee635be1bbdc88a96f4.png)

Why bother ??

- Unpack the scoring algorithm to make it more transparent.
- Surface the rubric data so its suitable for analysis.

Goals

For grant applicants

- Identify the key factors that influence the ranking.

For Reviewers

- Clean data for analysis, to further inform the review process.

For the collective

- Increased transparency for the allocation process.

References

- [1] https://gov.optimism.io/t/cycle-22-final-grants-roundup/8086
- [2] Season 5 Cycle 22 Grants database, https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1wY_7P_m0AggVaZG1L_uh6k27iFODVcvMxc052jiXXE8/edit#gid=335563146
- [3]https://gov.optimism.io/t/grants-council-cycle-22-preliminary-review-roundup/8030
- [4] Prelim & Final Feedback, https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/14OKrK8BBoCxZ2ubebit9bZjQHd_J_JkgLMzxiQnNBCM/edit#gid=928489944