

I am wondering if we need a voting delegation at all. Sharing my concerns against delegation below. Prove me wrong if you don't agree.

More centralization, less democracy and risks of manipulation

If a delegator knows that someone will vote for him, then he will be less interested to go through proposals, learn them deeply and make decisions. And delegates can use this in their own favour.

Consider a hidden or separated from ApeCoin community of those who delegated their votes to their favourite influencer/project. He can communicate via his community channels for what proposal and why he will vote with delegated power of his audience. But he has space to interpret a proposal in some way that will be easier for him to convince his audience that his decision for some choice is better when it's not. Also, delegators can even don't know for what exactly delegates uses their votes. This creates opportunities to use this advantage to utilize delegated votes for personal benefit of small groups of players. Sounds a bit paranoid, but this can really happen, keeping in mind that voting activity is low at the moment.

Delegation of votes makes holders passive and make the community less active

Active participation in voting is a key step of involving the holders in the decision-making process. People who vote tend to learn more about the subject matter. This leads them to go to the forum and start reading what's going on there. When you've read enough, you start talking, i.e. discussing and proposing. That's how a Holder becomes a Bilder.

If for some reason a Holder decides to delegate his vote, he is less likely to dive into our community and we lose a potentially active member.