

Proposal A: Governance Fund Phase 0 - Batch Vote - yes - Was necessary to approve to kickstart OP summer

Proposal B: Governance Fund Phase 0 - Uniswap - yes - Uniswap missed the initial batched vote and the proposal was fine

Proposal C: Governance Fund Phase 0 - 0x - yes - 0x also missed the initial batched vote and i also thought the proposal was beneficial

Proposal A: Optimistic Railway - no - i did not see much of a product or use-case when conducting my thesis

Proposal B: dForce - yes - dForce has a great TVL and we could benefit from its yield markets

Proposal C: Governance Fund Phase 1 - GYSR - no - total TVL was not on par with amount requested, no heritage.

Proposal D: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Mean Finance - no - Lack of organic usage, use of funds not promising

Proposal E: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Raptor - no - request to large, no co incentives

Proposal F: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Balancer & BeethovenX - yes - this proposal will have a good impact, Beethoven has many active users from ftm

Proposal G: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Summa - no - extremely high request with no product

Proposal H: Governance Fund Phase 1 - WardenSwap - no - very hard to see a positive impact from a very competitive amm sector as a small player i dont think the grant would have a impact

Proposal I: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Pickle Finance - yes - pickle has an active community of savvy farmers and defi heritage would rope in users

Proposal J: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Ooki Protocol - no - request to high, missing detailed information

Proposal L: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Beefy - no - grant utilized needed tweaked, low co incentives

Proposal M: Governance Fund Phase 1 - 0xHabitat - no - no clear product, looks ripe for misuse

Proposal N: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Thales - yes - request is a little large but with upcoming major sporting events and the size of the sports betting market this grant could potentially make optimism the playground for sport fans and grow users substantially

Proposal O: Governance Fund Phase 1 - ParaSwap - yes - request is relatively low, very well known aggregator with users

Proposal K: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Infinity Wallet - yes - ask is in a fair range, could help onboard novice users

Proposal P: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Roki - yes - good tooling gives users flexible insights, ask is fair with accountable team

Proposal Q: Governance Fund Phase 1 - Candide - no - progress not far along, purely funding development

Proposal A: Governance Phase 1 - Superfluid - against - lack of co incentives, low impact

Proposal B: Governance Phase 1 - Kromatika - against -

to much funding towards marketing missaligned incentives

Proposal C: Governance Phase 1 - Hundred Finance - yes - request is fair, hundred is offering to utilize grant funds appropriately and has a solid/trustworthy team

Proposal D: Governance Phase 1 - Biconomy - for - gas refunds are a great way to bring in new users

Proposal E: Governance Phase 1 - Dope Wars - against - request is way to large, no major existing developments on the product/game side

Proposal F: Governance Phase 1 - Infinity Wallet - for - ask is in a fair range, could help onboard novice users.

Proposal G: Governance Phase 1 - Dexguru - against - very vague use of grant funds

Proposal H: Governance Phase 1 - [Overnight.fi](https://overnight.fi) - against - not already deployed on optimism/lacking data

Proposal I: Governance Phase 1 - Saddle Finance - for - saddle has a consistent tvl and a very bright team, LM rewards would bring in value.

Proposal A: Governance Phase 1 - Rocket Pool - for - good pool incentives and a large user base

Proposal B: Governance Phase 1 - Boardroom - for - boardroom offers a useful governance and delegate interface with integrated voting, this gives delegates/governance participants the opportunity to get more involved and also vote in the same place

Proposal C: Governance Phase 1 - dHedge - for - rewards are spread over a great period and they offer a unique product with existing provenance

Proposal D: Governance Phase 1 - xToken Terminal and Gamma Strategies - for - I think there are many benefits to be had by fragmenting liquidity between Velo and uniswap, the competition is great for innovation and user acquisition.

Proposal E: Governance Phase 1 - Byte Mason Product Suite - against - weak impact along with no co incentives

Proposal F: Governance Phase 1 - GARD - against - request is very high, incentives look ripe for misuse

Proposal G: Governance Phase 1 - Beefy Finance - for - beefy deployed on optimism and showed interest from their user base.

Proposal H: Governance Phase 1 - BarnBridge - for - barnbridge at one point had a substantial tvl, would be beneficial if that were to ever return, large community

Proposal I: Governance Phase 1 - Qi Dao - abstain - while the proposal looks great i have a small but large enough to abstain position.

Tooling & Infrastructure Committee [Group A] - for -

DeFi Committee [Group A] - abstain -

DeFi Committee [Group B] - for -

DeFi Committee [Group C] - against -

NFT & Gaming Committee [Group A] - missed -

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: dHEDGE DAO - for - rewards are spread over a great period and they offer a unique product with existing provenance

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Otterspace -

against - i dont see the impact the grant would have growth wise

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Tarot - for - has a decent TVL and a large base of users willing to migrate capital

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Across Protocol - for - across has a large user base and bridging subsidies can help improve inflow

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Bankless Academy v2 - for - request is very low, great reach

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Revert Compoundor - for - distribution is going to LPs purely TVL is large

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Kromatika - against - proposal needs a bit of work, lack of use

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: OptiChads - yes - request is very low, could lead users to buy NFTs on OP

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Socket - abstain - personal connections within the project

Season 2 Governance Fund Proposal: Interest Protocol 2 - yes - low ask great impact

Season 2: Cycle 7: Abracadabra Money - against - not deployed yet, loss of community enthusiasm

Season 2: Cycle 7: Overtime Markets - for - request has been tweaked along with upcoming major sporting events and the size of the sports betting market this grant could potentially make optimism the playground for sport fans and grow users substantially

Season 2: Cycle 7: [Overnight.fi](#) - for - proposal had been tweaked and looks deserving

Season 2: Cycle 7: Sushiswap - for - request is fair for a amm of sushi swaps stature, rewards will go to good use

Season 2: Cycle 7: Tarot - for - has a decent TVL and a large base of users willing to migrate capital

Season 2: Cycle 7: Alchemix - abstain - needs tweaked not ready for approval

Season 2: Cycle 7: Dope Wars - against - would still like to see more development funded internally

Season 2: Cycle 7: Otterspace - against - request is fairly low but i still dont think it would benefit growth

Season 2: Cycle 7: Rainbow Wallet - against - i think grant funds could be distributed in a better more efficient maner

Season 2: Cycle 7: Karma (Delegate Dashboard) - for -

Season 2: Cycle 7: Karma (Discourse Form Plugin) - for -

Season 2: Cycle 7: Safe - for - safe has offered one of the best/safest asset management solutions in our space request seems well within reason

Season 2: Cycle 7: [LI.FI](#) - for -

Season 2: Cycle 7: Yearn - incentives could be thought out better request is high.

Season 2: Cycle 8: Alchemix - tweaked proposal fixed some points of contention

Season 2: Cycle 8: Arrakis - for - large user base, gives us diverse liquidity management strategies

Season 2: Cycle 8: Symphony - against -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Homora - against -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Angle - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: InsureDAO - abstain - involvement in competing insurance project

Season 2: Cycle 8: Curve - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: PoolTogether - against -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Overnight - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Socket - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: EthernautDAO - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Tally Ho - against -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Messari - against - request is too high for simple analytics

Season 2: Cycle 8: DefiLlama - for - although a public good defillama is a great tool that runs on public funding

Season 2: Cycle 8: Agora - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Ambire Wallet - against -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Mochi - for -

Season 2: Cycle 8: Velodrome - for -

Special Voting Cycle #9a:

Grants Council - for - grants council will have a positive impact and provide relief for burdened delegates

Special Voting Cycle #9a:

Protocol Delegation Program - for - this allows the top optimism protocols to gain power and also helps decentralize our current voting system