

First meeting was held today, June 15th. I took a few notes on some of the setup and vision that amplify laid out. Here a few things I wrote in my notepad that I think are important.

- This all explores the idea of sub-daos as a service provider
- Experimentation can be done @ Ape Assembly w/o impacting Apecoin's processes
- WGs can even grow to become their own sub-dao after incubation

Collaboration with Tally Labs or through snapshot to improve the way we vote on consensus is a topic that needs weighed. Testing of discord emoji voting is currently being trialed. Token-gating or using a different platform other than discords stages will likely be explored further.

Monthly cadence and meetings came under debate today. Initial suggestions like the first of every month have no been shifted to twice monthly, on a weekday instead. This could be harder to put out an advanced agenda and get wider participation if bi-monthly is implemented.

Is it acceptable for 60 people to make a decision on for electing paid positions in WGs built within the Ape Assembly? What quorums or safeguards are in place? What will the DAO token holders think? Can we justify our decisions by saying "look at our discord votes?"

What your thoughts? I was on-stage expressing mine hahah. This can be fun!