

I am writing to address the recent changes in the staking proportions within our ecosystem. After careful consideration, I believe these adjustments have led to an imbalance, particularly affecting the MAYC NFT holders. I am of the view that this revision warrants a re-examination for the following reasons:

1. **Disproportionate Impact on MAYC Holders:** The new staking structure appears to have disproportionately affected the returns for MAYC NFT holders. Given the significant value of these NFTs, currently estimated around \$13,000, it seems counterintuitive that their staking yields in \$APE are now lower than those in the \$APE-only pool. This disparity raises concerns about equitable treatment of different asset holders within our community.
2. **Questioning the Existence of the \$APE-Only Pool:** The current structure, which includes the \$APE-only staking pool, demands a re-evaluation. The fundamental purpose of staking pools, in my understanding, is to incentivize and reward long-term holding and contribution to the ecosystem. However, the \$APE-only pool, in its present form, seems to challenge this principle by offering higher returns without the same level of investment or commitment as that required by NFT holders.

I propose the following for consideration:

Reassessment of Staking Yields: Conduct a thorough review of the staking yields, particularly focusing on the balance between the \$APE-only pool and the NFT staking pools. The goal should be to ensure fairness and incentivize holding of higher-value assets like MAYC NFTs.

Community Discussion and Feedback: I encourage an open forum for community members to express their views and provide feedback on this matter. This would ensure that any adjustments made reflect the consensus and interests of the broader community.

In conclusion, while I understand the complexities involved in managing staking structures, I strongly believe that addressing these concerns will contribute to a more balanced and equitable ecosystem. I look forward to a constructive dialogue and am eager to hear thoughts and suggestions from fellow community members. I know that these staking allocations were determined through a previous AIP and are based on underlying NFT price, but the resulting yield setup is clearly inequitable and broken.