

As discussed in the telegram, given the level of debate, concern and reaction within the community to suggestions of modifying MPs and other tokenomics, as well as the very large number of potential solutions that have been put forth, a strategy of “consolidation” is being attempted in order to achieve an orderly solution.

I've proposed a three-stage process: Define, Refine, Resolve. Given the potential scale and consequence the vote outcome may have for the short and long term prospects of the GMX project, as well as to move the discussion away from congesting the telegram channels, each of these stages will have their own thread.

This is the Define thread

One of the criticisms is that the issue still seems vaguely defined, often with multiple topics being attached to the discussion of MPs, making it more difficult to ascertain effective solutions. As we move towards creating a vote, the purpose of this thread is to:

1. Craft a clear and concise description of the problem which the community is to address.
2. Aggregate the data used to reach this description of the problem.
3. State why this matter should be addressed be prioritized by the dev team over innovation, expansion and new-pair work, or if you're willing to table it until after some other growth action items. That is to say, clarify the level of prioritization you feel it should have, within the context of dev time being limited.

IF YOU ARE OPPOSED TO MAKING ANY CHANGES OR DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS ANY PROBLEM, DON'T POST IN THIS THREAD. That time is set for Thread 2, which will go up at a later time.

I won't be posting anymore in this thread, but I do look forward to seeing this final, concisely stated problem. Also it would be super cool if the final statement of problem was kept to just 1 to 3 sentences.