

Lot of Apes met with RattyMouseman (RH) of FWB to ask about transitioning away from Cartan and WGv0. In the interest of informing the community, here's a breakdown and solutions for your consideration.

PH's thoughts:

1. Meta-governance is the priority. RH suggested SC appoints WG leads but that they be ratified by the community.
2. The first issue with respect to the RFP for a new admin is finding out how much of what Cartan is doing is outside the scope of the admin. I've [been speaking to this](#) as well before this meeting.

RH also spoke of a peer review system where leaders check other leaders. I think this is a stopgap that can work to move in the direction of onchain KPIs, which @bradley2026

noted is how Dash does things. IMHO, onchain KPIs for data driven positions like Treasury is where we should be going.

1. Disclosure policy.

This is actually required of Directors under Cayman law. [\(tweet detailing relevant responsibilities of Directors\)](#)

1. Unwrapped DAO creates a lot of risk for members.

FWB is US-based, and RH said that the US will try to find a "nexus" in order to claim jurisdiction. It will be harder to put the FWB nexus over \$APE because of \$APE's wider holder base, but I feel it's definitely super important to "internationalize" the DAO ASAP.

I'm actually working on a proposal that houses solutions for this and ancillary problems in a Prop House structure. The Prop House itself should not supercede WGv0 and the Transition, but the ideas

there are definitely relevant for WGv0 and the Transition. It's also good to look ahead and see how many decentralization-focused ideas can actually work together for a better outcome. I'm not preaching decentralization for the sake of some ideal. It actually works better, and I believe the document may help to detail how things fit.

[docs.google.com](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1x_dErOOE6YsWRFKrWGK02Oy5A913gt0v--XPFRn2i0c/edit?usp=sharing)

[

]([https://docs.google.com/document/d/1x\\_dErOOE6YsWRFKrWGK02Oy5A913gt0v--XPFRn2i0c/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1x_dErOOE6YsWRFKrWGK02Oy5A913gt0v--XPFRn2i0c/edit?usp=sharing))

## **AIP Draft — Degen Prop House (DPH)**

Proposal Name: Degen Prop House (DPH) Proposal Category: Process Authors: @Mantis Key Terms DPH: Degen Prop House DAS: DAO Aggregation Site Endowment: Capital placed under direct care of DPH for the sole purpose of yield generation to create PPFM...

Anyone interested can leave comments, and I'm going to be personally working on how to incorporate solutions from there into the pressing issues we face in the coming weeks.

The number one issue I'll be championing — because no one else is — is the creation of the Supervisor role to oversee the Board. The Supervisor can be a group of members, which is decentralization at its best.

Currently, I believe Cartan formed the Foundation to house the roles of Director and Supervisor under the same entity, which is the worst way to do things. These roles should and can be separated by Cayman Foundation law, and this issue should be considered in the transition by WGv0.