

As you all know, there are lots of blockchains emerged with emphasis on scalability(e.g. high TPS) sacrificing decentralization at the same time. I think EOS is a representative example of this.

By the way, I heard from someone that as there are many different kinds of philosophy in open source software(OSS), there will be many kinds of blockchains in the future.

He said, "Ethereum is very radical like GPL license in OSS, and EOS is more like neutral OSS license" "I've seen so many software to become just a toy of developers at last as it obsessed over radical idea" And he said that we should consider blockchain in terms of pragmatism so that we can at least serve any meaningful service to ordinary people.

After his saying, I had many fundamental questions in my mind about the decentralization, what we are building now, and what we are trying to achieve. I think these questions are worth to think for what we are doing now, and it would be great to share various ideas each other about these questions.

1. Can decentralization be viewed from the perspective of the range, or can only be one(decentralized) or zero(not decentralized)? Is it meaningful to measure degree of decentralization in blockchain?
2. If the answer of 1. is 'yes', then how can we measure the degree of decentralization?
3. What is more important thing in blockchain, the scalability or the decentralization? If we should give up one thing, which thing should we do? And why?