

CONTEXT

Take this [simple multiplication circuit](#). We can run `nargo prove p --print-acir`

just to print the ACIR opcodes, which are shown here:

```
BLACKBOX::RANGE [(1, num_bits: 32)] [ ] BLACKBOX::RANGE [(2, num_bits: 32)] [ ] BLACKBOX::RANGE [(3, num_bits: 32)] [ ]  
EXPR [(1, 1, 2) (-1, 4) 0] DIR::QUOTIENT (out : %EXPR [(1, 4, 4) 0] %, (6, %EXPR [232] %), 5)  
BLACKBOX::RANGE [(5, num_bits: 32)] [ ] BLACKBOX::RANGE [(6, num_bits: 96)] [ ]  
EXPR [(-1, 4, 4) (-1, 14) 0] EXPR [(1, 5, 6) (1, 14) 0] EXPR [(1, 5, 5) (-1, 7) 0] DIR::QUOTIENT (out : %EXPR [(1, 7, 7) 0] %, (9, %EXPR [232] %), 8)  
BLACKBOX::RANGE [(8, num_bits: 32)] [ ] BLACKBOX::RANGE [(9, num_bits: 96)] [ ]  
EXPR [(-1, 7, 7) (-1, 16) 0] EXPR [(1, 8, 9) (1, 16) 0] EXPR [(-1, 3) (1, 8) (-1, 10) 0] DIR::INVERT (10, out: 11)  
EXPR [(1, 10, 11) (-1, 12) 0] EXPR [(1, 10, 12) (-1, 10) 0] EXPR [(1, 12) 0]
```

We are attempting to translate these expressions into a standard plonk gate. What is already clear:

- The first element (q

) in a tuple represents the selector, and the “_

” prefixed values are witness indexes.

- ($q, _l, _r$)

represents a multiplicative term, and ($q, _x$)

represents a linear combination

QUESTIONS

1. When $q = 1$

, this denotes a selector toggled on, right?

1. Does $q = -1$

denote a selector toggled off, such that the selector should be witnessed as 0? If not, how is $q = -1$

interpreted?

1. Infrequently, we see $q = 2^{32}$

. How is this value interpreted as a selector?