

- Include anonymous poll to gauge community temperature (non-binding):

I think this is important and would like to see current open proposals updated with the option.

- If the community temperature check indicates > 67% “against”, then CoE cannot move forward with a proposal.

This will save \$\$ on lost time if the reimbursement is passed.

- We’ll also have to think how the community would have any recourse if they voted “no” on something, but then the CoE went ahead with it anyway.

Make CoE votes public. If a person votes for a project the community doesn’t want, there will be a record of it that can be considered when a member is up for re-election.

- Precedent for at least reimbursing gas

absolutely

- Reimbursing time investments at some rate?

Yes but:

- We need to see some type of budgeting. How much NMR is the CoE receiving each month? What percentages are you proposing for reimbursement and how does that stack up against projects? When I looked at Arb’s proposal, my initial thought was, how is that sustainable, and what fraction of the total budget does that represent?
- With regards to time reimbursement, how do you prevent this from becoming an NMR farm of sorts? There needs to be accountability, tracking, SITG, or some performance metric. Something to keep incentives and contributions aligned with compensation.