The last few weeks have raised several questions around how AVCs interact with delegates, facilitators and other ecosystem actors and how this ties to the spirit of the Atlas. I wanted to put together a few questions as a starting point for consideration of annotation drafters. Looking at 3 key areas: AVCs as watchdogs, AVCs exercising influence in budgeting and operations, and AVCs interacting with ADs.

1. How broad of information-gathering powers should AVCs have? Should they be able to submit requests for information to paid ecosystem actors that those actors are required to answer?

2. At what level of detail should AVCs be involved in with budgeting and operations? AVCs are expected to announce Hawkish and Dovish positions, but can they get more granular on where cuts occur and how money is allocated?

3. What level of discretion do AVCs have when it comes to banning an AD? Can an AVC ban an AD for voting against it's proposals? Can an AVC ban an AD for not warning them about issues in the proposal that would result in a no vote? Can AVCs ban an AD for reasons that seem arbitrary to outsiders?

For brevity, these questions have been left broad. I look forward to looking with others in MakerDAO on developing nuanced answers for the Endgame.