# Revision notes - MA3269

# Ma Hongqiang

# September 21, 2017

# Contents

1	Theory of Interest	2
2	Bonds and Term Structure	6
3	Expected Utility Theory	11
4	Mean-Variance Analysis	14

# 1 Theory of Interest

#### 1.1 Interest

**Definition 1.1** (Accumulation Function).

When a principal of 1 dollar is deposited in an interest-paying account at time t = 0, it earns some interest over the time interval [0, t].

The accumulated value of 1 dollar at time  $t \ge 0$ , denoted by a(t), is known as the **accumulation function**. Clearly, a(0) = 1.

**Definition 1.2** (Simple and Compound Interest).

Let r be the annual rate of interest.

Based on the simple-interest method of calculating interest,

$$a(t) = 1 + rt$$
 for  $t \ge 0$ 

If the **compound interest** method is used,

$$a(t) = (1+r)^t \quad \text{for } t \ge 0$$

Suppose the interest rate is  $r_i$  for the period  $\left[\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} t_i, \sum_{k=1}^{i} t_i\right]$ , where  $t_0 = 0$ ,

$$a(t_j) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j} r_i t_i$$
 when simple interest is used;

$$a(t_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} (1 + r_i)^{t_i}$$
 when compound interest is used;

**Definition 1.3** (Frequency of Compounding).

When an interest of  $r = r^{(p)}$  is paid p times a year (or equivalently,  $r^{(p)}$  is **convertible** p**thly** or  $r^{(p)}$  is compounded p times a year), we call p the **frequency of compounding** and  $r^{(p)}$  the **nominal** rate of interest.

The interest to be paid over the period, is  $\frac{r^{(p)}}{p}$ . Effectively, \$1 invested at time t=0 will grow to  $\left(1+\frac{r^{(p)}}{p}\right)$  over a period of length  $\frac{1}{p}$ , so that the accumulated amount after one year is  $\left(1+\frac{r^{(p)}}{p}\right)^p$ . **Remarks** 

- 1. We write the superscript (p) for  $r^{(p)}$  to indicate the frequency of compounding p.
- 2. We can drop the superscript (p) when p = 1.
- 3. p = 2, 4, 12 correspond to semi-annual, quarterly and monthly compounding respectively,

## **Definition 1.4** (Equivalent Interest Rates).

Two nominal interest rates are said to be **equivalent** if and only if they yield same accumulation amount over a year. Hence, the nominal rates  $r^{(p)}$  and  $r^{(q)}$  are equivalent if and only if

$$\left(1 + \frac{r^{(p)}}{p}\right)^p = \left(1 + \frac{r^{(q)}}{q}\right)^q$$

In particular, the **effective** annual interest rate (when p = 1), denoted by  $r_e$ , is given by

$$1 + r_e = \left(1 + \frac{r^{(p)}}{p}\right)^p$$

The corresponding accumulation function is

$$a(t) = (1 + r_e)^t = \left(1 + \frac{r^{(p)}}{p}\right)^{pt}$$

It can be shown that  $r_e \ge r^{(p)}$  for p > 1.

## Definition 1.5 (Continuous Compounding).

The interest is **compounded continuously** when the frequency of compounding tends to infinity.

Let  $r^{(\infty)}$  denote the nominal rate of interest under continuous compounding. Then,

$$a(1) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{r^{(\infty)}}{p} \right)^p = e^{r^{(\infty)}}$$

The number  $r^{(\infty)}$  is known as the **continuously compounded** rate of interest. The corresponding accumulatio function is

$$a(t) = e^{r^{(\infty)}t}, \quad t \ge 0$$

Note that  $e^{r^{(\infty)}} = 1 + r_e$ .

It can be shown that

$$e^r > \left(1 + \frac{r}{p}\right)^p$$

for any r > 0 and for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

# 1.2 Present Value

## Definition 1.6 (Present Value, Time Value).

Let a(t) be the accumulation function. Let X be the amount that must be invested at time t = 0 to accumulate to 1 dollar at t = T. Then

$$X \cdot a(T) = 1$$

or equivalently,  $X = \frac{1}{a(T)}$ .

The amount  $X = \frac{1}{a(T)}$  is the **present value** of 1 paid at time T.

It follows that the present value of a single payment of C at time t+T is  $\frac{C}{a(T)}$ .

More generally, for a cash flow  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, t_1), (c_2, t_2), \dots, (c_n, t_n)\}$  consisting of a series of payments, with  $c_i$  received at time  $t_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , where  $t_1 \geq 0$  and  $t_i < t_j$  for i < j, the present value of this cash flow, denoted by  $PV(\mathbf{C})$ , is defined by

$$PV(\mathbf{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{a(t_i)}$$

## **Definition 1.7** (Time Value).

The **time value** of the cash flow C at time  $t \ge 0$ , denoted by TV(C, t), is given by

$$TV(\mathbf{C}, t) = PV(\mathbf{C}) \times a(t)$$

A consequence of the above definition is that for 0 < s < t,

$$TV(\mathbf{C}, t) = \frac{a(t)}{a(s)} \times TV(\mathbf{C}, s)$$

#### **Definition 1.8** (Principle of Equivalence).

In an environment where both the *interest rate* and its *method of accumulation* remain the same over any time period, two cash flows streams are **equivalent** if and only if they have the same present value.

(Alternatively, if and only if they have the same time value at t = T for any  $T \ge 0$ ).

It follows that the cash flow  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, t_1), (c_2, t_2), \dots, (c_n, t_n)\}$  is equivalent to a single payment of  $PV(\mathbf{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{a(t_i)}$  at time t = 0.

#### **Definition 1.9** (Deferred Cash Flow).

Let k > 0 and define the cash flow  $\mathbf{C}_{(k)} = \{(c_1, t_1 + k), (c_2, t_2 + k), \dots, (c_n, t_n + k)\}$  which is essentially the cash flow  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, t_1), (c_2, t_2), \dots, (c_n, t_n)\}$  deferred by k years. If the accumulation function is a(t), then

$$\frac{\text{PV}(\mathbf{C})}{\text{PV}(\mathbf{C}_{(k)})} = a(k)$$

#### **Notations:**

For the special case when  $t_i = i - 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, 0), (c_2, 1), \dots, (c_n, n-1)\}$$

can be written as  $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ .

## **Definition 1.10** (Equation of Value).

Consider the cash flow stream  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, t_1), (c_2, t_2), \dots, (c_n, t_n)\}$ . The equation

$$PV(\mathbf{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{(1+r)^{t_i}} = 0$$

is known as the **equation of value**.

# **Definition 1.11** (Internal Rate of Return(IRR)).

Any non-negative root, r of the equation of value is called the **yield** or **internal rate of return (IRR)**, of the cash flow stream.

#### 1.3 Annuities

**Definition 1.12** (Annuities Immediate and Annuities Due).

An annuity is a series of payment made at regular intervals.

An annuity-due is one for which payments are made at the beginning of each period.

An **annuity-immediate** is one for which payments are made at the *beginning* of each period.

## **Definition 1.13** (Perpetuity).

A **perpetuity** is an annuity with an infinite number of payments.

## Definition 1.14 (Loans).

**Loans** are normally repaid by a series of installment payments made at *periodic* intervals.

The size of each installment can be determined using present-value analysis.

Specifically, if we let L be the amount of loan taken at time t = 0 and let  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_1, t_1), (c_2, t_2), \dots, (c_n, t_n)\}$  be the series of repayments, then

$$L := PV(\mathbf{C})$$

We can also compute the balance of the loan at any point in time.

#### **Definition 1.15** (Loan Balance).

The loan balance  $L_m^{\text{Balance}}$  immediately after the *m*th installment has been paid is the **time** value at t = m of the remaining (n - m) installment payments.

Suppose installment is paid annually with effectively annual rate r and each repayment of value  $c_i$  for year m + i, the loan balance

$$L_m^{\text{Balance}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \frac{c_i}{(1+r)^i}$$

Suppose each annual repayment is of value A. In reality, the loan is usually fully paid with n repayment of A plus a final payment B made at time  $t \ge n$ , where B is determined from the equation

$$L = PV(0, \underbrace{A, A, \dots, A}_{n \text{payments}}) + PV(\{(B, t)\})$$

# 2 Bonds and Term Structure

# 2.1 Bond Terminology

## **Definition 2.1** (Bond).

A **bond** is a written contract between the issuers(borrowers) and the investers(lenders) which specifies the following:

- Face value, F, of the bond: the amount based on which periodic interest payments are computed
- Redemption/maturity value, R, of the bond: the amount to be repaid at the end of the loan
- Maturity date of the bond: the date on which the loan will be fully repaid
- Coupon rate, c, (for coupon-paying bonds): the bond's interest payments, as a percentage of the par value, to be made to investors at regular intervals during the term of the loan

#### 2.2 Bond Valuations

We use the following notations in connection with the bond pricing formula that follows.

- P =the current price of a bond
- F =face value of the bond
- R = redemption/maturity value of bond
- c = nominal coupon rate
- m = number of coupon payments per year
- $n = \text{total number of coupon payments (number of years } \times m)$
- $\lambda = \text{nomial yield}$

# **Theorem 2.1** (Price of a Bond).

The price of a bond equals to the present value of the cash flow consisting of all coupon payments and the redemption value at maturity, calculated at yield  $\lambda$ . For the case when the cash flow is made up of:

- coupon payments of  $\frac{cF}{m}$  at time  $t = \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{n}{m}$  (a total of n payments)
- redemption value R at  $t = \frac{n}{m}$

We have

$$P = \frac{R}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{cF}{m}}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^i}$$

When F = R,

$$P = F + F\left(\frac{c - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^n}\right]$$

A bond is said to be priced

• at a **premium** if P > F

• at par if P = F

• at a **discount** if P < F

From the proceding bond pricing formula, it is clear

• P > F if and only if  $c > \lambda$ 

• P = F if and only if  $c = \lambda$ 

• P < F if and only if  $c < \lambda$ 

Theorem 2.2 (Makeham Formula).

Let  $K = \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^n}$ , we have

$$P = K + \frac{c}{\lambda}(F - K)$$

#### Theorem 2.3.

Let  $P_k$  be the price immediately after the k the coupon payment. Then

$$P_{k+1} = P_k \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{m} \right) - \frac{cF}{m}$$

**Definition 2.2** (Zero Coupon Bonds).

**Zero coupon bonds** are bonds that pay no coupons. The cash flow for a N-year zero-coupon bond is the maturity value, R at t = N. Hence, at an annual yield of  $\lambda$ ,

$$P = \frac{R}{(1+\lambda)^N}$$

**Definition 2.3** (Perpetual Bonds).

A bond that never matures (i.e.,  $n \to \infty$ ) is called a **perpetual bond**. Clearly,

$$P = \frac{cF}{\lambda}$$

**Definition 2.4** (Bond Price Between Coupon Payments).

The price of a bond traded in  $t = \frac{k+\varepsilon}{m}$ ,  $(0 \le \varepsilon < 1)$ , which is between kth and k+1th coupon payment dates is

$$P_{k+\varepsilon} = (1+\mu)^{\varepsilon} P_k$$

where  $\mu$  is the effective annual yield of the bond over the period [k, k+1).

# 2.3 Macaulay Duration and Modified Duration

**Definition 2.5** (Macaulay Duration).

The **Macaulay duration** is one of the commonly used measures of bond's price sensitivity to changes in interest rate.

For cash flow stream  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_i, t_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the Macaulay duration, D. is defined by

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \cdot PV(c_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} PV(c_i)}$$

Equivalently, the Macaulay duration can be defined by the weighted average time to maturity of the cash flow stream:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i t_i$$

where weight  $w_i = \frac{PV(c_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n PV(c_j)}$ .

Theorem 2.4 (Properties of Macaulay Duration).

- If  $c_i \geq 0$  for all i, then  $t_0 \leq D \leq t_n$ .
- For a zero-coupon bond,  $D = t_n$ .

We can extend definition of Macaulay duration D to any infinite cash flow stream  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_i, t_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ 

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \cdot PV(c_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} PV(c_i)}$$

Theorem 2.5 (Macaulay Duration of bonds).

For a bond that pays a total of n coupons at a frequency of m payments a year. Let the nominal bond yield be  $\lambda$  and nominal coupon rate be c respectively. The cash flow stream in this case is

$$\mathbf{C} = \{(\frac{cF}{m}, t_1), \dots, (\frac{cF}{m}, t_{n-1}), (\frac{cF}{m} + F, t_n)\}$$

as  $t_i = \frac{i}{m}$ , so that

$$D = \frac{1}{P} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i}{m} \frac{\frac{cF}{m}}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{i}} + \frac{n}{m} \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{n}} \right]$$

where

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\frac{cF}{m}}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{i}} + \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{n}}$$

Let  $\mu = \frac{\lambda}{m}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{c}{m}$ , then

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i}{m} \frac{\gamma}{(1+\mu)^{i}} + \frac{n}{m} \frac{1}{(1+\mu)^{n}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma}{(1+\mu)^{i}} + \frac{1}{(1+\mu)^{n}}}$$

It can be shown that

$$D = \frac{1+\mu}{m\mu} - \frac{1+\mu + n(\gamma - \mu)}{m\mu + m\gamma \left[ (1+\mu)^n - 1 \right) \right]}$$

As the time to maturity tends to infinity, i.e.  $n \to \infty$ , for a perpetual bond,

$$D = \frac{1+\mu}{m\mu}$$

Macalay duration measures the sensitivity of bond prices to interest rates.

To see this, differentiate the pricing formula, we will have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \left(-\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}}D\right)P$$

**Definition 2.6** (Modified duration).

The term  $\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{m}}D$  is defined as the **modified duration** and is denoted by  $D_{\mathrm{M}}$ .

In general, for a cash flow  $\mathbf{C} = \{(c_i, t_i) \mid i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  at an effective annual rate of r, the relation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -D_{\mathrm{M}}$$

still holds.

Theorem 2.6 (Linear Approximation of Price Change).

If  $\Delta \lambda$  is a small change in  $\lambda$ , then

$$\Delta P = -D_{\rm M} P \Delta \lambda$$

**Definition 2.7** (Duration of Bond Portfolio).

Consider a bond portfolio consisting of  $\alpha_i$  units of bond i, i = 1, 2, ..., n, assuming that the bonds have a *common* effective annual yield to maturity.

Let  $P_i$  and  $D_i$  be respectively the price and duration of bond i. Then, the duration  $D_p$  of a portfolio of n bonds of equal yield to maturity,  $\lambda$  is given by

$$D_p = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i D_i$$

where the **portfolio weight**  $w_i = \frac{\alpha_i P_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i P_i}$ 

**Definition 2.8** (Convexity C).

Convexity of the bond C, is defined as the second derivative of the bond price with respect to bond yield, divided by the price of the bond.

$$C := \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d} \lambda^2}}{P}$$

By Taylor series, it can be show that

$$\Delta P \approx -D_M P \Delta \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d} \lambda^2} (\Delta \lambda)^2$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta P \approx P \left[ -D_M \Delta \lambda + \frac{1}{2} C(\lambda)^2 \right]$$

This obtains a better approximation of the change in price. Also, from the bond pricing formula  $P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^i}$ , we have

$$C = \frac{\frac{d^{2} P}{d \lambda^{2}}}{P}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P m^{2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i(i+1) \frac{c_{i}}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{i}}$$

$$= \frac{F}{P} \left\{ \frac{2c}{\lambda^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{n}}\right) - \frac{2nc}{m\lambda^{2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{n+1}} - \frac{n(n+1)(c-\lambda)}{\lambda m^{2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{n+2}} \right\}$$

#### 2.4 Yield curves and Term Structure of Interest Rates

## **Definition 2.9** (Spot Rates).

A spot rate is the annual interest rate that begins today (t = 0) and lasts until some future time t. We denote this rate by  $s_t$ .

In effect the spot rate  $s_t$  is the yield to maturity of a zero-coupon bond that matures at t.

#### **Definition 2.10** (Forward Rate).

The interest rate observed at some future time  $t_1 > 0$  and lasts until a time  $t_2 > t_1$  is called a **forward rate**, denoted by  $f_{t_1,t_2}$ .

Note that  $f_{0,t} = s_t$ 

#### Theorem 2.7.

In general,

$$(1+s_k)^k = (1+s_j)^j (1+f_{j,k})^{k-j}$$

and

$$(1+s_n)^n = (1+s_1)(1+f_{1,2})(1+f_{2,3})\cdots(1+f_{n-1,n})$$

# 3 Expected Utility Theory

# 3.1 Expected Utility and Risk Attitude

**Definition 3.1** (Expected Utility).

An individual with an initial wealth of  $w_0$  is considering a **risky prospect**with a random payoff X. He is assumed to have a **utility function** that is real-valued, continuous and **increasing**. He will make his investment decision based on the **expected utility** of his final wealth  $W := X + w_0$ , defined as follows.

#### • Discrete X

If the risky investment has n possible mutually exclusive payoffs  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  with associated probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , then the **expected utility** of the individual's final wealth W, is given by

$$E[U(W)] = E[U(X + w_0)] := \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i U(x_i + w_0)$$

• If X is a continuous random variable having a density function  $f:(a,b)\to(0,\infty)$ , then

$$E[U(X + w_0)] := \int_a^b f(x)U(x + w_0) dx$$

**Definition 3.2** (Utility-based Decision).

Under utility-based decision, he individual will

- invest in the risky prospect if  $E[U(X+w_0)] > U(w_0)$ .
- avoid the risky prospect if  $E[U(X+w_0)] < U(w_0)$ .
- be indifferent to the risky prospect if  $E[U(X+w_0)] = U(w_0)$ .

Given a set of risky prospects, an individual will *most* favour the one that maximises the expected utility of his final wealth.

**Definition 3.3** (Characterisation of Risk Attitude).

An individual with utility function U is said to be

- risk averse if U is strictly concave.<sup>1</sup>
- risk neutral if U is linear.
- $\bullet$  risk loving if U is strictly convex.

By Jensen Inequality, we deduce

Theorem 3.1 (Equivalent condition for Risk Attitude Characterisation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A function U is strictly concave on I if U'' < 0 on I.

• risk averse if E[U(W)] < U[E(W)].

• risk neutral if E[U(W)] = U[E(W)]

• risk loving if E[U(W)] > U[E(W)]

for **any** risky investment that yields a final wealth of W.

## **Definition 3.4** (Positive Affine Transformation).

Let U be an utility function. For any  $\alpha > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $\alpha U + \beta$  is a **positive affine** transformation of U.

Obviously, both function have the same attitude towards risks.

# 3.2 Certainty Equivalent

# **Definition 3.5** (Certainty Equivalent).

Let U be the utility function of an individual. Given a risky prospect with payoff X, the **certainty equivalent** of X with respect to U, is defined to be the real number c = ]CE(X; U) for which

$$U(c) = E(U(w_0 + X))$$

It follows that an individual

• invests in the risky prospect if  $CE(X; U) > w_0$ 

• avoids the risky prospect if  $CE(X; U) < w_0$ 

• is indifferent if  $CE(X; U) = w_0$ 

For positive affine transformation  $\alpha U + \beta$  where  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$CE(X, \alpha U + \beta) = CE(X, U)$$

# **Definition 3.6** (Risk Premium).

The **risk premium** of a risky prospect with respect to an utility function U is the real number r = RP(X; U) for which

$$U(w_0 - r) = \mathcal{E}(U(w_0 + X))$$

where X is the payoff.

Clearly,

$$r = w_0 - c$$

and hence, an individual

• invests in the risky prospect if RP(X; U) < 0

• avoids the risky prospect if RP(X; U) > 0

• is indifferent if RP(X; U) = 0

## 3.3 Arrow-Pratt Measures of Risk Aversion

**Definition 3.7** (Absolute Risk Aversion).

For a risk averse individual whose utility function is U, his **Arrow-Pratt absolute risk** aversion Coefficient(ARA) at wealth level w is

$$-\frac{U''(w)}{U'(w)}$$

Theorem 3.2 (ARA of positive affine transformation).

 $U_{ARA} = V_{ARA}$  if and only if U and V are positive affine transformation of each other.

We can say that two utility functions are **equivalent** if and only if they have the same ARA. Suppose two individuals with utility functions U and V admits the following condition:

$$U_{ARA}(w) > V_{ARA}(w)$$

at all wealth level, w, we say the individual with utility function U is globally more risk averse than the individual with utility function V.

#### Theorem 3.3.

More generally, an individual with utility function U is **globally more risk averse** than an individual with utility function V if and only if there is an increasing and strictly concave function g such that

$$U(w) = g(V(w))$$

#### 3.4 Portfolio Selection

An individual with an initial wealth of  $w_0$  can invest a portion (say  $\alpha w_0$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ) of his money in a risky investment X that has a random **rate of return**, R. The expected utility of his final wealth is

$$E[U(W)] = E[U(w_0(1 + \alpha R))]$$

Note that  $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\,\alpha^2} \,\mathrm{E}[U(W)] < 0$ , hence setting the first order derivative to 0 always yields maxima  $\alpha^*$ , although there is no guarantee that such  $\alpha^* \in [0,1]$ .

# 4 Mean-Variance Analysis

In this chapter, the accumulation function is constant 1. Therefore, we do not consider time value.

#### 4.1 Return and Risk of Asset

#### **Definition 4.1** (Rate of Return).

Asset is a tradable financial instruments. We denote that each asset is traded over one time period, from t = 0(initial) to t = 1(end-of-period).

If  $W_0$  invested in an asset at time t = 0 is worth a **random** amount of  $W_1$  at time t = 1, then the **rate of return** of the asset, denoted by r, is a **random variable** given by

$$r = \frac{W_1 - W_0}{W_0} = \frac{W_1}{W_0} - 1$$

Equivalently,  $W_1 = W_0(1+r)$ .

The rate of return can also be defined in terms of the initial and end-of-period prices of the asset. Let  $P_0$  be the price at t = 0 and  $P_1$  be the **random** price at t = 1. Then

$$r = \frac{P_1 - P_0}{P_0} = \frac{P_1}{P_0} - 1$$

Equivalently,  $P_1 = P_0(1+r)$ ,

## **Definition 4.2** (Risk of Asset).

The standard deviation,  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(r_i)}$ , of the rate of return of asset *i*, is a measure of the risk of asset *i*.

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{\text{Var}(r_i)} = \sqrt{\text{E}[(r_i - \text{E}(r_i))^2]} = \sqrt{\text{E}[r_i^2 - E(r_i)^2]}$$

## **Definition 4.3** (Correlation of Returns).

A statistical measure of the association of the returns of two assets, i and j, is the covariance  $\sigma_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(r_i, r_j)$ .

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(r_i, r_j) = \text{E}[(r_i - \text{E}(r_i))(r_j - \text{E}(r_j))] = \text{E}[r_i r_j] - \text{E}(r_i) \, \text{E}(r_j) = \text{E}[r_i (r_j - \text{E}(r_j))]$$

A standardised measure is the correlation coefficient defined by

$$\rho_{i,j} = \frac{\sigma_{i,j}}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$$

It can be shown that  $|\rho_{i,j}| \leq 1$ .

## **Definition 4.4** (Short Selling).

Short selling of an asset refers to one borrowing a certain number of units of the asset from the lender at t = 0 and seems them immediately to receive an amount  $W_0$ . At some preagreed date t = 1, the short seller will buy the same number of units of the asset for an amount  $W_1$  and return the asset to the lender.

The borrower will make a profit of  $W_0 - W_1$  which is positive if and only if the value of the asset falls.

Obviously, the loss can be unlimited but the gain is bounded above by  $W_0$ .

## 4.2 Portfolio Mean and Variance

At time t = 0, an individual invests in n assets in such a way that a fraction  $w_i$  of his investment capital is invested in asset i. It is possible that  $w_i < 0$ , which means the individual short sells asset i.

We call the vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$  the individual's **portfolio weight vector**, or simply **portfolio**.

It is assumed that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$$

We will then have its final wealth  $W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i W_0 (1 + r_i)$ .

The rate of return  $r_p$  of the portfolio is related to the rate of return of individual assets,  $r_1$ , by

$$r_p = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i$$

It follows that the expected rate of return of the portfolio, or **portfolio mean**, is

$$\mu_p = \mathrm{E}(r_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mu_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mu$$

where

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^T$$

is the vector of expected rates of return of the assets  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  respectively. This vector is called **mean vector** for simplicity.

The variance of rate of return of portfolio  $Var(r_p)$ , or simply **portfolio variance**, of w, is

$$\sigma_p^2 = \operatorname{Var}(r_p) = \operatorname{Cov}(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i, \sum_{j=1}^n w_j r_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \operatorname{Cov}(r_i, r_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{ij}$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \text{ in matrix notation}$$

where

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \cdots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n1} & \cdots & \sigma_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

is known as the **covariance matrix** of the random vector  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . We also have, by noting  $\sigma_{ii} = \text{Var}(r_i) := \sigma_i^2$  and  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}$ ,

$$Var(r_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2 \sigma_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j < i}^{n} w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$$

#### 4.2.1 Diversification

Let  $\overline{\sigma}^2$  and  $\overline{\phi}$  be the average variance and average covariance of an n assets, that is

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$$
 and  $\overline{\phi} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n$ 

Suppose that  $\overline{\sigma}^2 \to \sigma^2$  and  $\overline{\phi} \to \phi$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then for an equally weighted portfolio, we have

$$\sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n \sigma_{ij} \to \phi$$

Therefore, there is limitation of diversification as a tool to reduce portfolio risk. While the asset specific risk  $\overline{\sigma}^2$  can be driven to zero, the market wide risk,  $\overline{\phi}$  cannot be eliminated even if one holds infinitely many assets.

#### 4.3 Portfolio of Two Assets

Consider a portfolio with weight vector  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}^t$  of two assets. The portfolio mean is

$$\mu_p = \alpha \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha)\mu_2$$

and

$$\sigma_p^2 = \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2\alpha (1 - \alpha) \sigma_{12} = \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2\alpha (1 - \alpha) \rho_{12} \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

$$= (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2)\alpha^2 + 2\sigma_2(\rho_{12}\sigma_1 - 1)\alpha + \sigma_2^2 \quad (\#)$$

#### 4.3.1 Global Minimum-variance Portfolio

A risk averse individual seeks a portfolio with the *smallest* risk. He will thus seek the optimal value of  $\alpha$  that minimises  $\sigma_p^2$ .

From the above equation  $(\overset{r}{\#})_{,,}$   $\sigma_p^2$  admits a parabola concave upwards, and the minimum portfolio variance  $\sigma_p^2$  occurs when

$$\alpha = \alpha^* = \frac{\sigma_2(\sigma_2 - \rho_{12}\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2}$$

and the minimum portfolio variance is

$$(\sigma_p^2)^* = \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho_{12}^2)}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2}$$

The corresponding portfolio mean can then be determined from

$$\mu_p^* = \alpha^* \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha^*) \mu_2$$

We call the portfolio with minimum variance the global minimum-variance portfolio.

#### 4.3.2 Portfolio Graph

**Definition 4.5** (Portfolio Graph).

Portfolio graph is the graph of portfolio mean  $\mu_p$  against portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$ .

From  $\mu_p = \alpha \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha)\mu_2$ , we have

$$\alpha = \frac{\mu_p - \mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$$

and by substituting the above equation to (#), we obtain an equation of the form

$$\sigma_p^2 = A\mu_p^2 + B\mu_p + C$$

for some constants A, B and C, with A > 0.2

This is an equation of a hyperbola. Rearranging

$$\sigma_p^2 = A(\mu_p - \frac{B}{2A})^2 + (C - \frac{B^2}{4A})$$

Therefore,  $\min \sigma_p^2 = C - \frac{B^2}{4A}$  at  $\mu_p = -\frac{B}{2A}$ . This corresponds to the global minimum-variance portfolio.

The asymptotes of this graph are

$$\sigma_p = \pm \sqrt{A}(\mu_p + \frac{B}{2A})$$

or more naturally,

$$\mu_p = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sigma_p - \frac{B}{2A}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is due to the quadratic coefficient of (#) is greater than 0.