Working notes on Automatic differentation

March 28, 2020 — not for circulation

Tom Ellis Simon Peyton Jones Andrew Fitzgibbon

```
Atoms f, g, h ::= Function x, y, z ::= Local variable (lambda-bound or let-bound) k ::= Literal constants

Terms pgm ::= def_1 \dots def_n
```

| if b then e_1 else e_2

Types

Figure 1. Syntax of the language

1 The language

This paper is about automatic differentiation of functions, so we must be precise about the language in which those functions are written. The syntax of our language is given in Figure 1. Note that

- Variables are divided into *functions*, *f* , *g*, *h*; and *local variables*, *x*, *y*, *z*, which are either function arguments or let-bound.
- The language has a first order sub-language. Functions are defined at top level; functions always appear in a call, never (say) as an argument to a function; in a call f(e), the function f is always a top-level-defined function, never a local variable.
- Functions have exactly one argument. If you want more than one, pass a pair.
- Pairs are built-in, with selectors $\pi_{1,2}$, $\pi_{2,2}$. In the real implementation, pairs are generalised to *n*-tuples, and we often do so informally here.
- Conditionals are a language construct.
- Let-bindings are non-recursive. For now, at least, top-level functions are also non-recursive.
- Lambda expressions and applications are present, so the language is higher order. AD will only accept a subset of the language, in which lambdas appear only as an argument to *build*. But the *output* of AD may include lambdas and application, as we shall see.

1.1 Built in functions

The language has built-in functions shown in Figure 2.

We allow ourselves to write functions infix where it is convenient. Thus $e_1 + e_2$ means the call $+(e_1, e_2)$, which applies the function + to the pair (e_1, e_2) . (So, like all other

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

```
Built-in functions(+) :: (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}(*) :: (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}\pi_{1,2} :: (t_1, t_2) \to t_1 Selection\pi_{2,2} :: (t_1, t_2) \to t_2 ...ditto..build :: (n :: \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \to t) \to Vec; t Vector buildixR :: (\mathbb{N}, Vec; t) \to t Indexing (NB arg order)sum :: Vec; t \to t Sum a vectorsz :: Vec; t \to \mathbb{N} Size of a vector
```

Derivatives of built-in functions

```
\begin{array}{rcl} \partial + & :: & (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}) \\ \partial + (x, y) & = & 1 \bowtie 1 \\ \\ \partial * & :: & (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}) \\ \partial * (x, y) & = & S(y) \bowtie S(x) \\ \partial \pi_{1,2} & :: & (t, t) \to ((t, t) \multimap t) \\ \partial \pi_{1,2}(x) & = & 1 \bowtie 0 \\ \\ \partial ixR & :: & (\mathbb{N}, \ Vec; t) \to ((\mathbb{N}, \ Vec; t) \multimap t) \\ \partial ixR(i, v) & = & 0 \bowtie \mathcal{H}(build(sz(v), \lambda j. \ \text{if} \ i = j \ \text{then} \ 1 \ \text{else} \ 0)) \\ \partial sum & :: & Vec; \mathbb{R} \to (Vec; \mathbb{R} \multimap \mathbb{R}) \\ \partial sum(v) & = & lmhcatvbuild(sz(v), \lambda i. 1) \\ \\ & \cdots \end{array}
```

Figure 2. Built-in functions

functions, (+) has one argument.) Similarly the linear map $m_1 \times m_2$ is short for $\times (e_1, e_2)$.

We allow ourselves to write vector indexing ixR(i, a) using square brackets, thus a[i].

Multiplication and addition are overloaded to work on any suitable type. On vectors they work element-wise; if you want dot-product you have to program it.

1.2 Vectors

The language supports one-dimensional vectors, of type Vec; T, whose elements have type T (Figure 1). A matrix can be represented as a vector of vectors.

Vectors are supported by the following built-in functions (Figure 2):

- *build* :: $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \to t) \to Vec$; *t* for vector construction.
- $ixR :: (\mathbb{N}, Vec; t) \to t$ for indexing. Informally we allow ourselves to write v[i] instead of ixR(i, v).
- sum :: Vec; ℝ → ℝ to add up the elements of a vector. We specifically do not have a general, higher order, fold operator; we say why in Section 4.1.

- $sz :: Vec; t \to \mathbb{N}$ takes the size of a vector.
- Arithmetic functions (*), (+) etc are overloaded to work over vectors, always elementwise.

2 Linear maps and differentiation

If $f: S \to T$, then its derivative ∂f has type

$$\partial f: S \to (S \multimap T)$$

where $S \multimap T$ is the type of *linear maps* from S to T. That is, at some point p : S, $\partial f(p)$ is a linear map that is a good approximation of f at p.

By "a good approximation of f at p" we mean this:

$$\forall p : S. \ f(p + \delta_p) \approx f(p) + \partial f(p) \odot \delta_p$$

Here the operation (\odot) is linear-map application: it takes a linear map $S \multimap T$ and applies it to an argument of type S, giving a result of type T (Figure 3).

The linear maps from S to T are a subset of the functions from S to T. We characterise linear maps more precisely in Section 2.1, but a good intuition can be had for functions $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. This function defines a curvy surface z = g(x, y). Then a linear map of type $\mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}$ is a plane, and $\partial g(p_x, p_y)$ is the plane that best approximates g near (p_x, p_y) , that is a tangent plane passing through $z = g(p_x, p_y)$

2.1 Linear maps

A *linear map*, $m: S \longrightarrow T$, is a function from S to T, satisfying these two properties:

(LM1)
$$\forall x, y:S \quad m \odot (x+y) = m \odot x + m \odot y$$

(LM2) $\forall k:\mathbb{R}, x:S \quad k*(m \odot x) = m \odot (k*x)$

Here (\odot) : $(s \multimap t) \to (s \to t)$ is an operator that applies a linear map $(s \multimap t)$ to an argument of type s. The type $s \multimap t$ is a type in the language (Figure 1).

Linear maps can be *built and consumed* using the operators in (see Figure 3). Indeed, you should think of linear maps as an *abstract type*; that is, you can *only* build or consume linear maps with the operators in Figure 3. We might *represent* a linear map in a variety of ways, one of which is as a matrix (Section 2.5).

2.1.1 Semantics of linear maps

The *semantics* of a linear map is completely specified by saying what ordinary function it corresponds to; or, equivalently, by how it behaves when applied to an argument by (\odot). The semantics of each form of linear map are given in Figure 4

2.1.2 Properties of linear maps

Linear maps satisfy *properties* given in Figure 4. Note that (\circ) and \oplus behave like multiplication and addition respectively.

These properties can readily be proved from the semantics. To prove two linear maps are equal, we must simply prove that they give the same result when applied to any argument.

277

278

279

281

282

283

284

285

287

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

221

222

223

224

226

227

228 229

230

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

Note that the property

$$(m_1 \bowtie m_2) \circ (n_1 \times n_2) = (m_1 \circ n_1) \oplus (m_2 \circ n_2)$$

is the only reason we need the linear map (\oplus) .

Theorem: $\forall (m: S \multimap T). m \odot 0 = 0$. That is, all linear maps pass through the origin. **Proof**: property (LM2) with k = 0. Note that the function $\lambda x.x + 4$ is not a linear map; its graph is a staight line, but it does not go through the origin.

2.2 Vector spaces

Given a linear map $m: S \longrightarrow T$, we expect both S and T to be a vector space with dot product (aka inner product space¹). A vector space with dot product *V* has:

- Vector addition $(+_V): V \to V \to V$.
- Zero vector $0_V : V$.
- Scalar multiplication $(*_V): \mathbb{R} \to V \to V$
- Dot-product $(\bullet_V): V \to V \to \mathbb{R}$.

We omit the *V* subscripts when it is clear which (*), (+), (\bullet) or 0 is intended.

$$v_{1} + (v_{2} + v_{3}) = (v_{1} + v_{2}) + v_{3}$$

$$v_{1} + v_{2} = v_{2} + v_{1}$$

$$v + 0 = 0$$

$$0 * v = 0$$

$$1 * v = v$$

$$r_{1} * (r_{2} * v) = (r_{1} * r_{2}) * v$$

$$r * (v_{1} + v_{2}) = (r * v_{1}) + (r * v_{2})$$

$$(r_{1} + r_{2}) * v = (r_{1} * v) + (r_{2} * v)$$

2.2.1 Building vector spaces

What types are vector spaces? Look the syntax of types in Figure 1.

- The real numbers \mathbb{R} is a vector space, using the standard + and * for reals; and $\bullet_{\mathbb{R}} = *$.
- If *V* is a vector space then *Vec*; *V* is a vector space, with - $v_1 + v_2$ is vector addittion
 - -r*v multiplies each element of the vector v by the
 - $v_1 \bullet v_2$ is a the usual vector dot-product. We often write Vec; \mathbb{R} as \mathbb{R}^N .
- If V_1 and V_2 are vector spaces, then the product space (V_1, V_2) is a vector space

$$-(v_1, v_2) + (w_1, w_2) = (v_1 + w_1, v_2 + w_2).$$

¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vector space

$$(m_{1} \circ m_{2}) \odot x = m_{1} \odot (m_{2} \odot x)$$

$$(m_{1} \times m_{2}) \odot x = (m_{1} \odot x, m_{2} \odot x)$$

$$(m_{1} \bowtie m_{2}) \odot (x_{1}, x_{2}) = (m_{1} \odot x_{1}) + (m_{2} \odot x_{2})$$

$$(m_{1} \bowtie m_{2}) \odot x = (m_{1} \odot x) + (m_{2} \odot x)$$

$$\mathbf{0} \odot x = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{1} \odot x = x$$

$$S(k) \odot x = k * x$$

$$V(m) \odot x = build(sz(m), \lambda i.m[i] \odot x)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(m) \odot x = \sum_{i} (m[i] \odot x[i])$$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) \odot x = \lambda i. (f i) \odot x$$

$$\mathcal{L}'(f) \odot g = \sum_{i} (f i) \odot g(i)$$

Properties of linear maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 \circ m & = & 0 \\
m \circ 0 & = & 0 \\
1 \circ m & = & m \\
m \circ 1 & = & m \\
m \oplus 0 & = & m \\
0 \oplus m & = & m \\
m \circ (n_1 \bowtie n_2) & = & (m \circ n_1) \bowtie (m \circ n_2) \\
(m_1 \times m_2) \circ n & = & (m_1 \circ n) \times (m_2 \circ n) \\
(m_1 \bowtie m_2) \circ (n_1 \times n_2) & = & (m_1 \circ n_1) \oplus (m_2 \circ n_2) \\
S(k_1) \circ S(k_2) & = & S(k_1 * k_2) \\
S(k_1) \oplus S(k_2) & = & S(k_1 + k_2)
\end{array}$$

Figure 4. Linear maps: semantics and properties

$$-r*(v_1, v_2) = (r*v_1, r*v_2)$$
$$-(v_1, v_2) \bullet (w_1, w_2) = (v_1 \bullet w_1) + (v_2 \bullet w_2).$$

In all cases the necessary properties of the operations (associativity, distribution etc) are easy to prove.

2.3 Transposition

For any linear map $m: S \longrightarrow T$ we can produce its transpose $m^{\top}: T \longrightarrow S$. Despite its suggestive type, the transpose is *not* the inverse of m! (In the world of matrices, the transpose of a matrix is not the same as its inverse.)

Definition 2.1. Given a linear map $m: S \longrightarrow T$, its *transpose* $m^{\top}: T \multimap S$ is defined by the following property:

$$(TP) \quad \forall s: S, \ t: T. \ (m^{\top} \odot t) \bullet s = t \bullet (m \odot s)$$

This property uniquely defines the transpose, as the following theorem shows:

Theorem 2.2. If m_1 and m_2 are linear maps satisfying

$$\forall s \ t. \ (m_1 \odot s) \bullet t = (m_2 \odot s) \bullet t$$

Laws for transposition of linear maps

```
Note reversed order!
(m_1 \times m_2)^{\top} = m_1^{\top} \bowtie m_2^{\top}
(m_1 \bowtie m_2)^\top = m_1^\top \times m_2^\top
(m_1 \oplus m_2)^\top = m_1^\top \oplus m_2^\top
                 1^{T} = 1
           S(k)^{\mathsf{T}} = S(k)
          (m^{\top})^{\top} = m
          \mathcal{V}(v)^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathcal{H}(map(\cdot)^{\mathsf{T}} v)
         \mathcal{H}(v)^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathcal{V}(map(\cdot)^{\mathsf{T}} v)
    \mathcal{L}(\lambda i.m)^{\top} = \mathcal{L}'(\lambda i.m^{\top})
   \mathcal{L}'(\lambda i.m)^{\top} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda i.m^{\top})
```

Laws for reverse-application

$$r \odot_{R} m = m^{\top} \odot r \qquad \text{By definition}$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \circ m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) \odot_{R} m_{2}$$

$$(r_{1}, r_{2}) \odot_{R} (m_{1} \times m_{2}) = (r_{1} \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r_{2} \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \bowtie m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}, r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$r \odot_{R} \mathbf{1} = r$$

$$r \odot_{R} \mathbf{S}(k) = k * r$$

$$r \odot_{R} m^{\top} = m \odot r$$

$$r \odot_{R} W(v) = \Sigma_{i} (r[i] \odot_{R} v[i])$$

$$r \odot_{R} \mathcal{H}(v) = build(sz(v), \lambda i.r \odot_{R} m[i])$$

Figure 5. Laws for transposition

then $m_1 = m_2$

Proof. It is a property of dot-product that if $v_1 \bullet x = v_2 \bullet x$ for every x, then $v_1 = v_2$. (Just use a succession of one-hot vectors for x, to pick out successive components of v_1 and v_2 .) So (for every t):

$$\forall s \ t. \ (m_1 \odot s) \bullet t = (m_2 \odot s) \bullet t$$

 $\Rightarrow \forall s. \ m_1 \odot s = m_2 \odot s$

and that is the definition of extensional equality. So m_1 and m_2 are the same linear maps.

Figure 5 has a collection of laws about transposition. These identies are readily proved using the above definition. For example, to prove that $(m_1 \circ m_2)^{\top} = m_2^{\top} \circ m_1^{\top}$ we may

reason as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} ((m_2^\top \circ m_1^\top) \odot t) \bullet s \\ = (m_2^\top \odot (m_1^\top \odot t)) \bullet s & \text{Semantics of } (\circ) \\ = (m_1^\top \odot t) \bullet (m_2 \odot s) & \text{Use (TP)} \\ = t \bullet (m_1 \odot (m_2 \odot s)) & \text{Use (TP) again} \\ = t \bullet ((m_1 \circ m_1) \odot s) & \text{Semantics of } (\circ) \end{array}$$

And now the property follows by Theorem 2.2.

2.4 Reverse linear-map application

Rather than transpose the linear map (which is a rather boring operation), just replacing one operator with another, it's easier to define a reverse-application operator for linear maps:

$$(\odot_R): \delta t \to (s \multimap t) \to \delta s$$

It is defined by the following property:

(RP)
$$\forall s : \delta S, t : \delta T. (t \odot_R m) \bullet s = t \bullet (m \odot s)$$

2.5 Matrix interpretation of linear maps

A linear map $m: \mathbb{R}^M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is isomorphic to a matrix $\mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$ with N rows and M columns.

Many of the operators over linear maps then have simple matrix interpetations; for example, composition of linear maps (\circ) is matrix multiplication, pairing (\times) is vetical juxtaposition, and so on. These matrix interpretations are all given in the final column of Figure 3.

You might like to check that matrix transposition satisfies property (TP).

When it comes to implementation, we do not want to *represent* a linear map by a matrix, becuase a linear map $\mathbb{R}^M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is an $N \times M$ matrix, which is enormous if $N = M = 10^6$, say. The function might be very simple (perhaps even the identity function) and taking 10^{12} numbers to represent it is plain silly. So our goal will be to *avoid realising linear maps as matrices*.

2.6 Optimisation

In optimisation we are usually given a function $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, where N can be large, and asked to find values of the input that maximises the output. One way to do this is by gradient descent: start with a point p, make a small change to $p+\delta_p$, and so on. From p we want to move in the direction of maximum slope. (How far to move in that direction is another matter — indeed no one knows — but we will concentrate on the direction in which to move.)

Suppose $\delta(i,N)$ is the one-hot N-vector with 1 in the i'th position and zeros elsewhere. Then $\delta_p[i] = \partial f(p) \odot \delta(i,N)$ describes how fast the output of f changes for a change in the i'th input. The direction of maximum slope is just the vector

$$\delta_{p} = (\delta_{p}[1] \delta_{p}[2] \dots \delta_{p}[N])$$

How can we compute this vector? We can simply evaluate $\partial f(p) \odot \delta(i, N)$ for each i. But that amounts to running f N times, which is bad if N is large (say 10^6).

Suppose that we somehow had access to $\partial_R f$. Then we can use property (TP), setting $\delta_f = 1$ to get

$$\forall \delta_p. \ \partial f(p) \odot \delta_p = (\partial_R f(p) \odot 1) \bullet \delta_p$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta_p[i] &=& \partial f(p) \odot \delta(i,N) \\ &=& (\partial_R f(p) \odot 1) \bullet \delta(i,N) \\ &=& (\partial_R f(p) \odot 1)[i] \end{array}$$

That is $\delta_p[i]$ is the *i*'th component of $\partial_R f(p) \odot 1$, so $\delta_p = \partial_R f(p) \odot 1$.

That is, $\partial_R f(p) \odot 1$ is the N-vector of maximum slope, the direction in which to move if we want to do gradient descent starting at p. And *that* is why the transpose is important.

2.7 Lambdas and linear maps

Notice the similarity between the type of (\times) and the type of \mathcal{L} ; the latter is really just an infinite version of the latter. Their semantics in Figure 4 are equally closely related.

The transpositions of these two linear maps, (\bowtie) and \mathcal{L}' , are similarly related. *But*, there is a problem with the semantics of \mathcal{L}' :

$$\mathcal{L}'(f) \odot g = \Sigma_i(f \ i) \odot g(i)$$

This is an *infinite sum*, so there is something fishy about this as a semantics.

2.8 Questions about linear maps

- Do we need 1? After all S(1) does the same job. But asking if k = 1 is dodgy when k is a float.
- Do these laws fully define linear maps?

Notes

• In practice we allow n-ary versions of $m \bowtie n$ and $m \times n$.

3 AD as a source-to-source transformation

To perform source-to-source AD of a function f, we follow the plan outlined in Figure 6. Specifically, starting with a function definition f(x) = e:

- Construct the full Jacobian ∂f , and transposed full Jacobian $\partial_R f$, using the transormations in Figure 6^2 .
- Optimise these two definitions, using the laws of linear maps in Figure 4.
- Construct the forward derivative f' and reverse derivative f'_R , as shown in Figure 6^3 .
- Optimise these two definitions, to eliminate all linear maps. Specifically:

 $^{^2}$ We consider ∂f and $\partial_R f$ to be the names of two new functions. These names are derived from, but distinctd from f, rather like f' or f_1 in mathematics.

³Again f' and f'_R are new names, derived from f

Original function	$f: S \to T$ $f(x) = e$
Full Jacobian	$\partial f: S \to (S \multimap T)$ $\partial f(x) = \text{let } \partial x = 1 \text{ in } \nabla_S[\![e]\!]$
Forward derivative	$f':(S,S) \to T$ $f'(x,dx) = \partial f(x) \odot dx$
Reverse derivative	$f'_R:(S,T)\to S$ $f'_R(x,dr)=dr\odot_R\partial f(x)$

Differentiation of an expression

If
$$e: T$$
 then $\nabla_S[\![e]\!]: S \longrightarrow T$

$$\nabla_S[\![k]\!] = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\nabla_S[\![x]\!] = \partial x$$

$$\nabla_S[\![f(e)\!]] = \partial f(e) \circ \nabla_S[\![e]\!]$$

$$\nabla_S[\![e_1, e_2]\!] = \nabla_S[\![e_1]\!] \times \nabla_S[\![e_2]\!]$$

$$\nabla_S[\![let \ x = e_1 \ in \ e_2]\!] = let \ x = e_1 \ in$$

$$let \ \partial x = \nabla_S[\![e_1]\!] \ in$$

$$\nabla_S[\![e_2]\!]$$

$$\nabla_S[\![build(e_n, \lambda i.e)\!] = \mathcal{V}(build(e_n, \lambda i.\nabla_S[\![e]\!]))$$

$$\nabla_S[\![bild(e_n, \lambda i.e)\!] = \mathcal{L}(\lambda i. \nabla_S[\![e]\!])$$

Figure 6. Automatic differentiation

- Rather than calling ∂f (in, say, f'), instead inline it.
- Similarly, for each local let-binding for a linear map, of form let $\partial x = e$ in b, inline ∂x at each of its occurrences in b. This may duplicate e; but ∂x is a function that may be applied (via \odot) to many different arguments, and we want to specialise it for each such call. (I think.)
- Optimise using the rules of (\odot) in Figure 4.
- Use standard Common Subexpression Elimination (CSE) to recover any lost sharing.

Note that

- The transformation is fully compositional; each function can be AD'd independently. For example, if a user-defined function f calls another user-defined function g, we construct ∂g as described; and then construct ∂f . The latter simply calls ∂g .
- The AD transformation is partial; that is, it does not work for every program. In particular, it fails when applied to a lambda, or an application; and, as we will see in Section 4, it requires that build appears applied to a lambda.

 We give the full Jacobian for some built-in functions in Figure 6, including for conditionals (∂if).

3.1 Forward and reverse AD

Consider

$$f(x) = p(q(r(x)))$$

Just running the algorithm above on f gives

$$f(x) = p(q(r(x)))$$

$$\partial f(x) = \partial p \circ (\partial q \circ \partial r)$$

$$f'(x, dx) = (\partial p \circ (\partial q \circ \partial r)) \odot dx$$

$$= \partial p \odot ((\partial q \circ \partial r) \odot dx)$$

$$= \partial p \odot (\partial q \odot (\partial r \odot dx))$$

$$\partial_R f(x) = (\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \circ \partial_R p$$

$$f'_R(x, dr) = ((\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \circ \partial_R p) \odot dr$$

$$= (\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \odot (\partial_R p \odot dr)$$

$$= \partial_R r \odot (\partial_R q \odot (\partial_R p \odot dr))$$

In "The essence of automatic differentiation" Conal says (Section 12)

The AD algorithm derived in Section 4 and generalized in Figure 6 can be thought of as a family of algorithms. For fully right-associated compositions, it becomes forward mode AD; for fully left-associated compositions, reverse-mode AD; and for all other associations, various mixed modes.

But the forward/reverse difference shows up quite differently here: it has nothing to do with *right-vs-left association*, and everything to do with *transposition*.

This is mysterious. Conal is not usually wrong. I would like to understand this better.

4 AD for vectors

Like other built-in functions, each built-in function for vectors has has its full Jacobian versions, defined in Figure 2. You may enjoy checking that ∂sum and ∂ixR are correct!

For *build* there are two possible paths, and it's not yet clear which is best

Direct path. Figure 6 includes a rule for $\nabla_S[[build(e_n, \lambda i.e)]]$.

But *build* is an exception! It is handled specially by the AD transformation in Figure 6; there is no $\partial build$. Moreover the AD transformation only works if the second argument of the build is a lambda, thus $build(e_n, \lambda i.e)$. I tried dealing with build and lambdas separately, but failed (see Section ??).

I did think about having a specialised linear map for indexing, rather than using $\mathcal{H}()$, but then I needed its transposition, so just using $\mathcal{H}()$ seemed more economical. On the other hand, with the fucntions as I have them, I need the

grotesquely delicate optimisation rule

$$sum(build(n, \lambda i. \text{ if } i == e_i \text{ then } e \text{ else } 0))$$

= let $i = e_i \text{ in } b$
if $i \notin e_i$

I hate this!

4.1 General folds

We have $sum :: Vec; \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. What is ∂sum ? One way to define its semantics is by applying it:

$$\partial sum \quad :: \quad Vec; \mathbb{R} \to (Vec; \mathbb{R} \multimap \mathbb{R})$$
$$\partial sum(v) \odot dv = sum(dv)$$

That is OK. But what about product, which multiplies all the elements of a vector together? If the vector had three elements we might have

$$\partial product([x_1, x_2, x_3]) \odot [dx_1, dx_2, dx_3]$$

= $(dx_1 * x_2 * x_3) + (dx_2 * x_1 * x_3) + (dx_3 * x_1 * x_2)$

This looks very unattractive as the number of elements grows. Do we need to use product?

This gives the clue that taking the derivative of *fold* is not going to be easy, maybe infeasible! Much depends on the particular lambda it appears. So I have left out product, and made no attempt to do general folds.

5 Avoiding duplication

5.1 ANF and CSE

We may want to ANF-ise before AD to avoid gratuitous duplication. E.g.

$$\nabla_{S} \llbracket sqrt(x + (y * z)) \rrbracket$$

$$= \partial sqrt(x + (y * z)) \circ \nabla_{S} \llbracket x + (y * z) \rrbracket$$

$$= \partial sqrt(x + (y * z)) \circ \partial + (x, y * z)$$

$$\circ (\nabla_{S} \llbracket x \rrbracket \times \nabla_{S} \llbracket y * z \rrbracket)$$

$$= \partial sqrt(x + (y * z)) \circ \partial + (x, y * z)$$

$$\circ (\partial x \times (\partial * (y, z) \circ (\partial y \times \partial z)))$$

Note the duplication of y * z in the result. Of course, CSE may recover it.

5.2 Tupling: basic version

A better (and well-established) path is to modify $\partial f: S \rightarrow (S \multimap T)$ so that it returns a pair:

$$\overline{\partial f}: \forall a.(a \multimap S, S) \to (a \multimap T, T)$$

That is $\overline{\partial f}$ returns the "normal result" T as well as a linear map.

5.3 Polymorphic tupling: forward mode

Everything works much more compositionally if $\overline{\partial f}$ also *takes* a linear map as its input. The new transform is shown in Figure 8. Note that there is no longer any code duplications, even without ANF or CSE.

In exchange, though, all the types are a bit more complicated. So we regard Figure 6 as canonical, to be used when working thiungs out, and Figure 8 as a (crucial) implementation strategy.

The crucial property are these:

$$(CP)$$
 $\overline{\partial f}(e) \overline{\odot} dx = f'(e \overline{\odot} dx)$

Crucial because suppose we have

$$f(x) = g(h(x))$$

Then, we can transform as follows, using (CP) twice, on lines marked (\dagger) :

$$\overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\partial g}(\overline{\partial h}(\overline{x}))
f'(x, dx) = \overline{\partial g}(\overline{\partial h}(x, 1)) \overline{\odot} dx
= g'(\overline{\partial h}(x, 1) \overline{\odot} dx) (\dagger)
= g'(h'((x, 1) \overline{\odot} dx)) (\dagger)
= g'(h'(x, 1 \overline{\odot} dx))
= g'(h'(x, dx))$$

Why is (CP) true? It follows from a more general property of $\overline{\partial f}$:

$$\forall f: S \to T, \ x: S, \ m_1: A \multimap S, \ m_2: B \multimap A, \ db: \delta B.$$

$$\overline{\partial f}(x, m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ (m_2 \odot db) = \overline{\partial f}(x, m_1 \circ m_2) \ \overline{\odot} \ db$$

$$\forall f: S \to T, \ x: S, \ m_1: S \multimap A, \ m_2: A \multimap B, \ dr: \delta T.$$

$$m_2 \odot (\overline{\partial_R f}(x, m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr) = \overline{\partial_R f}(x, m_2 \circ m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr$$

Now we can prove our claim as follows

$$f'(e \ \overline{\odot} \ dx)$$
= {by defin of (\overline{\infty})}
$$f'(\pi_1(e), \ \pi_2(e) \odot dx)$$
= {by defin of f'}
$$\overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(e), \ 1) \ \overline{\odot} \ (\pi_2(e) \odot dx)$$
= {by crucial property}
$$\overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(e), \ \pi_2(e)) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx$$
= $\overline{\partial f}(e) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx$

5.4 Polymorphic tupling: reverse mode

It turns out that things work quite differently for reverse mode. For a start the equivalent of (CP) for reverse-mode would look like this:

$$\overline{\partial_R f}(e) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr = f'_R(e \ \overline{\odot} \ dr)$$

But this is not even well-typed!

Original function $f: S \to T$ f(x) = e $\overline{\partial f}: S \to (T, S \multimap T)$ **Full Jacobian** $\overline{\partial f}(x) = \text{let } \overline{\partial x} = (x, 1) \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e \rrbracket$ **Forward derivative** $f':(S, \delta S) \rightarrow (T, \delta T)$ $f'(x, dx) = \overline{\partial f}(x) \overline{\odot} dx$ $f_R':(S,\delta T)\to (T,\delta S)$ Reverse derivative $f'_R(x, dfr) = dr \ \overline{\odot}_R \ \overline{\partial f}(x)$ Differentiation of an expression If e : T then $\overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e \rrbracket : (S \multimap T, T)$ $\overline{\nabla}_S[\![k]\!] = (k, \mathbf{0})$ $\overline{\nabla}_{S}[x] = \overline{\partial x}$ $\overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket(e_1, e_2)\rrbracket = \overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \overline{\times} \overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket$ $\overline{\nabla}_{S} \llbracket f(e) \rrbracket = \text{let } a = \overline{\nabla}_{S} \llbracket e \rrbracket \text{ in}$ let $r = \overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(a))$ in $(\pi_1(r), \ \pi_2(r) \circ \pi_2(a))$ $\overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket \text{ let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket = \text{ let } \overline{\partial x} = \nabla_S \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket$ $\overline{\nabla}_S[\![build(e_n, \lambda i.e)]\!] = \text{let } p = \Phi(build(e_n, \lambda i.\overline{\nabla}_S[\![e]\!])) \text{ in}$ $(\pi_1(p), \mathcal{V}(\pi_2(p)))$ Modified linear-map operations $(\overline{\odot}) : (r, s \multimap t) \to \delta s \to \delta t$ $(v, m) \overline{\odot} ds = m \odot ds$ $(\overline{\odot}_R)$: $\delta t \rightarrow (r, s \multimap t) \rightarrow \delta s$ $dr \overline{\odot}_R vm = dr \overline{\odot} vm$ $(\overline{\times})$: $((t_1, s \multimap t_1), (t_2, s \multimap t_2)) \to ((t_1, t_2), s \multimap (t_1, t_2))$ $(t_1, m_1) \overline{\times} (t_2, m_2) = ((t_1, t_2), m_1 \times m_2)$ $(\ \overline{\bowtie}\) : ((t_1, t_1 \multimap s), \ (t_2, t_2 \multimap s)) \to ((t_1, t_2), \ (t_1, t_2) \multimap s)$ $(t_1, m_1) \bowtie (t_2, m_2) = ((t_1, t_2), m_1 \bowtie m_2)$ $\Phi : Vec; (a, b) \rightarrow (Vec; a, Vec; b)$ $\cdot^{\overline{\top}}$: $(r, s \multimap t) \to (r, t \multimap s)$ **Derivatives of built-in functions** $\overline{\partial +}$:: $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ $\overline{\partial +}(x,y) = (1 \bowtie 1, x + y)$ $\overline{\partial *}$:: $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ $\overline{\partial *}(x,y) = (S(y) \bowtie S(x), x * y)$ **Figure 7.** Automatic differentiation: tupling

Original function

$$f: S \to T$$
$$f(x) = e$$

$$f(x) = e$$

Full Jacobian

$$\overline{\partial f}: \forall a. (S, a \multimap S) \to (T, a \multimap T)$$

$$\overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket$$

Transposed Jacobian
$$\overline{\partial_R f}: \forall a. (S, S \multimap a) \to (T, T \multimap a)$$
 $\overline{\partial_R f}(\overline{x}) = (\overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}))^{\overline{\top}}$

Forward derivative

$$f': (S, \delta S) \to (T, \delta T)$$
$$f'(x, dx) = \overline{\partial f}(x, 1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx$$

Reverse derivative

$$f'_{R}: (S, \delta T) \to (T, \delta S)$$
$$f'_{R}(x, dr) = \overline{\partial_{R} f}(x, 1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr$$

Differentiation of an expression

$$\begin{split} &\text{If } e: T \text{ then } \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket : (T, a \multimap T) \\ &\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket k \rrbracket &= (k, \mathbf{0}) \\ &\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket x \rrbracket &= \overline{x} \\ &\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket f(e) \rrbracket &= \overline{\partial f} (\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket) \\ &\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket (e_1, e_2) \rrbracket &= \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \, \overline{\times} \, \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \\ &\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket \text{ let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket &= \text{ let } \overline{x} = \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \end{split}$$

Modified linear-map operations

$$(\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline (\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline (\begin{tabular} \hline (\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline ($$

Derivatives of built-in functions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\partial +} & :: & \forall a.((\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),a\multimap(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{R},a\multimap\mathbb{R}) \\ \overline{\partial +}((x,y),m) & = & (x+y,\,(1\bowtie 1)\circ m) \\ \\ \overline{\partial *} & :: & \forall a.((\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),a\multimap(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{R},a\multimap\mathbb{R}) \\ \overline{\partial *}((x,y),m) & = & (x*y,\,(S(y)\bowtie S(x))\circ m) \end{array}$$

Figure 8. Automatic differentiation: polymorphic tuples

How did we use (CP)? Suppose f is defined in terms of qand h:

$$f(x) = g(h(x))$$

Then we want f' to be defined in terms of g' and h'. That is, we want a compositional method, where we can create the code for f' without looking at the code for q or h, simpply by calling q and h's derived functions. And that's just what we achieved:

$$f'(x, dx) = q'(h'(x, dx))$$

992

993

994

995

996 997

998

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031 1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1068

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1081

1082

1083

1085

1087

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

But for reverse mode, this plan is much less straightforward. Look at the types:

> $f: R \to T$ $g:S\to T$ $h: R \rightarrow S$ $f_R' : (R, \delta T) \to (T, \delta R)$ g'_R : $(S, \delta T) \to (T, \delta S)$ h'_{P} : $(R, \delta S) \rightarrow (S, \delta R)$

How can we define f'_R by calling g'_R and h'_R ? It would have to look something like this

$$f'_R(r, dt)$$
 = letrec $(t, ds) = g'_R(s, dt)$
 $(s, dr) = h'_R(r, ds)$
in (t, dr)

We can't call g'_R before h'_R , nor the other way around. That's why there is a letrec! Even leaving aside how we generate this code, We'd need lazy evaluation to execute it.

The obvious alternative is to change f''s interface. Currently we have

$$f_R': (R, \delta T) \to (T, \delta R)$$

Instead, we can take that *R* value, but return a function $\delta T \rightarrow$ δR , thus:

$$f_R': R \to (T, \delta T \to \delta R)$$

But that commits to returning a function, with its fixed, builtin representation. Instead, let's return linear map:

$$f_R': R \to (T, \delta T \multimap \delta R)$$

Now we can re-interpret the retuned linear map as some kind of record (trace) of all the things that f did. And if we insist on our compositional account we really must manifest that data structure, and later apply it to a value of type δT to get a value of type δR . We could represent those linear maps as:

- A matrix
- A function closure that, when called, applies the linear map to an argument
- A syntax tree whose nodes are the constructors of the linear map type. When applying the linear map, we interpret taht syntax tree.

Finally, notice that this final version of f' is exactly $\partial_R f$, just specialised with an input linear map of 1. So we may as well just use $\overline{\partial_R f}$, which *already* compositionally calls $\overline{\partial_R g}$ and

TL;DR: for reverse mode, we must simply compile $\partial_R f$. Notice that we can get quite a bit of optimisation by inlining $\partial_R g$ into $\partial_R f$, and so on. The more inlining the better. If we inline everything we'll elminate all intermediate linear

Compiling through categories

Implementation

The implementation differs from this document as follows:

- Rather than pairs, the implementation supports *n*-ary tuples. Similary the linear maps (\times) and \bowtie are n-ary.
- Functions definitions can take *n* arguments, thus

$$f(x,y,z) = e$$

This is treated as equivalent to

$$f(t) = let x = \pi_{1,3}(t)$$

 $y = \pi_{2,3}(t)$
 $z = \pi_{3,3}(t)$

Fold

Demo

You can run the prototype by saying ghci Main.

The function demo :: Def -> IO () runs the prototype on the function provided as example. Thus:

bash\$ ghci Main

```
*Main> demo ex2
```

```
Original definition
```

```
fun f2(x)
  = let \{ y = x * x \}
    let { z = x + y }
    V * Z
```

Anf-ised original definition _____

```
fun f2(x)
  = let { y = x * x }
   let { z = x + y }
   y * z
```

The full Jacobian (unoptimised)

```
fun Df2(x)
  = let { Dx = lmOne() }
    let \{ y = x * x \}
    let { Dy = lmCompose(D*(x, x), lmVCat(Dx, Dx)) }
    let { z = x + y }
    let { Dz = ImCompose(D+(x, y), ImVCat(Dx, Dy)) }
    lmCompose(D*(y, z), lmVCat(Dy, Dz))
```

The full Jacobian (optimised)

fun Df2(x)

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

```
1101
                       Atoms
1102
                       f, q, h ::= Function
1103
1104
                                               Literal constants
1105
                       Terms
1106
                          pgm ::= def_1 \dots def_n
1107
                           def ::= f:S \Rightarrow T = c
1108
                               c ::= \mathcal{K}(k)
1109
                                                                           Constant
1110
                                       \mid \mathcal{F}(f)
                                                                           Function constant
                                              c_1 \circ c_2
                                                                           Composition
1112
                                              (c_1,\ldots,c_n)
                                                                           Tuple
1113
                                              I\mathcal{F}(c_1,c_2,c_3)
                                                                          Conditional
1114
1115
                                               \mathcal{L}(x,c_r,c_h)
                                                                           Let
1116
                                               \mathcal{B}(c_s, i, c_e)
                                                                           Build
1117
             Semantics: c \diamond e = e
1118
1119
                                     \mathcal{K}(k) \diamond t = k
1120
                                    \mathcal{F}(f) \diamond t = f(t)
1121
                              (c_1 \circ c_2) \diamond t = c_1 \diamond (c_2 \diamond t)
1122
                          (c_1,\ldots,c_n)\diamond t=(c_1\diamond t,\ldots,c_n\diamond t)
1123
                      I\mathcal{F}(c_1, c_2, c_3) \diamond t = \text{if } (c_1 \diamond t) (c_2 \diamond t) (c_3 \diamond t)
1124
1125
                          \mathcal{L}(x, c_r, c_h) \diamond t = \text{let } x = c_r \diamond t \text{ in } c_h \diamond (t > x)
1126
                           \mathcal{B}(c_s, i, c_e) \diamond t = \text{build } (c_s \diamond t) (\lambda i. c_e \diamond (t > i))
1127
             Conversion to CL
1128
1129
                 \Gamma ::= (x_1: \tau_1, \ldots, x_n: \tau_n)
1130
                 \pi((x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n),x_i) = \pi_{i,n}
1131
                          T(x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n) = (\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n)
1132
1133
                 C[f(x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n)=e]
1134
1135
                     = \mathcal{F}(f) = C \llbracket e \rrbracket (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n)
1136
1137
                                    If \Gamma \vdash e : \tau then C \llbracket e \rrbracket \Gamma : T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \tau
1138
                                              C[\![k]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{K}(k)
1139
1140
                                              C[\![x]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{F}(\pi(\Gamma, x))
1141
                                         C[\![f(e)]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{F}(f) \circ C[\![e]\!]\Gamma
1142
                              C if e_1 e_2 e_3 \Gamma
1143
                                          = if (C \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \Gamma) (C \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \Gamma) (C \llbracket e_3 \rrbracket \Gamma)
1144
1145
                             C[[e_1,\ldots,e_n]]\Gamma = (C[[e_1]]\Gamma,\ldots,C[[e_n]]\Gamma)
1146
                 C[\![ \text{let } x:\tau = e_r \text{ in } e_b ]\!] \Gamma = \mathcal{L}(x, C[\![ e_r ]\!] \Gamma, C[\![ e_b ]\!] (\Gamma, x:\tau))
1147
                     C[\![ \text{build } e_s (\lambda i.e_e) ]\!] \Gamma = \mathcal{B}(C[\![ e_s ]\!] \Gamma, i, C[\![ e_e ]\!] (\Gamma, i))
1148
               Pruning
1149
                 C\llbracket e \rrbracket \Gamma = C\llbracket e \rrbracket \Gamma' \circ C\llbracket (v_1, \dots, v_n) \rrbracket \Gamma
1150
1151
                     where \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} = fv(e)
1152
                                                    \Gamma' = (\upsilon_1 : \Gamma(\upsilon_1), \ldots, \upsilon_n : \Gamma(\upsilon_n))
1153
```

1154

```
\frac{f:S \to T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{F}(f):S \Rightarrow T} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{K}(k):S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}}

\frac{F \vdash c_1:R \Rightarrow T \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_2:S \Rightarrow R}{\Gamma \vdash c_1:c_2:S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_1:S \Rightarrow T_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow T_n}{\Gamma \vdash (c_1,\ldots,c_n):S \Rightarrow (T_1,\ldots,T_n)}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_1:S \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_2:S \Rightarrow T \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_3:S \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}(c_1,c_2,c_3):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_1:S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}(c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow R \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}(c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow R \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{L}(x,c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:(S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{L}(x,c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:(S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{L}(x,c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}

\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_n:S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n:(S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{L}(x,c_n,c_n):S \Rightarrow T}
```

Figure 10. Type system for *CL*

```
= let \{ y = x * x \}
    lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) + (x + y) * (x + x))
Forward derivative (unoptimised)
fun f2'(x, dx)
  = lmApply(let { y = x * x })
           lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
                    (x + y) * (x + x) ),
           dx)
_____
Forward-mode derivative (optimised)
fun f2'(x, dx)
  = let \{ y = x * x \}
    ((x + y) * (x + x) + (x + y) * (x + x)) * dx
Forward-mode derivative (CSE'd)
_____
fun f2'(x, dx)
  = let \{ t1 = x + x * x \}
   let { t2 = x + x }
    (t1 * t2 + t1 * t2) * dx
Transposed Jacobian
fun Rf2(x)
  = lmTranspose( let { y = x * x }
                lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
```

```
1211
         Typing rules for fold
1212
                                                                                         t : (a,b)
1213
1214
                                                                                                a
1215
                                                                                       acc
                                                                                                 a
1216
                                                                                         v
                                                                                             : Vec b
1217
                                                                      fold (\lambda t.e) acc v
                                                                                             : a
1218
1219
         Typing rules for lmFold
1220
                                                                                        t : (a,b)
1222
                                                                                           : a
                                                                                           : (s,(a,b)) \multimap a
1224
                                                                                     acc
                                                                                           : a
1225
                                                                                       v : Vec b
1226
1227
                                                       ImFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') acc v : (s,(a, \text{Vec }b)) \rightarrow a
1228
         Typing rules for FFold and RFold
1229
1230
                                                                                               t : (a,b)
1231
                                                                                                  : ((a,b),\delta a)
1232
                                                                                                  : ((a,b),(\delta a,\delta b))
                                                                                             t_{dt}
1233
                                                                                                  :
1234
                                                                                                   : (\delta s, (\delta a, \delta b))
                                                                                            e_{dr}
1235
                                                                                                  : δa
                                                                                            e_{dt}
1237
                                                                                            acc
                                                                                                  :
                                                                                                  : Vec b
1239
                                                                                             dr:
                                                                                                       \delta a
1240
                                                                                           d_{acc}:
                                                                                                       \delta a
1241
1242
                                                                                             d_v :
                                                                                                       Vec \delta b
1243
                                                  FFold (\lambda t.e) acc v (\lambda t_{dt}.e_{dt}) d_{acc} d_v :
1244
                                                        RFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t_{dr}.e_{dr}) acc v dr : (\delta s, (\delta a, \text{Vec } \delta b))
1245
1246
                                                                      Figure 11. Rules for fold
1247
```

```
1249
                                 (x + y) * (x + x) )
                                                                                  dr)
1250
1251
      Optimised transposed Jacobian
                                                                     Reverse-mode derivative (optimised)
1252
1253
                                                                     fun f2'(x, dr)
      fun Rf2(x)
1254
        = let \{ y = x * x \}
                                                                       = let \{ y = x * x \}
1255
                                                                          ((x + y) * (x + x) +
          lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
1256
                                                                           (x + y) * (x + x)) * dr
                    (x + y) * (x + x))
1257
1258
1259
      Reverse-mode derivative (unoptimised)
                                                                     Reverse-mode derivative (CSE'd)
1260
1261
      fun f2'(x, dr)
1262
        = lmApply(let { y = x * x })
                                                                     fun f2'(x, dr)
                  lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
                                                                       = let { t1 = x + x * x }
1263
                            (x + y) * (x + x) ),
                                                                         let { t2 = x + x }
1264
1265
                                                                  12
```

```
Differentiation of fold
                                                                                    If e: T then \nabla_s \llbracket e \rrbracket : s \multimap T
                                                     \nabla_s \llbracket \text{fold } (\lambda t.e) \ acc \ v \rrbracket = \text{ImFold } (\lambda t.e) \ (\lambda t.e') \ acc \ v \circ p
                                                                           where p: s \rightarrow (s, (a, \text{Vec } b))
                                                                                       p = \mathbf{1}_{s} \times (\nabla_{s} \llbracket acc \rrbracket \times \nabla_{s} \llbracket v \rrbracket)
                                                                                      e' = \text{let } \nabla x = \nabla x \circ (\mathbf{1}_s \bowtie \mathbf{0}_s^{(a,b)})
                                                                                                    ... for each x ocurring free in \lambda t.e
                                                                                                    let \nabla t = \mathbf{0}^s_{(a,b)} \bowtie \mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}
                                                                                                    in \nabla_{(s,(a,b))}[e]
Applying an ImFold
                                          lmFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') acc v \odot dx = \text{FFold } (\lambda t.e) acc v (\lambda t_{dt}.e_{dt}) d_{acc} d_v
                                                                                  where e_{dt} = \text{let } t = \pi_1(t_{dt})
                                                                                                              let dt = \pi_2(t_{dt})
                                                                                                              in e' \odot (ds, dt)
                                                                                               ds = \pi_1(dx)
                                                                                            d_{acc} = \pi_1(\pi_2(dx))
                                                                                              d_v = \pi_2(\pi_2(dx))
                                        dx \odot_R \text{ ImFold } (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') \ acc \ v = \text{RFold } (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t_{dr}.e_{dr}) \ acc \ v \ dx
                                                                                  where e_{dr} = \text{let } t = \pi_1(t_{dr})
                                                                                                              let dr = \pi_2(t_{dr})
                                                                                                              in dr \odot_R e'
```

Figure 12. Rules for fold

```
def FFold dA ((f : F) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B)
              (f_-:F_-) (dacc : dA) (dv : Vec n dB))
 = FFold_recursive(0, f, acc, v f_, dacc, dv)
def FFold_recursive dA ((i : Integer) (f : F) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B)
                                      (f_-: F_-) (dacc : dA) (dv : Vec n dB))
 = if i == n
   then dacc
    else let fwd_f = f_((acc, v[i]), (dacc, dv[i]))
         in FFold_recursive(i + 1, f, f(acc, v[i]), v, f_, fwd_f, dv)
                                Figure 13. Forward mode derivative for fold
   (t1 * t2 + t1 * t2) * dr
```

```
def RFold (S, (dA, Vec n dB)) ((f : F) (f_- : F_-) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B) (dr : dA))
1431
                                                                                                                                            1486
         = let (ds, dv, da) = RFold_recursive(f, f_, 0, v, acc, dr)
1432
                                                                                                                                            1487
            in (s, (da, dv))
1433
                                                                                                                                            1488
1434
                                                                                                                                            1489
      def RFold_recursive (S, Vec n dB, dA) ((f : F) (f : F_) (i : Integer) (v : Vec n B)
1435
                                                        (acc : A) (dr : dA))
                                                                                                                                            1491
1436
         = if i == n
1437
                                                                                                                                            1492
           then (0, 0, dr)
1438
                                                                                                                                            1493
            else let (r_ds, r_dv, r_dacc) = RFold_recursive(f, f_, i + 1, v, f(acc, v[i]), dr)
1439
                       (f_ds, (f_dacc, f_db)) = f_((acc, v[i]), r_dacc)
1440
                                                                                                                                            1495
1441
                  in (r_ds + f_ds, r_dv + deltaVec(i, f_db), f_dacc)
                                                                                                                                            1496
1442
                                                                                                                                            1497
                                                Figure 14. Reverse mode derivative for fold
1443
                                                                                                                                            1498
1444
                                                                                                                                            1499
1445
                                                                                                                                            1500
1446
                                                                                                                                            1501
1447
                                                                                                                                            1502
1448
                                                                                                                                            1503
1449
                                                                                                                                            1504
1450
1451
                                                                                                                                            1506
1452
                                                                                                                                            1508
1453
1454
1455
                                                                                                                                            1510
1456
                                                                                                                                            1511
1457
                                                                                                                                            1512
1458
                                                                                                                                            1513
1459
                                                                                                                                            1514
1460
                                                                                                                                            1515
1461
                                                                                                                                            1516
1462
                                                                                                                                            1517
1463
                                                                                                                                            1518
1464
                                                                                                                                            1519
1465
                                                                                                                                            1520
1466
                                                                                                                                            1521
1467
                                                                                                                                            1522
1468
                                                                                                                                            1523
1469
                                                                                                                                            1525
1470
1471
                                                                                                                                            1526
1472
                                                                                                                                            1527
1473
1474
                                                                                                                                            1529
1475
                                                                                                                                            1530
1476
                                                                                                                                            1531
1477
                                                                                                                                            1532
1478
                                                                                                                                            1533
1479
                                                                                                                                            1534
1480
                                                                                                                                            1535
1481
                                                                                                                                            1536
1482
                                                                                                                                            1537
1483
                                                                                                                                            1538
1484
                                                                                                                                            1539
```