# Working notes on Automatic differentation

May 20, 2020 — not for circulation

Tom Ellis Simon Peyton Jones Andrew Fitzgibbon

# 1 The language

This paper is about automatic differentiation of functions, so we must be precise about the language in which those functions are written.

The syntax of our language is given in Figure 1. Note that

- Variables are divided into *functions*, *f* , *g*, *h*; and *local variables*, *x*, *y*, *z*, which are either function arguments or let-bound.
- The language has a first order sub-language. Functions are defined at top level; functions always appear in a call, never (say) as an argument to a function; in a call f(e), the function f is always a top-level-defined function, never a local variable.
- Functions have exactly one argument. If you want more than one, pass a pair.
- Pairs are built-in, with selectors  $\pi_{1,2}$ ,  $\pi_{2,2}$ . In the real implementation, pairs are generalised to n-tuples, and we often do so informally here.
- Conditionals are a language construct.
- Let-bindings are non-recursive. For now, at least, toplevel functions are also non-recursive.
- Lambda expressions and applications are present, so
  the language is higher order. AD will only accept a
  subset of the language, in which lambdas appear only
  as an argument to build. But the output of AD may
  include lambdas and application, as we shall see.

#### 1.1 Built in functions

The language has built-in functions shown in Figure 2.

We allow ourselves to write functions infix where it is convenient. Thus  $e_1 + e_2$  means the call  $+(e_1, e_2)$ , which applies the function + to the pair  $(e_1, e_2)$ . (So, like all other

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

#### Atoms

f,g,h ::= Function x,y,z ::= Local variable (lambda-bound or let-bound) k ::= Literal constants 

#### **Terms**

```
pgm ::= def_1 \dots def_n
 def
       := f(x) = e
                                    Constant
       ::=
            x
                                    Local variable
                                    Function call
             f(e)
             (e_1, e_2)
                                    Pair
             \lambda x.e
                                    Lambda
                                    Application
             let x=e_1 in e_2
             if b then e_1 else e_2
```

#### **Types**

τ	::=	N	Natural numbers
		$\mathbb{R}$	Real numbers
		$( au_1, au_2)$	Pairs
		Vec τ	Vectors
		$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	Functions
		$\tau_1 \multimap \tau_2$	Linear maps

**Figure 1.** Syntax of the language

functions, (+) has one argument.) Similarly the linear map  $m_1 \times m_2$  is short for  $\times (e_1, e_2)$ .

We allow ourselves to write vector indexing ixR(i, a) using square brackets, thus a[i].

Multiplication and addition are overloaded to work on any suitable type. On vectors they work element-wise; if you want dot-product you have to program it.

#### 1.2 Vectors

The language supports one-dimensional vectors, of type  $Vec\ T$ , whose elements have type T (Figure 1). A matrix can be represented as a vector of vectors.

# Built-in functions(+) :: $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ (\*) :: $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ $\pi_{1,2}$ :: $(t_1, t_2) \to t_1$ Selection $\pi_{2,2}$ :: $(t_1, t_2) \to t_2$ ...ditto..build :: $(n :: \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \to t) \to Vec t$ Vector buildixR :: $(\mathbb{N}, Vec t) \to t$ Indexing (NB arg order)sum :: $Vec t \to t$ Sum a vectorsz :: $Vec t \to \mathbb{N}$ Size of a vector

#### **Derivatives of built-in functions**

Figure 2. Built-in functions

Vectors are supported by the following built-in functions (Figure 2):

- *build* ::  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \to t) \to Vec\ t$  for vector construction.
- $ixR :: (\mathbb{N}, Vec\ t) \to t$  for indexing. Informally we allow ourselves to write v[i] instead of ixR(i, v).
- $sum :: Vec \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  to add up the elements of a vector. We specifically do not have a general, higher order, fold operator; we say why in Section 4.1.
- $sz :: Vec \ t \to \mathbb{N}$  takes the size of a vector.
- Arithmetic functions (\*),(+) etc are overloaded to work over vectors, always elementwise.

# 2 Linear maps and differentiation

If  $f: S \to T$ , then its derivative  $\partial f$  has type

$$\partial f: S \to (S \multimap T)$$

where  $S \multimap T$  is the type of *linear maps* from S to T. That is, at some point p : S,  $\partial f(p)$  is a linear map that is a good approximation of f at p.

By "a good approximation of f at p" we mean this:

$$\forall p : S. \ f(p + \delta_p) \approx f(p) + \partial f(p) \odot \delta_p$$

Here the operation ( $\odot$ ) is linear-map application: it takes a linear map  $S \multimap T$  and applies it to an argument of type S, giving a result of type T (Figure 3).

The linear maps from S to T are a subset of the functions from S to T. We characterise linear maps more precisely in Section 2.1, but a good intuition can be had for functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . This function defines a curvy surface z = g(x,y). Then a linear map of type  $\mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}$  is a plane, and  $\partial g(p_x, p_y)$  is the plane that best approximates g near  $(p_x, p_y)$ , that is a tangent plane passing through  $z = g(p_x, p_y)$ 

## 2.1 Linear maps

A *linear map*,  $m: S \multimap T$ , is a function from S to T, satisfying these two properties:

(LM1) 
$$\forall x, y : S \quad m \odot (x + y) = m \odot x + m \odot y$$
  
(LM2)  $\forall k : \mathbb{R}, x : S \quad k * (m \odot x) = m \odot (k * x)$ 

Here  $(\odot)$ :  $(s \multimap t) \to (s \to t)$  is an operator that applies a linear map  $(s \multimap t)$  to an argument of type s. The type  $s \multimap t$  is a type in the language (Figure 1).

Linear maps can be *built and consumed* using the operators in (see Figure 3). Indeed, you should think of linear maps as an *abstract type*; that is, you can *only* build or consume linear maps with the operators in Figure 3. We might *represent* a linear map in a variety of ways, one of which is as a matrix (Section 2.5).

#### 2.1.1 Semantics of linear maps

The *semantics* of a linear map is completely specified by saying what ordinary function it corresponds to; or, equivalently, by how it behaves when applied to an argument by  $(\odot)$ . The semantics of each form of linear map are given in Figure 4

#### 2.1.2 Properties of linear maps

Linear maps satisfy *properties* given in Figure 4. Note that ( $\circ$ ) and  $\oplus$  behave like multiplication and addition respectively.

These properties can readily be proved from the semantics. To prove two linear maps are equal, we must simply prove that they give the same result when applied to any argument. So, to prove that  $\mathbf{0} \circ m = m$ , we choose an arbitrary x and reason thus:

$$(\mathbf{0} \circ m) \odot x$$
  
=  $\mathbf{0} \odot (m \odot x)$  {semantics of  $(\circ)$ }  
=  $\mathbf{0}$  {semantics of  $\mathbf{0}$ }  
=  $\mathbf{0} \odot x$  {semantics of  $\mathbf{0}$  backwards}

Note that the property

$$(m_1 \bowtie m_2) \circ (n_1 \times n_2) = (m_1 \circ n_1) \oplus (m_2 \circ n_2)$$

is the only reason we need the linear map  $(\oplus)$ .

	Operator Type	Matrix interpretation		
		where $s = \mathbb{R}^m$ , and $t = \mathbb{R}^n$		
Apply	$(\odot): (s \multimap t) \to \delta s \to \delta t$	Matrix/vector multiplication		
Reverse apply	$(\odot_R): \delta t \to (s \multimap t) \to \delta s$	Vector/matrix multiplication		
Compose	$(\circ): (s \multimap t, r \multimap s) \to (r \multimap t)$	Matrix/matrix multiplication		
Sum	$(\oplus) : (s \multimap t, s \multimap t) \to (s \multimap t)$	Matrix addition		
Zero	$0 : s \multimap t$	Zero matrix		
Unit	$1: s \multimap s$	Identity matrix (square)		
Scale	$S(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to (s \multimap s)$			
VCat	$(\times)$ : $(s \multimap t_1, s \multimap t_2) \to (s \multimap (t_1, t_2))$	Vertical juxtaposition		
VCatV	$\mathcal{V}(\cdot) : Vec(s \multimap t) \to (s \multimap Vec t)$	vector version		
HCat	$(\bowtie) : (t_1 \multimap s, t_2 \multimap s) \to ((t_1, t_2) \multimap s)$	Horizontal juxtaposition		
HCatV	$\mathcal{H}(\cdot) : Vec(t \multimap s) \to (Vect \multimap s)$	vector version		
Transpose	$\cdot^{\top} : (s \multimap t) \to (t \multimap s)$	Matrix transpose		
NB: We expect to have only $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}'$ but not both				
Lambda	$\mathcal{L} : (\mathbb{N} \to (s \multimap t)) \to (s \multimap (\mathbb{N} \to t))$			
TLambda	$\mathcal{L}'$ : $(\mathbb{N} \to (t \multimap s)) \to ((\mathbb{N} \to t) \multimap s)$	Transpose of $\mathcal L$		

**Figure 3.** Operations over linear maps

**Theorem:**  $\forall (m: S \multimap T). m \odot 0 = 0$ . That is, all linear maps pass through the origin. **Proof**: property (LM2) with k = 0. Note that the function  $\lambda x.x + 4$  is not a linear map; its graph is a staight line, but it does not go through the origin.

## 2.2 Vector spaces

Given a linear map  $m: S \multimap T$ , we expect both S and T to be a *vector space with dot product* (aka inner product space<sup>1</sup>). A vector space with dot product V has:

- Vector addition  $(+_V): V \to V \to V$ .
- Zero vector  $0_V : V$ .
- Scalar multiplication  $(*_V) : \mathbb{R} \to V \to V$
- Dot-product  $(\bullet_V): V \to V \to \mathbb{R}$ .

We omit the *V* subscripts when it is clear which (\*), (+),  $(\bullet)$  or 0 is intended.

These operations must obey the laws of vector spaces

$$v_{1} + (v_{2} + v_{3}) = (v_{1} + v_{2}) + v_{3}$$

$$v_{1} + v_{2} = v_{2} + v_{1}$$

$$v + 0 = 0$$

$$0 * v = 0$$

$$1 * v = v$$

$$r_{1} * (r_{2} * v) = (r_{1} * r_{2}) * v$$

$$r * (v_{1} + v_{2}) = (r * v_{1}) + (r * v_{2})$$

$$(r_{1} + r_{2}) * v = (r_{1} * v) + (r_{2} * v)$$

# 2.2.1 Building vector spaces

What types are vector spaces? Look the syntax of types in Figure 1.

- The real numbers R is a vector space, using the standard + and \* for reals; and ●R = \*.
- If V is a vector space then Vec V is a vector space, with
   v<sub>1</sub> + v<sub>2</sub> is vector addittion
  - r \* v multiplies each element of the vector v by the real r.
  - $v_1$   $v_2$  is a the usual vector dot-product. We often write Vec ℝ as  $ℝ^N$ .
- If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are vector spaces, then the product space  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a vector space

$$-(v_1,v_2)+(w_1,w_2)=(v_1+w_1,v_2+w_2).$$

$$-r*(v_1, v_2) = (r*v_1, r*v_2)$$

$$-(v_1, v_2) \bullet (w_1, w_2) = (v_1 \bullet w_1) + (v_2 \bullet w_2).$$

In all cases the necessary properties of the operations (associativity, distribution etc) are easy to prove.

# 2.3 Transposition

For any linear map  $m: S \multimap T$  we can produce its transpose  $m^T: T \multimap S$ . Despite its suggestive type, the transpose is *not* the inverse of m! (In the world of matrices, the transpose of a matrix is not the same as its inverse.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vector space

 

# Semantics of linear maps $(m_1 \circ m_2) \odot x = m_1 \odot (m_2 \odot x)$ $(m_1 \times m_2) \odot x = (m_1 \odot x, m_2 \odot x)$ $(m_1 \bowtie m_2) \odot (x_1, x_2) = (m_1 \odot x_1) + (m_2 \odot x_2)$ $(m_1 \oplus m_2) \odot x = (m_1 \odot x) + (m_2 \odot x)$ $\mathbf{0} \odot x = 0$ $1 \odot x = x$ $S(k) \odot x = k * x$ $V(m) \odot x = build(sz(m), \lambda i.m[i] \odot x)$ $\mathcal{H}(m) \odot x = \Sigma_i (m[i] \odot x[i])$ $\mathcal{L}(f) \odot x = \lambda i.(f i) \odot x$ $\mathcal{L}'(f) \odot q = \Sigma_i(f \ i) \odot q(i)$ Properties of linear maps $0 \circ m =$ $m \circ 0 =$ $1 \circ m = m$ $m \circ 1 = m$ $m \oplus \mathbf{0} = m$ $\mathbf{0} \oplus m = m$ $m \circ (n_1 \bowtie n_2) = (m \circ n_1) \bowtie (m \circ n_2)$ $(m_1 \times m_2) \circ n = (m_1 \circ n) \times (m_2 \circ n)$ $(m_1 \bowtie m_2) \circ (n_1 \times n_2) = (m_1 \circ n_1) \oplus (m_2 \circ n_2)$

Figure 4. Linear maps: semantics and properties

 $S(k_1) \circ S(k_2) = S(k_1 * k_2)$ 

 $S(k_1) \oplus S(k_2) = S(k_1 + k_2)$ 

**Definition 2.1.** Given a linear map  $m : S \multimap T$ , its *transpose*  $m^{\top} : T \multimap S$  is defined by the following property:

$$(TP) \quad \forall s : S, \ t : T. \ (m^{\top} \odot t) \bullet s = t \bullet (m \odot s)$$

This property *uniquely* defines the transpose, as the following theorem shows:

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are linear maps satisfying

$$\forall s \ t. \ (m_1 \odot s) \bullet t = (m_2 \odot s) \bullet t$$

then  $m_1 = m_2$ 

*Proof.* It is a property of dot-product that if  $v_1 \cdot x = v_2 \cdot x$  for every x, then  $v_1 = v_2$ . (Just use a succession of one-hot vectors for x, to pick out successive components of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .) So (for every t):

$$\forall s \ t. \ (m_1 \odot s) \bullet t = (m_2 \odot s) \bullet t$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \forall s. \ m_1 \odot s = m_2 \odot s$ 

and that is the definition of extensional equality. So  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the same linear maps.

# Laws for transposition of linear maps

 $(m_1 \circ m_2)^{\top} = m_2^{\top} \circ m_1^{\top}$  Note reversed order!  $(m_1 \times m_2)^{\top} = m_1^{\top} \bowtie m_2^{\top}$   $(m_1 \bowtie m_2)^{\top} = m_1^{\top} \times m_2^{\top}$   $(m_1 \oplus m_2)^{\top} = m_1^{\top} \oplus m_2^{\top}$   $\mathbf{0}^{\top} = \mathbf{0}$   $\mathbf{1}^{\top} = \mathbf{1}$   $S(k)^{\top} = S(k)$   $(m^{\top})^{\top} = m$   $V(v)^{\top} = \mathcal{H}(map(\cdot)^{\top}v)$   $\mathcal{H}(v)^{\top} = V(map(\cdot)^{\top}v)$   $\mathcal{L}(\lambda i.m)^{\top} = \mathcal{L}'(\lambda i.m^{\top})$  $\mathcal{L}'(\lambda i.m)^{\top} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda i.m^{\top})$ 

#### Laws for reverse-application

$$r \odot_{R} m = m^{\top} \odot r \quad \text{By definition}$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \circ m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) \odot_{R} m_{2}$$

$$(r_{1}, r_{2}) \odot_{R} (m_{1} \times m_{2}) = (r_{1} \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r_{2} \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \bowtie m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}, r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{R} (m_{1} \oplus m_{2}) = (r \odot_{R} m_{1}) + (r \odot_{R} m_{2})$$

$$r \odot_{$$

Figure 5. Laws for transposition

Figure 5 has a collection of laws about transposition. These identies are readily proved using the above definition. For example, to prove that  $(m_1 \circ m_2)^{\top} = m_2^{\top} \circ m_1^{\top}$  we may reason as follows:

$$((m_2^{\top} \circ m_1^{\top}) \odot t) \bullet s$$

$$= (m_2^{\top} \odot (m_1^{\top} \odot t)) \bullet s \quad \text{Semantics of } (\circ)$$

$$= (m_1^{\top} \odot t) \bullet (m_2 \odot s) \quad \text{Use (TP)}$$

$$= t \bullet (m_1 \odot (m_2 \odot s)) \quad \text{Use (TP) again}$$

$$= t \bullet ((m_1 \circ m_1) \odot s) \quad \text{Semantics of } (\circ)$$

And now the property follows by Theorem 2.2.

# 2.4 Reverse linear-map application

Rather than transpose the linear map (which is a rather boring operation), just replacing one operator with another, it's easier to define a reverse-application operator for linear maps:

$$(\bigcirc_R): \delta t \to (s \multimap t) \to \delta s$$

It is defined by the following property:

$$(RP) \quad \forall s : \delta S, \ t : \delta T. \ (t \odot_R m) \bullet s = t \bullet (m \odot s)$$

#### 2.5 Matrix interpretation of linear maps

A linear map  $m: \mathbb{R}^M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is isomorphic to a matrix  $\mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$  with N rows and M columns.

Many of the operators over linear maps then have simple matrix interpetations; for example, composition of linear maps ( $\circ$ ) is matrix multiplication, pairing ( $\times$ ) is vetical juxtaposition, and so on. These matrix interpretations are all given in the final column of Figure 3.

You might like to check that matrix transposition satisfies property (TP).

When it comes to implementation, we do not want to *represent* a linear map by a matrix, becuase a linear map  $\mathbb{R}^M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is an  $N \times M$  matrix, which is enormous if  $N = M = 10^6$ , say. The function might be very simple (perhaps even the identity function) and taking  $10^{12}$  numbers to represent it is plain silly. So our goal will be to *avoid realising linear maps as matrices*.

# 2.6 Optimisation

In optimisation we are usually given a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ , where N can be large, and asked to find values of the input that maximises the output. One way to do this is by gradient descent: start with a point p, make a small change to  $p+\delta_p$ , and so on. From p we want to move in the direction of maximum slope. (How far to move in that direction is another matter — indeed no one knows — but we will concentrate on the direction in which to move.)

Suppose  $\delta(i,N)$  is the one-hot N-vector with 1 in the i'th position and zeros elsewhere. Then  $\delta_p[i] = \partial f(p) \odot \delta(i,N)$  describes how fast the output of f changes for a change in the i'th input. The direction of maximum slope is just the vector

$$\delta_p = (\delta_p[1] \ \delta_p[2] \ \dots \ \delta_p[N])$$

How can we compute this vector? We can simply evaluate  $\partial f(p) \odot \delta(i, N)$  for each i. But that amounts to running f N times, which is bad if N is large (say  $10^6$ ).

Suppose that we somehow had access to  $\partial_R f$ . Then we can use property (TP), setting  $\delta_f = 1$  to get

$$\forall \delta_p.\ \partial f(p)\ \odot\ \delta_p = (\partial_R f(p)\ \odot\ 1) \bullet \delta_p$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta_p[i] &=& \partial f(p) \odot \delta(i,N) \\ &=& (\partial_R f(p) \odot 1) \bullet \delta(i,N) \\ &=& (\partial_R f(p) \odot 1)[i] \end{array}$$

That is  $\delta_p[i]$  is the *i*'th component of  $\partial_R f(p) \odot 1$ , so  $\delta_p = \partial_R f(p) \odot 1$ .

That is,  $\partial_R f(p) \odot 1$  is the N-vector of maximum slope, the direction in which to move if we want to do gradient descent starting at p. And *that* is why the transpose is important.

Original function 
$$f: S \to T$$
  
 $f(x) = e$ 

Full Jacobian  $\partial f: S \to (S \multimap T)$   
 $\partial f(x) = \operatorname{let} \partial x = 1 \text{ in } \nabla_S[\![e]\!]$ 

Forward derivative  $fwd\$f: (S,S) \to T$   
 $fwd\$f(x,dx) = \partial f(x) \odot dx$ 

Reverse derivative  $rev\$f: (S,T) \to S$   
 $rev\$f(x,dr) = dr \odot_R \partial f(x)$ 

Differentiation of an expression

If  $e: T$  then  $\nabla_S[\![e]\!]: S \multimap T$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![k]\!] = 0$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![k]\!] = 0$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![k]\!] = \partial x$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![f(e)\!] = \partial f(e) \circ \nabla_S[\![e]\!]$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![e_1,e_2)\!] = \nabla_S[\![e_1]\!] \times \nabla_S[\![e_2]\!]$ 
 $\nabla_S[\![e_1,e_2]\!] = \operatorname{let} x = e_1 \operatorname{in}$ 

Figure 6. Automatic differentiation

 $\nabla_{S}[build(e_n, \lambda i.e)] = \mathcal{V}(build(e_n, \lambda i.\nabla_{S}[e]))$ 

 $\nabla_S \llbracket \lambda i. e \rrbracket = \mathcal{L}(\lambda i. \nabla_S \llbracket e \rrbracket)$ 

let  $\partial x = \nabla_S \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket$  in

 $\nabla_S \llbracket e_2 
rbracket$ 

#### 2.7 Lambdas and linear maps

Notice the similarity between the type of  $(\times)$  and the type of  $\mathcal{L}$ ; the latter is really just an infinite version of the latter. Their semantics in Figure 4 are equally closely related.

The transpositions of these two linear maps,  $(\bowtie)$  and  $\mathcal{L}'$ , are similarly related. *But*, there is a problem with the semantics of  $\mathcal{L}'$ :

$$\mathcal{L}'(f) \odot g = \Sigma_i(f \ i) \odot g(i)$$

This is an *infinite sum*, so there is something fishy about this as a semantics.

#### 2.8 Questions about linear maps

- Do we need 1? After all S(1) does the same job. But asking if k = 1 is dodgy when k is a float.
- Do these laws fully define linear maps?

Notes

• In practice we allow n-ary versions of  $m \bowtie n$  and  $m \times n$ .

#### 3 AD as a source-to-source transformation

To perform source-to-source AD of a function f, we follow the plan outlined in Figure 6. Specifically, starting with a function definition f(x) = e:

- Construct the full Jacobian  $\partial f$ , and transposed full Jacobian  $\partial_R f$ , using the tranformations in Figure  $6^2$ .
- Optimise these two definitions, using the laws of linear maps in Figure 4.
- Construct the forward derivative *fwd*\$*f* and reverse derivative *rev*\$*f*, as shown in Figure 6<sup>3</sup>.
- Optimise these two definitions, to eliminate all linear maps. Specifically:
  - Rather than calling  $\partial f$  (in, say, fwd\$f), instead inline it.
  - Similarly, for each local let-binding for a linear map, of form let  $\partial x = e$  in b, inline  $\partial x$  at each of its occurrences in b. This may duplicate e; but  $\partial x$  is a function that may be applied (via  $\odot$ ) to many different arguments, and we want to specialise it for each such call. (I think.)
  - Optimise using the rules of  $(\odot)$  in Figure 4.
  - Use standard Common Subexpression Elimination (CSE) to recover any lost sharing.

#### Note that

- The transformation is fully compositional; each function can be AD'd independently. For example, if a user-defined function f calls another user-defined function g, we construct  $\partial g$  as described; and then construct  $\partial f$ . The latter simply calls  $\partial g$ .
- The AD transformation is *partial*; that is, it does not work for every program. In particular, it fails when applied to a lambda, or an application; and, as we will see in Section 4, it requires that *build* appears applied to a lambda.
- We give the full Jacobian for some built-in functions in Figure 6, including for conditionals (∂if).

#### 3.1 Forward and reverse AD

Consider

$$f(x) = p(q(r(x)))$$

Just running the algorithm above on f gives

$$f(x) = p(q(r(x)))$$

$$\partial f(x) = \partial p \circ (\partial q \circ \partial r)$$

$$fwd\$f(x,dx) = (\partial p \circ (\partial q \circ \partial r)) \odot dx$$

$$= \partial p \odot ((\partial q \circ \partial r) \odot dx)$$

$$= \partial p \odot (\partial q \odot (\partial r \odot dx))$$

$$\partial_R f(x) = (\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \circ \partial_R p$$

$$rev\$f(x,dr) = ((\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \circ \partial_R p) \odot dr$$

$$= (\partial_R r \circ \partial_R q) \odot (\partial_R p \odot dr)$$

$$= \partial_R r \odot (\partial_R q \odot (\partial_R p \odot dr))$$

In "The essence of automatic differentiation" Conal says (Section 12)

The AD algorithm derived in Section 4 and generalized in Figure 6 can be thought of as a family of algorithms. For fully right-associated compositions, it becomes forward mode AD; for fully left-associated compositions, reverse-mode AD; and for all other associations, various mixed modes.

But the forward/reverse difference shows up quite differently here: it has nothing to do with *right-vs-left association*, and everything to do with *transposition*.

This is mysterious. Conal is not usually wrong. I would like to understand this better.

#### 4 AD for vectors

Like other built-in functions, each built-in function for vectors has has its full Jacobian versions, defined in Figure 2. You may enjoy checking that  $\partial sum$  and  $\partial ixR$  are correct!

For *build* there are two possible paths, and it's not yet clear which is best

**Direct path.** Figure 6 includes a rule for  $\nabla_S \llbracket build(e_n, \lambda i.e) \rrbracket$ .

But *build* is an exception! It is handled specially by the AD transformation in Figure 6; there is no  $\partial build$ . Moreover the AD transformation only works if the second argument of the build is a lambda, thus  $build(e_n, \lambda i.e)$ . I tried dealing with build and lambdas separately, but failed (see Section ??).

I did think about having a specialised linear map for indexing, rather than using  $\mathcal{H}()$ , but then I needed its transposition, so just using  $\mathcal{H}()$  seemed more economical. On the other hand, with the fucntions as I have them, I need the grotesquely delicate optimisation rule

$$sum(build(n, \lambda i. \text{ if } i == e_i \text{ then } e \text{ else } 0))$$
  
= let  $i = e_i \text{ in } b$   
if  $i \notin e_i$ 

I hate this!

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{{}^2}$  We consider  $\partial f$  and  $\partial_R f$  to be the names of two new functions. These names are derived from, but distinct from f, rather like f' or  $f_1$  in mathematics.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ Again fwd\$f and rev\$f are new names, derived from f

#### 4.1 General folds

We have  $sum :: Vec \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . What is  $\partial sum$ ? One way to define its semantics is by applying it:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial sum & :: & Vec \ \mathbb{R} \to (Vec \ \mathbb{R} \multimap \mathbb{R}) \\ \partial sum(v) \odot \ dv & = & sum(dv) \end{array}$$

That is OK. But what about product, which multiplies all the elements of a vector together? If the vector had three elements we might have

$$\partial product([x_1, x_2, x_3]) \odot [dx_1, dx_2, dx_3]$$
  
=  $(dx_1 * x_2 * x_3) + (dx_2 * x_1 * x_3) + (dx_3 * x_1 * x_2)$ 

This looks very unattractive as the number of elements grows. Do we need to use product?

This gives the clue that taking the derivative of *fold* is not going to be easy, maybe infeasible! Much depends on the particular lambda it appears. So I have left out product, and made no attempt to do general folds.

# 5 Avoiding duplication

#### 5.1 ANF and CSE

We may want to ANF-ise before AD to avoid gratuitous duplication. E.g.

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{S} \llbracket sqrt(x + (y*z)) \rrbracket \\ &= \partial sqrt(x + (y*z)) \circ \nabla_{S} \llbracket x + (y*z) \rrbracket \\ &= \partial sqrt(x + (y*z)) \circ \partial + (x, y*z) \\ &\circ (\nabla_{S} \llbracket x \rrbracket \times \nabla_{S} \llbracket y*z \rrbracket) \\ &= \partial sqrt(x + (y*z)) \circ \partial + (x, y*z) \\ &\circ (\partial x \times (\partial * (y, z) \circ (\partial y \times \partial z))) \end{split}$$

Note the duplication of y\*z in the result. Of course, CSE may recover it.

#### 5.2 Tupling: basic version

A better (and well-established) path is to modify  $\partial f: S \rightarrow (S \multimap T)$  so that it returns a pair:

$$\overline{\partial f}: \forall a.(a \multimap S, S) \to (a \multimap T, T)$$

That is  $\overline{\partial f}$  returns the "normal result" T as well as a linear map.

# 5.3 Polymorphic tupling: forward mode

Everything works much more compositionally if  $\overline{\partial f}$  also *takes* a linear map as its input. The new transform is shown in Figure 8. Note that there is no longer any code duplications, even without ANF or CSE.

In exchange, though, all the types are a bit more complicated. So we regard Figure 6 as canonical, to be used when working thiungs out, and Figure 8 as a (crucial) implementation strategy.

The crucial property are these:

$$(CP)$$
  $\overline{\partial f}(e) \overline{\odot} dx = fwd\$ f(e \overline{\odot} dx)$ 

Crucial because suppose we have

$$f(x) = g(h(x))$$

Then, we can transform as follows, using (CP) twice, on lines marked  $(\dagger)$ :

$$\overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\partial g}(\overline{\partial h}(\overline{x}))$$

$$fwd\$f(x,dx) = \overline{\partial g}(\overline{\partial h}(x,1)) \overline{\odot} dx$$

$$= fwd\$g(\overline{\partial h}(x,1) \overline{\odot} dx) \qquad (\dagger)$$

$$= fwd\$g(fwd\$h(x,1) \overline{\odot} dx)) \qquad (\dagger)$$

$$= fwd\$g(fwd\$h(x,1) \overline{\odot} dx))$$

$$= fwd\$g(fwd\$h(x,1) \overline{\odot} dx))$$

$$= fwd\$g(fwd\$h(x,1) \overline{\odot} dx))$$

Why is (CP) true? It follows from a more general property of  $\overline{\partial f}$ :

$$\forall f: S \to T, \ x: S, \ m_1: A \multimap S, \ m_2: B \multimap A, \ db: \delta B.$$
$$\overline{\partial f}(x, m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ (m_2 \odot db) = \overline{\partial f}(x, m_1 \circ m_2) \ \overline{\odot} \ db$$

$$\forall f: S \to T, \ x: S, \ m_1: S \multimap A, \ \underline{m_2: A} \multimap B, \ dr: \delta T.$$

$$m_2 \odot (\overline{\partial_R f}(x, m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr) = \overline{\partial_R f}(x, m_2 \circ m_1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr$$

Now we can prove our claim as follows

$$fwd\$f(e \ \overline{\odot} \ dx)$$

$$= \{ \text{by defn of } (\ \overline{\odot} \ ) \}$$

$$fwd\$f(\pi_1(e), \ \pi_2(e) \odot \ dx)$$

$$= \{ \text{by defn of } fwd\$f \}$$

$$\overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(e), \ \mathbf{1}) \ \overline{\odot} (\pi_2(e) \odot \ dx)$$

$$= \{ \text{by crucial property} \}$$

$$\overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(e), \ \pi_2(e)) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx$$

$$= \overline{\partial f}(e) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx$$

#### 5.4 Polymorphic tupling: reverse mode

It turns out that things work quite differently for reverse mode. For a start the equivalent of (CP) for reverse-mode would look like this:

$$\overline{\partial_R f}(e) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr = rev \$ f(e \ \overline{\odot} \ dr)$$

But this is not even well-typed!

How did we use (CP)? Supppose f is defined in terms of g and h:

$$f(x) = g(h(x))$$

Then we want fwd\$f to be defined in terms of fwd\$g and fwd\$h. That is, we want a *compositional* method, where we can create the code for fwd\$f without looking at the code for g or h, simpply by calling g and h's derived functions. And that's just what we achieved:

$$fwd\$ f(x, dx) = fwd\$ g(fwd\$ h(x, dx))$$

```
771
                                                                                       Original function
                                                                                                                                      f: S \to T
772
                                                                                                                                      f(x) = e
773
774
                                                                                                                                      \overline{\partial f}: S \to (T, S \multimap T)
                                                                                       Full Jacobian
775
                                                                                                                                      \overline{\partial f}(x) = \text{let } \overline{\partial x} = (x, 1) \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e \rrbracket
776
777
                                                                                       Forward derivative fwd\$f:(S,\delta S)\to (T,\delta T)
778
                                                                                                                                      fwd\$ f(x, dx) = \overline{\partial f}(x) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx
779
                                                                                                                                    rev\$f:(S,\delta T)\to (T,\delta S)
                                                                                       Reverse derivative
780
781
                                                                                                                                      rev\$f(x, dfr) = dr \overline{\odot}_R \overline{\partial f}(x)
782
               Differentiation of an expression
783
                                                                                                            If e : T then \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e \rrbracket : (S \multimap T, T)
784
                                                                                                         \overline{\nabla}_S[\![k]\!] = (k, \mathbf{0})
785
786
                                                                                                         \overline{\nabla}_{S}[x] = \overline{\partial x}
787
                                                                                              \overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket(e_1, e_2)\rrbracket = \overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \overline{\times} \overline{\nabla}_{S}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket
788
                                                                                                   \overline{\nabla}_{S} \llbracket f(e) \rrbracket = \text{let } a = \overline{\nabla}_{S} \llbracket e \rrbracket \text{ in}
789
                                                                                                                                    let r = \overline{\partial f}(\pi_1(a)) in
790
                                                                                                                                    (\pi_1(r), \ \pi_2(r) \circ \pi_2(a))
791
                                                                               \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket \text{ let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket = \text{ let } \overline{\partial x} = \nabla_S \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_S \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket
792
793
                                                                                \overline{\nabla}_S[build(e_n, \lambda i.e)] = \text{let } p = \Phi(build(e_n, \lambda i. \overline{\nabla}_S[e])) \text{ in}
794
                                                                                                                                     (\pi_1(p), \mathcal{V}(\pi_2(p)))
795
796
               Modified linear-map operations
797
                                                                                           (\overline{\odot}) : (r, s \multimap t) \to \delta s \to \delta t
798
799
                                                                              (v, m) \overline{\odot} ds = m \odot ds
800
                                                                                        (\overline{\odot}_R) : \delta t \rightarrow (r, s \multimap t) \rightarrow \delta s
801
802
                                                                                 dr \overline{\odot}_R vm = dr \overline{\odot} vm
803
                                                                                           (\overline{\times}) : ((t_1, s \multimap t_1), (t_2, s \multimap t_2)) \to ((t_1, t_2), s \multimap (t_1, t_2))
804
                                                                  (t_1, m_1) \overline{\times} (t_2, m_2) = ((t_1, t_2), m_1 \times m_2)
805
806
                                                                                           (\ \overline{\bowtie}\ ) : ((t_1, t_1 \multimap s), \ (t_2, t_2 \multimap s)) \to ((t_1, t_2), \ (t_1, t_2) \multimap s)
807
                                                                  (t_1, m_1) \bowtie (t_2, m_2) = ((t_1, t_2), m_1 \bowtie m_2)
808
                                                                                                 \Phi: Vec(a, b) \rightarrow (Vec a, Vec b)
810
811
                                                                                                \cdot^{\overline{\top}} : (r, s \multimap t) \to (r, t \multimap s)
812
               Derivatives of built-in functions
813
                                                                                                              \overline{\partial +} :: (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})
814
                                                                                                   \overline{\partial +}(x,y) = (1 \bowtie 1, x + y)
815
816
                                                                                                              \overline{\partial *} :: (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to ((\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \multimap \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})
817
                                                                                                    \overline{\partial *}(x,y) = (S(y) \bowtie S(x), x * y)
818
819
820
```

Figure 7. Automatic differentiation: tupling

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Original function} & f:S \to T \\ & f(x) = e \end{aligned} \\ & \textbf{Full Jacobian} & \overline{\partial f}: \forall a. (S, a \multimap S) \to (T, a \multimap T) \\ & \overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket \end{aligned} \\ & \textbf{Transposed Jacobian} & \overline{\partial_R f}: \forall a. (S, S \multimap a) \to (T, T \multimap a) \\ & \overline{\partial_R f}(\overline{x}) = (\overline{\partial f}(\overline{x}))^{\overline{\top}} \end{aligned} \\ & \textbf{Forward derivative} & fwd\$f: (S, \delta S) \to (T, \delta T) \\ & fwd\$f(x, dx) = \overline{\partial f}(x, 1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dx \end{aligned}$   $& \textbf{Reverse derivative} & rev\$f: (S, \delta T) \to (T, \delta S)$ 

#### Differentiation of an expression

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } e: T \text{ then } \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket : (T, a \multimap T) \\ & \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket k \rrbracket &= (k, \mathbf{0}) \\ & \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket x \rrbracket &= \overline{x} \\ & \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket f(e) \rrbracket &= \overline{\partial f} (\overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e \rrbracket) \\ & \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket (e_1, e_2) \rrbracket &= \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \ \overline{\times} \ \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \\ & \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket \text{ let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket &= \text{ let } \overline{x} = \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \text{ in } \overline{\nabla}_a \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \end{split}$$

 $rev\$ f(x, dr) = \overline{\partial_R f}(x, 1) \ \overline{\odot} \ dr$ 

# Modified linear-map operations

$$(\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline (\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline (\begin{tabular} \hline (\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline ($$

#### **Derivatives of built-in functions**

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\partial +} & :: & \forall a.((\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),a\multimap(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{R},a\multimap\mathbb{R}) \\ \overline{\partial +}((x,y),m) & = & (x+y,\,(1\bowtie 1)\circ m) \\ \\ \overline{\partial *} & :: & \forall a.((\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),a\multimap(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{R},a\multimap\mathbb{R}) \\ \overline{\partial *}((x,y),m) & = & (x*y,\,(S(y)\bowtie S(x))\circ m) \end{array}$$

Figure 8. Automatic differentiation: polymorphic tuples

But for reverse mode, this plan is much less straightforward. Look at the types:

How can we define rev\$f by calling rev\$g and rev\$h? It would have to look something like this

We can't call rev\$g before rev\$h, nor the other way around. That's why there is a letrec! Even leaving aside how we generate this code, We'd need lazy evaluation to execute it.

The obvious alternative is to change fwd\$f's interface. Currently we have

$$rev\$f:(R,\delta T)\to (T,\delta R)$$

Instead, we can take that *R* value, but return a function  $\delta T \rightarrow \delta R$ , thus:

$$rev\$f: R \to (T, \delta T \to \delta R)$$

But that commits to returning a *function*, with its fixed, built-in representation. Instead, let's return linear map:

$$rev\$f: R \to (T, \delta T \multimap \delta R)$$

Now we can re-interpret the retuned linear map as some kind of record (trace) of all the things that f did. And if we insist on our compositional account we really must *manifest* that data structure, and later apply it to a value of type  $\delta T$  to get a value of type  $\delta R$ . We could represent those linear maps as:

• A matrix

- A function closure that, when called, applies the linear map to an argument
- A syntax tree whose nodes are the constructors of the linear map type. When applying the linear map, we interpret taht syntax tree.

Finally, notice that this final version of fwd\$f is exactly  $\overline{\partial_R f}$ , just specialised with an input linear map of 1. So we may as well just use  $\overline{\partial_R f}$ , which *already* compositionally calls  $\overline{\partial_R g}$  and  $\overline{\partial_R h}$ .

TL;DR: for reverse mode, we must simply compile  $\overline{\partial_R f}$ . Notice that we can get quite a bit of optimisation by inlining  $\overline{\partial_R g}$  into  $\overline{\partial_R f}$ , and so on. The more inlining the better. If we inline everything we'll elminate all intermediate linear maps.

# 6 Compiling through categories

#### 6.1 Splitting for reverse mode

Suppose f is defined in terms of g and h:

$$f(x) = g(h(x))$$

Here are the types:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} f & : & R \rightarrow T \\ g & : & S \rightarrow T \\ h & : & R \rightarrow S \\ rev\$f & : & (R, \delta T) \rightarrow (T, \delta R) \\ rev\$g & : & (S, \delta T) \rightarrow (T, \delta S) \\ rev\$h & : & (R, \delta S) \rightarrow (S, \delta R) \end{array}$ 

```
Atoms
f, g, h ::=
                Function
     k
                Literal constants
Terms
  pgm ::= def_1 \dots def_n
   def \quad ::= \quad f{:}S \Rightarrow T = c
      c ::= I
                                       Identity
           \mathcal{K}(k)
                                       Constant
                \mathcal{P}[i_1,\ldots,i_m/n] Pruning(0 \le m \le n)
                \mathcal{F}(f)
                                       Function constant
                c_1; c_2
                                       Composition
                (c_1,\ldots,c_n)
                                       Tuple
                I\mathcal{F}(c_1,c_2,c_3)
                                       Conditional
                \mathcal{L}(x, c_r, c_b)
                                       Let
                \mathcal{B}(c_s, i, c_e)
                                       Build
```

**Figure 9.** Syntax of *CL* 

How can we define rev\$f by calling rev\$g and rev\$h? It would have to look something like this

$$rev\$f(r, dt) = letrec (t, ds) = rev\$g(s, dt)$$
  
 $(s, dr) = rev\$h(r, ds)$   
in  $(t, dr)$ 

We can't call *rev\$g* before *rev\$h*, nor the other way around. That's why there is a letrec! Even leaving aside how we generate this code, We'd need lazy evaluation to execute it.

The key idea for splitting is this. Given  $f:S\to T$ , produce two functions

$$revf \$ f : S \to (T, X)$$
  
 $revr \$ f : (X, \delta T) \to \delta S$ 

where the type X depends on the details of f's definition. The idea is that X records all the stuff that f computed when running forward that is necessary for it to run backward. Now we can write

$$rev\$f(s,dt) = letrec (t,xf) = revf\$f(s)$$

$$ds = revf\$f(xf,dt)$$

$$in (t,ds)$$

$$revf\$f(r) = letrec (s,xh) = revf\$h(r)$$

$$(t,xg) = revf\$g(r)$$

$$in (t,(xh,xg))$$

$$revr\$f((xh,xg),dt) = revr\$h(dh,revr\$g(dg,gt))$$

#### 7 Implementation

The implementation differs from this document as follows:

```
1101
              Semantics (aka conversion from CL): |e \diamond c| = e
1102
                                                 t \diamond I = t
1103
                      t \diamond \mathcal{P}[i_1, \ldots, i_m/n] = (\pi_{i_1,n}(t), \ldots, \pi_{i_m,n}(t))
1104
1105
                                          t \diamond \mathcal{K}(k) = k
1106
                                          t \diamond \mathcal{F}(f) = f(t)
1107
                                      t \diamond (c_1; c_2) = (t \diamond c_1) \diamond c_2
1108
                               t \diamond (c_1, \ldots, c_n) = (t \diamond c_1, \ldots, t \diamond c_n)
1109
                           t \diamond I\mathcal{F}(c_1, c_2, c_3) = \text{if } (t \diamond c_1) (t \diamond c_2) (t \diamond c_3)
1110
1111
                               t \diamond \mathcal{L}(x, c_r, c_h) = \text{let } x = t \diamond c_r \text{ in } (t > x) \diamond c_h
1112
                                t \diamond \mathcal{B}(c_s, i, c_e) = \text{build } (t \diamond c_r) (\lambda i. (t > i) \diamond c_e)
1113
              Conversion to CL
1114
1115
                  \Gamma ::= (x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n)
1116
                   \phi((x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n),\,x_i) = i
1117
                            T(x_1:\tau_1,\ldots,x_n:\tau_n) = (\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n)
1118
1119
                   C \llbracket f(x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n) = e \rrbracket
1120
                       = \mathcal{F}(f) = C \llbracket e \rrbracket (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n)
1121
1122
                                        If \Gamma \vdash e : \tau then C \llbracket e \rrbracket \Gamma : T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \tau
1123
1124
                                                   C[\![k]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{K}(k)
1125
                                                   C[\![x]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{F}(\pi(\Gamma, x))
                                             C[\![f(e)]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{F}(f); C[\![e]\!]\Gamma
1127
                                  C if e_1 e_2 e_3 \Gamma
1129
                                              = I\mathcal{F}(C \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \Gamma, C \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \Gamma, C \llbracket e_3 \rrbracket \Gamma)
1130
                                C[[e_1,\ldots,e_n]]\Gamma = (C[[e_1]]\Gamma,\ldots,C[[e_n]]\Gamma)
1131
                   C[\![\Gamma]] let x:\tau = e_r in e_b[\![\Gamma]] \Gamma = \mathcal{L}(x, C[\![\Gamma]]) \Gamma, C[\![\Gamma]] e_b[\![\Gamma]] \Gamma, x:\tau)
1132
                        C[\![ \text{build } e_s(\lambda i.e_e) ]\!] \Gamma = \mathcal{B}(C[\![ e_s]\!] \Gamma, i, C[\![ e_e]\!] (\Gamma, i))
1133
                Pruning
1134
1135
                  C[\![e]\!]\Gamma = \mathcal{P}[\phi(\Gamma, \upsilon_1), \ldots, \phi(\Gamma, \upsilon_m)/sz(\Gamma)](C[\![e]\!]\Gamma')
1136
                        where \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} = fv(e)
1137
                                                           \Gamma' = (\upsilon_1 : \Gamma(\upsilon_1), \ldots, \upsilon_n : \Gamma(\upsilon_n))
1138
```

**Figure 10.** Semantics of *CL* 

- Rather than pairs, the implementation supports n-ary tuples. Similarl the linear maps ( $\times$ ) and  $\bowtie$  are n-ary.
- Functions definitions can take *n* arguments, thus

$$f(x,y,z) = e$$

This is treated as equivalent to

$$f(t) = let x = \pi_{1,3}(t)$$
  
 $y = \pi_{2,3}(t)$   
 $z = \pi_{3,3}(t)$   
in e

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash c : S \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash I : S \Rightarrow S}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash P[i_1, \dots, i_m/n] : (s_1, \dots, s_n) \Rightarrow (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_m})}$$

$$\frac{f : S \rightarrow T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{F}(f) : S \Rightarrow T} \qquad \boxed{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{K}(k) : () \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash c_1 : S \Rightarrow R \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_2 : R \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash c_1 : S \Rightarrow T_1 \qquad \dots \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_n : S \Rightarrow T_n}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash (c_1, \dots, c_n) : S \Rightarrow (T_1, \dots, T_n)}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash c_1 : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_2 : S \Rightarrow T \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_3 : S \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash T\mathcal{F}(c_1, c_2, c_3) : S \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_r : S \Rightarrow R \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_b : (S \geqslant R) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{L}(x, c_r, c_b) : S \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_s : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_e : (S \geqslant \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_s : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_e : (S \geqslant \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_s : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_e : (S \geqslant \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_s : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_e : (S \geqslant \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash C_s : S \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad \Gamma \vdash c_e : (S \geqslant \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow T}$$

**Figure 11.** Type system for *CL* 

#### 8 Fold

#### 9 Demo

You can run the prototype by saying ghci Main.

The function demo ... Def -> IO () runs the n

The function demo :: Def -> IO () runs the prototype on the function provided as example. Thus:

bash\$ ghci Main

\*Main> demo ex2

```
Original definition
```

```
fun f2(x)
= let { y = x * x }
  let { z = x + y }
  y * z
```

Anf-ised original definition

```
fun f2(x)
    = let { y = x * x }
    let { z = x + y }
    y * z
```

The full Jacobian (unoptimised)

fun Df2(x)

= let { Dx = lmOne() }

```
Typing rules for fold
1212
                                                                                                                                                        1267
1213
                                                                               t : (a,b)
                                                                                                                                                        1268
1214
                                                                                                                                                        1269
                                                                                     a
1215
                                                                                                                                                        1270
                                                                             acc
                                                                                   : a
                                                                                                                                                        1271
1216
                                                                               v
                                                                                   : Vec b
1217
                                                                                                                                                        1272
                                                              fold (\lambda t.e) acc v
                                                                                  : a
1218
                                                                                                                                                        1273
1219
        Typing rules for lmFold
                                                                                                                                                        1274
1220
                                                                                                                                                        1275
                                                                              t : (a,b)
                                                                                                                                                        1276
1222
                                                                                                                                                        1277
                                                                                                                                                        1278
                                                                                : (s,(a,b)) \multimap a
1224
                                                                                                                                                        1279
                                                                           acc
                                                                                : a
1225
                                                                                                                                                        1280
                                                                             v : Vec b
1226
                                                                                                                                                        1281
1227
                                                 ImFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') acc v : (s,(a, \text{Vec }b)) \rightarrow a
                                                                                                                                                        1282
1228
                                                                                                                                                        1283
        Typing rules for FFold and RFold
1229
                                                                                                                                                        1284
1230
                                                                                    t : (a,b)
1231
                                                                                                                                                        1286
                                                                                      : ((a,b),\delta a)
1232
                                                                                                                                                        1287
                                                                                      : ((a,b),(\delta a,\delta b))
                                                                                  t_{dt}
                                                                                                                                                        1288
1233
                                                                                       : a
1234
                                                                                       : (\delta s, (\delta a, \delta b))
                                                                                  e_{dr}
1235
                                                                                                                                                        1290
                                                                                       : δa
                                                                                                                                                        1291
                                                                                  e_{dt}
                                                                                                                                                        1292
1237
                                                                                  acc
                                                                                       :
                                                                                                                                                        1293
                                                                                       : Vec b
1239
                                                                                                                                                        1294
                                                                                   dr:
                                                                                           \delta a
1240
                                                                                                                                                        1295
                                                                                           \delta a
                                                                                 d_{acc}:
1241
                                                                                                                                                        1296
1242
                                                                                   d_v: Vec \delta b
                                                                                                                                                        1297
1243
                                                                                                                                                        1298
                                            FFold (\lambda t.e) acc v (\lambda t_{dt}.e_{dt}) d_{acc} d_v :
                                                                                                                                                        1299
1244
                                                  RFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t_{dr}.e_{dr}) acc v dr : (\delta s, (\delta a, \text{Vec } \delta b))
1245
                                                                                                                                                        1300
1246
                                                                                                                                                        1301
                                                              Figure 12. Rules for fold
1247
                                                                                                                                                        1302
1248
                                                                                                                                                        1303
1249
            let { y = x * x }
                                                                                  = lmApply(let { y = x * x })
                                                                                                                                                        1305
1250
            let { Dy = lmCompose(D*(x, x), lmVCat(Dx, Dx)) }
                                                                                               lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
1251
            let { z = x + y }
                                                                                                          (x + y) * (x + x)),
            let { Dz = ImCompose(D+(x, y), ImVCat(Dx, Dy)) }
1252
                                                                                               dx)
                                                                                                                                                        1307
            lmCompose(D*(y, z), lmVCat(Dy, Dz))
1253
1254
                                                                                                                                                        1309
                                                                                Forward-mode derivative (optimised)
1255
                                                                                                                                                        1310
       The full Jacobian (optimised)
                                                                               _____
1256
                                                                                                                                                        1311
                                                                                fun f2'(x, dx)
1257
                                                                                                                                                        1312
       fun Df2(x)
                                                                                  = let \{ y = x * x \}
1258
                                                                                                                                                        1313
         = let \{ y = x * x \}
                                                                                     ((x + y) * (x + x) + (x + y) * (x + x)) * dx
1259
                                                                                                                                                        1314
            lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) + (x + y) * (x + x))
1260
                                                                                                                                                        1315
                                                                                                                                                        1316
1261
                                                                               Forward-mode derivative (CSE'd)
1262
       Forward derivative (unoptimised)
                                                                                                                                                        1317
                                                                                fun f2'(x, dx)
1263
                                                                                                                                                        1318
       fun f2'(x, dx)
                                                                                  = let { t1 = x + x * x }
1264
                                                                                                                                                        1319
1265
                                                                                                                                                        1320
                                                                           12
```

```
Differentiation of fold
                                                                              If e: T then \nabla_s \llbracket e \rrbracket : s \multimap T
                                                  \nabla_s \llbracket \text{fold } (\lambda t.e) \ acc \ v \rrbracket = \text{ImFold } (\lambda t.e) \ (\lambda t.e') \ acc \ v \circ p
                                                                      where p: s \rightarrow (s, (a, \text{Vec } b))
                                                                                 p = \mathbf{1}_{s} \times (\nabla_{s} \llbracket acc \rrbracket \times \nabla_{s} \llbracket v \rrbracket)
                                                                                e' = \text{let } \nabla x = \nabla x \circ (\mathbf{1}_s \bowtie \mathbf{0}_s^{(a,b)})
                                                                                              ... for each x occurring free in \lambda t.e
                                                                                             let \nabla t = \mathbf{0}^s_{(a,b)} \bowtie \mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}
                                                                                              in \nabla_{(s,(a,b))}[e]
 Applying an lmFold
                                        lmFold (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') acc \ v \odot dx = FFold (<math>\lambda t.e) acc \ v \ (\lambda t_{dt}.e_{dt}) \ d_{acc} \ d_v
                                                                            where e_{dt} = \text{let } t = \pi_1(t_{dt})
                                                                                                      let dt = \pi_2(t_{dt})
                                                                                                      in e' \odot (ds, dt)
                                                                                         ds = \pi_1(dx)
                                                                                      d_{acc} = \pi_1(\pi_2(dx))
                                                                                        d_v = \pi_2(\pi_2(dx))
                                      dx \odot_R \text{ ImFold } (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t.e') \ acc \ v = \text{RFold } (\lambda t.e) (\lambda t_{dr}.e_{dr}) \ acc \ v \ dx
                                                                            where e_{dr} = \text{let } t = \pi_1(t_{dr})
                                                                                                      let dr = \pi_2(t_{dr})
                                                                                                      in dr \odot_R e'
                                                                              Figure 13. Rules for fold
def FFold dA ((f : F) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B)
                           (f_-:F_-) (dacc : dA) (dv : Vec n dB))
```

```
1352
1353
       = FFold_recursive(0, f, acc, v f_, dacc, dv)
1354
1355
     def FFold_recursive dA ((i : Integer) (f : F) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B)
1356
                                               (f_-: F_-) (dacc : dA) (dv : Vec n dB))
1357
       = if i == n
1358
          then dacc
1359
          else let fwd_f = f_((acc, v[i]), (dacc, dv[i]))
1360
               in FFold_recursive(i + 1, f, f(acc, v[i]), v, f_, fwd_f, dv)
1361
1362
                                         Figure 14. Forward mode derivative for fold
1364
         let { t2 = x + x }
1365
         (t1 * t2 + t1 * t2) * dx
                                                                ______
1366
                                                                Optimised transposed Jacobian
1367
1368
     Transposed Jacobian
                                                                fun Rf2(x)
1369
                                                                  = let \{ y = x * x \}
1370
                                                                    lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
1371
       = lmTranspose( let { y = x * x })
                                                                             (x + y) * (x + x))
1372
                      lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
1373
                              (x + y) * (x + x) ) )
1374
1375
```

```
def RFold (S, (dA, Vec n dB)) ((f : F) (f_- : F_-) (acc : A) (v : Vec n B) (dr : dA))
1431
                                                                                                                                      1486
        = let (ds, dv, da) = RFold_recursive(f, f_, 0, v, acc, dr)
1432
                                                                                                                                      1487
           in (s, (da, dv))
1433
                                                                                                                                      1488
1434
                                                                                                                                      1489
      def RFold_recursive (S, Vec n dB, dA) ((f : F) (f : F_) (i : Integer) (v : Vec n B)
1435
                                                      (acc : A) (dr : dA))
1436
                                                                                                                                      1491
        = if i == n
1437
                                                                                                                                      1492
           then (0, 0, dr)
1438
                                                                                                                                      1493
           else let (r_ds, r_dv, r_dacc) = RFold_recursive(f, f_, i + 1, v, f(acc, v[i]), dr)
1439
                      (f_ds, (f_dacc, f_db)) = f_((acc, v[i]), r_dacc)
1440
                                                                                                                                      1495
1441
                 in (r_ds + f_ds, r_dv + deltaVec(i, f_db), f_dacc)
                                                                                                                                      1496
1442
                                                                                                                                      1497
                                              Figure 15. Reverse mode derivative for fold
1443
                                                                                                                                      1498
1444
                                                                                                                                      1499
1445
                                                                                                                                      1500
      Reverse-mode derivative (unoptimised)
1446
                                                                                                                                      1501
      -----
1447
                                                                                                                                      1502
      fun f2'(x, dr)
1448
                                                                                                                                      1503
        = lmApply(let { y = x * x })
                   lmScale((x + y) * (x + x) +
1449
                                                                                                                                      1504
                             (x + y) * (x + x) ),
1450
                   dr)
1451
                                                                                                                                      1506
1452
                                                                                                                                      1508
1453
      Reverse-mode derivative (optimised)
1454
1455
                                                                                                                                      1510
      fun f2'(x, dr)
1456
                                                                                                                                      1511
        = let \{ y = x * x \}
1457
                                                                                                                                      1512
          ((x + y) * (x + x) +
1458
                                                                                                                                      1513
           (x + y) * (x + x)) * dr
1459
                                                                                                                                      1514
1460
                                                                                                                                      1515
      Reverse-mode derivative (CSE'd)
1461
                                                                                                                                      1516
1462
                                                                                                                                      1517
1463
                                                                                                                                      1518
      fun f2'(x, dr)
1464
                                                                                                                                      1519
        = let { t1 = x + x * x }
1465
                                                                                                                                      1520
          let { t2 = x + x }
1466
                                                                                                                                      1521
          (t1 * t2 + t1 * t2) * dr
1467
                                                                                                                                      1522
1468
                                                                                                                                      1523
1469
                                                                                                                                      1525
1470
1471
                                                                                                                                      1526
1472
                                                                                                                                      1527
1473
1474
                                                                                                                                      1529
1475
                                                                                                                                      1530
1476
                                                                                                                                      1531
1477
                                                                                                                                      1532
1478
                                                                                                                                      1533
1479
                                                                                                                                      1534
1480
                                                                                                                                      1535
1481
                                                                                                                                      1536
1482
                                                                                                                                      1537
1483
                                                                                                                                      1538
1484
                                                                                                                                      1539
1485
                                                                                                                                      1540
                                                                   14
```