Hints and Partial Solutions for Functional Analysis, 2nd edition by Walter Rudin

Mitch Haslehurst

 $May\ 21,\ 2022$

Part I General Theory

Topological Vector Spaces

1. (a) The z we seek is y - x (check that it works). If z' also satisfies the required property, then

$$z' = z' + 0 = z' + (x - x) = (z' + x) - x = y - x$$

so z is unique.

- (b) 0x = (0+0)x = 0x + 0x, and adding -(0x) to both sides gives 0x = 0. Similarly, $\alpha 0 = \alpha(0+0) = \alpha 0 + \alpha 0$.
- (c) If $a \in A$, then $2a = (1+1)a = a + a \in A + A$. For an example where $2A \neq A + A$, take $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A = \{0, 1\}$.
- (d) Suppose A is convex, and $x \in sA + tA$. Then $x = sa_1 + ta_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Consider

$$\frac{1}{s+t}x = \frac{s}{s+t}a_1 + \frac{t}{s+t}a_2.$$

The right side of the above equation is in A since A is convex, and so $1/(s+t)x \in A$. Thus $x = (s+t)/(s+t)x \in (s+t)A$. The inclusion $(s+t)A \subseteq sA + tA$ is clear. If conversely (s+t)A = sA + tA, suppose $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Then if 0 < r < 1, $ra_1 + (1-r)a_2 \in rA + (1-r)A = (r+(1-r))A = A$.

- (e) Suppose $\{B_{\lambda}\}$ is a collection of balanced sets, $|\alpha| \leq 1$, and $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}$. Then $x \in B_{\lambda_0}$ for some λ_0 , and thus $\alpha x \in B_{\lambda_0}$ because B_{λ_0} is balanced. It follows that $\alpha x \in \bigcup_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}$. If $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}$, then $x \in B_{\lambda}$ for all λ . Hence $\alpha x \in B_{\lambda}$ for every λ because each is balanced, and thus $\alpha x \in \bigcap_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}$.
- (f) Suppose $\{C_{\lambda}\}$ is a collection of convex sets, 0 < t < 1, and that $x, y \in \bigcap_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$. Then $x, y \in C_{\lambda}$ for each λ so $tx + (1 t)y \in C_{\lambda}$ for each λ because each is convex. Thus $tx + (1 t)y \in \bigcap_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$.
- (g) Suppose $\{C_{\lambda}\}$ is a totally ordered (by inclusion) collection of convex sets, 0 < t < 1, and that $x, y \in \bigcup_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$. Then $x \in C_{\lambda_1}$ and $y \in C_{\lambda_2}$ for some λ_1 and λ_2 . Since $\{C_{\lambda}\}$ is totally ordered, either $C_{\lambda_1} \subseteq C_{\lambda_2}$ or $C_{\lambda_1} \subseteq C_{\lambda_1}$. Suppose without loss of generality that $C_{\lambda_1} \subseteq C_{\lambda_2}$. Then $x, y \in C_{\lambda_2}$ and thus $tx + (1 t)y \in C_{\lambda_2}$ because C_{λ_2} is convex. Thus $tx + (1 t)y \in \bigcup_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$.

(h) Suppose $x, y \in A + B$ and 0 < t < 1. We have x = a + b and y = a' + b' for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Now

$$tx + (1-t)y = t(a+b) + (1-t)(a'+b') = (ta + (1-t)a') + (tb + (1-t)b')$$

and we have $ta + (1 - t)a' \in A$ and $tb + (1 - t)b' \in B$ by convexity. So $tx + (1 - t)y = (ta + (1 - t)a') + (tb + (1 - t)b') \in A + B$.

- (i) Suppose $x \in A + B$ and $|\alpha| \le 1$. x = a + b for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $\alpha x = \alpha(a + b) = \alpha a + \alpha b$ and we have $\alpha a \in A$ and $\alpha b \in B$ since A and B are balanced. So $\alpha x = \alpha a + \alpha b \in A + B$.
- (i) Tedious, but easy.
- 2. It is straightforward to show that the given set is convex. Let $\{C_{\lambda}\}$ be all convex sets that contain A. Because the given (convex) set contains A, we have the inclusion

$$\bigcap_{\lambda} C_{\lambda} \subseteq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i x_i : x_i \in A, t_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = 1 \right\}.$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, we use the following proposition.

Proposition. C is convex if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i x_i \in C$ whenever $x_i \in C$, $t_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = 1$.

Proof. By taking n=2, the sufficient condition is simply the definition of convexity. Conversely, if C is convex, the claim is true for n=2, so suppose it is true for n=k-1. Then

$$t_1x_1+\cdots+t_kx_k$$

$$=(t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1})\overbrace{\left(\frac{t_1}{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1}}x_1+\cdots+\frac{t_{k-1}}{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1}}x_{k-1}\right)}^{(1)}+t_kx_k$$

and the expression (1) is in C by the induction hypothesis. Then the whole sum is in C by the truth of the n=2 case.

Now suppose $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in A$, $t_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$. Then $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in C_{\lambda}$ for all λ since $A \subseteq C_{\lambda}$ for all λ . Then $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \in C_{\lambda}$ for all λ by the proposition, and thus $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \in \bigcap_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$.

3. (a) Let U_1 and U_2 be open. Then $U_1 + U_2$ is open, since $U_1 + U_2 = \bigcup_{x \in U_1} (x + U_2)$. By induction, $U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_n$ is open for any n. If $t \neq 0$, then tU is also open. The convex hull of an arbitrary open set U is

$$\bigcup \{t_1U + t_2U + \dots + t_nU : t_i \ge 0, \sum t_i = 1\},\$$

which is open.

- (b) Let V be a convex neighbourhood of 0. By assumption, there exists an s>0 such that $E\subseteq tV$ when t>s. But tV is a convex set containing E, so $\operatorname{conv}(E)\subseteq tV$ if t>s. If U is an arbitrary neighbourhood of 0, not necessarily convex, then choose $V\subseteq U$ to be convex, and upon choosing a suitable s>0 corresponding to V, we have $\operatorname{conv}(E)\subseteq tV\subseteq tU$ when t>s.
- (c) Let U be a neighbourhood of 0. Choose a neighbourhood V of 0 such that $V + V \subseteq U$. Then there exist $s_1 > 0$ and $s_2 > 0$ such that $A \subseteq tV$ when $t > s_1$ and $B \subseteq tV$ when $t > s_2$. Let $s = \max\{s_1, s_2\}$. Then $A + B \subseteq tV + tV \subseteq tU$ when t > s.
- (d) A + B is the image of the compact set $A \times B$ under addition, which is continuous.
- (e) Suppose $x \notin A + B$, or, equivalently, that $A \cap (x B) = \emptyset$. By Theorem 1.10, there is a symmetric neighbourhood V of 0 such that

$$(A+V)\cap ((x-B)+V)=\varnothing.$$

If $(x+V) \cap (A+B)$ were not empty, then there would exist v in V, a in A and b in B such that x+v=a+b, or that x-b+0=a-v. This contradicts the fact that $(A+V) \cap ((x-B)+V) = \emptyset$, so x+V is a neighbourhood of x that does not intersect A+B. It follows that the complement of A+B is open, and hence that A+B is closed.

- (f) The classical example is to take the two closed sets \mathbb{Z} and $\gamma \mathbb{Z} = \{\gamma n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in \mathbb{R} , where γ is an irrational number ($\mathbb{Z} + \gamma \mathbb{Z}$ is proper and dense, therefore not closed). I'll try to come up with another example that's more original.
- 4. If $0 < |\alpha| \le 1$, we have $|\alpha z_1| \le |\alpha z_2|$ if and only if $|\alpha||z_1| \le |\alpha||z_2|$ if and only if $|z_1| \le |z_2|$. If $\alpha = 0$, the result is clear. To see that the interior is not balanced, we show that 0 is not in the interior. Indeed, if U is a open ball around 0 of radius ε , then the point $(\varepsilon/2, 0)$ is in U, but $(\varepsilon/2, 0) \notin B$. Thus, by taking $\alpha = 0$, we have $\alpha B^{\circ} = \{0\} \not\subseteq B^{\circ}$.

5.

- 6. Suppose E is not bounded. Then there is a neighbourhood V of 0 such that for every s > 0, there exists t > s such that $E \setminus tV \neq \emptyset$. By successively choosing $s = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, find n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots with $n_k > k$ for each k and $E \setminus n_k V \neq \emptyset$. So we can choose $x_k \in E \setminus n_k V$ for each k. Then $\{x_k\}$ is a countable unbounded subset of E. Indeed, take V as before, and if s > 0 is arbitrary, choose k so that $n_k > s$, and then $x_k \in E \setminus n_k V$.
- 7. We first show that a sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise if and only if it converges in the topology given by the seminorms. Suppose that $f_n(x) \to 0$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Let $\bigcap_{i=1}^k V(p_{x_i}, n_i)$ be a neighbourhood of 0. Choose N large enough so that $p_{x_i}(f_N) = |f_N(x_i)| < 1/n_i$ for all i from 1 to k. This shows that f_n is eventually in every neighbourhood of 0. On the other hand, fix x and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose n so that $1/n < \varepsilon$. Then $V(p_x, n)$ is a neighbourhood of 0, and so there exists N so that $f_M \in V(p_x, n)$ if M > N or that $|f_M(x)| < 1/n < \varepsilon$.

Take a bijection between [0,1] and the set of all sequences converging to 0, so that with each $x \in [0,1]$ there is associated a single sequence $\{z_n^x\}$ with $z_n^x \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For a postive integer k, define $f_k(x) = z_k^x$. Then $f_k(x) \to 0$ for all $x \in [0,1]$, but if $\gamma_n \to \infty$, then $1/\gamma_n \to 0$ and so this sequence is paired with a point from [0,1], say x'. Then $\gamma_k f_k(x') = \gamma_k (1/\gamma_k) = 1 \not\to 0$.

8.

9. Define $f(\pi(x)) = \Lambda x$. This is well defined: if $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$, then $x - y \in N$, so $\Lambda(x - y) = 0$ and $\Lambda x = \Lambda y$, which means $f(\pi(x)) = f(\pi(y))$. We have

$$f(\pi(x) + t\pi(y)) = f(\pi(x + ty)) = \Lambda(x + ty) = \Lambda x + t\Lambda y = f(\pi(x)) + tf(\pi(y))$$

so f is linear. If f is continuous, then $\Lambda = f \circ \pi$ is continuous, being the composition of continuous maps. Suppose Λ is continuous and U is open in Y. Then $f^{-1}(U) = \pi(\Lambda^{-1}(U))$, which is open since $\Lambda^{-1}(U)$ is open and π is an open map.

Completeness

1. Let $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a countable collection of finite-dimensional subspaces of X such that $X = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} M_n$. Each M_n is closed by Theorem 1.21, so it suffices to show that each M_n has empty interior. Take any $n_0 \geq 1$, any basic neighbourhood U of 0 and any vector x not in M_{n_0} . Then $rx \to 0$ as $r \to 0$ and thus $r_0x \in U$ for some r_0 . But $r_0x \notin M_{n_0}$, so $U \not\subseteq M_{n_0}$.

Since F-spaces are of second category in themselves, they cannot be written as a countable union of finite-dimensional subspaces by the previous paragraph and hence cannot have a countable Hamel basis.

2. Let $\{r_n\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$. Fix $k \geq 1$, and consider the open sets

$$U_{n,k} = \left(r_n - \frac{1}{k2^{n+1}}, r_n + \frac{1}{k2^{n+1}}\right) \cap [0, 1].$$

Then $A_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n,k}$ has Lebesgue measure at most 1/k and so $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Each A_k is open and dense, so the complement of $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ is of the first category.

3.

4. (a) If f is in L^1 and $\int |f|^2 > n$, then the set of all g in L^1 such that $||f - g||_1 < \frac{1}{?}$ also satisfy $\int |g|^2 > n$, for

$$\int |g|^2 \ge \int |f|^2 - \int |f - g| > n - (small) > n$$

(b) If f is in L^2 , by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\left| \int f g_n \right| \le \int |f| |g_n| \le \|f\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 = \frac{\|f\|_2}{n} \to 0.$$

However, if

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^{2/3}} & x > 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases},$$

then f is in L^1 and

$$\int fg_n = 3$$

for all n.

(c) We have $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|_2$ so the inclusion map is continuous, but the function f from part (b) is in L^1 but not L^2 . Now use the Open Mapping Theorem.

5.

6.

7.

8. Any infinite subset of K has 0 as a limit point. Indeed, such a subset must contain x_n for arbitrarily large n, and such x_n are arbitrarily close to 0. Thus K is compact.

We compute

$$\Lambda_m x_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & m < n \\ n & m \ge n \end{array} \right.$$

so that $\Lambda_m x_n \leq n$ for all m, but $\Lambda_m x_m = m$, which is unbounded over all m.

Convexity

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. If $f = \chi_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}$, then for any g such that $||f - g||_{\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{x \to \frac{1}{2}} g(x)$ cannot exist, and so C is not dense in the norm topology of $L^{\infty}([0,1])$.

Fix f in $L^{\infty}([0,1])$, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n in $L^1([0,1])$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. We want to find a continuous function g such that

$$\left| \int (f-g)h_i \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all i; this will show that C is dense in the weak* topology. Note that $\int |f| < \infty$ since [0,1] is a finite measure space. Thus f is, in fact, in $L^1([0,1])$, so we may choose a continuous function g such that $||f-g||_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$, where $M = \max ||h_i||_1$. Then

$$\left| \int (f-g)h_i \right| \le ||h_i||_1 ||f-g||_1 < \varepsilon.$$

C is closed in the norm topology of $L^{\infty}([0,1])$ (it is complete in the supremum norm), but not in the weak* topology (if it were closed and dense, it would be all of $L^{\infty}([0,1])$).

8.

9.

11.

12. Let $x_n = (1, 1, ..., 1, 0, 0, ...)$ (there are n 1's). Then $\{x_n\}$ has no cluster point, for suppose $x = (x_k)$ is one (and suppose $x_n \to x$ weakly by dropping to a subsequence if necessary). Then if we regard y = (1, 0, 0, ...) as an element of ℓ^1 ,

$$x_1 = y(x) = \lim_n y(x_n) = 1.$$

Now if y = (1, 1, 0, 0, ...),

$$x_1 + x_2 = y(x) = \lim_{n} y(x_n) = 2$$

so that $x_2 = 1$. Continuing, we obtain that $x_k = 1$ for all k, but x = (1, 1, 1, ...) is not in c_0 .

Duality in Banach Spaces

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24. (a)

(b)

(c) If $y_n=x_{n-1}-x_\infty=0$ for all $n\geq 2$ and $y_1=x_\infty=0$, then it must be that $x_n=0$ for all $n\geq 1$. If (y_n) is in c_0 , then

25.

26.

Some Applications

Part II Distributions and Fourier Transforms

Test Functions and Distributions

Fourier Transforms

Applications to Differential Equations

Tauberian Theory

Part III Banach Algebras and Spectral Theory

Banach Algebras

Commutative Banach Algebras

Bounded Operators on a Hilbert Space

1.

2.

3.
$$||x_n - y_n||^2 = \langle x_n - y_n, x_n - y_n \rangle = ||x_n||^2 + ||y_n||^2 - 2\Re\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \le 2 - 2\Re\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \to 0$$
 and $||x_n - x||^2 = \langle x_n - x, x_n - x \rangle = ||x_n||^2 + ||x||^2 - 2\Re\langle x_n, x \rangle \to 2||x||^2 - 2\langle x, x \rangle = 0$.

4. It is easy to check the properties of an inner product. Definiteness is because if $[x^*, x^*] = 0$, then $\psi x^* = 0$, whence $x^*(y) = \langle y, \psi x^* \rangle = \langle y, 0 \rangle = 0$ for all y, so $x^* = 0$.

Unbounded Operators