## Differentially Private Multi-Party Data Release for Linear Regression (Supplementary Material)

Ruihan Wu\*1 Xin Yang2 Yuanshun Yao2 Jiankai Sun2 Tianyi Liu2 Kilian Q. Weinberger1 Chong Wang2

<sup>1</sup>Cornell University, USA
<sup>2</sup>ByteDance Inc., USA
\*Work done as an intern at ByteDance Inc.

## A PROOFS OF USEFUL LEMMAS

**Lemma 1** (Gaussian mechanism). For any deterministic real-valued function  $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  with sensitivity  $S_f$ , we can define a randomized function by adding Gaussian noise to f:

$$f^{dp}(D) := f(D) + \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, S_f^2 \sigma^2 \cdot I\right),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, S_f^2\sigma^2 \cdot I\right)$  is a multivariate normal distribution with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and co-variance matrix  $S_f^2\sigma^2$  multiplying a  $m \times m$  identity matrix I. When  $\sigma \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\log(1/(1.25\delta))}}{\varepsilon}$ ,  $f^{dp}$  is  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -differentially private.

**Lemma 2** (JL Lemma for inner-product preserving (Bernoulli)). Suppose S be an arbitrary set of l points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and suppose s is an upper bound for the maximum L2-norm for vectors in S. Let B be a  $k \times d$  random matrix, where  $B_{ij}$  are independent random variables, which take value 1 and value -1 with probability 1/2. With the probability at least  $1 - (l+1)^2 \exp\left(-k\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4} - \frac{\beta^3}{6}\right)\right)$ ,

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{v}}{s^{2}} - 4\beta \le \frac{\left(B\mathbf{u}/\sqrt{k}\right)^{\top} \left(B\mathbf{v}/\sqrt{k}\right)}{s^{2}} \le \frac{\mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{v}}{s^{2}} + 4\beta.$$

**Lemma 3.** *1.*  $\forall x \in [0, 1], -\log(1 - x) - x \ge \frac{x^2}{2}$ .

- 2.  $\forall x \in [0, 1], x \log(1 + x) \ge \frac{x^2}{4}$ .
- 3.  $\forall x > 1, x \log(1+x) \ge \frac{x}{2}$ .

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Define} \ f_1(x) := -\log{(1-x)} - x - \frac{x^2}{2}. \ f_1'(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x} \geq 0. \ \text{Thus} \ f_1(x) \ \text{increases on} \ [0,1] \ \text{and} \ f_1(x) \geq f_1(0) = 0.$ 

Define  $f_2(x) := x - \log(1+x) - \frac{x^2}{4}$ .  $f_2'(x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{2(1+x)}$ .  $f_2(x)$  increases on [0,1] and  $f_2(x) \ge f_2(0) = 0$ .

Define 
$$f_3(x) := x - \log(1+x) - \frac{x}{4}$$
.  $f_3'(x) = \frac{3x-1}{4(1+x)} > 0$ .  $f_3(x)$  increases on  $[0,1]$  and  $f_3(x) \ge f(1) > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** Denote  $\hat{H}_n = \frac{1}{n}X^\top X$ ,  $\hat{C}_n = \frac{1}{n}X^\top Y$ ,  $H = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim\mathcal{P}}\left[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top\right]$  and  $C = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim\mathcal{P}}\left[\mathbf{x}\cdot y\right]$ . Assume  $\|\hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{H}_n\| \leq \beta$ ,  $\|\hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{C}_n\| \leq \beta$  with prob  $1 - f(\beta)$ . We have that when  $\beta \leq \frac{2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\| + 5}{8}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{X,\mathbf{y}\sim\mathcal{D},R_1,R_2}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{\mathsf{pub}}-\mathbf{w}^*\|\leq\beta\right]\geq 1-h(\beta),$$

$$\textit{where } \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathsf{pub}}_n = \left(\hat{H}^{\mathsf{pub}}_n\right)^{-1} \hat{C}^{\mathsf{pub}}_n, \ c := \|C\| \|H^{-1}\|^2 + 2\|H^{-1}\| \ \textit{and} \ h(\beta) = f(\beta/2c) + d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8c^2d^2}\right) + d \exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8c^2d}\right).$$

*Proof.* Hoeffding inequality and union bound together imply that with prob.  $1-d^2\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{2d^2}\right)-d\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{2d}\right)$ ,

$$\|\hat{H}_n - H\| \le \beta, \|\hat{C}_n - C\| \le \beta.$$

Thus with prob  $1-g(\delta)$ ,  $\|\hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}}-H\| \leq \beta$ ,  $\|\hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}}-C\| \leq \beta$ , where  $g(\beta)=f(\beta/2)+d^2\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8d^2}\right)+d\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8d}\right)$ . We further have

- $\|\hat{C}_n^{\text{pub}}\| \le \|C \hat{C}_n\| + \|C\| \le \|C\| + \beta$
- $\bullet \ \, \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathrm{pub}} \right)^{-1} H^{-1} \| \leq \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathrm{pub}} \right)^{-1} \| \| H^{-1} \| \cdot \| \hat{H}_n^{\mathrm{pub}} H \| \leq \left( \| H^{-1} \| + \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathrm{pub}} \right)^{-1} H^{-1} \| \right) \cdot \| H^{-1} \| \cdot \beta, \text{ which implies that when } \beta \leq \frac{1}{2\|H^{-1}\|}, \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathrm{pub}} \right)^{-1} H^{-1} \| \leq \frac{\|H^{-1}\|^2 \cdot \beta}{1 \|H^{-1}\| \cdot \beta} \leq \frac{\|H^{-1}\|^2 \cdot \beta}{2}.$
- When  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2\|H^{-1}\|}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} \right)^{-1} \hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - H^{-1} C \| & \leq \| \hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} \| \cdot \| \left( \hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} \right)^{-1} - H^{-1} \| + \| H^{-1} \| \cdot \| \hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - C \| \\ & \leq (\| C \| + \beta) \cdot \frac{\| H^{-1} \|^2 \cdot \beta}{2} + \| H^{-1} \| \cdot \beta \\ & \leq \frac{\left( 2 \| C \| \| H^{-1} \|^2 + 5 \| H^{-1} \| \right)}{4} \beta. \end{split}$$

Let  $b := \frac{\left(2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\|^2 + 5\|H^{-1}\|\right)}{4}$  and replace  $\beta$  by  $b^{-1}\beta$ , we have that when  $\beta \leq \frac{2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\| + 5}{8}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{X,\mathbf{v}\sim\mathcal{D},R_1,R_2}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n-\mathbf{w}^*\|\leq\beta\right]\geq 1-h(\beta),$$

where 
$$h(\beta) = g(\beta/b) = f(\beta/2b) + d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8b^2d^2}\right) + d \exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{8b^2d}\right)$$
.

**Lemma 5.** If r is a random variable sampled from standard normal distribution, we have following concentration bound:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|r| < \beta\right] \ge 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2}\right)$$

*Proof.* It's shown in page 2 in Pollard [2015].

**Lemma 6.** If  $r_1, r_2$  are two independent random variables sampled from standard normal distribution,  $r_1r_2$  can be written as  $\frac{c_1-c_2}{2}$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  are independent two random variables sampled from chi-squared with degree 1. Moreover,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{1,n}r_{2,n}$  can be written as  $\frac{c_{1,1:n}-c_{2,1:n}}{2}$ , where  $c_{1,1:n}, c_{2,1:n}$  are independent two random variables sampled from chi-squared with degree n.

Proof.  $r_1r_2=\frac{\left(\frac{r_1+r_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2-\left(\frac{r_1-r_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2}{2}$ . Because  $r_1,r_2$  are two independent standard normal random variables,  $\frac{r_1+r_2}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{r_1-r_2}{\sqrt{2}}$  are two independent standard normal random variables as well.  $c_1:=\frac{r_1+r_2}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $c_2:=\frac{r_1-r_2}{\sqrt{2}}$  complete the proof for the first part.

$$\textstyle \sum_{i=1}^n r_{1,n} r_{2,n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (c_{1,i} - c_{2,i}) = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n c_{1,i} - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{2,i}). \ c_{1,1:n} := \sum_{i=1}^n c_{1,i} \ \text{and} \ c_{2,1:n} := \sum_{i=1}^n c_{2,i} \ \text{finish the proof.} \\ \square$$

## **B PROOFS IN SECTION 4**

We restate the assumptions and theorems for the completeness.

**Assumption 1.**  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are i.i.d sampled from an underlying distribution  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ .

**Assumption 2.** The absolute values of all attributes are bounded by 1.

**Assumption 3.**  $\mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim\mathcal{P}}\left[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\right]$  is positive definite.

**Theorem 1.** When  $\beta \leq c$  for some variable c that depends on  $\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ , d and  $\mathcal{P}$ , but independent of n,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{\mathsf{dgm}} - \mathbf{w}^*\| > \beta\right] < 1 - \exp\left(-O\left(\beta^2 \frac{n}{\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^4 d^4}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Denote  $(\max_{j \in [m]} d_j)$  by  $d_{\max}$ . Denote  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is a random matrix s.t.  $R_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, 4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2\right)$ . We split R into  $R_X$  and  $R_Y$  representing the addictive noise to X and Y.

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{\mathsf{dgm}} = \left(\frac{1}{n}(X+R_X)^\top (X+R_X) + (\lambda - 4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2)I\right)^{-1} \frac{(X+R_X)^\top (Y+R_Y)}{n}.$$

1. For any  $i \in [d]$ ,  $\frac{1}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2} \left[R_X^{\top}R_X\right]_{i,i}$  is sampled from chi-square distribution with degree n. From the cdf of chi-square distribution, we have following concentration:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left[\frac{1}{n}R_X^\top R_X\right]_{i,i} - 4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2\right| < \beta\right] \geq 1 - \exp\left(-n\cdot\left(\frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ - \exp\left(-n\cdot\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right) - \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Moreover, for  $i \neq j$ , Lemma 6 implies that  $\frac{1}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\left[R_X^{\top}R_X\right]_{i,j}$  can be written as  $\frac{c_{1,1:n}-c_{2,1:n}}{2}$ , where  $c_{1,1:n},c_{2,1:n}$  are independent two random variables sampled from chi-squared with degree n. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left[\frac{1}{n}R_{X}^{\top}R_{X}\right]_{i,j}\right| < \beta\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{c_{1,1:n} - c_{2,1:n}}{2n}\right| < \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right]$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}\left[\left|c_{1,1:n} - n\right| < \frac{n\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}, \left|c_{1,1:n} - n\right| < \frac{n\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - 2\mathbb{P}\left[\left|c_{1,1:n} - n\right| \geq \frac{n\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - 2\exp\left(-n \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}} - \log\left(1 + \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$- 2\exp\left(-n \cdot \left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right) - \frac{\beta}{4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{2}}\right)\right)$$

Union bound implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{n}R_X^{\top}R_X - 4d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2 \cdot I\right\| \leq \beta_1\right] \geq 1 - d^2 \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_1}{4dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{\beta_1}{4dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)\right)\right) - d^2 \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{4dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right) - \frac{\beta_1}{4dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$2. \ \ \mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{X^{\top}R_X}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_2\right] \geq 1 - \frac{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}d^3d_{\max}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}\beta_2}\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta_2^2}{8d^2d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), \text{implied by Lemma 5}.$$

3. 
$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{X^{\top}R_Y}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_3\right] \geq 1 - \frac{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}d^{3/2}d_{\max}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}\beta_3}\exp\left(-\frac{n\beta_3^2}{8dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), \text{ implied by Lemma 5}.$$

$$4. \ \ \mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{R_X^\top Y}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_4\right] \geq 1 - \tfrac{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}d^{3/2}d_{\max}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}\beta_4} \exp\left(-\tfrac{n\beta_4^2}{8dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), \text{implied by Lemma 5}.$$

5. Similar to 1,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{R_X^{\top} R_Y}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_5\right] \geq 1 - 2d \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_5}{4d^{1/2} d_{\max} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{\beta_5}{4d^{1/2} d_{\max} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^2}\right)\right)\right) - 2d \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{\beta_5}{4d^{1/2} d_{\max} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^2}\right) - \frac{\beta_5}{4d^{1/2} d_{\max} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^2}\right)\right)$$

One can simplify  $-\log{(1-x)} - x$  and  $x - \log{(1+x)}$  by Lemma 3. Set  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\beta, \ \beta_2 = \frac{1}{4}\beta, \ \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \frac{1}{4}\beta, \ \beta_5 = \frac{1}{2}\beta.$  The above concentrations together imply that when  $\beta < 8dd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2, \ \|\hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{H}_n\| \leq \beta, \ \|\hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{C}_n\| \leq \beta$  with prob at least  $1 - f(\beta)$ , where  $f(\beta) = \exp{\left(-\min{\left\{O\left(n \cdot \frac{\beta^2}{d^2d_{\max}^2\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^4}\right)} + \tilde{O}(1)\right\}\right)}.$ 

With the application of Lemma 4: when  $\beta \leq \frac{2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\|+5}{8}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{X,\mathbf{y}\sim\mathcal{D},R_1,R_2}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n-\mathbf{w}^*\|\leq\beta\right]\geq 1-h(\beta),$$

where  $h(\beta)$  is:

 $1. \text{ when } \beta < 16bdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2, h(\beta) = \exp\left(-O\left(n \cdot \frac{\beta^2}{d^2d_{\max}^2\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^4}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right);$ 

$$\text{2. when } \beta \geq 16bdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2, h(\beta) = \exp\left(-O\left(n \cdot \frac{\beta^2}{d^2d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right);$$

where  $b=\frac{\left(2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\|^2+5\|H^{-1}\|\right)}{4}$  is a distribution dependent constant. In the other word, when  $\beta\leq\min\left\{16bdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2,\frac{2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\|+5}{8}\right\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{X,\mathbf{y}\sim\mathcal{D},R_1,R_2}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n-\mathbf{w}^*\|\leq\beta\right]\geq 1-\exp\left(-O\left(n\cdot\frac{\beta^2}{d^2d_{\max}^2\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^4}\right)+\tilde{O}(1)\right),$$

 $\begin{array}{llll} \textbf{Theorem 2.} & \textit{When } \beta \leq c \textit{ for some variable } c \textit{ that depends on } d \textit{ and } \mathcal{P}, \textit{ but independent of } n \textit{ and } \sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}, \\ \mathbb{P}\left[\|\mathbf{w}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} - \mathbf{w}^*\| > \beta\right] &<& \exp\left(-O\left(\min\left\{\frac{k\beta^2}{d^2}, \frac{n\beta}{kd^2\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}, \frac{n^{1/2}\beta}{d^{3/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}}\right\}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right). \textit{ If we take } k &=& O\left(\frac{(nd)^{1/2}}{d_{\max}^{1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}}\right), \\ \mathbb{P}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} - \mathbf{w}^*\| > \beta\right] &<& \exp\left(-\frac{n^{1/2}\beta}{d^{3/2}d_{\max}^{1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}} \cdot O\left(\min\left\{1,\beta\right\}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right). \end{array}$ 

Proof of Theorem 2.

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}^{\top} \boldsymbol{B}}{k} \boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}^{\top}}{\sqrt{k}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\sqrt{k}} \boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}^{\top} \boldsymbol{B}}{k} \boldsymbol{Y} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\sqrt{k}} \boldsymbol{Y} + \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}^{\top}}{\sqrt{k}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\right)\right)$$

Define  $M := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}B$ . Then we can make the analysis one by one.

1. JL-lemma applied by Bernoulli random variables implies that with probability  $1-(d+2)^2\exp\left(-k\left(\frac{\beta_1^2}{64d}-\frac{\beta_1^3}{96d\sqrt{d}}\right)\right)$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} M^{\top} M Y - \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} Y \right\| \le \beta_1.$$

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Lemma 2 implies that with prob } 1-(d+2)^2 \exp\left(k\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4}-\frac{\beta^3}{6}\right)\right), \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{Y\}, \text{ for any } u,v \in \{X_i^\top | i \in [d]\} \cup \{X_$ 

$$\frac{(Mu)^{\top}Mv}{n} - 4\beta \leq \frac{u^{\top}v}{n} \leq \frac{(Mu)^{\top}Mv}{n} + 4\beta.$$

This further implies that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}X^{\top}M^{\top}MY - \frac{1}{n}X^{\top}Y\right\| \leq 4\sqrt{d}\beta.$$

 $\beta_1 = 4\sqrt{d}\beta$  helps finish the proof.

2. JL-lemma applied by Bernoulli random variables implies that with probability  $1-(d+2)^2\exp\left(k\left(-\frac{\beta_2^2}{64d^2}-\frac{\beta_2^3}{96d^3}\right)\right)$ .

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} M^{\top} M X - \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} X \right\| \le \beta_2.$$

3. With prob.  $1 - 2kd\sqrt{\frac{2kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta_3}}\exp\left(-n\frac{\beta_3}{8kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), \left\|\frac{R_X^\top R_X}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_3.$ 

*Proof.* To simplify the proof, let's assume  $R_X$  is a standard gaussian matrix. Because  $\mathbb{P}(|(R_X)_{ij}|) \leq \beta) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta} \exp\left(-\beta^2/2\right)$  shown in Lemma 5,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|R_X^{\top} R_X\| \le kd\beta\right) \ge \mathbb{P}\left(\|R_X\| \le \sqrt{kd\beta}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{2kd}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta}} \exp\left(-\beta/2\right).$$

It's equivalent that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{R_X^\top R_X}{n}\right\|_2 \leq \beta_3\right) \geq 1 - 2kd\sqrt{\frac{kd}{2\pi n\beta_3}}\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2kd}\beta_3\right).$$

Plug-in the variance of  $R_X$  leads to the targeted inequality.

4. With prob.  $1 - 2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta_4\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/4} + 2kd\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta_4}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\sqrt{dd_{\max}}}\right)$   $\left\|\frac{R_X^\top BY}{m\sqrt{k}}\right\| \le \beta_4, \left\|\frac{X^\top B^\top RY}{m\sqrt{k}}\right\| \le \beta_4$ 

*Proof.* Denote  $\mathbf{c} := \frac{R_X^\top BY}{n\sqrt{k}}$  and further  $\mathbf{c}_i := \frac{\left((R_X)_i\right)^\top \mathbf{b}}{\sqrt{k}}$ , where  $(R_x)_i$  is the ith column for  $R_X$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \frac{BY}{n}$ .

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathbf{c}_{i}| \leq \beta\right] = \int_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathbf{c}_{i}| \leq \beta | \mathbf{b}\right] \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{b}] d\mathbf{b} \\ & \geq \max_{\alpha > 0} \int_{|\mathbf{b}| \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}} \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathbf{c}_{i}| \leq \beta | \mathbf{b}\right] \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{b}] d\mathbf{b} \\ & \geq \max_{\alpha > 0} \int_{|\mathbf{b}| \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}} \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathbf{c}_{i}| \leq \beta | | \mathbf{b}| = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}\right] \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{b}] d\mathbf{b} \\ & \geq \max_{\alpha > 0} \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathbf{c}_{i}| \leq \beta | \mathbf{b}| = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}\right] \mathbb{P}[|\mathbf{b}| \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}] \\ & \geq \max_{\alpha > 0} \left(1 - \frac{4\alpha \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta} \sqrt{d_{\max}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^{2}}{8\alpha^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{2} d_{\max}}\right) - 2k \exp\left(-n \cdot \frac{4\alpha^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{2} d_{\max}}{2}\right)\right) \\ & \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta} \beta \sqrt{d_{\max}} n}} + 2k\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{4\sqrt{d_{\max}} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}}\right) \quad //\alpha^{2} = \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{n d_{\max}} \sigma_{\varepsilon, \delta}}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\|\mathbf{c}\| \leq \beta\right] \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}\left[\|\mathbf{c}_i\| > \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{d}}\right] \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta\sqrt{d_{\max}n}}} d^{5/4} + 2kd\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\sqrt{dd_{\max}}}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\frac{X^{\top}B^{\top}R_{Y}}{n\sqrt{k}}\right\| \leq \beta\right] \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/4} + 2kd\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\sqrt{dd_{\max}}}\right).$$

Union bound gives the conclusion.

5. With prob. 
$$1 - 2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta_5\sqrt{d_{\max}n}}}d^{5/2} + 2kd^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta_5}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}dd_{\max}^{1/2}}\right)$$

$$\left\|\frac{R_X^\top BX}{n\sqrt{k}}\right\| \leq \beta_5,$$

which is implied similar to 4.

6. With prob. 
$$1 - 2k(d+1)\sqrt{\frac{2kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta_6}}\exp\left(-n\frac{\beta_6}{8kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), \left\|\frac{R_X^\top R_Y}{n}\right\| \leq \beta_6$$

*Proof.* To simplify the proof, let's assume  $R_X$  and  $R_Y$  is a standard gaussian matrix first. Because  $\mathbb{P}\left(|(R_X)_{ij}|\right) \leq \beta\right) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta}\exp\left(-\beta^2/2\right)$  shown in Lemma 5,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|R_X^{\top}R_Y\| \le k\sqrt{d}\beta\right) \ge \mathbb{P}\left(\|R_X\| \le \sqrt{kd\beta}, \|R_Y\| \le \sqrt{k\beta}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{2k(d+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta}} \exp\left(-\beta/2\right).$$

It's equivalent that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{R_X^\top R_Y}{n}\right\| \le \beta_6\right) \ge 1 - 2k(d+1)\sqrt{\frac{k\sqrt{d}}{2\pi n\beta_6}}\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2k\sqrt{d}}\beta_6\right).$$

Plug-in the variance of  $R_X$  and  $R_Y$  leads to the targeted inequality.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Define} & \hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} & := & \frac{1}{n} \left( X^\top \frac{A^\top A}{k} X + X^\top \frac{A^\top}{\sqrt{k}} R_X + R_X^\top \frac{A}{\sqrt{k}} X + \frac{1}{n} R_X^\top R_X \right), & \hat{H}_n & := & \frac{X^\top X}{n}, & \hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} & = & \frac{1}{n} \left( X^\top \frac{A^\top A}{k} Y + R_X^\top \frac{A}{\sqrt{k}} Y + X \frac{A}{\sqrt{k}} R_Y + R_X^\top R_Y \right), \\ \hat{C}_n & = & \frac{1}{n} X^\top Y. \end{array}$ 

The above analysis implies that, with prob.

$$1 - (d+2)^2 \exp\left(-k\left(\frac{\beta_1^2}{64d} - \frac{\beta_1^3}{96d\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) - (d+2)^2 \exp\left(-k\left(\frac{\beta_2^2}{64d^2} - \frac{\beta_2^3}{96d^3}\right)\right)$$

$$-2kd\sqrt{\frac{2kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta_3}} \exp\left(-n\frac{\beta_3}{8kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta_4\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/4} + 2kd\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta_4}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\sqrt{dd_{\max}}}\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta_5\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/2} + 2kd^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta_5}{4\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}dd_{\max}^{1/2}}\right) - 2k(d+1)\sqrt{\frac{2kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta_6}} \exp\left(-n\frac{\beta_6}{8kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)$$

we have

$$\|\hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} - \hat{H}_n\| \leq \beta_2 + \beta_3 + 2\beta_5, \|\hat{B}_n^{\mathsf{rmgm}} - \hat{B}_n\| \leq \beta_1 + 2\beta_4 + \beta_6.$$

Let  $\beta_2 = \frac{2\beta}{3}$ ,  $\beta_3 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\beta_5 = \frac{\beta}{12}$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta_4 = \beta_6 = \frac{\beta}{4}$ . We will have  $\|\hat{H}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{H}_n\| \leq \beta$ ,  $\|\hat{C}_n^{\mathsf{pub}} - \hat{C}_n\| \leq \beta$ , with prob.  $1 - f(\beta)$ ,  $\forall \beta \leq 4\sqrt{d}$  (implies  $\beta_1 \leq \sqrt{d}$  and  $\beta_2 \leq d$ ), where

$$f(\beta) = (d+2)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{k\beta^2}{768d}\right) + (d+2)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{k\beta^2}{432d^2}\right)$$
 
$$+2kd\sqrt{\frac{12kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta}} \exp\left(-n\frac{\beta}{48kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/4} + 2kd\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{16\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\sqrt{dd_{\max}}}\right)$$
 
$$+ \left(\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}\beta\sqrt{nd_{\max}}}}d^{5/2} + 2kd^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{48\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}dd_{\max}^{1/2}}\right) + 2k(d+1)\sqrt{\frac{8kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}{\pi n\beta}} \exp\left(-n\frac{\beta}{48kd^{1/2}d_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right)$$

Lemma 4 implies that for  $\beta \leq \min\{8b\sqrt{d}, \frac{2\|C\|\|H^{-1}\|+5}{8}\},$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n - \mathbf{w}^*\| \le \beta\right] \ge 1 - h(\beta),$$

where  $h(\beta)$  is:

$$h(\beta) = \exp\left(-\min\left\{O\left(\frac{k\beta^2}{d^2}\right), O\left(n\frac{\beta}{kdd_{\max}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2}\right), O\left(n^{1/2}\frac{\beta}{dd_{\max}^{1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}}\right)\right\} + \tilde{O}(1)\right),$$

where  $\tilde{O}(1)$  includes  $\log$  terms of  $n,d,d_{\max},k,\beta.$  If we take  $k=O\left(\frac{(nd)^{1/2}}{d_{\max}^{1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}}\right)$ ,

$$h(\beta) = \exp\left(-\frac{n^{1/2}\beta}{d^{3/2}d_{\max}^{1/2}\sigma_{\varepsilon,\delta}} \cdot O\left(\min\left\{1,\beta\right\}\right) + \tilde{O}(1)\right).$$

## **REFERENCES**

David Pollard. A few good inequalities, November 2015.