Package 'r2redux'

November 28, 2023

Title R2 Statistic

Version 1.0.17	
Description R2 statistic for significance test. Variance and covariance of R2 values used to assess the 95% CI and p-value of the R2 difference.	
License GPL (>=3)	
<pre>URL https://github.com/mommy003/r2redux</pre>	
Encoding UTF-8	
Roxygen list(markdown = TRUE)	
RoxygenNote 7.1.2	
NeedsCompilation no	
Depends R (>= 2.10)	
LazyData true	
Suggests testthat (>= 3.0.0)	
Config/testthat/edition 3	
R topics documented:	
cc_trf	2
dat1	3
dat2	3
olkin12_1	4
olkin12_13	4
olkin12_34	5
olkin1_2	6
olkin_beta1_2	6
olkin_beta_inf	7
olkin_beta_ratio	9
r2_beta_var	10
r2_diff	11
r2_enrich_beta	17
r2_var	19 21
r_diff	4 I
Index	25

cc_trf

cc_trf cc_trf function

Description

This function transforms the predictive ability (R2) and its standard error (se) between the observed scale and liability scale

Usage

```
cc_trf(R2, se, K, P)
```

Arguments

R2	R2 or coefficient of determination on the observed or liability scale
se	Standard error of R2
K	Population prevalence
P	The ratio of cases in the study samples

Value

This function will transform the R2 and its s.e between observed scale and liability scale.Output from the command is the lists of outcomes.

R21	Transformed R2 on the liability scale
sel	Transformed se on the liability scale
R20	Transformed R2 on the observed scale
se0	Transformed se on the observed scale

References

Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E., Wray, N. R., and Visscher, P. M. A better coefficient of determination for genetic profile analysis. Genetic epidemiology, (2012). 36(3): p. 214-224.

```
#To get the transformed R2
output=cc_trf(0.06, 0.002, 0.05, 0.05)
output

#output$R21 (transformed R2 on the liability scale)
#0.2679337

#output$sel (transformed se on the liability scale)
#0.008931123

#output$R20 (transformed R2 on the observed scale)
#0.01343616

#output$se0 (transformed se on the observed scale)
#0.000447872
```

dat1 3

dat1

Phenotypes and 10 sets of PGSs

Description

A dataset containing phenotypes and multiple PGSs estimated from 10 sets of SNPs according to GWAS p-value thresholds

Usage

dat1

Format

A data frame with 1000 rows and 11 variables:

- V1 Phenotype, value
- V2 PGS1, for p value threshold <=1
- **V3** PGS2, for p value threshold <=0.5
- V4 PGS3, for p value threshold <=0.4
- V5 PGS4, for p value threshold <=0.3
- **V6** PGS5, for p value threshold <=0.2
- V7 PGS6, for p value threshold <=0.1
- **V8** PGS7, for p value threshold <=0.05
- **V9** PGS8, for p value threshold <=0.01
- V10 PGS9, for p value threshold <=0.001
- V11 PGS10, for p value threshold <=0.0001

dat2

Phenotypes and 2 sets of PGSs

Description

A dataset containing phenotypes and 2 sets of PGSs estimated from 2 sets of SNPs from regulatroy and non-regulatory genomic regions

Usage

dat2

Format

A data frame with 1000 rows and 3 variables:

- V1 Phenotype
- V2 PGS1, regulatory region
- V3 PGS2, non-regulatory region

4 olkin12_13

olkin12_1

olkin12_1 function

Description

olkin12_1 function

Usage

```
olkin12_1(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will be used as source code

olkin12_13

olkin12_13 function

Description

olkin12_13 function

Usage

```
olkin12_13(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will be used as source code

olkin12_3 5

olkin12_3

olkin12_3 function

Description

olkin12_3 function

Usage

```
olkin12_3(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will be used as source code

olkin12_34

olkin12_34 function

Description

olkin12_34 function

Usage

```
olkin12_34(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will be used as source code

6 olkin_beta1_2

olkin1_2

olkin1_2 function

Description

```
olkin1_2 function
```

Usage

```
olkin1_2(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will be used as source code

Description

This function derives Information matrix for beta 1^2 and beta 2^2 where beta 1 and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta 1 + x2 * beta 2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised, (i.e. in the context of correlation coefficients, see Olkin and Finn 1995).

Usage

```
olkin_beta1_2(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

olkin_beta_inf 7

Value

This function will give information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1^2 and beta2^2. To get information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1^2 and beta2^2. Where beta1 and beta2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model. The outputs are listed as follows.

info	2x2 information (variance-covariance	2x2 information (variance-covariance) matrix	
var1	Variance of beta1_2		
var2	Variance of beta2_2		
var1	2 Variance of difference between beta1	2 and beta2	2

References

Olkin, I. and Finn, J.D. Correlations redux. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. 118(1): p. 155.

Examples

```
#To get information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1_2 and beta2_2 where
#betal and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model.
dat=dat1
omat=cor(dat)[1:3,1:3]
#1.0000000 0.1958636 0.1970060
#0.1958636 1.0000000 0.9981003
#0.1970060 0.9981003 1.0000000
nv=length(dat$V1)
output=olkin_beta1_2(omat,nv)
output
#output$info (2x2 information (variance-covariance) matrix)
#0.04146276 0.08158261
#0.08158261 0.16111124
#output$var1 (variance of beta1_2)
#0.04146276
#output$var2 (variance of beta2_2)
#0.1611112
#output$var1_2 (variance of difference between beta1_2 and beta2_2)
#0.03940878
```

```
{\tt olkin\_beta\_inf} \qquad \textit{olkin\_beta\_inf function}
```

Description

This function derives Information matrix for beta1 and beta2 where beta1 and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised (see Olkin and Finn 1995).

8 olkin_beta_inf

Usage

```
olkin_beta_inf(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv Sample size

Value

This function will generate information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1 and beta2. The outputs are listed as follows.

info 2x2 information (variance-covariance) matrix

var1 Variance of beta1 var2 Variance of beta2

var1 2 Variance of difference between beta1 and beta2

References

Olkin, I. and Finn, J.D. Correlations redux. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. 118(1): p. 155.

```
#To get information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1 and beta2 where
#betal and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model.
dat=dat1
omat=cor(dat)[1:3,1:3]
#omat
#1.0000000 0.1958636 0.1970060
#0.1958636 1.0000000 0.9981003
#0.1970060 0.9981003 1.0000000
nv=length(dat$V1)
output=olkin_beta_inf(omat,nv)
output
#output$info (2x2 information (variance-covariance) matrix)
#0.2531406 -0.2526212
#-0.2526212 0.2530269
#output$var1 (variance of beta1)
#0.2531406
#output$var2 (variance of beta2)
#0.2530269
#output$var1_2 (variance of difference between beta1 and beta2)
#1.01141
```

olkin_beta_ratio

```
olkin_beta_ratio olkin_beta_ratio function
```

Description

This function derives variance of beta $1^2 / R^2$ where beta 1 and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta 1 + x2 * beta 2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised (see Olkin and Finn 1995).

Usage

```
olkin_beta_ratio(omat, nv)
```

Arguments

omat 3 by 3 matrix having the correlation coefficients between y, x1 and x2, i.e.

omat=cor(dat) where dat is N by 3 matrix having variables in the order of cbind

(y,x1,x2)

nv sampel size

Value

This function will generate the variance of the proportion, i.e. beta1_2/R^2. The outputs are listed as follows.

```
ratio_var Variance of ratio
```

References

Olkin, I. and Finn, J.D. Correlations redux. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. 118(1): p. 155.

```
#To get information (variance-covariance) matrix of beta1 and beta2 where
#beta1 and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model.
dat=dat2
omat=cor(dat)[1:3,1:3]
#omat
#1.0000000 0.1497007 0.136431
#0.1497007 1.0000000 0.622790
#0.1364310 0.6227900 1.000000

nv=length(dat$V1)
output=olkin_beta_ratio(omat,nv)
output
#r2redux output

#output$ratio_var (Variance of ratio)
#0.08042288
```

10 r2_beta_var

r2_beta_var	r2_beta_var

Description

This function estimates var(beta1^2) and (beta2^2), and beta1 and 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 + e, y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised (see Olkin and Finn 1995). y is y is y 1 matrix having the dependent variable, y 1 is y 1 matrix having the ith explanatory variable. y 2 in y 2 indicates the ith and jth column in the data (y 1 or y 2 should be a single interger between 1 - y 3.

Usage

```
r2_beta_var(dat, v1, v2, nv)
```

Arguments

dat	N by $(M+1)$ matrix having variables in the order of $cbind(y,x)$	
v1	This can be set as $v1=1$, $v1=2$, $v1=3$ or any value between 1 - M based on combination	
v2	This can be set as $v2=1$, $v2=2$, $v2=3$, or any value between 1 - M based on combination	
nv	Sample size	

Value

This function will estiamte the variance of beta 1^2 and beta 2^2 , and the covariance between beta 1^2 and beta 2^2 , i.e. the information matrix of squared regression coefficients. beta 1 and beta 2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta 1 + x2 * beta 2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised. The outputs are listed as follows.

```
beta1_sq
                 beta1_sq
                 beta2_sq
beta2_sq
                 Variance of beta1_sq
var1
                 Variance of beta2_sq
var2
                 Variance of difference between beta1 sq and beta2 sq
var1 2
                 Covariance between beta1_sq and beta2_sq
COV
upper_beta1_sq
                 upper limit of 95% CI for beta1_sq
lower_beta1_sq
                 lower limit of 95% CI for beta1_sq
upper_beta2_sq
                 upper limit of 95% CI for beta2_sq
lower_beta2_sq
                 lower limit of 95% CI for beta2_sq
```

References

Olkin, I. and Finn, J.D. Correlations redux. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. 118(1): p. 155.

Examples

```
#To get the 95% CI of beta1_sq and beta2_sq
#betal and beta2 are regression coefficients from a multiple regression model,
#i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised.
dat=dat2
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1)
v2=c(2)
output=r2_beta_var(dat,v1,v2,nv)
output
#r2redux output
#output$beta1_sq (beta1_sq)
#0.01118301
#output$beta2_sq (beta2_sq)
#0.004980285
#output$var1 (variance of beta1_sq)
#7.072931e-05
#output$var2 (variance of beta2_sq)
#3.161929e-05
#output$var1_2 (variance of difference between beta1_sq and beta2_sq)
#0.000162113
#output$cov (covariance between beta1_sq and beta2_sq)
#-2.988221e-05
#output$upper_beta1_sq (upper limit of 95% CI for beta1_sq)
#0.03037793
#output$lower_beta1_sq (lower limit of 95% CI for beta1_sq)
#-0.00123582
#output$upper_beta2_sq (upper limit of 95% CI for beta2_sq)
#0.02490076
#output$lower_beta2_sq (lower limit of 95% CI for beta2_sq)
#-0.005127546
```

r2_diff

r2_diff function

Description

This function estimates $var(R2(y\sim x[,v1]) - R2(y\sim x[,v2]))$ where R2 is the R squared value of the model, y is N by 1 matrix having the dependent variable, and x is N by M matrix having M explanatory variables. v1 or v2 indicates the ith column in the x matrix (v1 or v2 can be multiple values between 1 - M, see Arguments below)

Usage

```
r2_diff(dat, v1, v2, nv)
```

Arguments

dat	N by (M+1) matrix having variables in the order of cbind(y,x)
v1	This can be set as $v1=c(1)$ or $v1=c(1,2)$
v2	This can be set as $v2=c(2)$, $v2=c(3)$, $v2=c(1,3)$ or $v2=c(3,4)$
nv	Sample size

Value

This function will estimate significant difference between two PGS (either dependent or independent and joint or single). To get the test statistics for the difference between $R2(y\sim x[,v1])$ and $R2(y\sim x[,v2])$. (here we define $R2_1=R2(y\sim x[,v1])$) and $R2_2=R2(y\sim x[,v2])$). The outputs are listed as follows.

```
R2_1
rsq1
                 R2_2
rsq2
                 Variance of R2_1
var1
var2
                 variance of R2 2
                 Variance of difference between R2_1 and R2_2
var_diff
                 two tailed P-value for significant difference between R2_1 and R2_2
r2_based_p
r2_based_p_one_tail
                 one tailed P-value for significant difference
                 Differences between R2_1 and R2_2
mean_diff
upper_diff
                 Upper limit of 95% CI for the difference
lower_diff
                 Lower limit of 95% CI for the difference
```

```
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R2(y \sim x[,1]) and #R2(y \sim x[,2]). (here we define R2_1=R2(y \sim x[,1])) and R2_2=R2(y \sim x[,2]))) dat=dat1 nv=length(dat$V1) v1=c(1) v2=c(2) output=r2_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv) output #r2redux output #r2redux output #output$rsq1 (R2_1) #0.03836254 #output$rsq2 (R2_2) #0.03881135 #output$var1 (variance of R2_1) #0.0001436128
```

```
#output$var2 (variance of R2_2)
#0.0001451358
#output$var_diff (variance of difference between R2_1 and R2_2)
#5.678517e-07
#output$r2_based_p (two tailed p-value for significant difference)
#0.5514562
#output$r2_based_p_one_tail(one tailed p-value for significant difference)
#0.2757281
#output$mean_diff (differences between R2_1 and R2_2)
#-0.0004488044
#output$upper_diff (upper limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#0.001028172
#output$lower_diff (lower limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#-0.001925781
#output$$p$nested
#1
#output$$p$nonnested
#0.5514562
#output$$p$LRT
#1
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R2(y \sim x[,1] + x[,2]) and
\#R2(y\sim x[,2]). (here R2_1=R2(y\sim x[,1]+x[,2]) and R2_2=R2(y\sim x[,1]))
dat=dat1
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1,2)
v2=c(1)
output=r2_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
#r2redux output
#output$rsq1 (R2_1)
#0.03896678
#output$rsq2 (R2_2)
#0.03836254
#output$var1 (variance of R2_1)
#0.0001473686
#output$var2 (variance of R2_2)
#0.0001436128
#output$var_diff (variance of difference between R2_1 and R2_2)
#2.321425e-06
```

```
#output$r2_based_p (p-value for significant difference between R2_1 and R2_2)
#0.4366883
#output$mean_diff (differences between R2_1 and R2_2)
#0.0006042383
#output$upper_diff (upper limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#0.00488788
#output$lower diff (lower limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#-0.0005576171
#Note: If the directions are not consistent, for instance, if one correlation
#is positive (R_1) and another is negative (R_2), or vice versa, it is crucial
#to approach the interpretation of the comparative test with caution.
#It's important to note that R^2 alone does not provide information about the
#direction or sign of the relationships between predictors and the response variable.
#When faced with multiple predictors common between two models, for example,
#y = any_cov1 + any_cov2 + ... + any_covN + e vs.
#y = PRS + any_cov1 + any_cov2 + ... + any_covN + e
#A more streamlined approach can be adopted by consolidating the various
#predictors into a single predictor (see R code below).
#dat=dat1
#here let's assume, we wanted to test one PRS (dat$V2)
#with 5 covariates (dat$V7 to dat$V11)
\# mod1 <- lm(dat$V1~dat$V2 + dat$V7+ dat$V8+ dat$V9+ dat$V10+ dat$V11)
#merged_predictor1 <- mod1$fitted.values</pre>
\# mod2 <- lm(dat $V1 \sim dat $V7 + dat $V8 + dat $V9 + dat $V10 + dat $V11)
#merged_predictor2 <- mod2$fitted.values</pre>
#dat=data.frame(dat$V1, merged_predictor1, merged_predictor2)
#the comparison can be equivalently expressed as:
#y = merged_predictor1 + e vs.
#y = merged_predictor2 + e
#This comparison can be simply achieved using the r2_diff function, e.g.
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R2(y \sim x[,1]) and
\#R2(y\sim x[,2]). (here x[,1] = merged\_predictor2 (from full model),
#and x[,2] = merged_predictor1(from reduced model))
#v1=c(1)
#v2=c(2)
#output=r2_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
#note that the merged predictor from the full model (v1) should be the first.
#str(output)
#List of 11
#$ rsq1
                     : num 0.0428
#$ rsq2
                     : num 0.042
#$ var1
                     : num 0.0.000158
#$ var2
                     : num 0.0.000156
```

```
#$ var_diff
                      : num 2.87e-06
#$ var_diff : num 2.87e
#$ r2_based_p : num 0.658
#$ r2_based_p_one_tail: num 0.329
                      : num -0.00257
#$ lower_diff
#$ p
                      :List of 3
#..$ nested : num 0.386
#..$ nonnested: num 0.658
#..$ LRT : num 0.376
#Importantly note that in this case, merged_predictor1 is nested within
#merged_predictor2 (see mod1 vs. mod2 above). Therefore, this is
#nested model comparison. So, output$p$nested (0.386) should be used
#instead of output$p$nonnested (0.658).
\#Note that r2\_based\_p is the same as output$p$nonnested (0.658) here.
##For this scenario, alternatively, the outcome variable (y) can be preadjusted
#with covariate(s), following the procedure in R:
\#mod \leftarrow lm(y \sim any_cov1 + any_cov2 + ... + any_covN)
#y_adj=scale(mod$residuals)
#then, the comparative significance test can be approximated by using
#the following model y_adj = PRS (r2_var(dat, v1, nv))
#R
#dat=dat1
#mod <- lm(dat$V1~dat$V7+ dat$V8+ dat$V9+ dat$V10+ dat$V11)</pre>
#v adj=scale(mod$residuals)
#dat=data.frame(y_adj,dat$V2)
#v1=c(1)
#output=r2_var(dat, v1, nv)
#str(output)
#$ var : num 2e-06
#$ LRT_p :Class 'logLik' : 0.98 (df=2)
#$ r2_based_p: num 0.977
#$ rsq : num 8.21e-07
#$ upper_r2 : num 0.00403
#$ lower_r2 : num -0.000999
#In another scenario where the same covariates, but different
#PRS1 and PRS2 are compared,
#y = PRS1 + any_cov1 + any_cov2 + ... + any_covN + e vs.
#y = PRS2 + any\_cov1 + any\_cov2 + ... + any\_covN + e
#following approach can be employed (see R code below).
\#dat = dat 1
#here let's assume dat$V2 as PRS1, dat$V3 as PRS2 and dat$V7 to dat$V11 as covariates
#mod1 <- lm(dat$V1~dat$V2 + dat$V7+ dat$V8+ dat$V9+ dat$V10+ dat$V11)
#merged_predictor1 <- mod1$fitted.values</pre>
#mod2 <- lm(dat$V1~dat$V3 + dat$V7+ dat$V8+ dat$V9+ dat$V10+ dat$V11)
```

```
#merged_predictor2 <- mod2$fitted.values</pre>
#dat=data.frame(dat$V1, merged_predictor2, merged_predictor1)
#the comparison can be equivalently expressed as:
#y = merged_predictor1 + e
#y = merged_predictor2 + e
#This comparison can be simply achieved using the r2_diff function, e.g.
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R2(y \sim x[,1]) and
\#R2(y\sim x[,2]). (here x[,1]= merged_predictor2, and x[,2]= merged_predictor1)
#v1=c(1)
#v2=c(2)
#output=r2_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
#str(output)
#List of 11
#$ rsq1
                     : num 0.043
                     : num 0.0428
#$ rsq2
                     : num 0.000159
#$ var1
#$ var2
                      : num 0.000158
                     : num 2.6e-07
#$ var_diff
#$ r2_based_p
                      : num 0.657
#$ r2_based_p_one_tail: num 0.328
              : num 0.000227
: num 0.00123
#$ mean_diff
#$ upper_diff
                     : num 0.000773
#$ lower_diff
                      :List of 3
#$ p
#..$ nested : num 0.634
#..$ nonnested: num 0.657
#..$ LRT
          : num 0.627
#Importantly note that in this case, merged_predictor1 and merged_predictor2
#are not nested to each other (see mod1 vs. mod2 above).
#Therefore, this is nonnested model comparison.
#So, output$p$nonnested (0.657) should be used instead of
#output$p$nested (0.634). Note that r2_based_p is the same
#as output$p$nonnested (0.657) here.
#For the above non-nested scenario, alternatively, the outcome variable (y)
#can be preadjusted with covariate(s), following the procedure in R:
#mod <- lm(y ~ any_cov1 + any_cov2 + ... + any_covN)</pre>
#y_adj=scale(mod$residuals)
#dat.=dat.1
#mod <- lm(dat$V1~dat$V7+ dat$V8+ dat$V9+ dat$V10+ dat$V11)</pre>
#y_adj=scale(mod$residuals)
#dat=data.frame(y_adj,dat$V3,dat$V2)
#the comparison can be equivalently expressed as:
\#y_adj = PRS1 + e
                   VS.
\#y_adj = PRS2 + e
#then, the comparative significance test can be approximated by using r2_diff function
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R2(y\sim x[,1]) and
\#R2(y\sim x[,2]). (here x[,1] = PRS1 and x[,2] = PRS2)
```

r2_enrich_beta

```
#v1=c(1)
#v2=c(2)
#output=r2_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
#str(output)
#List of 11
                     : num 5.16e-05
#$ rsq1
#$ rsq2
                    : num 4.63e-05
#$ var1
                    : num 2.21e-06
#$ var2
                    : num 2.18e-06
#$ var_diff
#$ r2_based_p_one_tail: num 0.442
#$ mean_diff : num 5.28e-06
#$ upper_diff : num 7.63e-05
#$ lower_diff : num -6.57e-05
                    : num -6.57e-05
#$ p
                     :List of 3
#..$ nested : num 0.942
#..$ nonnested: num 0.884
#..$ LRT : num 0.942
```

```
r2_enrich_beta r2_enrich_beta
```

Description

This function estimates $var(beta1^2/R^2)$, beta1 and R^2 are regression coefficient and the coefficient of determination from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised (see Olkin and Finn 1995). y is y by 1 matrix having the dependent variable, and y is y by 1 matrix having the ith explanatory variables. y is y by 1 matrix having the jth explanatory variables. y indicates the ith and jth column in the data (y1 or y2 should be a single interger between 1 - y4, see Arguments below).

Usage

```
r2_enrich_beta(dat, v1, v2, nv, exp1)
```

Arguments

dat	N by (M+1) matrix having variables in the order of cbind(y,x)	
v1	These can be set as $v1=1$, $v1=2$, $v1=3$ or any value between 1 - M based on combination	
v2	These can be set as $v2=1$, $v2=2$, $v2=3$, or any value between 1 - M based on combination	
nv	Sample size	
exp1	The expectation of the ratio (e.g. ratio of # SNPs in genomic partitioning)	

18 r2_enrich_beta

Value

This function will estimate var(beta1 2 /R 2), beta1 and R 2 are regression coefficient and the coefficient of determination from a multiple regression model, i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 + e, where y, x1 and x2 are column-standardised. The outputs are listed as follows.

```
beta1_sq
                 beta1_sq
beta2_sq
                 beta2_sq
                 beta1_sq/R^2
ratio1
ratio2
                 beta2 sq/R^2
ratio_var1
                 variance of ratio 1
ratio_var2
                 variance of ratio 2
upper_ratio1 upper limit of 95% CI for ratio 1
lower_ratio1 lower limit of 95% CI for ratio 1
upper_ratio2 upper limit of 95% CI for ratio 2
lower_ratio2 lower limit of 95% CI for ratio 2
                 two tailed P-value for beta1_sq/R^2 is significantly different from exp1
enrich_p1
enrich_p1_one_tail
                 one tailed P-value for beta1_sq/R^2 is significantly different from exp1
                 P-value for beta2_sq/R2 is significantly different from (1-exp1)
enrich_p2
enrich_p2_one_tail
                 one tailed P-value for beta2_sq/R2 is significantly different from (1-exp1)
```

References

Olkin, I. and Finn, J.D. Correlations redux. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. 118(1): p. 155.

```
#To get the test statistic for the ratio which is significantly
#different from the expectation, this function estiamtes
\#var (beta1^2/R^2), where
#beta1^2 and R^2 are regression coefficients and the
#coefficient of dterminationfrom a multiple regression model,
#i.e. y = x1 * beta1 + x2 * beta2 +e, where y, x1 and x2 are
#column-standardised.
dat=dat2
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1)
v2=c(2)
expected_ratio=0.04
output=r2_enrich_beta(dat,v1,v2,nv,expected_ratio)
output
#r2redux output
#output$beta1_sq (beta1_sq)
#0.01118301
#output$beta2_sq (beta2_sq)
#0.004980285
```

r2_var 19

```
#output$ratio1 (beta1_sq/R^2)
#0.4392572
#output$ratio2 (beta2_sq/R^2)
#0.1956205
#output$ratio_var1 (variance of ratio 1)
#0.08042288
#output$ratio_var2 (variance of ratio 2)
#0.0431134
#output$upper_ratio1 (upper limit of 95% CI for ratio 1)
#0.9950922
#output$lower_ratio1 (lower limit of 95% CI for ratio 1)
#-0.1165778
#output$upper_ratio2 upper limit of 95% CI for ratio 2)
#0.6025904
#output$lower_ratio2 (lower limit of 95% CI for ratio 2)
#-0.2113493
#output$enrich_p1 (two tailed P-value for beta1_sq/R^2 is
#significantly different from exp1)
#0.1591692
#output$enrich_p1_one_tail (one tailed P-value for beta1_sq/R^2
#is significantly different from exp1)
#0.07958459
#output$enrich_p2 (two tailed P-value for beta2_sq/R2 is
#significantly different from (1-exp1))
#0.000232035
#output$enrich_p2_one_tail (one tailed P-value for beta2_sq/R2
#is significantly different from (1-exp1))
#0.0001160175
```

r2_var

*r*2_*var function*

Description

This function estimates $var(R2(y\sim x[,v1]))$ where R2 is the R squared value of the model, where R2 is the R squared value of the model, y is N by 1 matrix having the dependent variable, and x is N by M matrix having M explanatory variables. v1 indicates the ith column in the x matrix (v1 can be multiple values between 1 - M, see Arguments below)

Usage

```
r2_var(dat, v1, nv)
```

20 r2_var

Arguments

dat N by (M+1) matrix having variables in the order of cbind(y,x) v1 This can be set as v1=c(1), v1=c(1,2) or possibly with more values nv Sample size

Value

This function will test the null hypothesis for R2. To get the test statistics for $R2(y\sim x[,v1])$. The outputs are listed as follows.

rsq R2
var Variance of R2
r2_based_p P-value under the null hypothesis, i.e. R2=0
upper_r2 Upper limit of 95% CI for R2
lower_r2 Lower limit of 95% CI for R2

```
#To get the test statistics for R2(y \sim x[,1])
dat=dat1
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1)
output=r2_var(dat,v1,nv)
output
#r2redux output
#output$rsq (R2)
#0.03836254
#output$var (variance of R2)
#0.0001436128
#output$r2_based_p (P-value under the null hypothesis, i.e. R2=0)
#1.188162e-10
#output$upper_r2 (upper limit of 95% CI for R2)
#0.06433782
#output$lower_r2 (lower limit of 95% CI for R2)
#0.01764252
#To get the test statistic for R2(y \sim x[,1]+x[,2]+x[,3])
dat=dat1
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1,2,3)
r2_var(dat,v1,nv)
#r2redux output
#output$rsq (R2)
```

 $r_{-}diff$ 21

```
#0.03836254
#output$var (variance of R2)
#0.0001436128
#output$r2_based_p (R2 based P-value)
#1.188162e-10
#output$upper_r2 (upper limit of 95% CI for R2)
#0.06433782
#output$lower_r2 (lower limit of 95% CI for R2)
#0.0176425
#When comparing two independent sets of PGSs
#Let's assume dat1$V1 and dat2$V2 are independent for this example
#(e.g. male PGS vs. female PGS)
nv=length(dat1$V1)
v1=c(1)
output1=r2_var(dat1,v1,nv)
nv=length(dat2$V1)
v1=c(1)
output2=r2_var(dat2,v1,nv)
#To get the difference between two independent sets of PGSs
r2_diff_independent=abs(output1$rsq-output2$rsq)
#To get the variance of the difference between two independent sets of PGSs
var_r2_diff_independent= output1$var+output2$var
sd_r2_diff_independent=sqrt(var_r2_diff_independent)
#To get p-value (following eq. 15 in the paper)
chi=r2_diff_independent^2/var_r2_diff_independent
p_value=pchisq(chi,1,lower.tail=FALSE)
#to get 95% CI (following eq. 15 in the paper)
uci=r2_diff_independent+1.96*sd_r2_diff_independent
lci=r2_diff_independent-1.96*sd_r2_diff_independent
```

r_diff

r_diff function

Description

This function estimates $var(R(y\sim x[,v1]) - R(y\sim x[,v2]))$ where R is the correlation between y and x, y is N by 1 matrix having the dependent variable, and x is N by M matrix having M explanatory variables. v1 or v2 indicates the ith column in the x matrix (v1 or v2 can be multiple values between 1 - M, see Arguments below)

Usage

```
r_diff(dat, v1, v2, nv)
```

 r_{diff}

Arguments

dat	N by $(M+1)$ matrix having variables in the order of $cbind(y,x)$
v1	This can be set as $v1=c(1)$ or $v1=c(1,2)$
v2	This can be set as $v2=c(2)$, $v2=c(3)$, $v2=c(1,3)$ or $v2=c(3,4)$
nv	Sample size

Value

This function will estimate significant difference between two PGS (either dependent or independent and joint or single). To get the test statistics for the difference between $R(y\sim x[,v1])$ and $R(y\sim x[,v2])$. (here we define $R_1=R(y\sim x[,v1])$) and $R_2=R(y\sim x[,v2])$). The outputs are listed as follows.

```
R_1
r1
                 R 2
r2
                 Variance of R 1
var1
var2
                 variance of R_2
                 Variance of difference between R_1 and R_2
var_diff
                 P-value for significant difference between R_1 and R_2 for two tailed test
r2_based_p
r_based_p_one_tail
                 P-value for significant difference between R_1 and R_2 for one tailed test
                 Differences between R_1 and R_2
mean_diff
                 Upper limit of 95% CI for the difference
upper_diff
                 Lower limit of 95% CI for the difference
lower diff
```

```
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R(y \sim x[,1]) and
\#R(y \sim x[,2]). (here we define R_1 = R(y \sim x[,1])) and R_2 = R(y \sim x[,2])))
dat=dat1
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1)
v2=c(2)
output=r_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
output
#r2redux output
#output$r1 (R_1)
#0.1958636
#output$r2 (R_2)
#0.197006
#output$var1 (variance of R_1)
#0.0009247466
#output$var2 (variance of R_1)
#0.0001451358
```

```
#output$var_diff (variance of difference between R_1 and R_2)
#3.65286e-06
#output$r_based_p (two tailed p-value for significant difference between R_1 and R_2)
#0.5500319
#output$r_based_p_one_tail (one tailed p-value
#0.2750159
#output$mean diff
\#-0.001142375 (differences between R2_1 and R2_2)
#output$upper_diff (upper limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#0.002603666
#output$lower_diff (lower limit of 95% CI for the difference)
#-0.004888417
#To get the test statistics for the difference between R(y \sim x[,1] + [,2]) and
\#R(y \sim x[,2]). (here R_1=R(y \sim x[,1]+x[,2]) and R_2=R(y \sim x[,1]))
nv=length(dat$V1)
v1=c(1,2)
v2=c(2)
output=r_diff(dat,v1,v2,nv)
output
#output$r1
#0.1974001
#output$r2
#0.197006
#output$var1
#0.0009235848
#output$var2
#0.0009238836
#output$var_diff
#3.837451e-06
#output$r2_based_p
#0.8405593
#output$mean_diff
#0.0003940961
#output$upper_diff
#0.004233621
#output$lower_diff
#-0.003445429
```

#Note: If the directions are not consistent, for instance, if one correlation

#is positive (R_1) and another is negative (R_2), or vice versa, it is #crucial to approach the interpretation of the comparative test with caution. #This caution is especially emphasized when applying r_diff() #in a nested model comparison involving a joint model

Index

*Topic R2	*Topic liability
cc_trf, 2	cc_trf,2
r2_diff,11	*Topic matrix
r2_var, 19	olkin_beta1_2,6
r_diff, 21	olkin_beta_inf, 7
*Topic Transformation	olkin_beta_ratio,9
cc_trf,2	r2_diff, 11
*Topic and	r2_var, <mark>19</mark>
cc_trf,2	r_diff, 21
*Topic a	*Topic multiple
r2_beta_var, 10	r2_beta_var, 10
r2_enrich_beta,17	r2_enrich_beta,17
*Topic beta^2	*Topic observed
r2_beta_var,10	cc_trf,2
r2_enrich_beta,17	*Topic of
*Topic between	cc_trf,2
cc_trf, 2	olkin_beta1_2, <mark>6</mark>
r2_enrich_beta,17	olkin_beta_inf,7
*Topic context	olkin_beta_ratio,9
olkin_beta1_2, <mark>6</mark>	r2_beta_var, 10
olkin_beta_inf,7	r2_enrich_beta,17
olkin_beta_ratio,9	*Topic ratio
*Topic correlation	r2_enrich_beta,17
olkin_beta1_2, <mark>6</mark>	*Topic regression
olkin_beta_inf,7	r2_beta_var, 10
olkin_beta_ratio,9	r2_enrich_beta,17
*Topic datasets	*Topic scale
dat1,3	cc_trf, 2
dat2,3	*Topic source
*Topic from	olkin12_1,4
r2_beta_var, 10	olkin12_13,4
r2_enrich_beta, 17	olkin12_3,5
*Topic information	olkin12_34,5
olkin_beta1_2,6	olkin1_2,6
olkin_beta_inf,7 olkin_beta_ratio,9	*Topic the
r2_diff, 11	olkin_beta1_2,6
r2_var, 19	<pre>olkin_beta_inf,7 olkin_beta_ratio,9</pre>
r_diff, 21	*Topic variance
*Topic in	r2_beta_var, 10
olkin_beta1_2,6	r2_diff, 11
olkin_beta_inf,7	r2_enrich_beta, 17
olkin_beta_ratio, 9	r2_var, 19
51h111_500a_1a010, /	12_141,17

26 INDEX

```
r_diff, 21
cc_trf, 2
dat1, 3
dat2,3
olkin12_1,4
olkin12_13,4
olkin12_3,5
olkin12_34,5
olkin1_2, 6
olkin_beta1_2,6
olkin\_beta\_inf, 7
olkin\_beta\_ratio, 9
r2_beta_var, 10
r2_diff, 11
r2_enrich_beta, 17
r2_var, 19
r_{diff}, 21
```