

# Functional Polynomial Algorithms

Thomas Meek

May 13, 2023

## Linguistics

## Linguistics

- Declarative sentences

## Linguistics

- Declarative sentences
- Imperative sentences

## Linguistics

- Declarative sentences
- Imperative sentences
- Interrogative sentences

## Declarative sentences

## Declarative sentences

- Steve has twelve eggs.

## Declarative sentences

- Steve has twelve eggs.
- $f(x) = x^2$ .



## Imperative sentences

## Imperative sentences

- Make me an omelette.

## Imperative sentences

- Make me an omelette.
- `print("Hello world.")`

## Interrogative sentences

## Interrogative sentences

- Where are my eggs?

## Interrogative sentences

- Where are my eggs?
- Why is this guy talking about linguistics in a thesis defense for a mathematics degree?

# Why?

## Haskell

# Why?

- Procedural



# Why?

- Procedural
- Object-oriented

# Why?

- Procedural
- Object-oriented
- Functional

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative
- Functional

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (C)
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (C)
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (Java)
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (C)
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (Java)
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative (Haskell)

# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (C)
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (Java)
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative (Haskell)
- Math



# Why?

- Procedural  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (C)
- Object-oriented  $\leftarrow$  Imperative (Java)
- Functional  $\leftarrow$  Declarative (Haskell)
  
- Math  $\leftarrow$  Declarative

## Polynomials

# Polynomials

$$(x + a)^2$$

# Polynomials

$$(x + a)^2 =_{\mathbb{F}_2} x^2 + a^2$$

# Polynomials

## Definition

A **monomial** in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a product of the form

$$x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where all of the exponents  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are nonnegative integers.

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of nonnegative integers. Then we set

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

# Polynomials

## Definition

A **polynomial**  $f$  in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over a field  $k$  is a finite linear combination (with coefficients in  $k$ ) of monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We will write a polynomial  $f$  in the form

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \quad a_{\alpha} \in k,$$

where the sum is over a finite number of  $n$ -tuples  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . The set of all polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in  $k$  is denoted  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  be a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .



# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  be a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

- We call  $a_{\alpha}$  the **coefficient** of the monomial  $x^{\alpha}$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  be a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

- We call  $a_{\alpha}$  the **coefficient** of the monomial  $x^{\alpha}$ .
- If  $a_{\alpha} \neq 0$ , then we call  $a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  a **term** of  $f$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  be a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

- We call  $a_{\alpha}$  the **coefficient** of the monomial  $x^{\alpha}$ .
- If  $a_{\alpha} \neq 0$ , then we call  $a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  a **term** of  $f$ .
- The **total degree** of  $f \neq 0$ , denoted  $\deg(f)$ , is the maximum  $|\alpha|$  such that the coefficient  $a_{\alpha}$  is nonzero. The total degree of the zero polynomial is undefined.

Leading term

Leading term

$$g = x^3yz^2 + x^5 + x^2y^3z$$

# Polynomials

## Definition

A **monomial ordering** on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a relation  $>$  on the set of monomials  $x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  satisfying:

# Polynomials

## Definition

A **monomial ordering** on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a relation  $>$  on the set of monomials  $x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  satisfying:

- $>$  is a total ordering.

# Polynomials

## Definition

A **monomial ordering** on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a relation  $>$  on the set of monomials  $x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  satisfying:

- $>$  is a total ordering.
- If  $x^\alpha > x^\beta$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , then  $x^\alpha x^\gamma > x^\beta x^\gamma$ .



# Polynomials

## Definition

A **monomial ordering** on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a relation  $>$  on the set of monomials  $x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  satisfying:

- $>$  is a total ordering.
- If  $x^\alpha > x^\beta$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , then  $x^\alpha x^\gamma > x^\beta x^\gamma$ .
- $>$  is a well-ordering.

# Polynomials

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a commutative free monoid and suppose the first two conditions in the definition above are satisfied. Then the following are equivalent:*

# Polynomials

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a commutative free monoid and suppose the first two conditions in the definition above are satisfied. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *$>$  is a well-ordering on  $X$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a commutative free monoid and suppose the first two conditions in the definition above are satisfied. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *$>$  is a well-ordering on  $X$ .*
- *Every strictly decreasing sequence in  $X$  eventually terminates.*

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a commutative free monoid and suppose the first two conditions in the definition above are satisfied. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *$>$  is a well-ordering on  $X$ .*
- *Every strictly decreasing sequence in  $X$  eventually terminates.*
- *$x^\alpha \geq 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .*

# Polynomials

## Definition (Lexicographic Order)

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  be in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{\text{Lex}} x^\beta$  if the leftmost nonzero entry of the vector difference  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is positive.

# Polynomials

## Definition (Lexicographic Order)

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  be in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{Lex} x^\beta$  if the leftmost nonzero entry of the vector difference  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is positive.

$$g = x^3yz^2 + x^5 + x^2y^3z$$

# Polynomials

## Definition (Graded Lex Order)

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{GLex} x^\beta$  if  $|\alpha| > |\beta|$  or  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  and  $x^\alpha >_{Lex} x^\beta$ .



# Polynomials

## Definition (Graded Lex Order)

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{GLex} x^\beta$  if  $|\alpha| > |\beta|$  or  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  and  $x^\alpha >_{Lex} x^\beta$ .

$$g = x^3yz^2 + x^5 + x^2y^3z$$

# Polynomials

## Definition (Graded Reverse Lex Order)

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{GRevLex} x^\beta$  if  $|\alpha| > |\beta|$  or  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  and the rightmost nonzero entry of  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is negative.

# Polynomials

## Definition (Graded Reverse Lex Order)

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . We say  $x^\alpha >_{GRevLex} x^\beta$  if  $|\alpha| > |\beta|$  or  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  and the rightmost nonzero entry of  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is negative.

$$g = x^3yz^2 + x^5 + x^2y^3z$$

## Ideals

# Polynomials

## Definition

A subset  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an **ideal** if it satisfies:

# Polynomials

## Definition

A subset  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an **ideal** if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

A subset  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an **ideal** if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$ .
- If  $f, g \in I$ , then  $f + g \in I$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

A subset  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an **ideal** if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$ .
- If  $f, g \in I$ , then  $f + g \in I$ .
- If  $f \in I$  and  $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $hf \in I$ .



# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal other than  $\{0\}$ , and fix a monomial ordering on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then:

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal other than  $\{0\}$ , and fix a monomial ordering on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then:

- We denote by  $\text{LT}(I)$  the set of leading terms of nonzero elements of  $I$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal other than  $\{0\}$ , and fix a monomial ordering on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then:

- We denote by  $\text{LT}(I)$  the set of leading terms of nonzero elements of  $I$ .
- We denote by  $\langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle$  the ideal generated by the elements of  $\text{LT}(I)$ .

# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$

# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$
- $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x.$

# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$
- $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x.$
- $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  with GRevLex.

# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$
- $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x.$
- $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  with GRevLex.
- $x \cdot f_2 - y \cdot f_1 = x^2$  so  $x^2 \in I.$

# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$
- $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x.$
- $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  with GRevLex.
- $x \cdot f_2 - y \cdot f_1 = x^2$  so  $x^2 \in I.$
- $x^2 \in \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle.$



# Polynomials

- $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy.$
- $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x.$
- $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  with GRevLex.
- $x \cdot f_2 - y \cdot f_1 = x^2$  so  $x^2 \in I.$
- $x^2 \in \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle.$
- $\text{LT}(f_1) \nmid x^2$  and  $\text{LT}(f_2) \nmid x^2$  so  $x^2 \notin \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \text{LT}(f_2) \rangle.$

## Gröbner bases

# Polynomials

## Definition

Fix a monomial order on the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . A finite subset  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  of an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  different from  $\{0\}$  is said to be a **Gröbner basis** if

$$\langle \text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_t) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle.$$

# Polynomials

## Theorem

*Let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  be a Gröbner basis for an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $f \in I$  if and only if the remainder on division of  $f$  by  $G$  is zero.*

# Polynomials

## Definition

We will write  $\bar{f}^F$  for the remainder on division of  $f$  by the ordered  $s$ -tuple  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ . If  $F$  is a Gröbner basis for  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ , then we can regard  $F$  as a set (without any particular order).

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be nonzero polynomials.

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be nonzero polynomials.

- If  $\text{multideg}(f) = \alpha$  and  $\text{multideg}(g) = \beta$ , then let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ , where  $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  for each  $i$ . We call  $x^\gamma$  the **least common multiple** of  $\text{LM}(f)$  and  $\text{LM}(g)$ , written  $x^\gamma = \text{lcm}(\text{LM}(f), \text{LM}(g))$ .

# Polynomials

## Definition

Let  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be nonzero polynomials.

- If  $\text{multideg}(f) = \alpha$  and  $\text{multideg}(g) = \beta$ , then let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ , where  $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  for each  $i$ . We call  $x^\gamma$  the **least common multiple** of  $\text{LM}(f)$  and  $\text{LM}(g)$ , written  $x^\gamma = \text{lcm}(\text{LM}(f), \text{LM}(g))$ .
- The **S-polynomial** of  $f$  and  $g$  is the combination

$$S(f, g) = \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(f)} \cdot f - \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(g)} \cdot g.$$



## Theorem (Buchberger's Criterion)

*Let  $I$  be a polynomial ideal. Then a basis  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  of  $I$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$  if and only if for all pairs  $i \neq j$ , the remainder on division of  $S(g_i, g_j)$  by  $G$  (listed in some order) is zero.*

## Categories

# Categories

- Objects and morphisms

# Categories

- Objects and morphisms
- Identity morphisms

# Categories

- Objects and morphisms
- Identity morphisms
- Associative composition law

# Categories

- Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset.

# Categories

- Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset.
- Define the category  $S$  whose objects are the elements of  $S$ .

# Categories

- Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset.
- Define the category  $S$  whose objects are the elements of  $S$ .
- $\text{Hom}(a, b) = \{(a, b)\}$  if  $a \leq b$ .



# Categories

- Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset.
- Define the category  $S$  whose objects are the elements of  $S$ .
- $\text{Hom}(a, b) = \{(a, b)\}$  if  $a \leq b$ .
- $\text{Hom}(a, b) = \emptyset$  if  $a > b$ .

# Categories

- Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset.
- Define the category  $S$  whose objects are the elements of  $S$ .
- $\text{Hom}(a, b) = \{(a, b)\}$  if  $a \leq b$ .
- $\text{Hom}(a, b) = \emptyset$  if  $a > b$ .
- $(a, b)(b, c) = (a, c)$ .

# Categories

# End

## Questions?