

MSc thesis Computer Science

something about variable-byte encoding

Jussi Timonen

February 20, 2020

FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Supervisor(s)

Prof. D.U. Mind, Dr. O. Why

Examiner(s)

Prof. D.U. Mind, Dr. O. Why

Contact information

P. O. Box 68 (Pietari Kalmin katu 5) 00014 University of Helsinki,Finland

Email address: info@cs.helsinki.fi URL: http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO - HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET - UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Tiedekunta — Fakultet — Faculty		Koulutusohjelma — Utbildningsprogram — Study programme			
Faculty of Science		Computer Science			
Tekijä — Författare — Author					
Jussi Timonen					
Työn nimi — Arbetets titel — Title					
something about variable-byte encoding					
Ohjaajat — Handledare — Supervisors					
Prof. D.U. Mind, Dr. O. Why					
Työn laji — Arbetets art — Level	Aika — Datum — Mo	onth and year	Sivumäärä — Sidoantal — Number of pages		
MSc thesis	February 20, 202	20	15 pages, 17 appendice pages		

 ${\it Tiivistelm\"{a}-Referat-Abstract}$

Use this other language environment to write your abstract in another language if needed.

Topics are classified according to the ACM Computing Classification System (CCS), see https://www.acm.org/about-acm/class: check command \classification{}. A small set of paths (1-3) should be used, starting from any top nodes referred to but he root term CCS leading to the leaf nodes. The elements in the path are separated by right arrow, and emphasis of each element individually can be indicated by the use of bold face for high importance or italics for intermediate level. The combination of individual boldface terms may give the reader additional insight.

ACM Computing Classification System (CCS)

General and reference \to Document types \to Surveys and overviews Applied computing \to Document management and text processing \to Document management \to Text editing

Avainsanat — Nyckelord — Keywords

ulkoasu, tiivistelmä, lähdeluettelo

Säilytyspaikka — Förvaringsställe — Where deposited

Helsinki University Library

 ${\it Muita\ tietoja--\"ovriga\ uppgifter---Additional\ information}$

Algorithms specialisation line

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Variable-byte encoding	2
3	Directly addressable codes? 3.1 DAC via rank	5
4	DAC with select query	7
5	Experimental results	9
6	Conclusion	11
7	Future work	12
8	Conclusions	13
Bi	bliography	15

1 Introduction

Enormous datasets are a common case in today's applications. Compressing the datasets is beneficial, because they naturally decrease memory requirements but also are faster when compressed data is read from disk (Zobel and Moffat, 1995).

One of the leading methods of data compression is variable-length coding (Salomon, 2007), where frequent sequences of data are represented with shorter codewords. Because the sequences of data have different lenghts when compressed, it is not trivial to determine the exact location of a certain element. If this is required, the usual data compression algorithms are inefficient. Fortunately this is not a requirement compression algorithms usually need to fulfill.

However, random access of compressed data is needed in compressed data structures. In most compression methods, the only way to this is to decompress data from the beginning. An integer compressing method with fast random access is explained later and compared existing state-of-the-art methods.

2 Variable-byte encoding

Variable-byte (VB) encoding (Williams and Zobel, 1999) is a method of compressing integers via omitting leading zero bits. In normal data sets, it loses in compression performance to generic algorithms like Huffman encoding or Lempel-Ziv encoding, but in comparison it's faster to decode (Brisaboa et al., 2009) and sometimes preferred due to it's simplicity. allows constant time random access. This is later explained in (TODO: link to later chapter).

A good data set for VB encoding is a list of mostly small numbers with a need to support larger ones. A search engine may use an inverted index of words in documents. For each word, a list of document IDs where the word appears is stored. It may also store locations of the word in document for advanced search purposes. Usually these lists are preprocessed and stored as an inverted index or gaps, storing the difference to previous number instead of the actual number (Manning et al., 2008). Common words have a lot of entries in these lists, but because of gap storing the numbers are small. In contrast, rare words have only a few entries but the numbers stored are larger. These lists are excellent data sets for VB encoding.

VB encoding splits each integer into blocks of b bits and adds a continuation bit to the block to form chunks of length 1+b. The extra bit is set to 1 only on the block containing the least significant bits of the integer. This information is used in decoding to signal if next chunk continues the current integer. For example, let's assume b=4 and let n=42 be a 16 bit unsigned integer. The standard 8-bit representation of n is 00000000 00101000. When split to blocks of b bits, it becomes 0000 0000 0010 1000. Empty blocks are omitted and continuation bits are added to the remaining blocks. The result is 00010 11000, which is the compressed data.

```
function VBENCODENUMBER(n)bytes \leftarrow listfunction VBENCODE(numbers)while true dofunction VBENCODE(numbers)bytes.prepend(n mod 128)bytestream \leftarrow listif n < 128 thenfor each n \in numbers dobreakbytes \leftarrow VBEncodeNumber(n)n \leftarrow n \text{ div } 128bytestream.\text{extend}(bytes)bytes.last() += 128return bytestreamreturn bytes
```

Decoding is essentially just reversing the encoding steps: chunks are read until a chunk with 1 as continuation bit is found. Continuation bits are removed from all the chunks and the blocks are concatenated to form the original number. As in the previous example, b = 4, encoded message is 00010–11000 and n = 0. Block from the first chunk is extracted and added to n, making n = 10. A bitwise shift to left equal to b is applied to n, changing n = 100000. Then the block is extracted from the next chunk. This block is added n, making n = 42. Because the previous continuous bit was 1, decoding this number has finished. An example implementation of encode and decode with block length of 7 is shown in Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2.

```
function VBDECODE(bytestream)
numbers \leftarrow \text{list}
n \leftarrow 0
for each b \in bytestream do
if b < 128 then
n \leftarrow 128 \times n + b
else
n \leftarrow 128 \times n + b - 128
numbers.\text{append}(n)
n \leftarrow 0
return numbers
```

Figure 2.1: VByte encoding

Figure 2.2: VByte decoding

Small lengths of c can yield better compression rate at the cost of more bit manipulation, while longer chunks need less bit manipulation and offer less effective compression. Gen-

erally block length of 7 has been used because it gives a good average and handling chunks as bytes is convenient (Manning et al., 2008).

VB encoding is a well known compression algorithm. It's origins date back to 1980's and the famous MIDI music file format. It stored some of the numbers in a "variable-length quantity" form, which was a 7-bit block VB structure (Association, 1996). Similar data types have existed for example in Apache Lucene (as vInt) and IBM DB2 database (as Variable Byte). Later, VB encoding was found efficient in compressing integer lists. It was first experimented in compressing inverted index lists of word locations in documents (Scholer et al., 2002). That yielded excellent records, and since then many different approaches have been introduced.

Modern studies have looked into machine code for VB and applied SIMD (Single instruction, multiple data) architecture to VB (Lemire et al., 2018; Plaisance et al., 2015). The bit operations in VB are simple and therefore modifying the code to use SIMD instructions is straightforward and the speed improvements are significant.

TODO: might need 1-2 more chapters

3 Directly addressable codes?

Ability to handle large amounts of data fast is one of the key challenges in the field of search engines. Compressed data structures are applied to fit the data into cache, memory or even hard drive. Direct access to any element is one of the usual requirements in compressed data strutures. It is not natively possible to decode the i-th element in variable-byte compression algorithms, because the position of the element depends on the preceding data. Direct access is achievable with supporting data structures. An obvious solution is to store each element's location, but that adds a very large overhead which removes the benefit of compression, but for some compression techniques it adds a very large overhead and thus is not applicable.

Rank and select are two succinct data structure operations which operate on a bit array B. $Rank_1(B, i)$ gives the sum of 1 bits between B[0] and B[i] and $select_1(b, i)$ gives the index of i-th 1 bit in B. Both operations work in constant time (citation?) and they require only a few percents of extra space over the bit array B. See Table 3.1 for rank and select size requirement approximations on different sizes of bit arrays.

Locations of 1 bits in B should represent locations of the items in the encoded data. For most compression algorithms, this requires B to be created in addition to the existing data and the length of B usually has to be close to the length of the data. VB encoding has several advantages with search and rank: it's data is compressed in blocks of equal length which significantly shrinks the length of B. Also the bit array C formed from the continuation bits already stores the locations of items. In this case, $rank_1(C, i)$ would give the sum of end bytes up to i-th index and $select_1(C, i)$ would give the location of the ending byte of i-th compressed element.

Table 3.1: Size of support structure with SDSL-lite library

Structure	TODO	get	multiple	size	calculations	here
rank	34.97	49	53.04	52.18	53.08	76.21
$rank_v5$	34.97	49	53.04	52.18	53.08	76.21
select	33.57	32.47	42.96	43.11	46.15	65.14

3.1 DAC via rank

Directly addressable codes in VB was first introduced by (Brisaboa et al., 2009) in 2009. Their solution was to divide chunks in encoded bytes to separate arrays A_1 to A_n and then use $rank_1$ to get direct access. For example, if an element needed 3 chunks when encoded, the least significant bits would go to A_1 , second least significant bits to A_2 and the most significant bits would go to A_3 . When fetching i-th element, getting the first chunk is just fetching $A_1[i]$. If the continuation bit is set at 0 (meaning there are more chunks to this element), getting the correct index for A_2 is calculated with $rank_1(A_1, i)$. Small values benefit from this reordering, because the first chunk does not need any calculation. This method causes a small overhead on the data, because each continuation bit array needs a support data structure for rank. A pseudo code example of DAC with rank is shown in Figure 3.1 with block length of 8. In the actual implementation, different block size requires extra calculation to get the block location from the byte array. (TODO: do i need to explain how to get the block location?) (TODO: does this need an example?)

```
\begin{split} i &\leftarrow \text{wanted index} \\ A &\leftarrow \text{block arrays} \\ B &\leftarrow \text{continuation bit arrays} \\ level &\leftarrow 0 \\ number &\leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{while} \ B[level][index] = 0 \ \textbf{do} \\ block &\leftarrow A[level][index] \\ number &\leftarrow number \ll 8 \\ number &\leftarrow number \mid block \\ index &\leftarrow \text{Rank}(B[level], index) \\ level &\leftarrow level + 1 \\ block &\leftarrow A[level][index] \\ number &\leftarrow number \ll 8 \\ number &\leftarrow number \mid block \end{split}
```

Figure 3.1: Example pseudo code of DAC with rank by Brisaboa et al.

```
¡example of Bri09;
- explain how random access is good ?
```

4 DAC with select query

Using $select_1$ on the continuation bit array to achieve direct access is more intuitive than using $rank_1$. The element locations are already marked with 1's in B and a single $select_1$ query gets the desired starting point, while the forementioned version (Brisaboa et al., 2009) used one rank query for each chunk beyond the first. Minimizing the amount of select and rank queries is important. They run in constant time but their impact is huge, because rest of the VB decoding consists of a few bit operations.

To use $select_1$ with VB, continuation bits need to be separated from chunks to their own bit array and a $select_1$ structure built over it. Select(i) returns the location of the end byte of i-th element. Therefore the start of j-th element in the block array is at block $b_s = select_1(j-1) + 1$. To simplify calculations even further, block sizes of 2, 4 and 8 were used to avoid splitting of blocks between bytes.

The data in blocks should be written into memory as it is, so that when reading a large unsigned integer from the block byte array, the bits are already in correct order. This way two bit shift operations are enough to extract the desired value. Assume original element size is 32 bits, block length is b and requested element is at position x in the block array and it's compressed within k blocks. Starting byte s of element e is x * b div 8 where div is the integer division. Offset o is the remainder of previous division, o = x*b mod 8. 32-bit value e is read from memory from s. Then we bit shift left 32-b*k-o to remove trailing bits and bit shift right 32-b*k to re-align bits.

Simple way to calculate block length k of i-th element is to get $select_1(i+1)$ and subtract previously calculated start block index from it. This however causes a second $select_1$ query, which is costly. A much faster option is to iterate forward in bit array until next 1 is found. An even faster option is to read an integer from the bit array, fix it's offset and count trailing zeros. Traveling the bit array is preferred, since it is very simple to implement and is not noticably slower. See Table 4.1 for detailed times. See Figure 4.1 for a DAC with select and block size 8. With different block sizes, extra calculation to get the block location from the byte array is needed. In the example, CalculateLength returns the length of the block and ByteMask(k) returns a bit mask for k bytes. number is a long unsigned integer with a length of multiple bytes.

(maybe to future work) Storing bytes in this fashion may have benefits when reading

Table 4.1: Time to calculate end blocks

Version	Average time over 10Mall
select(i+1)	34.97
iterate bit array	34.97
bit shift magic	34.97

subsequent (fast next() and previous()?) elements. With some modifications, SIMD instructions can possibly be applied.

```
\begin{split} i &\leftarrow \text{wanted index} \\ A &\leftarrow \text{block byte array} \\ B &\leftarrow \text{continuation bit array} \\ begin &\leftarrow \text{Select}(index) \\ len &\leftarrow \text{CalculateLength}(begin) \\ mask &\leftarrow \text{ByteMask}(len) \\ number &\leftarrow A[begin] \\ number &\leftarrow number \,\&\, mask \end{split} \Rightarrow \text{read a larger number from the byte array} \\ \end{split}
```

Figure 4.1: Pseudo code of DAC with select with block length 8

TODO: check if rank query takes less when done on single byte array instead of multiples

- get actual results of rank vs select support size
- compare data structure size!

RANK seems a lot faster on small arrays, check both algorithms! TODO: try bitvector SD performance + size (select)

TODO: look into select implementation, may need optimizing

5 Experimental results

The following experiments are run on jat the moment my work Lenovo Thinkpad T480s, TODO run on a server and write specs here.

Five different kinds of VB decoding algorithms were used. Bris-implementations are from (Brisaboa et al., 2009). Rank and select functions are from (Gog et al., 2014).

- Bris4v5 Bris implementation with block size 4, using rank support v5
- Bris8 Bris implementation with block size 8, using rank support v
- Bris8v5 Bris implementation with block size 8, using rank support v5
- Our4 Our implementation with block size 4, using select support mcl
- Our8 Our implementation with block size 8, using select support mcl

Eight kinds of datasets were used. For each number in the dataset, p was randomly selected from P and then number was randomly selected from range $[0,2^p]$.

- all 5M - 5 million numbers, P = 7,8,15,16,23,24,30,31
- all 50M 50 million numbers, P = 7.8, 15, 16, 23, 24, 30, 31
- byte5M 5 million numbers, P = 7,7,7,8,8,8,16,31
- byte50M 50 million numbers, P = 7,7,7,8,8,8,16,31
- small 5M - 5 million numbers, P = 3,4,5,6,7,8,16,31
- small50M 50 million numbers, P = 3,4,5,6,7,8,16,31
- vsmall5M 5 million numbers, P = 2,2,3,3,3,4,4,15
- vsmall50M 50 million numbers, P = 2,2,3,3,3,4,4,15

All algorithms save the data to memory and randomize one million index numbers to an array. The time taken is calculated from looping through the index array and VB decoding the number in the index. The times shown in Table 5.1 are an average of 100 such runs.

Experiment ${\rm all} 5{\rm M}$ all50Mbyte5M byte50M small5Msmall50Mvsmall5Mvsmall50MBris4v5348.41768.83345.16772.84344.54768.45346.86771.61127.92Our4 263.22127.44263.5127.9262.67127.88262.5897.75308.17Bris8 98.47309.698.14307.8197.99308.09Bris8v5 287.46288.63128.14287.84127.83127.7291.32127.99 Our8 103.85230.16230.14103.86230.02230.6103.92 103.95

Table 5.1: Results in milliseconds, smaller is better.

⁻ do cachegrind - - use array instead of vector; uint8 t; for bris? - comparison to basic implementation + Bri09 - compare with b=2,4,8

⁻ do we need to support search ()? - SIMD?

6 Conclusion

- here

7 Future work

- something to improve / research?

8 Conclusions

It is good to conclude with a summary of findings. You can also use separate chapter for discussion and future work. These details you can negotiate with your supervisor.

Bibliography

- Association, T. M. M. (1996). "The Complete MIDI 1.0 Detailed Specification". In:
- Brisaboa, N. R., Ladra, S., and Navarro, G. (2009). "Directly Addressable Variable-Length Codes". In: *String Processing and Information Retrieval*. Ed. by J. Karlgren, J. Tarhio, and H. Hyyrö. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 122–130. ISBN: 978-3-642-03784-9.
- Gog, S., Beller, T., Moffat, A., and Petri, M. (2014). "From Theory to Practice: Plug and Play with Succinct Data Structures". In: 13th International Symposium on Experimental Algorithms, (SEA 2014), pp. 326–337.
- Lemire, D., Kurz, N., and Rupp, C. (2018). "Stream VByte: Faster byte-oriented integer compression". In: *Information Processing Letters* 130, 1–6. ISSN: 0020-0190. DOI: 10. 1016/j.ipl.2017.09.011. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2017.09.011.
- Manning, C. D., Raghavan, P., and Schütze, H. (2008). *Introduction to Information Retrieval*.
- Plaisance, J., Kurz, N., and Lemire, D. (2015). *Vectorized VByte Decoding*. arXiv: 1503. 07387 [cs.IR].
- Salomon, D. (2007). Variable-length codes for data compression. Springer, Heidelberg.
- Scholer, F., Williams, H. E., Yiannis, J., and Zobel, J. (2002). "Compression of Inverted Indexes For Fast Query Evaluation". In:
- Williams, H. E. and Zobel, J. (1999). "Compressing Integers for Fast File Access". In: *COMPJ: The Computer Journal* 42.3, pp. 193–201.
- Zobel, J. and Moffat, A. (1995). "Adding Compression to a Full-text Retrieval System". In: Software-practice and experience 25.8, pp. 891–903.