

I wanted to try out making a programming language vaguely corresponding to the double category Rel.

You have a calculus of “values” corresponding to one edge of Rel and a sort of relational calculus of “predicates” corresponding to the other edge. Satisfies judgements stating a value satisfies a predicate ought to correspond to squares.

The language of “predicates” is based off the Simply Typed Lambda Calculus (in category theory terms is closed/has exponential objects) and the language of “values” has product types (in category theory terms is Cartesian.)

This is the core framework. I’ve been thinking about further extensions but I want to see how far I can get characterizing Rel with as few language features as possible. Later on I give a few possible extensions.

## Core Calculus

**Types**  $t ::= 1 \mid t \times t$

**Sorts**  $s ::= t? \mid t!$

**Values**  $v ::= x \mid \pi_1 v \mid \pi_2 v \mid (v, v)$

**Predicates**  $p ::= x \mid pp \mid \mu(x : t?).p$

**Environment**  $\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid x : s, \Gamma$

**Substitutions**  $\sigma ::= \cdot \mid v \models x, \sigma$

I need a better name for the abstraction for predicates. It’s a little like the  $\mu$  abstraction  $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$  but different.

Typing judgments.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{tt} : 1!}{\Gamma \vdash v : t_0 \times t_1!}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 v : t_0!}}{\Gamma \vdash v : t_0 \times t_1!} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 v : t_1!}{\Gamma \vdash v_0 : t_0! \quad \Gamma \vdash v_1 : t_1!} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash (v_0, v_1) : t_0 \times t_1!}{\Gamma \vdash p_0 : t_0 \times t_1? \quad \Gamma \vdash p_1 : t_0?} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p_0 p_1 : t_1?}{x : t_0?, \Gamma \vdash p : t_1?} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p : t_1?}{\Gamma \vdash \mu(x : t_0?).p : t_0 \times t_1?}$$

When a value satisfies a predicate (I need a better symbol here.)

$$\frac{v_0 \models p [\sigma]}{\pi_1(v_0, v_1) \models p [\sigma]} \quad \frac{v_1 \models p [\sigma]}{\pi_2(v_0, v_1) \models p [\sigma]} \quad \frac{v \models [x := p_1]p_0 [\sigma]}{v \models (\mu(x : t?).p_0) p_1 [\sigma]} \quad \frac{v_1 \models p [v_0 \models x, \sigma]}{(v_0, v_1) \models \mu(x : t?).p [\sigma]}$$

Example

$$(v, v) \models \mu(x : t?).x [\sigma]$$

## Disjoint Unions

I am fairly confident in a simple extension to disjoint unions of sets which are sum types in the value calculus and product types in the predicate calculus.

**Types**  $t ::= \dots \mid 0 \mid t + t$

**Values**  $v ::= \dots \mid i_1 t v \mid i_2 t v \mid \text{match } v \text{ with } |i_1 x \Rightarrow v \mid i_2 x \Rightarrow v \text{ end}$

**Predicates**  $p ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{left } p \mid \mathbf{right } p \mid [p; p]$

Typing judgments.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : t_0!}{\Gamma \vdash i_1 t_1 v : t_0 + t_1!} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v : t_1!}{\Gamma \vdash i_2 t_0 v : t_0 + t_1!} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v_0 : t_0 + t_1! \quad x_0 : t_0!, \Gamma \vdash v_1 : t_2! \quad x_1 : t_1!, \Gamma \vdash v_2 : t_2!}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{match } v_0 \text{ with } |i_1 x_0 \Rightarrow v_1 \mid i_2 x_1 \Rightarrow v_2 \text{ end} : t_2!} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} : 0?}{\Gamma \vdash p : t_0 + t_1?} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{left } p : t_0?}{\Gamma \vdash p : t_0 + t_1?} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{right } p : t_1?}{\Gamma \vdash p_0 : t_0? \quad \Gamma \vdash p_1 : t_1?} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p_0 : t_0? \quad \Gamma \vdash p_1 : t_1?}{\Gamma \vdash [p_0; p_1] : t_0 + t_1?}$$

Satisfies

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{[x_0 := v_0]v_1 \models p [\sigma]}{\mathbf{match} \, i_1 \, t \, v_0 \, \mathbf{with} \, |i_1 x_0 \Rightarrow v_1 \, |i_2 x_1 \Rightarrow v_2 \, \mathbf{end} \models p [\sigma]} \\
\frac{[x_1 := v_0]v_2 \models p [\sigma]}{\mathbf{match} \, i_2 \, t \, v_0 \, \mathbf{with} \, |i_1 x_0 \Rightarrow v_1 \, |i_2 x_1 \Rightarrow v_2 \, \mathbf{end} \models p [\sigma]} \\
\frac{v \models p_0 [\sigma]}{v \models \mathbf{left} \, [p_0; p_1] \, [\sigma]} \\
\frac{v \models p_1 [\sigma]}{v \models \mathbf{right} \, [p_0; p_1] \, [\sigma]} \\
\frac{v \models p_0 [\sigma]}{i_1 \, t \, v \models [p_0; p_1] \, [\sigma]} \\
\frac{v \models p_1 [\sigma]}{i_2 \, t \, v \models [p_0; p_1] \, [\sigma]}
\end{array}$$

## Dependent Sums

If product of sets becomes an exponential in the predicate calculus then dependent sums ought to become like  $\Pi$  types. So the predicate calculus effectively becomes like System-F.

Some things become awkward to interpret here though.

I also really can't figure out unpacking. It's messy if you don't want full dependent types.

**Types**  $t ::= \dots \mid x \mid \Sigma(x : \mathbf{type}).t$

**Sorts**  $s ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{type}$

**Values**  $v ::= \dots \mid \langle x := t, v \rangle$

**Predicates**  $p ::= \dots \mid p \, t \mid \mathbf{M}(x : \mathbf{type}).p$

**Substitutions**  $\sigma ::= \dots \mid t \models x, \sigma$

Not really good at the typing judgements for dependent sum types.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : \mathbf{type} \quad x : \mathbf{type}, \Gamma \vdash v : t_1!}{\Gamma \vdash \langle x := t_0, v \rangle : \Sigma(x : \mathbf{type}).t_0!} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \Sigma(x : \mathbf{type}).t_1? \quad \Gamma \vdash t_0 : \mathbf{type}}{\Gamma \vdash p_0 \, t_0 : [x := t_0]t_1?} \\
\frac{x : \mathbf{type}, \Gamma \vdash p : t?}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{M}(x : \mathbf{type}).p : \Sigma(x : \mathbf{type}).t?}
\end{array}$$

I can't figure out satisfaction at all.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{v \models [x := t]p [\sigma]}{v \models (\mathbf{M}(x : \mathbf{type}).p) \, t [\sigma]} \\
\frac{v \models p [t \models x, \sigma]}{\langle x := t, v \rangle \models \mathbf{M}(x : \mathbf{type}).p [\sigma]}
\end{array}$$