Marginal Model for Categorical Data: Case Studies

A 2×2 Crossover Trial

- A **crossover trial** of efficacy of two treatments on cerebrovascular deficiency. Sixty-seven subjects from one center was used in the analysis for illustration.
- Two treatment arms (A: active drug, B: placebo) in the trial.
- Thirty-four patients received the active drug (A) followed by placebo (B); another 33 patients were treated in the reverse order.
- Binary outcome, 1: normal electrocardiogram reading, 0: abnormal reading.

Crossover design is one in which subjects are given a sequence of treatments with the objective of studying the difference between individual treatment.

- In crossover design, a subject can be considered as his/her own control to eliminate between subject variation, hence crossover design is more powerful than similar size parallel design.
- Period-by-treatment interactions may indicate **carry over effect**. A reasonable **wash out period** is needed.

```
>xover <- read.table ("../data/xover1.data", col.names = c("id", "class", "y", "intercept",
                       "trt", "period", "xover", "BA"))
> xover$trtA <- 1-xover$trt</pre>
> xover$trtAP <- xover$trtA*xover$period</pre>
> xoverw <- reshape (xover[,c("id", "y", "period", "BA")],</pre>
                    direction = "wide", v.names = "y", timevar = "period",idvar = "id")
> xoverw$respat <- ifelse(xoverw$y.0==0,2,3)</pre>
> xoverw$respat[(xoverw$y.0+xoverw$y.1)==2] <- 1</pre>
> xoverw$respat[(xoverw$y.0+xoverw$y.1)==0] <- 4</pre>
> #Table 8.1 in DHLZ book
> tab8.1 <- cbind(table(xoverw$BA,xoverw$respat),table(xoverw$BA),
            table(xover$BA[xover$y==1],xover$period[xover$y==1]))
> dimnames(tab8.1) <- list(c("AB", "BA"), c("(1,1)", "(0,1)", "(1,0)", "(0,0)",
                       "Total", "Period 1 Effective", "Period 2 Effective"))
+
> tab8.1
   (1,1) (0,1) (1,0) (0,0) Total Period 1 Effective Period 2 Effective
AB
      22
              0
                    6
                                34
                                                    28
                                                                        22
      18
                    2
                                33
BA
             4
                          9
                                                    20
                                                                        22
```

- What is the treatment effect if only period 1 data are considered?
 - > # Log odds ratio comparing the chance of being normal for drug vs. placebo using period 1 data > log((28*13)/(20*6)) [1] 1.109662
 - > # Standard error for the estimated log-odds ratio
 - > sqrt(1/28+1/6+1/20+1/13)
 - [1] 0.5738502
 - > # Z
 - > 1.109662/0.5738502
 - [1] 1.933714

The estimated treatment effect based on the first period's data is not significant. Combining the data from both periods could improve efficiency.

- Any within-subject correlation?
 - For patients treated with AB
 - For patients treated with BA
- Any carry-over effect (treatment-by-period interaction)?

Fit a GEE marginal model for the 2×2 Crossover Trial.

```
> library(gee)
> summary (gee (y ~ trtA+period+trtAP, data = xover, cor = "exchangeable",
                id = id, family = binomial, scale.fix = TRUE))
Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
running glm to get initial regression estimate
(Intercept)
                   trtA
                             period
                                          trtAP
  0.4307829 1.1096621 0.1753529 -1.0226507
      GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS FOR DEPENDENT DATA
 GEE:
 gee S-function, version 4.13 modified 98/01/27 (1998)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logit
 Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
 Correlation Structure:
                           Exchangeable
Call:
gee(formula = y ~ trtA + period + trtAP, id = id, data = xover,
   family = binomial, corstr = "exchangeable", scale.fix = TRUE)
Summary of Residuals:
                          Median
                                         30
       Min
                   10
                                                   Max
-0.8235294 -0.6060606 0.1764706 0.3529412 0.3939394
```

Coefficients:

```
Estimate Naive S.E.
                                  Naive z Robust S.E.
                                                       Robust z
(Intercept)
            0.4307829 0.3562627 1.2091723
                                            0.3562627 1.2091723
trtA
            1.1096621 0.5738502 1.9337140 0.5738502 1.9337140
period
            0.1753529  0.5056787  0.3467674  0.5056787  0.3467674
trtAP
           -1.0226507 0.9846776 -1.0385641 0.9789663 -1.0446231
```

Estimated Scale Parameter: 1

Number of Iterations: 1

Working Correlation

[,1][,2]

[1,] 1.0000000 0.6401548

[2,] 0.6401548 1.0000000

- > # Drop the interaction term
- > summary (gee (y ~ trtA+period, data = xover, cor = "exchangeable",
- id = id, family = binomial, scale.fix = TRUE)) +

Coefficients:

Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z (Intercept) 0.6660113 0.2842188 2.343304 0.2878956 2.313378 trtA 0.5690305 0.2287782 2.487258 0.2327207 2.445123 period -0.2953176 0.2271589 -1.300048 0.2311211 -1.277761

Fit a GEE marginal model with working independent correlation.

```
> summary (gee (y ~ trtA+period, data = xover, cor = "independence",
              id = id, family = binomial, scale.fix = TRUE))
+
Model:
Link:
                        Logit
Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
                        Independent
Correlation Structure:
Coefficients:
            Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
(Intercept) 0.6603929 0.3212796 2.0555081 0.2874920 2.297083
trtA
          period
          -0.2743154   0.3768179   -0.7279787   0.2322731   -1.181004
Working Correlation
    [,1] [,2]
[1,]
           0
[2,]
      0 1
```

Fit a GEE marginal model with odds ratio and alternating logistic regression.

The alr package of R implements the ALR algorithm (http://www.biostat.harvard.edu/~carey/vcwww_4.html).

```
> library(alr)
> # Model 1 in Table 8.2 of DHLZ
> x1 <- as.matrix (xover[,c("trtA", "period","trtAP")])</pre>
> xover.alr1 <- alr (xover$y ~ x1, id = xover$id,</pre>
                    ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
[1] "alternating logistic regression - Splus, @(#) alr.q 4.4 98/02/24"
[1] "Running glm to get initial estimates"
[1] 0.4307829 1.1096621 0.1753529 -1.0226507
[1] "nobs"
[1] 134
> summary (xover.alr1)
ALR: ALTERNATING LOGISTIC REGRESSION
alr S-function, version 4.4 98/02/24
Call:
alr(formula = xover$y ~ x1, id = xover$id, ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
Summary of Residuals:
       Min
                   10
                          Median
                                          3Q
                                                    Max
-0.8235294 -0.6060606 0.1764706 0.3529412 0.3939394
```

Coefficients:

Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z
(Intercept) 0.4307829 0.3562627 1.2091723
x1trtA 1.1096621 0.5738502 1.9337140
x1period 0.1753529 0.5056787 0.3467674
x1trtAP -1.0226507 0.9789663 -1.0446231

Alpha:

Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z a1 3.537803 0.8200298 4.314238

Number of observations : 134 Number of Iterations : 5

> # Odds ratio between the two periods
> exp(3.54)

[1] 34.46692

Drop the interaction term from the above ALR model.

a1 3.561692 0.8147993 4.37125

```
> # Model 2 in Table 8.2 of DHLZ
> x2 <- as.matrix (xover[,c("trtA", "period")])</pre>
> xover.alr2 <- alr (xover$y ~ x2, id = xover$id,
                    ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
> summary (xover.alr2)
ALR: ALTERNATING LOGISTIC REGRESSION
alr S-function, version 4.4 98/02/24
Call:
alr(formula = xover$y ~ x2, id = xover$id, ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
Summary of Residuals:
       Min
                  1Q
                         Median
                                        3Q
                                                  Max
-0.7761458 -0.5937025 0.2238542 0.3375072 0.4062975
Coefficients:
              Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z
(Intercept) 0.6744228 0.2882568 2.339659
x2trtA
            0.5689228 0.2335157 2.436336
x2period
            -0.2951299 0.2318499 -1.272935
Alpha:
   Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z
```

A 3×3 Crossover Trial

- Three-period crossover trial of an analgesic drug for pain relieving.
- Three levels of analgesic (placebo, low, and high) were given to each of the 86 women.
- Women were randomized to one of the six possible orders for administering the three treatment levels.
- Ignoring the order of treatment, pain was relieved for 26% with placebo, 73% with low dose, and 78% with high dose
- A cross-over study, the treatment changes each time.
- Binary outcome, 1: relief, 0: no relief.

```
> xover3 <- read.table ("../data/xover3new.txt",col.names = c("id", "class",
                "relief", "intercept", "tx2", "tx3", "p2", "p3", "ptx1", "ptx2", "ptx3"))
+
> xover3$period <- ifelse (xover3$p2 == 1, 2,ifelse (xover3$p3 == 1, 3, 1))
> xover3$tr <- ifelse (xover3$tx2 == 1, 2,ifelse (xover3$tx3 == 1, 3, 1))
>
> xover3 <- xover3[order(xover3$id,xover3$period),]</pre>
> xover3w <- reshape (xover3[,c("id", "relief", "period", "tr")],</pre>
                    direction = "wide", timevar = "period",idvar = "id")
> xover3w$grp[xover3w$tr.1==1] <- ifelse(xover3w$tr.2[xover3w$tr.1==1] == 2, 1, 2)
> xover3w$grp[xover3w$tr.1==2] <- ifelse(xover3w$tr.2[xover3w$tr.1==2] == 1, 3, 4)
> xover3w$grp[xover3w$tr.1==3] <- ifelse(xover3w$tr.2[xover3w$tr.1==3] == 1, 5, 6)
>
> xover3w$pat <- 1</pre>
> xover3w$pat[apply(xover3w[,c(2,4,6)],1,sum)==3] <- 8
> xover3w$pat[apply(xover3w[,c(2,4,6)],1,sum)==1] <- 2
> ind34 <- xover3w$pat==2&xover3w$relief.1==0</pre>
> xover3w$pat[ind34] <- ifelse(xover3w$relief.2[ind34] == 1, 3, 4)</pre>
> xover3w$pat[apply(xover3w[,c(2,4,6)],1,sum)==2] <- 7
> ind56 <- xover3w$pat==7&xover3w$relief.1==1</pre>
> xover3w$pat[ind56] <- ifelse(xover3w$relief.2[ind56] == 1, 5, 6)</pre>
>
> #Table 8.3 in DHLZ book
> tab8.3 <- cbind(table(xover3w$grp,xover3w$pat),table(xover3w$grp))</pre>
> dimnames(tab8.3) <- list(c("ABC","ACB","BAC","BCA","CAB","CBA"),</pre>
       c("000","100","010","001","110","101","011","111","Total"))
```

```
> tab8.3
    000 100 010 001 110 101 011 111 Total
ABC
                                       15
ACB
         1
              0
                 0 0
                                       16
BAC
            1 1 0
                                       15
BCA
              1 1 8
      0 1
                                       12
                      1
CAB
         0
                                       14
CBA
                      4
                                       14
          5
> # Look at all periods, ignorning within-subject correlation
> xover3tab <- table(xover3$relief,xover3$tr)</pre>
> xover3tab[2,]/apply(xover3tab,2,sum)
                  2
        1
                            3
0.2558140 0.7093023 0.8023256
> # Look at the data stratified by period
> with (xover3, ftable (period, relief, tr))
              tr 1 2 3
period relief
       0
1
                 24
                  7 20 21
                 20 11 5
2
       0
                  9 18 23
       1
                 20 7 5
3
       0
                  6 23 25
```

• Just from the above tables, it appears that the two different doses of the analgesic treatment (B and C) both did better than the placebo (A). The high dose may be slightly better than the low dose.

• Is there any carry-over effect?

```
> xover3$ptx <- ifelse (xover3$ptx1 == 1, 1, ifelse (xover3$ptx2 == 1, 2, 3))
> xover3$ptx[xover3$period == 1] <- 0</pre>
> with (xover3, ftable (ptx, relief, tr))
           tr 1 2 3
ptx relief
   0
              24 7 7
              7 20 21
              0 6 5
          0 23 26
           20 0 5
   0
           9 0 22
              20 12 0
3
    1
              6 18 0
> \text{round}(\text{matrix}(c(20*24/(7*7), 21*7/(7*20), 24*21/(7*7),
                 18*20/(6*12),26*6/(23*5),22*20/(9*5)), nrow=2,byrow=T),2)
     [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 9.8 1.05 10.29
[2,] 5.0 1.36 9.78
```

We fit four models, assuming working independence (model 1) or unstructured correlation (model 2) and using odds ratios to characterize the associations (model 3, 4).

Model 1: Working independence correlation.

```
> xover.gee <- gee (relief \sim p2 + p3 + tx2 + tx3 + ptx2 + ptx3,
                    data = xover3, scale.fix = TRUE, id = id,
+
                    family = binomial)
Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
running glm to get initial regression estimate
(Intercept)
                     p2
                                 рЗ
                                                                   ptx2
                                                                               ptx3
                                            tx2
                                                        tx3
 -1.0866229
              0.4141734
                        0.5885481 1.9493789 2.2222895 -0.1922158 -0.8308649
> summary (xover.gee)
      GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS FOR DEPENDENT DATA
 GEE:
 gee S-function, version 4.13 modified 98/01/27 (1998)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logit
 Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
 Correlation Structure:
                            Independent
Call:
gee(formula = relief \sim p2 + p3 + tx2 + tx3 + ptx2 + ptx3, id = id,
    data = xover3, family = binomial, scale.fix = TRUE)
```

Summary of Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -0.8486709 -0.2522547 0.1751094 0.2431169 0.8180683

Coefficients:

Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z (Intercept) -1.0866229 0.3280932 -3.3119335 0.3171169 -3.4265694 p2 0.4141734 0.4609959 0.8984318 0.4188751 0.9887755 рЗ 0.5885481 0.4752612 1.2383678 0.4556855 1.2915665 tx2 1.9493789 0.3888244 5.0135193 0.4139646 4.7090470 tx3 2.2222895 0.3945988 5.6317689 0.4203606 5.2866265 ptx2 -0.1922158 0.5070045 -0.3791205 0.5120981 -0.3753495 ptx3 -0.8308649 0.4818407 -1.7243562 0.4199874 - 1.9783093

Estimated Scale Parameter: 1

Number of Iterations: 1

Working Correlation

[,1] [,2] [,3] [1,] 1 0 0 [2,] 0 1 0 [3,] 0 0 1

Model 2: Working unstructured correlation.

```
> xover.gee <- gee (relief \sim p2 + p3 + tx2 + tx3 + ptx2 + ptx3,
                    data = xover3, scale.fix = TRUE,
+
                    family = binomial, corstr = "unstructured")
+
Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
running glm to get initial regression estimate
(Intercept)
                     p2
                                                        tx3
                                 рЗ
                                            tx2
                                                                   ptx2
                                                                               ptx3
                          0.5885481 1.9493789 2.2222895 -0.1922158 -0.8308649
 -1.0866229
              0.4141734
> summary (xover.gee)
 GEE:
      GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS FOR DEPENDENT DATA
 gee S-function, version 4.13 modified 98/01/27 (1998)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logit
 Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
 Correlation Structure:
                           Unstructured
Call:
gee(formula = relief \sim p2 + p3 + tx2 + tx3 + ptx2 + ptx3, data = xover3,
    family = binomial, corstr = "unstructured", scale.fix = TRUE)
Summary of Residuals:
                          Median
       Min
                   10
                                         3Q
                                                   Max
-0.8453150 -0.2494076 0.1717796 0.2401164 0.8222795
```

Coefficients:

```
Estimate Naive S.E.
                                    Naive z Robust S.E.
                                                          Robust z
(Intercept) -1.1017745 0.3259071 -3.3806403
                                              0.3215724 -3.4262094
            0.3759339 0.4753671
                                 0.7908287
                                              0.4167114 0.9021444
p2
рЗ
            0.5462774  0.4608093  1.1854738  0.4504195  1.2128191
tx2
            1.9884546 0.3801905 5.2301535
                                            0.4163466 4.7759601
tx3
            2.2538159  0.3878265  5.8114026  0.4252588  5.2998688
ptx2
           -0.1252514   0.4811531   -0.2603150   0.5122957   -0.2444904
ptx3
            -0.8060278 0.4598286 -1.7528876
                                            0.4167318 -1.9341644
```

Estimated Scale Parameter: 1

Number of Iterations: 3

Working Correlation

```
[,1]
                        [,2]
                                   [,3]
[1,] 1.00000000 -0.17040570 0.03317488
[2,] -0.17040570 1.00000000 0.03673587
[3,] 0.03317488 0.03673587 1.00000000
```

- The results are similar. The two doses of treatments seemed to be highly effective and the difference between them is small (odds ratios ~ 8).
- There is a slight evidence for the carry-over effect after the high dose analgesic (OR is exp(-0.81) =0.4).
- DHLZ Example 8.2 used different models, i.e. ALR, (models 1 and 2 in Table 8.4, p152) with similar conclusions.

Model 3: ALR with exchangeable correlation for the association (model 1 in Table 8.4 of DHLZ).

```
> library (alr)
> x <- as.matrix (xover3[,c("p2", "p3", "tx2",
                             "tx3", "ptx2", "ptx3")])
> y <- xover3$relief
> xover.alr <- alr (y ~ x, id = xover3$id,</pre>
                    ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
[1] "alternating logistic regression - Splus, @(#) alr.q 4.4 98/02/24"
[1] "Running glm to get initial estimates"
[1] -1.0866229  0.4141734  0.5885481  1.9493789  2.2222895  -0.1922158  -0.8308649
[1] "nobs"
[1] 258
> summary (xover.alr)
ALR: ALTERNATING LOGISTIC REGRESSION
alr S-function, version 4.4 98/02/24
Call:
alr(formula = y ~ x, id = xover3$id, ainit = 0.01, depmodel = "exchangeable")
Summary of Residuals:
       Min
                          Median
                                                    Max
                   1Q
                                          3Q
-0.8493048 -0.2529760 0.1745086 0.2431256 0.8169703
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Robust S.E.	Robust z
(Intercept)	-1.0828026	0.3166356	-3.4197123
xp2	0.4183858	0.4206120	0.9947072
хрЗ	0.5935401	0.4582208	1.2953146
xtx2	1.9444196	0.4135581	4.7016844
xtx3	2.2184216	0.4190099	5.2944374
xptx2	-0.2095632	0.5156085	-0.4064387
xptx3	-0.8315376	0.4196590	-1.9814602

Alpha:

Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z a1 -0.2235135 0.3803329 -0.5876787

Number of observations : 258 Number of Iterations : 3

Model 4: ALR with unstructured correlation for the association (Model 2, Table 8.4).

For an unstructured correlation matrix, there are $n_i(n_i-1)/2$ parameters. For the balanced design here we have $n_i = 3$ and 3 odds ratios to estimate. We need first make a design matrix with $n_i(n_i-1) \times q$ (6×3) elements where q is the number of parameters to be estimated.

- The rows correspond to pairs (1,2), (1,3), (2,1), (2,3), (3,1), (3,2).
- Pairs of (1,2) and (2,1) share the same parameter, so they have the same values in their rows. So are (1,3) and (3,1); (2,3) and (3,2).

```
PubH8452 Longitudinal Data Analysis - Fall 2014
```

```
> summary (xover.alr)
```

. . .

Summary of Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -0.8445614 -0.2503970 0.1722254 0.2416136 0.8218775

Coefficients:

Estimate Robust S.E. Robust z (Intercept) -1.0964962 0.3203766 -3.4225233 xp2 0.3696734 0.4138135 0.8933333 хрЗ 0.5487134 0.4480590 1.2246452 xtx2 1.9818621 0.4145504 4.7807504 xtx3 2.2403497 0.4240615 5.2830774 xptx2 -0.1226300 0.5078993 -0.2414455 xptx3 -0.8022969 0.4159715 -1.9287305

Alpha:

ALR in SAS

The implementation of ALR is perhaps more mature in SAS:

```
data xover3;
   infile '../xover3new.txt';
   input id class relief inter tx2 tx3 p2 p3 ptx1 ptx2 ptx3;
run;
ALR with exchangeable correlation for the association.

proc genmod data = xover3 descending;
   class id;
   model relief = p2 p3 tx2 tx3 ptx2 ptx3 / dist = bin;
   repeated subject = id / logor = exch modelse;
run;
```

GEE Model Information

Log Odds Ratio Structure	Exchangeable		
Subject Effect	id (86 levels)		
Number of Clusters	86		
Correlation Matrix Dimension	3		
Maximum Cluster Size	3		
Minimum Cluster Size	3		

Algorithm converged.

GEE Fit Criteria

QIC	297.1377
QICu	297.1526

Analysis Of GEE Parameter Estimates Empirical Standard Error Estimates

		Standard	95% Con	fidence		
Parameter	Estimate	Error	Lim	its	Z	Pr > Z
Intercept	-1.0828	0.3166	-1.7034	-0.4622	-3.42	0.0006
p2	0.4184	0.4206	-0.4060	1.2428	0.99	0.3199
рЗ	0.5935	0.4582	-0.3046	1.4916	1.30	0.1952
tx2	1.9444	0.4136	1.1339	2.7550	4.70	<.0001
tx3	2.2184	0.4190	1.3972	3.0397	5.29	<.0001
ptx2	-0.2096	0.5156	-1.2201	0.8010	-0.41	0.6844
ptx3	-0.8315	0.4197	-1.6541	-0.0090	-1.98	0.0475
Alpha1	-0.2235	0.3803	-0.9690	0.5219	-0.59	0.5567

ALR with unstructured correlation for the association.

```
proc genmod data = xover3 descending;
  class id;
  model relief = p2 p3 tx2 tx3 ptx2 ptx3 / dist = bin;
  repeated subject = id / logor = fullclust modelse;
run;
```

GEE Model Information

Log Odds Ratio Structure	Fully Parameterized Clusters
Subject Effect	id (86 levels)
Number of Clusters	86
Correlation Matrix Dimension	3
Maximum Cluster Size	3
Minimum Cluster Size	3

Log Odds Ratio
Parameter Information

Parameter	Group		
Alpha1	(1,	2)	
Alpha2	(1,	3)	
Alpha3	(2,	3)	

Algorithm converged.

GEE Fit Criteria

QIC 297.0066 QICu 297.1717

Analysis Of GEE Parameter Estimates Empirical Standard Error Estimates

		Standard	95% Con	fidence		
Parameter	Estimate	Error	Lim	its	Z	Pr > Z
Intercept	-1.0965	0.3204	-1.7244	-0.4686	-3.42	0.0006
p2	0.3697	0.4138	-0.4414	1.1807	0.89	0.3717
р3	0.5487	0.4481	-0.3295	1.4269	1.22	0.2207
tx2	1.9819	0.4146	1.1693	2.7944	4.78	<.0001
tx3	2.2404	0.4241	1.4092	3.0715	5.28	<.0001
ptx2	-0.1226	0.5079	-1.1181	0.8729	-0.24	0.8092
ptx3	-0.8023	0.4160	-1.6176	0.0130	-1.93	0.0538
Alpha1	-0.9644	0.6067	-2.1536	0.2248	-1.59	0.1120
Alpha2	0.1366	0.7075	-1.2501	1.5233	0.19	0.8469
Alpha3	0.2623	0.6957	-1.1012	1.6257	0.38	0.7062

• Other possible choices for logor are: logorvar (allows the log OR to depend on another variable, e.g., center); ZFULL (fully specified z-matrix specified in ZDATA= data set) etc.

Seizure Data

- Clinical trial of 59 epileptics.
- For each patients, the number of epileptic seizures was recorded during a baseline period of eight weeks.
- Patients were randomized to be treated with the anti-epileptic drug progabide or placebo.
- Number of seizures was then recorded in four consecutive two-week intervals.
- Does progabide treatment reduce the rate of epileptic seizures?
- Count data.

A little data manipulation such that each person will have an additional row of baseline (or pre-treatment) data.

```
> seize <- read.table ("../data/seize.data",
                       col.names = c("id", "seizure", "week",
+
                       "progabide", "baseline8", "age"))
> seize.base <- data.frame (id = seize$id, seizure = seize$baseline8, week = seize$week,
                            progabide = seize$progabide, age = seize$age)
> seize.base <- seize.base[seize.base$week == 1,]</pre>
> seize.base$week <- 0</pre>
> seize.full <- rbind (seize[,-5], seize.base)
> seize.full <- seize.full[order(seize.full$id, seize.full$week),]
> seize.full$time <- ifelse (seize.full$week == 0, 8, 2)
> seize.full$post <- as.numeric (seize.full$week != 0)
> seize.full[1:10,]
      id seizure week progabide age time post
1131 101
              76
                    0
                              1 18
                                       8
113 101
                              1 18
              11
                   1
114 101
                              1 18
              14
115 101
                              1 18
116 101
                    4
                              1 18
                                            1
1171 102
              38
                    0
                              1 32
                                            0
117 102
                              1 32
                              1 32
                                       2
118 102
                                         1
                              1 32
119 102
               9
                                            1
120 102
               4
                    4
                              1 32
                                       2
                                            1
```

Fit a Poisson family GEE model with exchangeable correlation (Table 8.11, text book).

• Offset (a term with constant coefficient) is used to take into account that the time periods are different (8 weeks vs 2 weeks).

$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \log(t_{ij}\lambda_{ij}) = \log(t_{ij}) + \log\lambda_{ij} = \frac{\log(t_{ij})}{2} + \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

```
> sg1 <- gee (seizure ~ post + progabide + post:progabide +
              offset (log(time)),
              data = seize.full, id = id, family = "poisson",
              cor = "exchangeable")
Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
running glm to get initial regression estimate
   (Intercept)
                         post
                               progabide post:progabide
                 0.11079814 0.02651461
    1.34760922
                                                -0.10368067
> summary (sg1)
 GEE:
       GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS FOR DEPENDENT DATA
 gee S-function, version 4.13 modified 98/01/27 (1998)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logarithm
 Variance to Mean Relation: Poisson
 Correlation Structure:
                           Exchangeable
Call:
gee(formula = seizure ~ post + progabide + post:progabide + offset(log(time)),
```

```
id = id, data = seize.full, family = "poisson", corstr = "exchangeable")
```

Summary of Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -4.299107 -1.299107 2.020161 10.374640 147.048387
```

Coefficients:

```
Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z (Intercept) 1.34760922 0.1511851 8.9136359 0.1573571 8.5640166 post 0.11079814 0.1547038 0.7161956 0.1160997 0.9543358 progabide 0.02651461 0.2072721 0.1279217 0.2218539 0.1195138 post:progabide -0.10368067 0.2199500 -0.4713830 0.2136100 -0.4853736
```

Estimated Scale Parameter: 19.70269

Number of Iterations: 1

Working Correlation

```
[,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
[1,] 1.000000 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588
[2,] 0.771588 1.000000 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588
[3,] 0.771588 0.771588 1.000000 0.771588 0.771588
[4,] 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588 1.000000 0.771588
[5,] 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588 0.771588 1.000000
```

A negative coefficient for post:progabide suggests a greater reduction in number of seizures for the treatment group compared with the control group.

Illustration of over-dispersed seizure count data.

```
> seizew <- reshape(seize[, c("id", "seizure", "week", "progabide")],
                     direction = "wide", v.names = "seizure", timevar = "week",idvar = "id")
+
>
> Treated <- apply(seizew[seizew[,"progabide"]==1,3:6],2,var)/apply(</pre>
                   seizew[seizew[,"progabide"]==1,3:6],2,mean)
+
>
> Placebo <- apply(seizew[seizew[,"progabide"]==0,3:6],2,var)/apply(</pre>
                   seizew[seizew[,"progabide"]==0,3:6],2,mean)
+
>
> #Table 8.8 in DHLZ book, variance-to-mean ratios
>
> round(rbind(Treated,Placebo),2)
        seizure.1 seizure.2 seizure.3 seizure.4
            38.78
                      16.71
                                23.75
Treated
                                           18.91
Placebo
            10.98
                   8.04
                                24.50
                                            7.31
```

We can also allow the dispersion parameter to differ between the treatment and placebo group by fitting Yan and Fine (2004)'s model.

```
> library(geepack)
> sg2 <- geese (seizure ~ post + progabide + post:progabide +
                 offset (log(time)), sformula = ~ progabide,
+
                 data = seize.full, id = id, family = "poisson",
                 corstr = "exchangeable")
+
> summary (sg2)
Call:
geese(formula = seizure ~ post + progabide + post:progabide +
    offset(log(time)), sformula = ~progabide, id = id, data = seize.full,
    family = "poisson", corstr = "exchangeable")
Mean Model:
 Mean Link:
                            log
 Variance to Mean Relation: poisson
 Coefficients:
                  estimate
                                            wald
                              san.se
(Intercept)
                1.34760922 0.1620151 69.18579843 1.110223e-16
post
                0.11079814 0.1203986 0.84688095 3.574362e-01
progabide
                0.02651461 0.2251817 0.01386450 9.062676e-01
post:progabide -0.10368067 0.2159766 0.23045286 6.311882e-01
```

Scale Model:

Scale Link: identity

Estimated Scale Parameters:

estimate san.se wald p
(Intercept) 14.228486 4.592945 9.596986 0.001948970
progabide 9.910183 16.657605 0.353947 0.551887297

Correlation Model:

Correlation Structure: exchangeable

Correlation Link: identity

Estimated Correlation Parameters:

estimate san.se wald p alpha 0.7451173 0.08256208 81.44944 0

Returned Error Value: 0

Number of clusters: 59 Maximum cluster size: 5

For illustration, we assume an exchangeable correlation structure where the correlation may depend on age.

$$Cor(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik}) = \rho_i$$

$$log\left(\frac{1+\rho_i}{1-\rho_i}\right) = \alpha_1 + Age_i\alpha_2$$

This model can be fitted using geese. The design matrix for the correlation model has to be constructed by hand. The matrix Z has the same number of rows as the number of clusters (the covariate should be invariant within a cluster).

Coefficients:

estimate san.se wald p
(Intercept) 1.413723297 0.1697429 6.936582e+01 1.110223e-16
progabide 0.003521332 0.2227135 2.499890e-04 9.873851e-01
post 0.119463923 0.1448016 6.806551e-01 4.093612e-01
progabide:post -0.321152168 0.2880464 1.243073e+00 2.648795e-01

Scale Model:

Scale Link: identity

Estimated Scale Parameters:

estimate san.se wald p
(Intercept) 13.30317 4.188812 10.0862304 0.001493814
progabide 14.30612 19.040456 0.5645324 0.452439804

Correlation Model:

Correlation Structure: exchangeable

Correlation Link: fisherz

Estimated Correlation Parameters:

estimate san.se wald p alpha:1 5.8652470 2.80875050 4.360600 0.03677934 alpha:2 -0.1370331 0.08139154 2.834606 0.09225342

Returned Error Value: 0

Number of clusters: 59 Maximum cluster size: 5

Further Reading

• Chapter 8 of DHLZ.