

Analysis the Movement Variability in Dance Activities using Wearable Sensors

First A. Author, Second B. Author, and Third C. Author

Abstract—We present preliminary results of the assessment of variability for dance activities using a technique from nonlinear dynamics (time-delay embedding). As a preliminary experiment, we asked thirteen participants to compute the reconstructed state space in order to visually assess the variability of the dancers which is linked with their level of skillfulness of the dancers.

I. INTRODUCTION

VARIABILITY is an inherent feature that occurs not only within individual but also between individual systems of movement [1]. Newell and Corcos [1] stated that the movement variability (MV) increases or decreases as a function of practice which is linked with the increment or diminution of skill. For instance, in sport biomechanics, Prenton *et al.* [2] stated two important facts about the MV: i) MV should not be treated as a noise that needs to be removed and ii) conventional approaches can only quantify the overall variability. Hence, Prenton *et al.* [2] examined nonlinear methodologies (entropy measures, dynamical systems theory approaches, and principal component analysis) that are able to deal with and measure variability. It is however concluded that analysis to be used for a particular movement is dependent on the movement in question [2].

Despite the previous efforts of researchers in biomechanics and sport science in measuring the MV, little research has been done with wearable sensors to both quantify the MV and link the MV with the skill assessment of users. For instance, Velloso *et al.* [3], assessed automatically the quality of weight-lifting activity to quantify how good the repetition of weight-lifting. Further examples of skill assessment using wearable sensors were investigated on music violin players [4] or medical students doing surgical activities [5].

We believe that the use of nonlinear tools will provide better measurements and further understanding of the variability and skill assessment of activities. For instance, Liao, Guo, Qin and Wang used the Empirical Mode Decomposition for activity recognition using accelerometer

data [6]. Samaet *et al.* [7], Frank *et al.* [8] used the time-delay embedding technique for gait recognition using inertial sensors.

For the current work, we are interested in the question of how time-delay embedding and PCA techniques can provide insights into the variability and dexterity of dancers. To this end, we consider the performance of a set of steps from Salsa dance and compare non-dancers in one cohort with experienced dancers in another.

II. METHODS

A. Time-delay embedding

The aim of time-delay embedding is to reconstruct a k -dimensional manifold M of an unknown dynamical system $s(t)$ from a time series $x(t)$. The time-delay reconstruction is defined as: $x(t) = (x(t), x(t-\tau), \dots, x(t-(m-1)\tau))$ where m is the embedding dimension and τ is the embedding time-delay. For more details, see the work of Sama *et al.* [7].

B. Framework for the experiment

The raw data is collected from triaxial accelerometer, triaxial gyroscope and triaxial magnetometer sensors. Then, the time-series, for instance, a_x , with a length of N samples is used to obtain the time-delay embedded matrix, $E(a_x)$, with m rows and $N - (m-1)\tau$ columns. Finally, the PCA algorithm is applied to obtain, via eigenvalues ($\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$) of eigenvectors (v_1, \dots, v_m), the principal components (PC_1, \dots, PC_m) of the time-delay embedded phase space (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Diagram for the reconstructed state space.

C. Participants

Thirteen participants with different years of experience in dancing salsa were invited, one (male) expert dancer (14 years of experience), one intermediate (male) dancer (4 years of experience) and eleven non-dancers. The non-dancers were engineering students (4 female, 7 male). The design of the experiment was approved by the University of X ethics approval process. All participants provided informed consent prior to participation.

F. A. Author is with the Bioengineering Group of the Spanish National Research Council, Madrid, Spain (corresponding author to provide e-mail: author@csic.es).

S. B. Author is with the University of Houston, USA (e-mail is preferable).

T. C. Author is with Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico (e-mail is preferable).

P. R. Author is with University of Twente (e-mail is preferable).




Figure 2. 2-D reconstructed state spaces for the expert, intermediate and non-dancer participants for step 1 (m z data) and step 2 (m y data). First two component of the PCA with embedding parameters ($m = 10$ and $\tau = 6$).

D. Experiment design

Experimental task was explained to them. Each participant was shown a series of video clips (recorded by the expert dancer) demonstrating Salsa steps. Each video clip showed one step repeated several times for 20 seconds. For the analysis in this work, we report two Salsa step patterns: step 1 which is mambo and step 2 which is sidecrossover (Figure 2). Participants watched the video clip and were then asked to copy the steps in time to music. The video was played during the data collection (so that participants did not have to rely on their memory of the steps). Data were collected from the IMUs and recorded. For this work, the analysis reported will focus on data taken from the sensor mounted on the left ankle.

E. Data collection

Data from 3-axis accelerometer, gyroscope and magnetometer were collected at a sampling rate of 50Hz using Razor 9DOF IMU with Bluetooth (Adeunis ARF7044). The IMUs were attached to custom-made bracelets worn by participants.

III. RESULTS

Figure 2 illustrates the 2-D reconstructed state space for the non-dancer, intermediate and expert dancers. The reconstructed state spaces visually help us to distinguish different levels of dexterity. It is immediately noticeable that the shape of the state spaces for each level (novice, intermediate, expert) appears visually similar across step 1. As the participants are meant to be performing the same action, this similarity is to be expected. However, the state spaces also show a tighter and less varied pattern for the expert than for the other dexterity levels. This suggests that the expert is producing more repeatable, more consistent actions than the other dexterity levels. While this is to be expected, the reconstructed state spaces provide interesting illustrations of this phenomenon. For step 2, which is a more complicated sequence of movements, one can see a marked contrast across dexterity levels. Again, the expert is showing a consistent and repeatable action. The intermediate participant is showing a consistent action but this is different to that of the expert, and the novice is showing a pattern which appears disjointed and noisy. Indeed, for the novice dancer, the state space reconstruction of step 2 seems to have more in common with their state space for step 1 than it does with the other dancers performing step 2.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Although the Time-delay embedding technique is subject to the embedded parameters (m and τ), the technique is useful to visually present the differences among levels of skillfulness. For future work, we are planning to present a review of the nonlinear techniques that can be used for the assessment of MV using wearable sensors. Especially, we believe that MV is an ongoing trend towards extending the understanding of human movement with potentially promising applications in the field of human-robot interaction.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. M. Newell and D. M. Corcos, Eds. *Variability and motor control*, 1st ed. United States of America: Human Kinetics Publishers Inc., 1993.
- [2] E. Preatoni, J. Hamill, A. J. Harrison, K. Hayes, R. E. A. V. Emmerik, C. Wilson, and R. Rodado, "Movement variability and skills monitoring in sports," *Sports Biomechanics*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 62-92, 2013.
- [3] E. Velloso, A. Bulling, H. Gellersen, W. Ugulino, H. Fuks, "Qualitative activity recognition of weight lifting exercises," *Proceeding AH '13 Proceedings of the 4th Augmented Human International Conference*, pp. 116-123, 2013.
- [4] J. Van Der Linden, E. Schoonderwaldt, J. Bird, R. Johnson, "MusicJacket - Combining motion capture and vibrotactile feedback to teach violin bowing," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 60, pp. 104-113, 2011.
- [5] A. Khan, S. Mellor, E. Berlin, R. Thompson, R. McNaney, P. Olivier, T. Plotz, "Beyond Activity Recognition: Skill Assessment from Accelerometer Data," *UBICOMP*, 2015.
- [6] M. Liao, Y. Guo, Y. Qin, Y. Wang, "The application of EMD in activity recognition based on a single triaxial accelerometer," *Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering*, vol. 6, 2015.
- [7] A. Sama, F. J. Ruiz, A. Nuria and C. Perez-Lopez, A. Catala, J. Cabestany, "Gait identification by means of box approximation geometry of reconstructed attractors in latent space," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 121, pp. 77-88, 2013.
- [8] J. Frank, S. Mannor, D. Precup, "Activity and Gait Recognition with Time-Delay Embeddings," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1581-1586, 2010.