

# MATH 308 Assignment 18: Exercises 8.5

Nakul Joshi

April 22, 2014

4

$p = P(X \geq 20) = 1 - \text{ppois}(19, \lambda = 15) \approx 12.5\%$ . This implies that more than 10% of the months will have a birth rate as extreme as the one observed, which means that we cannot reject the null ( $\lambda = 15$ ) even at the 5% significance level.

lead to patients wasting money on a useless drug.

A Type II error would be failing to conclude that the drug is effective, when in fact it is. This would lead to a waste of the research money put into the drug, since the pharmaceutical company would then not be able to sell it.

6

a)

Running the test gives a  $p$ -value of  $10^{-4}$ . This lets us reject the null hypothesis (that the difference in means is zero) at the 1% significance level.

16

b)

The random assignment of seedlings to plots tends to remove confounding effects. Thus, the result hints at a causal relationship between presence of competition and diameter change.

Under the null,  $\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(25, 16/30)$ . Thus  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - 25}{4/\sqrt{30}}$ , where  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable. Thus, the null is rejected if  $Z \geq z_{1-\alpha} \implies \frac{\bar{X} - 25}{4/\sqrt{30}} \geq z_{0.95} \implies \bar{X} \geq 25 + \frac{4z_{0.95}}{\sqrt{30}} \equiv C$ .

But  $\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(27, 16/\sqrt{30}) \implies Z = \frac{\bar{X} - 27}{4/\sqrt{30}}$ . So,

11

Running the chi-squared test gives a  $p$ -value of 0.012, allowing us to reject the null at the 5% significance level.

14

A Type I error would be incorrectly concluding that the drug is effective when in fact it is not. This would

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \beta &= P(\bar{X} \geq C | \mu = 27) \\ &= P\left(\frac{4Z}{\sqrt{30}} + 27 \geq C\right) \\ &= P\left(Z \geq \frac{\sqrt{30}(C - 27)}{4}\right) \equiv P(Z \geq C_1) \\ &= 1 - P(Z < C_1) \approx 86.3\% \end{aligned}$$

17

18

25

36

37