Colonial Autonomy and State-Capacity: Evidence from Brazilian Capitanías

Nicolás A. Lillo B.* & Felipe Valencia C.[†]

December 2020

1 Sketch of Ideas

- We plan to answer the question in Iyer (2010): what had better (less worse) consequences, direct or indirect rule by a colonizing power.
- We will exploit quasi-random variation stemming from the way that Brazil was colonized. When Brazil was subdivided in *capitanías*, the borders between subdivisions were drawn as straight parallel lines. For this we rely on Cintra (2013).
- Identification comes from a fuzzy-RDD (Cattaneo, Idrobo and Titiunik 2019a; Cattaneo, Idrobo and Titiunik 2019b): the lines drawn in the 1530s subdivide the land into capitanías, some of which return to direct-rule by the Portuguese Crown, while others remain semi-autonomous until the late 18th century. This identification strategy is similar to Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2014).
- We hypothesise that colonial autonomy had a positive effect on long-run economic development through higher state capacity (Besley and Persson 2009), because capitaes had to distribute land more equally (to white settlers) and raise taxes, while the Crown could rely on its coffers to provide public goods.
 - I.e. capitães behaved like stationary bandits à la Olson (1993).
 - The argument is similar to Acemoglu's story about the Virginia company (Acemoglu and Robinson 2012). Basically, when people were allowed to settle the land, they were given property rights, which led to better institutions. We need to explore those mechanisms. For Capitanías, because the capitão couldn't exploit the whole land, they had to actually redistribute it to bring more colonists so that they could actually occupy the land. By doing so you perhaps lowered land inequality and solidified private ownership. Need to explore colonization patterns.
 - This raises the question: are there records of the Crown's investments in Brazil? Or some kind of "balance of payments" we could tease out capital flows during the colonial era.
- Historical data sources:
 - Naritomi (2007) and Naritomi, Soares and Assunção (2012)
 - Fujiwara, Laudares and Valencia Caicedo (2017)

^{*}Department of Economics, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Corresponding Author: nicolas_lillob@javeriana.edu.co

[†]Vancouver School of Economics, University of British Columbia and IZA

2 Other thoughts

- Proxy for indirect rule: "Lange (2009) constructs proxies of indirect rule in 1955 for 33 British colonies in Africa and elsewhere without major European settlements with the share of colonially recognized customary law cases to the total share of law cases brought in courts and then examines the association of this variable with democracy, state capacity, and political development in 1997–98. The analysis shows that democracy, bureaucratic quality, and state capacity are considerably worse in former British colonies where indirect rule was widely practiced, such as Sierra Leone, Malawi, and Nigeria." (Michalopoulos and Papaioannou 2020, p. 61)
- Determinants of direct/indirect rule: "As indirect rule correlates with colonizer identity, precolonial political centralization, (Gerring et al. 2011) and geographic-ecological features (De Juan 2017), it is quite challenging isolating its impact with cross- country or cross-colony approaches (like the ones of Lange (2009) and Ali et al. (2019)). Thus, recent works exploit regional within-colony variability which was far from negligible." (Michalopoulos and Papaioannou 2020, p. 63)
- Causal Effects of direct/indirect rule: "Lechler and McNamee (2018) look at Namibia, an interesting case because the North was ruled via local chiefs, while the German and South African administration ruled directly the Southern provinces. While German colonizers had initially planned to extend power and settle in the more developed North, a rinderpest pandemic killed around 95 percent of cattle herds in the South, "freeing" territory in central and southern provinces. The pandemic altered the strategy providing some quasi-random variation. In 1905 the Reichstag passed a resolution stating that policing in South West Africa should be limited to regions of direct German interest. Soon afterwards the colonial administration put a veterinary cor- don fence and in 1907 it institutionalized a formal police boundary separating "white" and "black" South West Africa. Lechler and McNamee (2018) compare political beliefs and participation using Afrobarometer surveys on the two sides of the colonial border. The regression discontinuity estimates uncover sharp differences at the border; pro-democracy beliefs and electoral participation are considerably lower on the north- ern side of the colonial border that was ruled indirectly via despotic chiefs. Moreover, support for authority and traditional leaders is higher on the northern side of the border. These results that stem from a credible empirical design offer direct support to Mamdani's thesis that by empowering local chiefs, indirect rule promoted authoritarianism postindependence." (Michalopoulos and Papaioannou 2020, p. 64)
- Causal Effects of concessions: "Lowes and Montero (2020) examine the legacy of these strategies focusing on two rubber concessions in northern Congo, the Anglo-Belgian India Rubber Company (ABIR) and Anversoise. Employing a spatial regression discontinuity design that compares outcomes at the border of the concessions, the authors explore their impact on various contemporary outcomes. They uncover several interesting patterns. First, living conditions, as reflected in the DHS composite wealth index (which takes into account access to basic public goods, quality of housing, and ownership of assets) are lower in areas just inside of the concessions. Second, literacy, schooling, vaccination rates, and height are significantly lower inside the historical concessionary boundaries. Third, chiefs' characteristics differ considerably at the historical border. Chiefs are less likely to be elected by the local community and public good provisions are worse inside the concession borders. Fourth, locals' respect for authority is signi-

ficantly higher in villages that were affected by forced labor. Fifth, individuals residing inside the concession boundaries have higher levels of trust and exhibit higher levels of pro-social behavior, suggesting that social capital was strengthened by the extractive colonial practices and the associated violence. Sixth, similar patterns emerge when the authors examine development outcomes across all concessions in Congo Free State. Their results are important, not only because they look at the most exemplifying example of colonial oppression, but because these concessions did minimal (if any) investment, and, hence, the estimates isolate the extractive nature of these policies." (Michalopoulos and Papaioannou 2020, p. 64)

References

- Acemoglu, Daron and James A. Robinson (2012). Why Nations Fail. Crown Books.
- Ali, Merima, Odd-Helge Fjeldstad, Boqian Jiang and Abdulaziz B. Shifa (2019). 'Colonial Legacy, State-building and the Salience of Ethnicity in Sub-Saharan Africa'. In: *The Economic Journal* 129.619, pp. 1048–1081.
- Besley, Timothy and Torsten Persson (2009). 'The Origins of State Capacity: Property Rights, Taxation, and Politics'. In: American Economic Review 99.4, pp. 1218–1244.
- Cattaneo, Matias D., Nicolás Idrobo and Rocío Titiunik (2019a). 'A Practical Introduction to Regression Discontinuity Designs: Volume I'. In: Cambridge Elements: Quantitative and Computational Methods for Social Science. Cambridge University Press.
- (2019b). 'A Practical Introduction to Regression Discontinuity Designs: Volume II'. In: Cambridge Elements: Quantitative and Computational Methods for Social Science. Cambridge University Press.
- Cintra, Jorge Pimentel (2013). 'Reconstruindo o mapa das capitanias hereditárias'. In: Anais do Museu Paulista: História e Cultura Material 21.2, pp. 11–45.
- De Juan, Alexander (2017). "Traditional" Resolution of Land Conflicts: The Survival of Precolonial Dispute Settlement in Burundi'. In: Comparative Political Studies 50.13, pp. 1835–1868.
- Fujiwara, Thomas, Humberto Laudares and Felipe Valencia Caicedo (2017). Tordesillas, Slavery and the Origins of Brazilian Inequality.
- Gerring, John, Daniel Ziblatt, Johan Van Gorp and Julián Arévalo (2011). 'An Institutional Theory of Direct and Indirect Rule'. In: World Politics 63.3, pp. 377–433.
- Iyer, Lakshmi (2010). 'Direct versus Indirect Colonial Rule in India: Long-Term Consequences'. In: Review of Economics and Statistics 92.4, pp. 693–713.
- Lange, Matthew (2009). Lineages of Despotism and Development: British Colonialism and State Power. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lechler, Marie and Lachlan McNamee (2018). 'Indirect Colonial Rule Undermines Support for Democracy: Evidence From a Natural Experiment in Namibia'. In: *Comparative Political Studies* 51.14, pp. 1858–1898.
- Lowes, Sara and Eduardo Montero (2020). Concessions, Violence, and Indirect Rule: Evidence from the Congo Free State. Manuscript.
- Michalopoulos, Stelios and Elias Papaioannou (2014). 'National Institutions and Subnational Development in Africa'. In: *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 129.1, pp. 151–213.
- (2020). 'Historical Legacies and African Development'. In: *Journal of Economic Literature* 58.1, pp. 53–128.
- Naritomi, Joana (2007). Herança Colonial, Instituções & Desenvolvimento: Um estudo sobre a desigualdade entre os municípios Brasileiros. Dissertação (Mestrado em Economia)-Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro.
- Naritomi, Joana, Rodrigo R. Soares and Juliano J. Assunção (2012). 'Institutional Development and Colonial Heritage within Brazil'. In: *The Journal of Economic History* 72.2, pp. 393–422.
- Olson, Mancur (1993). 'Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development'. In: American Political Science Review 87.3, pp. 567–576.