WAGER PROGRAM SMART CONTRACT AUDIT

1. ABOUT

Naman Jain (@namx05) is a smart contract security researcher specializing in EVM, Solana, and CosmWasm ecosystem. He conducts private audits and participates in audit contests. With over 125+ audits across different ecosystems, in which 25+ was Rust audits . He has found 220+ Critical/High severity issues.

Naman is dedicated to improving blockchain security. He actively researches and explores ways to make protocols safer. He also writes <u>articles</u> to help developers better understand security.

His past work can be found <u>here</u>. He can be reached on Twitter <u>@namx05</u> or Telegram <u>@namx05</u>.

2. DISCLAIMER

A smart contract security review can't find every vulnerability. It is limited by time, resources, and expertise. The goal is to catch as many issues as possible, but I can't promise complete security. I also can't guarantee that the review will find any problems. To stay safer, it's best to do more reviews, run bug bounty programs, and keep monitoring on-chain activity.

3. INTRODUCTION

A time-boxed security review was done by **naman**, with a focus on the security aspects of the smart contracts implementation.

The Wager Program Smart Contract Audit team has been very responsive to Naman's inquiries, demonstrating a strong commitment to security by taking into account all the recommendations and fixing suggestions from the researchers.

4. RISK CLASSIFICATION

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

4.1 IMPACT

- High leads to a significant material loss of assets in the protocol or significantly harms a group of users.
- Medium leads to a moderate material loss of assets in the protocol or moderately harms a group of users.
- Low leads to a minor material loss of assets in the protocol or harms a small group of users.

4.2 LIKELIHOOD

- High attack path is possible with reasonable assumptions that mimic onchain conditions, and the cost of the attack is relatively low compared to the amount of funds that can be stolen or lost.
- Medium only a conditionally incentivized attack vector, but still relatively likely.
- Low has too many or too unlikely assumptions or requires a significant stake by the attacker with little or no incentive.

5. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

5.1 PROTOCOL INFO

Name:	Wager Program
Security Review Timeline:	2 Days
Repository:	wagering-smart-contract/wager-program/src/*

Auditor	naman (@namx05)

5.2 SCOPE

The following smart contracts were in the scope of the security review:

File	nLOC
smart-contracts-refund/wagering-smart-contract/wager-program/src/*	815
Total	815

5.3 FINDINGS COUNT

Severity	Count
Critical	
High	1
Medium	
Low	2
Info	
Gas	
Total	3

5.4 FINDINGS SUMMARY

Overall, the code is well-written. During the review, a total of Three (3) issues were found. Out if which One (1) were Critical and High issues.

ID	Title	Status
<u>H-01</u>	attacker-can-front-run-and-hijack-game-session	
<u>L-01</u>	vault-account-can-be-closed-to-reclaimrent-deposit	
<u>L-01</u>	missing-toolchain-version-in-anchortoml	

6. FINDINGS

HIGH RISK

[H-01] Attacker Can Front Run And Hijack Game Session

File(s): create_game_session

Description:

The CreateGameSession instruction initializes a new GameSession account using only session_id as a seed (seeds = [b"game_session", session_id.as_bytes()]). Since session_id is a user-controlled string, any actor observing a pending transaction can submit their own transaction with the same session_id but higher fees. This allows them to preemptively create the GameSession PDA before the intended game server does. The same design flaw applies to the vault account, which also derives its address from session_id only.

The root cause is that the PDA derivation does not include the trusted signer (game_server.key()) in its seed, allowing untrusted parties to deterministically predict and occupy addresses.

Impact:

An attacker can monitor the network mempool, detect when a legitimate game server is creating a new session, and front run the transaction with the same session_id. This allows the attacker to take control of the game_session and its associated vault, effectively hijacking the game flow, redirecting funds.

Recommendation(s):

Include the game server's public key in PDA seeds to bind sessions to their legitimate authority.

```
- seeds = [b"game_session", session_id.as_bytes()]
+ seeds = [b"game_session", session_id.as_bytes(), game_server.key().as_
ref()]
- seeds = [b"vault", session_id.as_bytes()]
+ seeds = [b"vault", session_id.as_bytes(), game_server.key().as_ref()]
```

Status: Unresolved

Update from the client:

LOW RISK

[L-01] Vault Account Can Be Closed to Reclaim Rent Deposit

File(s): refund_wager

Description:

In the refund_wager context, the vault account is marked as mut, and while no current instruction allows its closure, the account is susceptible to being closed in the future if not explicitly restricted. Since the rent deposit for the lottery account is typically paid by the lottery creator or admin, best practice dictates that only this party should be permitted to reclaim it.

Impact:

The vault creator will lose the rent amount.

Recommendation(s):

Close the vault account after the refund has been sent and send the rent amount to the payer of the account, i.e. the game_server.

Status: Unresolved

Update from the client:

[L-02] Missing Toolchain Version in Anchor.toml

File(s): Anchor.toml

Description:

The Anchor.toml file lacks explicit anchor_version and solana_version declarations under the [toolchain] section. This omission can lead to version drift across different development environments, potentially introducing unexpected behavior, compilation errors, or inconsistencies during deployment. Without a fixed toolchain version, CI/CD pipelines and team members may inadvertently use incompatible versions of Anchor or Solana.

Impact:

Builds and deployments may fail or behave inconsistently across machines, reducing reliability and increasing debugging overhead.

Recommendation(s):

Explicitly define the Anchor and Solana versions in the [toolchain] section of Anchor.toml .

[toolchain]

+ anchor_version = "0.31.0"

+ solana_version = "1.18.25"

Status: Unresolved

Update from the client: