

Contents

1	Order of Vanishing and Intersection Multiplicity	1
2	Lemma 11.3	1
3	Divisors	2
4	Weil Pairing	2
4.1	Function Composition with Multiplication by n Map	2
5	Tate Pairing	3
6	Computation of Pairings	3
6.1	Calculating Divisors	3
6.2	Miller Loop Divisors	3
6.2.1	Example 11.6	3
6.2.2	Example 11.7	3
7	Alternative Weil Pairing	4
7.1	Independence of Choice for D_Q	4
8	Linear Equivalence of Riemann Roch Spaces	4

1 Order of Vanishing and Intersection Multiplicity

Order of vanishing simply means the intersection multiplicity of a point that when evaluated on E is zero or a pole.

2 Lemma 11.3

Let $P \neq Q$ and $\text{div}(h) = [P] - [Q] \implies h(Q) = \infty \implies (h - c)(Q) = \infty$. So there must also be one zero of $h - c$.

Let $f \in V(I) : f(Q) \neq 0, \infty$ then

$$\text{div}(g) = \sum \text{ord}_R(f)[h(x, y) - h(R)]$$

remember that $\text{ord}_Q(f) = 0$

Let P be a zero of f , then the factor in $\text{div}(g)$ will be $n[h(x, y) - h(P)]$ so when $x, y = P$, then $h(x, y) - h(P) = 0$. Therefore $\text{div}(g) = \text{div}(f)$. Therefore they are constant multiples of each other. We can simply adjust f (or g) by multiplying by a constant so that $f = g$.

Since $h(Q) = \infty$, then if Q is a zero or pole of f then that factor is undefined. To illustrate this, assume $\text{ord}_Q(f) = 1$, then

$$f(P) = (h(P) - \infty) \cdots$$

Since $\infty - \infty$ is undefined, so $f(Q)$ is undefined.

Now lets look at $\text{ord}_Q(f) = n$. When $n > 0$, then

$$\text{div}(f) = n[Q] + \cdots$$

$$\text{div}(h^n) = -n[Q] + n[P]$$

$$\text{div}(fh^n) = (n - n)[Q] + \cdots$$

which shows that Q is not a zero or pole of fh^n .

When $n < 0$ then f has a pole at Q and

$$\text{div}(f) = n[Q] + \cdots$$

$$\text{div}(f) = -[Q] + [P]$$

$$\text{div}(h^n) = -n[Q] + n[P]$$

$$\text{div}(fh^n) = (n - n)[Q] + \cdots$$

3 Divisors

$$f(x) = (x - a)^3(x - b)^5$$

$$\text{div}(f) = 3[a] + 5[b] - 8[\infty]$$

4 Weil Pairing

$$n \mid \text{char}(K)$$

There exists f such that

$$\text{div}(f) = n[T] - n[\infty]$$

because by theorem 11.2, $\deg(D) = 0, \text{sum}(D) = \infty \implies \exists f : \text{div}(f) = D$.

Likewise for

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(g) &= \sum_{R \in E[n]} ([T' + R] - [R]) \\ &= \sum_{R \in E[n]} [T' + R] - \sum_{nR = \infty} [R] \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that given an $T' \in E[n^2]$ such that $nT' = T$ then

$$\{T'' : nT'' = T\} = \{T' + R : R \in E[n]\}$$

This is equivalent to the statement that given any $T'' \in E[n^2]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} T'' &= T' + R : R \in E[n] \\ \alpha : E[n^2] &\rightarrow E[n] \\ \alpha(T'') &= nT'' \\ [E[n^2] : E[n]] &= n^2 \\ \ker \alpha &= E[n] \\ T_1'', T_2'' \in E[n^2] : nT_1'' = nT_2'' = T &\implies T_1'' \in T_2'' + E[n] \end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement from before. Therefore

$$\sum_{R \in E[n]} [T' + R] = \sum_{nT'' = T} [T'']$$

Now given

$$\text{div}(f) = n[T] - n[\infty]$$

We want to show that

$$\text{div}(f \circ n) = n \left(\sum_{R \in E[n]} [T' + R] \right) - n \sum_{R \in E[n]} [R]$$

4.1 Function Composition with Multiplication by n Map

$$P \rightarrow nP \rightarrow f(nP)$$

$T' + R$ generates the group of all $T'' : nT'' = T$.

$$f(T) = 0 \implies f \circ n(T'') = 0.$$

See [here](#) and Silverman's Proposition II.2.6(c).

Also import from Knapp's book page 316:

$$\text{ord}_x(f) = \text{ord}_{\psi(x)}(f \circ \psi^{-1})$$

Using this we see that

$$\text{ord}_{T'}(f \circ n) = \text{ord}_{nT'}(f \circ n \circ n^{-1})$$

5 Tate Pairing

ϕ is the q th power Frobenius. Since $\phi(D) = D$, the points are permuted without changing the divisor. Since the points satisfy $f(P)$, so $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$, and hence $\phi(f) = f$.

6 Computation of Pairings

6.1 Calculating Divisors

$3D = 3[(0, 3)] - 3[\infty]$ is easy. We just use the horizontal line that cuts through $(0, 3)$. So $3D = \text{div}(y - 3)$.

Sage code to see points of intersection with the curve:

```
sage: R.<x, y> = PolynomialRing(GF(7))
sage: I = Ideal(y^2 - x^3 - 2, y - 3)
sage: I.variety()
[{y: 3, x: 0}]
```

As expected. We can also observe that the gradient of the line is 0, which is tangent to the curve at this point.

For the divisor

$$3D_{(5,1)} = 3[(3, 6)] - 3[(6, 1)]$$

Observe that the tangent to the curve is calculated by

$$y' = \frac{3x^2}{2y}$$

So we see that $y'_{(3,6)} = 4$ and that $y = 4x + 1$ is tangent to $(3, 6)$. Likewise $y'_{(6,1)} = 5$ and $y = 5x - 1$ is tangent to $(6, 1)$

$$\implies \text{div} \left(\frac{4x - y + 1}{5x - y - 1} \right) = 3[(3, 6)] - 3[(6, 1)]$$

6.2 Miller Loop Divisors

$$D_j = j[P + R] - j[R] - [jP] - [\infty]$$

$$\text{div}(ax + by + c) = [jP] + [kP] + [-(j+k)P] - 3[\infty]$$

$$\text{div}(x + d) = [(j+k)P] + [-(j+k)P] - 2[\infty]$$

$$\text{div} \left(\frac{ax + by + c}{x + d} \right) = [jP] + [kP] - [(j+k)P] - [\infty]$$

$$D_{j+k} = (j+k)[P + R] - (j+k)[R] - [(j+k)P] + [\infty]$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_j + D_k &= j[P + R] + k[P + R] - j[R] - k[R] - [jP] - [kP] + 2[\infty] \\ &= (j+k)[P + R] - (j+k)[R] - [jP] - [kP] + 2[\infty] \end{aligned}$$

6.2.1 Example 11.6

This is the double and add algo. j is the final accumulated value, k is the doubled value.

We count up with j until we reach n . i keeps track of how much is left.

6.2.2 Example 11.7

We are calculating f_P , so $R = \infty$.

7 Alternative Weil Pairing

$$\begin{aligned}
D_P &\sim [P] - [\infty] \\
D_Q &\sim [Q] - [\infty] \\
\text{supp}(D_P) \cap \text{supp}(D_Q) &= \emptyset \\
e_n(P, Q) &= \frac{f_{D_P}(D_Q)}{f_{D_Q}(D_P)} \\
D_P &= [P + S] - [S] \\
D_Q &= [Q] - [\infty]
\end{aligned}$$

You can also have

$$D_Q = [Q + R] - [R]$$

But the support must be disjoint, and $P, Q \in E[n]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n(P, Q) &= \frac{f_{D_P}(D_Q)}{f_{D_Q}(D_P)} \\
&= \frac{f_{D_P}(P + S)}{f_{D_P}(S)} / \frac{f_{D_Q}(Q + T)}{f_{D_Q}(T)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $P, Q \in E[n]$ and $S, T \in E(K)$.

7.1 Independence of Choice for D_Q .

Let $D'_Q \sim D_Q$, then $D'_Q - D_Q \in \text{Prin}(E)$. We assume $\text{div}(h)$ and D_P have disjoint support. Since $D'_Q \sim D_Q$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
D'_Q &= D_Q + \text{div}(h) \\
\text{div}(f'_Q) &= nD'_Q, \text{div}(f_Q) = nD_Q \implies f'_Q = f_Q h^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n(P, Q) &= \frac{f_{D_P}(D'_Q)}{f_{D'_Q}(D_P)} \\
&= \frac{f_{D_P}(D_Q) f_{D_P}(\text{div}(h))}{f_{D_Q}(D_P) h(D_P)^n}
\end{aligned}$$

But note that $h(D_P)^n = h(D_P) \cdots h(D_P) = h(nD_P)$ due to how evaluation on a divisor is defined. Since $\text{div}(f_{D_P}) = nD_P \implies h(D_P)^n = h(\text{div}(f_{D_P}))$.

$$e_n(P, Q) = \frac{f_{D_P}(D_Q) f_{D_P}(\text{div}(h))}{f_{D_Q}(D_P) h(\text{div}(f_{D_P}))}$$

Now use the Weil reciprocity to get the relation

$$e_n(P, Q) = \frac{f_{D_P}(D_Q)}{f_{D_Q}(D_P)}$$

8 Linear Equivalence of Riemann Roch Spaces

Let $D' = D + \text{div } g$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi : \mathcal{L}(D') &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D) \\
\phi(f) &= fg
\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$ then

$$\text{div } fg \geq -D' \iff \text{div } fg = \text{div } f + \text{div } g \geq -D' + \text{div } g$$

But $-D' + \text{div } g = -D$ so

$$\text{div } fg \geq -D \implies \text{div } fg \in \mathcal{L}(D)$$