# Find case law content review

Reviewed by: Piriya Pordes; Matthew De Ville

### Relevant docs/links:

- <u>Common terminology</u>
- Heroku app link

### Style notes:

Assumption is that users will have at least a basic understanding of legal and case law terminology? To make the content more inclusive and accessible, we should consider adding explanatory text – this can be done contextually so it doesn't have to replace the specialist terminology.

A lot of the H1 text across the service is centre aligned – for example, <a href="https://caselaw-1a2e4edc7635ba5982f242.herokuapp.com/ewhc/admin/2021/3290">https://caselaw-1a2e4edc7635ba5982f242.herokuapp.com/ewhc/admin/2021/3290</a>. Is there a reason for this or can we make this text left aligned for better readability?

### Home > Find case law

#### **FEEDBACK BANNER**

Home > Find case law

ALPHA This is a new service – your <u>feedback</u> will help us to improve it.

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Banner messaging	ALPHA This is a new service – your feedback will help us to improve it.	ALPHA This is a new service – your feedback will help us to improve it.  Note: 'feedback' should not be in bold.	Standard GOV.UK wording.

### **HEADER AREA**

## Home > Find case law



	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Breadcrumb	> Find case law		
<h1> Heading</h1>	Find case law	Find case law online	'Find case law' by
			itself may not be
		or	specific enough.
		Find judgments online or Find judgments and	'Find judgments online' is consistent with the H2s and H3s elsewhere across the service.
		tribunal decisions	SCI VICE.
			'Find judgments and tribunal decisions' is more explanatory than 'case law'.
Strapline	Use this service to find, view and download judgments and tribunal decisions		

## **SEARCH**

# Home > Find case law



Current copy	New copy	Comments

Hint text	Enter a keyword or neutral citation	Enter a keyword or a neutral citation. A neutral citation is the number assigned by HM Courts and Tribunals Service.	This should be written as hint text above the search field and not as placeholder text inside the search field (for accessibility).
Search (neutral citation) tickbox	I'm using a neutral citation, e.g. [2021] EWCA Crim 1785	I am using a neutral citation, for example: [2021] EWCA Crim 1785	'For example,' is more plain English than 'e.g.'  Can you make the checkbox bigger here, so it's easier to click on?  The hint text in this search field and the checkbox text are jarring – why are we telling users in the hint text that they have flexibility in the type of search term they can use, but then ask them to specify which they have used? We should be upfront about the information we require from them.
СТА	Search		
More search options link	More search options		This hyperlink text could be more descriptive. What is the main difference for the user between the search field here, and 'more search options'?

## SEARCH - MORE SEARCH OPTIONS

# Home > Find case law

Search everything for	
	Search
☐ I'm using a neutral citation, e.g. [2021] EWCA Crim 1785	;

## Search specific fields

Party name	Judge name
For example a claimant, defendant or prosecutor	For example 'Smith', 'Judge Smith' or 'Lord Justice Smith'

	Current copy	Newcopy	Comments
<h1> Header</h1>	Search everything for		This should be an <h2> I think? 'Search everything' could be more descriptive – it is currently a little vague what a user is searching here, and it sounds like they are searching more than they are able to on the homepage. We should manage expectations.</h2>
Hint text	(empty)		Should we have some hint text here as previously?
Section header < h2?>	Search specific fields		<h2> or <h3>? Depends on the above.</h3></h2>
Specific fields component	Party name etc.	(See note re. alignment) >	Header formatting and alignment needs to be consistent with

		headers on the Filter Options.
СТА	Update filters	Button size should match same button on the Filter Options.

# RECENTLY PUBLISHED JUDGMENTS

# Home > Find case law

# Recently published judgments

REGINA v Peter HUNTER	Date: 26 November 2021
[2021] EWCA Crim 1785	Court: Court of Appeal (Criminal Division)
MALIK RIAZ HUSSAIN v SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE	Date: 26 November 2021
HOME DEPARTMENT	Court: Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
[2021] EWCA Civ 2781	
Ciftci v London Borough of Haringey	Date: 26 November 2021
[2021] EWCA Civ 1772	Court: Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
JOHN MCKEOWN v DIANA LANGER	Date: 26 November 2021
[2021] EWCA Civ 1792	Court: Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
WENDY CARRINGTON v SECRETARY OF STATE FOR	Date: 26 November 2021
WORK AND PENSIONS	Court: Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
[2021] EWCA Civ 1724	

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
<h2> Heading</h2>	Recently published judgments		

## FIND JUDGMENTS BY COURT

## Home > Find case law

# Find judgments by court

Administrative Court	Admiralty Court	
(2003 - 2021)	(2003 - 2021)	
Chancery Division of the High Court	Commercial Court	
(2003 - 2021)	(2003 - 2021)	
Court of Appeal Civil Division	Court of Appeal Criminal Division	
(2003 - 2021)	(2003 - 2021)	
Court of Protection	Family Court	
(2009 - 2021)	(2014 - 2021)	

	Current copy	Newcopy	Comments
<h2> Heading</h2>	Find judgments by court		
'Court' component	Administrative Court	Administrative Court	Will this layout of the
e.g.	(2003 - 2021)	(2003-2021) i.e. no spacing around hyphen	dates/years confuse users? They might confuse the dates for number of judgments.

# **SOURCES**

# Home > Find case law

### Sources

Copy introducing and thanking the suppliers and sources of judgments.

See all sources of judgments

Current copy	New copy	Notes

<h2> Heading</h2>	Sources	Sources of judgments	'Sources' on its own is
			too vague
Bodytext	Placeholder copy		
Link to sources	See all sources of		
	judgments		

#### **FOOTER**

### Home > Find case law

All content is available under the <u>Open Justice Licence</u>, except where otherwise stated. <u>Terms of use</u>

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Bodytext	All content is available under the Open Justice Licence, except where otherwise stated.		Open Justice Licence should always be title case (as it is here).
Link to Terms of use	Terms of use		

## Home > Find case law > Terms of use

Home > Find case law > Terms of use

# Terms of use

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Aliquam, beatae consequuntur, delectus deleniti dolorem eius hic id iure laudantium maiores, modi ratione recusandae similique. Autem itaque quidem veniam vitae voluptatibus.

Asperiores blanditiis cumque debitis delectus dolor ea earum error eum impedit in libero molestiae neque nostrum nulla, officia possimus rem sed vero. Ab adipisci ipsa unde. Deleniti et porro voluptas.

## Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Aspernatur, beatae commodi, cumque distinctio eos in incidunt libero modi nam nostrum nulla praesentium rem, vel! A accusamus, consectetur deserunt eos, libero, nesciunt possimus repudiandae rerum suscipit vel veritatis voluptatum?

Ab at eligendi eos fuga impedit, non officiis provident. Accusamus autem blanditiis cumque et eum eveniet ex fuga iure modi obcaecati odio officiis quam quos repellat repellendus tenetur, vitae voluptas.

Accusamus, aperiam aut autem error explicabo incidunt ipsam neque obcaecati omnis, quaerat qui sed sit totam ut voluptate! Amet distinctio dolor ducimus inventore minima nihil odio quaerat quam recusandae totam.

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Bodytext	Placeholder content		

# Home > Find case law > Open Justice Licence

Home > Find case law > Open Justice Licence

# Open Justice Licence

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Aliquid aperiam beatae eveniet facere illum impedit iste iure modi, neque nesciunt optio pariatur placeat quisquam quod quos recusandae, unde vel veritatis! Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Debitis deleniti dignissimos et harum magnam natus saepe sequi? Aperiam assumenda at commodi delectus dignissimos dolore doloremque eius nobis sapiente unde. Animi aut ipsa non officia pariatur possimus provident quas rem sapiente, suscipit. Aliquid atque dolores ex libero maxime mollitia possimus temporibus!

You are free to:

- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. At blanditiis, culpa cumque dignissimos eaque esse facere inventore laborum molestias nihil nobis quae quas voluptates voluptatibus.
- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Iste, qui!
- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Accusamus accusantium ea nostrum quo, sunt ut?

You must (where you do any of the above):

- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.
- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Iste, qui!
- Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit. Accusamus accusantium ea nostrum quo, sunt ut?


#### Exemptions

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Body text	Placeholder content		Open Justice Licence should always be title case (as it is here).

### Home > Find case law > Search results

### SEARCH RESULTS SEARCH BOX

Home > Find case law > Search results



	Current copy	Newcopy	Comments
Search box	(Search term remains visible in the search box)		Usability – will users think they have to search again?

Check box	I'm using a neutral citation, e.g. [2021] EWCA Crim 1785	This search box text doesn't quite fit with the context of this page, which is to show the search results for the term that has been entered.
Show filter options	Show filter options (with arrow)	Replaces the 'More search options' link.

### **SEARCH RESULTS**

### Home > Find case law > Search results

# We found 760 judgments

## Kingspan Environmental Ltd & Ors v Borealis A/s & Anor

[2012] EWHC 1147 (Comm)

Matching text sample

It is important to note that the melt flow index test is carried out at an elevated level of shear, greater than the actual shear...elongation at break test, to which the two standards refer, is...

### AIC Ltd v ITS Testing Services (UK) Ltd "The Kriti Palm"

[2006] EWCA Civ 1601

Matching text sample

ITS TESTING SERVICES (UK) LIMITED 33. The four tests On 2 April 1996 Inchcape Testing Services (UK) Limited ("ITS") issued its certificate of quality in respect of... ..., the test methods applied...

## Chantiers De L'atlantique SA v Gaztransport & Technigaz SAS

[2011] EWHC 3383 (Comm)

Matching text sample


	Current copy	New copy	Comments
<h2> heading</h2>	We found judgments		Need to see wording when no judgments are found.
Sorting	<h3> Order judgments by</h3>	<h3> See judgments in order of</h3>	

Order judgments by



## **FILTER OPTIONS**


# Home > Find case law > Search results



	Current copy	Newcopy	Comments
Filter options	'Search specific fields' 'Only include results' 'During the period'		Assumption is that user understands the terms (e.g. Party name, specific collection etc).
Specific fields components	'Search specific fields' etc.		Header formatting and alignment needs to be consistent with headers on the More Search Options components.
СТА	Update filters		Check button size.
			Need to see wording when no judgments are found using the selected filters.

### FILTER OPTIONS DISPLAYED

## <u>Page: Home > Find case law > Search results</u>



	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Filter options displayed	Party name etc.		

## Home > Find case law > Start a new search

### JUDGMENT PAGE DOWNLOAD AREA

Page: Home > Find case law > Start a new search

This judgment has been provided to The National Archives by

The British and Irish Legal Information Institute

C Back to search results Download as PDF Download as XML

	Current copy	New copy	Comments
Body text	This judgment has		Is 'provided' the
	been provided to The		correct term?
	National Archives by		
Source link	The British and Irish		
	Legal Information		
	Institute		
Buttons/CTAs	< Back to search		Should 'Back to search
	results		results' be
	Download as PDF		differentiated from
	Download as XML		the download
			buttons? (as it's a
			different type of
			action – directional
			rather than
			transactional).

	Do the download buttons need to display file sizes?

### **JUDGMENT**

Page: Home > Find case law > Start a new search



Neutral Citation Number: [2021] EWHC 3290 (Admin)

Case No: C0/4087/2020 & CO/3046/2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE COURT

> Royal Courts of Justice Strand, London, WC2A 2LL

> > Date: 06/12/2021

Before:

LORD JUSTICE MALES -and-MR JUSTICE FRASER

Between:

THE QUEEN (on the application of)

Claimant

Current copy	New copy	Comments
		Maybe repeat download options at the foot of the page?