Help the Stat Consulting Group by giving a gift

```
stat > mplus > examples > imm > chapter4.htm
```

Mplus Textbook Examples Introduction to Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw Chapter 4: Analyses

This page uses the imm23.dat data file.

Table 4.2 on page 64.

 $MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + r_{ii}$

Level 1

Input: <u>ch4_p64.inp</u>Output: <u>ch4_p64.out</u>

The variable schid identifies the schools. Using a Raudenbush and Bryk way of the describing the model, the null model is

```
Level 2 \beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0j} Here is the Mplus setup for estimating this model.  
title:  
   Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.  
   Page 64, Table 4.2  
data:  
   file = imm23.dat;  
variable:  
   names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public  
   ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
```

```
cluster = schid;
  usevar = math;
  within = ;
                    ! level 1 variables here (none)
  between = ; ! level 2 variables here (none)
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
               ! no fixed effects
    math;
  %between%
               ! no predictors of intercept
    math;
        and here is a selection of the output.
Estimated Intraclass Correlations for the Y Variables
                Intraclass
     Variable Correlation
                  0.234
     MATH
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                          -1900.388
                                          -1900.388
          H1 Value
MODEL RESULTS
                                  S.E. Est./S.E.
                   Estimates
Within Level
 Variances
                      81,237
                                 5.158
                                           15,750
    МАТН
Between Level
 Means
                      50.756
    МАТН
                                 1.127
                                           45.044
 Variances
                      24.855
                                            2.894
    МАТН
                                 8.588
```

```
Substituting the results yields
```

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j}+ r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = 50.756 + u_{0j}

Var(r_{ij}) = 81.237

Var(u_{0j}) = 24.855
```

Table 4.3 on page 65.

```
• Input: ch4 p65.inp
 • Output: ch4 p65.out
          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0i}
 \beta_1 = \gamma_{10}
         Here is the Mplus setup for estimating this model.
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 65, Table 4.3
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework;
  within = homework; ! level 1 variables here
  between = ;
                 ! level 2 variables here (none)
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
```

estimator = ml;

```
model:
```

%within%

math on homework; ! fixed effect

%between%

math; ! no predictors of intercept

Here is some of the output

Estimated Intraclass Correlations for the Y Variables

Intraclass

Variable Correlation

MATH

0.194

Loglikelihood

H0 Value

-1865.248

H1 Value

ON

-1865.247

MODEL RESULTS

	Estimates	S.E.	Est./S	. E
--	-----------	------	--------	-----

Within Level

MATH

HOMEWORK 2.391 0.255 9.393

Residual Variances

MATH 71.141 4.517 15.751

Between Level

Means

MATH 46.379 1.139 40.719

Variances

MATH 20.251 7.070 2.864

After substitution, the results are...

Level 1

$$MATH_{ij} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ij}$$

Level 2

$$\beta_{0j} = 46.379 + u_{0j}$$

$$\beta_1 = 2.391$$

 $Var(r_{ij}) = 71.41$

 $Var(u_0) = 20.251$

Table 4.4 on page 67.

```
• Input: ch4 p67.inp

    Output: ch4 p67.out

         This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0i}
 \beta_1 = \gamma_{10} + u_{1i}
         Here is the Mplus setup for estimating this model.
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 67, Table 4.4
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework;
  within = homework; ! level 1 variables here
  between = ; ! level 2 variables here (none)
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                                  ! no fixed effects
    math;
    b1 | math on homework; ! random slope for homework
  %between%
```

www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/mplus/examples/imm/chapter4.htm

math;
b1;
! nothing predicts intercept
! nothing predicts slope

math with b1; ! covariance between intercept and slope

Here is some of the output

Estimated Intraclass Correlations for the Y Variables

Intraclass Intraclass Variable Correlation Variable Correlation

MATH 0.194

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1819.518

MODEL RESULTS

Estimates S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
Residual Variances
MATH 53.301 3.467 15.375

Between Level
MATH WITH
B1 -26.111 9.839 -2.654

DТ	-20.111	9.039	-2.034
Means			
MATH	46.322	1.720	26.934
В1	1.988	0.907	2.191
Variances			
MATH	59.242	19.959	2.968
B1	16.754	5.822	2.878

After substitution, the results are...

Level 1

 $MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}$

Level 2

 β_{0i} = 46.322 + u_{0i}

```
\beta_{1j} = 1.988 + u_{1j}

Var(r_{ij}) = 53.301

Var(u_0) = 59.242

Var(u_1) = 16.754

Cov(u_0,u_1) = -26.111
```

Table 4.5 on page 69.

```
• Input: <u>ch4 p69.inp</u>
 • Output: ch4 p69.out
          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + \beta_2(PARENTED) + r_{ii}
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1j}
 \beta_2 = \gamma_{20}
          Here is the Mplus setup for estimating this model.
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 69, Table 4.5
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework parented;
  within = homework parented; ! level 1 variables here
                                        ! level 2 variables here
  between = :
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
```

model:

%within%

math on parented; ! fixed effect
b1 | math on homework; ! random effect

%between%

math;
bl;
l nothing predicts intercept
l nothing predicts slope

math with b1; ! covariance intercept and slope

and here are some of the results

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1801.181

MODEL RESULTS

TIODED KED	лты			
		Estimates	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Within Lev	<i>r</i> el			
MATH	ON			
PARENT	ГED	1.822	0.301	6.053
Residual	Variances	3		
MATH		50.674	3.302	15.348
Between Le	evel			
MATH	WITH			
В1		-20.821	7.950	-2.619
Means				
MATH		40.920	1.770	23.118
В1		1.889	0.813	2.325
Variances	5			
MATH		45.419	15.921	2.853
В1		13.158	4.712	2.792

After substitution, the results are...

Level 1 $\text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 (\text{HOMEWORK}) + \beta_2 (\text{PARENTED}) + r_{ij}$ Level 2

```
\beta_{0j} = 40.920 + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = 1.889 + u_{1j}

\beta_{2} = 1.822

Var(r_{ij}) = 50.674

Var(u_0) = 45.419

Var(u_1) = 13.158

Cov(u_0, u_1) = -20.821
```

Table 4.6 on page 71.

A simple traditional regression analysis with HOMEWORK and PARENTED as predictors.

(We have skipped this example)

Table 4.7 on page 74.

• Input: ch4 p74.inp

```
• Output: ch4 p74.out
          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(SCSIZE) + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1j}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 74, Table 4.7
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
             ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework scsize;
```

```
within = homework;
                               ! level 1 variables here
  between = scsize;
                                ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math;
                                ! no fixed effects
    b1 | math on homework;
                               ! random effect of homework
  %between%
    math on scsize;
                                ! scsize predicts intercept
                                ! nothing predicts homework slope
    b1;
    math with b1;
                                ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                           -1819.306
MODEL RESULTS
                    Estimates
                                   S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 Residual Variances
                                            15,374
    МАТН
                       53,303
                                  3.467
Between Level
 MATH
             ON
    SCSIZE
                        0.430
                                  0.657
                                              0.655
 MATH
          WITH
    В1
                      -27.235
                                 10.273
                                            -2.651
 Means
    В1
                        1.991
                                  0.909
                                              2.191
 Intercepts
    MATH
                       44.951
                                  2.730
                                            16,463
 Variances
                                             2.879
    В1
                       16.817
                                  5.842
```

```
Residual Variances
MATH 62.173 21.461 2.897
```

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = 44.951 + 0.430(SCSIZE) + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = 1.991 + u_{1j}

Var(r_{ij}) = 53.303

Var(u_0) = 62.173

Var(u_1) = 16.817

Cov(u_0,u_1)= -27.235
```

Table 4.8 on page 75.

Variable PUBLIC is added as fixed effect and variable SCSIZE is taken out of the model.

```
Input: ch4_p75.inpOutput: ch4_p75.out
```

This model is...

```
cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework public;
 within = homework;
                             ! level 1 variables here
 between = public;
                             ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                              ! no fixed effects
    math;
                              ! random effect of homework
    b1 | math on homework;
  %between%
    math on public;
                              ! public predicts intercept
                              ! nothing predicts homework slope
    b1;
    math with b1;
                              ! covariance intercept and slope
        and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          H0 Value
                                          -1817.421
MODEL RESULTS
                   Estimates
                                 S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 Residual Variances
    MATH
                      53.347
                                 3.472
                                           15.364
Between Level
 МАТН
            ON
    PUBLIC
                      -4.085
                                 1.901
                                           -2.150
 MATH
          WLLH
                     -25.957
    В1
                                 9.623
                                           -2.698
 Means
    В1
                       1.984
                                 0.897
                                            2.212
```

Intercepts			
MATH	49.067	2.113	23.220
Variances			
B1	16.338	5.675	2.879
Residual Variances			
MATH	56.214	19.221	2.925

```
Level 1 MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ij}

Level 2 \beta_{0j} = 49.067 + -4.085(PUBLIC) + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = 1.984 + u_{1j}

Var(r_{ij}) = 53.347

Var(u_0) = 56.214

Var(u_1) = 16.338

Cov(u_0,u_1)= -25.957
```

Table 4.10 on page 77.

This model asks whether PUBLIC can predict the relationship between MATH and HOMEWORK (i.e. B1).

Input: ch4_p77.inpOutput: ch4_p77.out

This model is...

```
Level 1 MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ij}

Level 2 \beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(PUBLIC) + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(PUBLIC) + u_{1j}
```

```
title:
   Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
   Page 77, Table 4.10
data:
```

```
file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
          ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework public;
  within = homework; ! level 1 variables here
  between = public; ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                            ! no fixed effects
    math;
    b1 | math on homework; ! random slope for homework
  %between%
    math on public;
                            ! intercept predicted by public
    b1 on public;
                            ! slope predicted by public
    math with b1;
                            ! covariance of intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                          -1817.386
MODEL RESULTS
                   Estimates
                                 S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 Residual Variances
                      53.349
                                3.472
                                          15.364
    MATH
Between Level
 В1
            ON
                               1.874
                                          -0.266
    PUBLIC
                      -0.498
 MATH
            ON
    PUBLIC
                      -3.291
                                3.547
                                          -0.928
```

MATH	\mathtt{WITH}			
В1		-25.886	9.592	-2.699
Intercep	ots			
MATH		48.548	2.882	16.845
B1		2.308	1.514	1.525
Residual	Variances			
MATH		56.175	19.181	2.929
B1		16.268	5.655	2.877

```
Level 1  \begin{array}{l} \text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 (\text{HOMEWORK}) \ + \ r_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2} \\ \beta_{0j} = 48.548 + -3.291 (\text{PUBLIC}) + u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j} = 2.308 \ + -0.498 (\text{PUBLIC}) + u_{1j} \\ \\ \text{Var}(r_{ij}) = 53.349 \\ \text{Var}(u_0) = 56.175 \\ \text{Var}(u_1) = 16.268 \\ \text{Cov}(u_0, u_1) = -25.886 \end{array}
```

Table 4.11 on page 80. This model uses full NELS-88 data (we dont have this data, so this is omitted).

Table 4.12 on page 82.

Input: ch4_p82.inpOutput: ch4_p82.out

This model is...

Level 1
$$\begin{array}{l} \text{MATH}_{ij}\text{=}~\beta_{0j}+\beta_{1}(\text{HOMEWORK})+\beta_{2}(\text{WHITE})~+~r_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2} \\ \beta_{0j}\text{=}~\gamma_{00}+\gamma_{01}(\text{PUBLIC})+u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j}\text{=}~\gamma_{10}+u_{1j} \\ \beta_{2}\text{=}~\gamma_{20} \end{array}$$

```
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 82, Table 4.12
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
          ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white public ;
  within = homework white; ! level 1 variables here
  between = public;
                             ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math on white;
                         ! fixed effect of white
    b1 | math on homework; ! random effect of homework
  %between%
    math on public;
                             ! public predicts intercept
                             ! nothing predicts homework slope
    b1;
    math with b1;
                             ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          H0 Value
                                          -1811.629
MODEL RESULTS
                                  S.E. Est./S.E.
                   Estimates
Within Level
 MATH
            ON
                       3.283
                                 0.976
                                            3.364
    WHITE
 Residual Variances
    MATH
                       52,627
                                 3,427
                                           15,355
```

Between Level			
MATH ON			
PUBLIC	-3.914	1.727	-2.267
MATH WITH			
B1	-25.360	9.286	-2.731
Means			
B1	1.908	0.884	2.158
Intercepts			
MATH	46.679	2.126	21.954
Variances			
B1	15.851	5.520	2.871
Residual Variances			
MATH	52.354	18.079	2.896

Table 4.13 on page 83.

Variable WHITE is now made a random effect.

Input: ch4_p83.inpOutput: ch4_p83.out

This model is...

Level 1 MATH_{ij}=
$$\beta_{0j}$$
 + β_1 (HOMEWORK) + β_2 (WHITE) + r_{ij}

```
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(PUBLIC) + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1i}
 \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20} + u_{2i}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 83, Table 4.13
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
           ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white public;
  within = homework white; ! level 1 variables here
  between = public;
                        ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                               ! no fixed effects
    math;
                               ! random effect homework predicting math
    b1 |
         math on homework;
                               ! random effect white predicting math
         math on white;
    b2
  %between%
    math on public;
                                ! public predicts intercept
                                ! nothing predicts b1 (homework slope)
    b1;
    b2;
                                ! nothing predicts b2 (white slope)
                               ! covariance intercept and b1
    math with b1;
    math with b2;
                               ! covariance intercept and b2
    b1 with b2;
                               ! covariance b1 and b2
and some of the output is shown below.
Loglikelihood
           HO Value
                                             -1809.432
```

MODEL RESULTS			
	Estimates	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Within Level			
Residual Variances	3		
MATH	51.189	3.389	15.105
Between Level			
MATH ON			
PUBLIC	-4.856	1.728	-2.811
MATH WITH			
B1	-27.060	11.291	-2.397
В2	-18.912	18.400	-1.028
B1 WITH			
В2	2.807	7.059	0.398
Means			
B1	1.944	0.880	2.208
B2	2.712	1.510	1.796
Intercepts			
MATH	48.061	2.455	19.581
Variances			
B1	15.712	5.468	2.873
В2	21.995	19.825	1.109
Residual Variances	5		
MATH	64.017	28.215	2.269

```
Level 1  \begin{aligned} &\text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(\text{HOMEWORK}) + \beta_2(\text{WHITE}) + r_{ij} \\ &\text{Level 2} \\ &\beta_{0j} = 48.061 + -4.856(\text{PUBLIC}) + u_{0j} \\ &\beta_{1j} = 1.944 + u_{1j} \\ &\beta_{2j} = 2.712 + u_{2j} \end{aligned} \\ &\text{Var}(r_{ij}) = 51.189 \\ &\text{Var}(u_0) = 64.017 \\ &\text{Var}(u_1) = 15.172 \\ &\text{Var}(u_2) = 21.995 \\ &\text{Cov}(u_0, u_1) = -27.060 \\ &\text{Cov}(u_0, u_2) = -19.912 \end{aligned}
```

 $Cov(u_1,u_2)= 2.807$

Table 4.14 on page 85.

```
• Input: <u>ch4 p85.inp</u>

    Output: ch4 p85.out

          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH<sub>ii</sub>= \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + \beta_2(WHITE) + r_{ii}
Level 2
  \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(PUBLIC) + \gamma_{02}(MEANSES) + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1i}
 \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 85, Table 4.14
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white public meanses;
  within = homework white; ! level 1 variables here
  between = public meanses; ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math on white;
                                        ! fixed effect of white
    b1 | math on homework; ! random effect for homework
  %between%
```

and some of the output is shown below.

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1808.416

MODEL RESULTS

	Estimates	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Within Level MATH ON			
WHITE	3.072	0.957	3.210
Residual Variances MATH	52.710	3.437	15.335
Between Level			
MATH ON			
PUBLIC	0.180	2.121	0.085
MEANSES	5.052	1.831	2.759
MATH WITH			
В1	-25.531	9.161	-2.787
Means			
B1	1.936	0.873	2.216
Intercepts			
MATH	44.637	2.140	20.861
Variances			
B1	15.462	5.393	2.867
Residual Variances	}		
MATH	50.158	17.467	2.872

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

Level 1 MATH $_{ij}$ = β_{0j} + β_1 (HOMEWORK) + β_2 (WHITE) + r_{ij} Level 2

```
\begin{split} \beta_{0j} &= 44.637 + 0.180(\text{PUBLIC}) + 5.052(\text{MEANSES}) + u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j} &= 1.936 + u_{1j} \\ \beta_{2j} &= 3.072 \end{split} Var(r_{jj}) = 52.710 \\ Var(u_{0}) &= 50.158 \\ Var(u_{1}) &= 15.462 \\ Cov(u_{0},u_{1}) = -25.531 \end{split}
```

Table 4.15 on page 86.

```
• Input: <u>ch4 p86.inp</u>

    Output: ch4 p86.out

          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + \beta_2(WHITE) + r_{ii}
Level 2
  \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{02}(MEANSES) + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1j}
 \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 86, Table 4.15
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white meanses;
  within = homework white; ! level 1 variables here
  between = meanses;
                              ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
```

```
estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math on white;
                                  ! fixed effect of white
    b1 | math on homework;
                                  ! random effect of homework
  %between%
    math on meanses;
                                  ! intercept predicted from meanses
                                  ! no predictors of b1, homework random slope
    b1;
    math with b1;
                                  ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                            -1808.419
MODEL RESULTS
                    Estimates
                                   S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 MATH
             ON
                        3.079
                                  0.954
                                              3.226
    WHITE
 Residual Variances
                       52.710
                                  3.437
                                             15.334
    MATH
Between Level
 MATH
             ON
                        4.942
                                  1.291
    MEANSES
                                              3.828
 MATH
          WITH
    В1
                      -25.519
                                  9.156
                                             -2.787
 Means
    В1
                        1.935
                                  0.873
                                              2.216
 Intercepts
                                             25.667
                                  1.743
    MATH
                       44.742
 Variances
```

5.389

17,464

2.868

2.871

15.455

50.144

Residual Variances

В1

MATH

Table 4.16 on page 88.

• Input: ch4 p88.inp

```
• Output: ch4 p88.out
           This model is...
Level 1
  MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + \beta_2(WHITE) + r_{ii}
Level 2
  \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{02}(MEANSES) + u_{0i}
  \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{12}(MEANSES) + u_{1i}
  \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 88, Table 4.16
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
              ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white meanses;
```

```
! level 1 variables here
  within = homework white;
                                 ! level 2 variables here
  between = meanses;
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math on white;
                                ! fixed effect of white
    b1 | math on homework;
                                ! random effect of homework
  %between%
    math on meanses;
                                 ! intercept predicted from meanses
    b1 on meanses;
                                ! slope predicted from meanses
    math with b1;
                                 ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                           -1808.353
MODEL RESULTS
                    Estimates
                                   S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 MATH
            ON
                                 0.955
                                             3,231
    WHITE
                        3.084
 Residual Variances
    MATH
                       52.720
                                  3.439
                                            15.332
Between Level
 В1
            ON
                        0.565
                                 1.560
                                             0.362
    MEANSES
 MATH
            ON
                        4.011
                                 2.878
                                             1.394
    MEANSES
 MATH
          WITH
                      -25.350
                                            -2.786
                                 9.100
    В1
 Intercepts
    МАТН
                       44.646
                                  1.761
                                            25.348
```

```
B1 1.990 0.883 2.255
Residual Variances
MATH 49.945 17.371 2.875
B1 15.316 5.356 2.860
```

```
Level 1  \begin{array}{l} \text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 (\text{HOMEWORK}) + \beta_2 (\text{WHITE}) + r_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2} \\ \beta_{0j} = 44.646 + 4.011 (\text{MEANSES}) + u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j} = 1.990 + 0.565 (\text{MEANSES}) + u_{1j} \\ \beta_{2j} = 3.084 \\ \\ \text{Var}(r_{ij}) = 52.720 \\ \text{Var}(u_0) = 49.945 \\ \text{Var}(u_1) = 15.316 \\ \text{Cov}(u_0, u_1) = -25.350 \\ \end{array}
```

Table 4.17 on page 89.

```
Input: <u>ch4 p89.inp</u>Output: <u>ch4 p89.out</u>
```

This model is...

```
Level 1  \begin{array}{l} \text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(\text{HOMEWORK}) + \beta_2(\text{WHITE}) + \beta_3(\text{SES}) + r_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2} \\ \beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{02}(\text{MEANSES}) + u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1j} \\ \beta_2 = \gamma_{20} \\ \beta_3 = \gamma_{30} \end{array}
```

```
title:
   Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
   Page 89, Table 4.17
data:
```

```
file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
          ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework white ses meanses;
  within = homework white ses; ! level 1 variables here
                                   ! level 2 variables here
  between = meanses;
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
    math on white ses;
                                  ! fixed effect of white and ses
    b1 | math on homework;
                                  ! random effect of homework
  %between%
    math on meanses;
                                  ! intercept predicted from meanses
                                   ! no predictors of homework slope
    b1 ;
    math with b1;
                                   ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                          -1800.043
MODEL RESULTS
                   Estimates
                                 S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 MATH
            ON
                       2.254
                                0.974
                                            2.314
    WHITE
    SES
                       2.192
                                0.536
                                            4.087
 Residual Variances
    MATH
                      51.124
                                3.335
                                          15.330
Between Level
```

ON

MATH

2.997	1.377	2.176
-23.058	8.398	-2.746
1.834	0.830	2.210
45.610	1.710	26.677
13.802	4.870	2.834
46.648	16.363	2.851
	-23.058 1.834 45.610 13.802	-23.058 8.398 1.834 0.830 45.610 1.710 13.802 4.870

 $Var(r_{ij}) = 51.124$ $Var(u_0) = 46.648$ $Var(u_1) = 13.802$ $Cov(u_0, u_1) = -23.058$

Table 4.19 on page 91.

Input: ch4_p91.inpOutput: ch4_p91.out

This model is...

Level 1
$$\begin{array}{l} \text{MATH}_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 (\text{SES}) + r_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2} \\ \beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0j} \\ \beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} \end{array}$$

```
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
 Page 91, Table 4.19
data:
 file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
          ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
 usevar = math ses;
 within = ses;
                      ! level 1 variables here
 between = ;
                      ! level 2 variables here (none)
analysis:
 type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                       ! fixed effect of ses
    math on ses;
  %between%
                       ! no predictors of intercept
    math ;
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                         -1874.178
          H1 Value
                                         -1874.178
MODEL RESULTS
                   Estimates S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 MATH
            ON
                                          7.495
    SES
                       4.346
                               0.580
Residual Variances
```

MATH 75.190 4.774 15.749

Between Level
Means
MATH 51.200 0.831 61.649

Variances
MATH 11.866 4.685 2.533

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(SES) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} =51.200

\beta_{1j} = 4.346

Var(r_{ij}) = 75.190

Var(u_0) = 11.866
```

Table 4.20 on page 92.

Input: ch4 p92.inpOutput: ch4 p92.out

This model is...

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1}(SES) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1j}
```

```
title:
   Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
   Page 92, Table 4.20
data:
   file = imm23.dat;
variable:
```

```
names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
          ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math ses ;
  within = ses;
                           ! level 1 variables here
 between = ;
                          ! level 2 variables here (none)
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                           ! no fixed effects
    math:
    b1 | math on ses;
                          ! random effect of ses
  %between%
                           ! no predictors of intercept
    math:
    b1
                           ! no predictors of ses slope
    math with b1;
                         ! covariance intercept and slope
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                          -1874.197
MODEL RESULTS
                   Estimates S.E. Est./S.E.
Within Level
 Residual Variances
                      74.962
                                4.949
    MATH
                                          15,148
Between Level
 MATH
          WITH
                      -0.764
                                4.062
                                           -0.188
    B1
 Means
    МАТН
                      51.245
                                0.853
                                           60.071
    В1
                       4.340
                                0.608
                                            7.144
 Variances
    МАТН
                      12.201
                                5.120
                                            2.383
```

В1

0.380

3.926

0.097

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1}(SES) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = 51.245 + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = 4.340 + u_{1j}

Var(r_{ij}) = 74.962

Var(u_{0}) = 12.201

Var(u_{1}) = 0.380

Cov(u_{0},u_{1})= -0.764
```

Table 4.21 on page 93.

```
Input: ch4_p93.inpOutput: ch4_p93.out
```

This model is...

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(SES) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(PERCMIN) + u_{0j}

\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10}
```

```
title:
   Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
   Page 93, Table 4.21
data:
   file = imm23.dat;
variable:
   names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
        ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
cluster = schid;
usevar = math ses percmin;
```

```
within = ses;
                                ! level 1 variables here
  between = percmin;
                                ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                                ! fixed effect of ses ;
    math on ses;
  %between%
    math on percmin;
                                ! intercept predicted from percmin
and some of the output is shown below.
TESTS OF MODEL FIT
Loglikelihood
          HO Value
                                            -1871.697
          H1 Value
                                            -1871.696
MODEL RESULTS
                                   S.E. Est./S.E.
                    Estimates
Within Level
 MATH
             ON
    SES
                        4.329
                                  0.573
                                              7.550
 Residual Variances
                                             15.781
    МАТН
                       75.010
                                  4.753
Between Level
 MATH
             ON
    PERCMIN
                       -0.804
                                  0.350
                                             -2.295
```

53.119

9.496

1.130

3.792

47.024

2.504

Level 1 MATH_{ij}= β_{0j} + β_1 (SES) + r_{ij}

Residual Variances

Intercepts MATH

МАТН

```
Level 2 \beta_{0j} = 53.119 + -0.804 (\text{PERCMIN}) + u_{0j} \beta_{1j} = 4.329 \text{Var}(r_{ij}) = 75.010 \text{Var}(u_0) = 9.496
```

Table 4.22 on page 95.

```
• Input: ch4 p95.inp
 • Output: ch4 p95.out
          This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ij} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(SES) + r_{ij}
  \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(PERCMIN) + \gamma_{02}(MEANSES) + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 95, Table 4.22
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math ses percmin meanses;
  within = ses;
                                            ! level 1 variables here
  between = percmin meanses;
                                           ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
```

math on ses;

! fixed effect of ses

S.E. Est./S.E.

%between%

math on percmin meanses;

! intercept predicted from percmin and meanses

and some of the output is shown below.

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1869.804 H1 Value -1869.803

MODEL RESULTS

Within Level			
MATH ON			
SES	3.865	0.609	6.345
Residual Variances			
MATH	75.083	4.763	15.766
Between Level			
MATH ON			
PERCMIN	-0.683	0.323	-2.113
MEANSES	2.905	1.397	2.079
Intercepts			
MATH	53.085	1.031	51.490
Residual Variances			
МАТН	7,215	3,177	2,271

Estimates

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

Level 1

$$MATH_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1(SES) + r_{ij}$$

Level 2

$$\beta_{0i}$$
 = 53.085 + -0.683(PERCMIN) + 2.905(MEANSES) + u_{0i}

 $\beta_{1i} = 3.865$

 $Var(r_{ii}) = 75.083$

 $Var(u_0) = 7.215$

Table 4.23 and Table 4.24 on page 97.

Analyses with NELS-88, models 2 and 3 (we do not have this data, so these analyses are omitted).

Table 4.25 on page 99.

```
• Input: <u>ch4 p99.inp</u>
  • Output: ch4 p99.out
          This model is...
Level 1
  MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}
Level 2
  \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(RATIO) + u_{0i}
  \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + u_{1i}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 99, Table 4.25
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
            ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework ratio;
  within = homework; ! level 1 variables here
between = ratio: ! level 2 variables here
  between = ratio;
                                      ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                                      ! no fixed effects
     math ;
     b1 | math on homework; ! random effect of homework
  %between%
```

math on ratio;
b1;
intercept predicted from ratio
! no predictors of homework slope
math with b1;
! covariance intercept and slope

and some of the output is shown below.

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1819.409

MODEL RESULTS

HODEL HERCETS	Estimates	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Within Level			
Residual Variances MATH	53.304	3.467	15.373
Between Level			
MATH ON			
RATIO	-0.095	0.204	-0.468
MATH WITH			
B1	-26.220	9.870	-2.657
Means			
B1	1.988	0.908	2.190
Intercepts			
MATH	47.973	3.922	12.232
Variances			
B1	16.776	5.831	2.877
Residual Variances	3		
MATH	59.268	20.000	2.963

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

Level 1 MATH_{ij}= β_{0j} + β_{1} (HOMEWORK) + r_{ij} Level 2 β_{0j} = 47.973 + -0.095(RATIO) + u_{0j} β_{1j} = 1.988 + u_{1j}

```
Var(r_{ij}) = 53.304

Var(u_0) = 59.268

Var(u_1) = 16.776

Cov(u_0,u_1) = -26.220
```

Table 4.26 on page 100.

```
• Input: <u>ch4 p100.inp</u>
 • Output: ch4 p100.out
         This model is...
Level 1
 MATH_{ii} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1(HOMEWORK) + r_{ii}
Level 2
 \beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0i}
 \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{01}(RATIO) + u_{1i}
The Mplus setup is shown below
title:
  Introducing Multilevel Modeling by Kreft and de Leeuw.
  Page 100, Table 4.26
data:
  file = imm23.dat;
variable:
  names = schid stuid ses meanses homework white parented public
           ratio percmin math sex race sctype cstr scsize urban region;
  cluster = schid;
  usevar = math homework ratio;
  within = homework; ! level 1 variables here
  between = ratio;
                                  ! level 2 variables here
analysis:
  type = twolevel random;
  estimator = ml;
model:
  %within%
                                     ! no fixed effects
    math:
         math on homework; ! random effect of homework
```

```
%between%
math;
bl on ratio;

math with bl;

! no predictors of intercept
! homework slope predicted from ratio
! covariance intercept and slope
```

and some of the output is shown below.

TESTS OF MODEL FIT

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -1819.397

MODEL RESULTS

	Estimates	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Within Level			
Residual Variances	3		
MATH	53.307	3.468	15.372
Between Level			
B1 ON			
RATIO	-0.053	0.107	-0.495
MATH WITH			
В1	-26.232	9.857	-2.661
Means			
MATH	46.320	1.721	26.917
Intercepts			
В1	2.909	2.065	1.408
Variances			
MATH	59.318	19.984	2.968
Residual Variances	5		
В1	16.760	5.824	2.878

And here are the results substituted back into the model.

```
Level 1

MATH<sub>ij</sub>= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1}(HOMEWORK) + r_{ij}

Level 2

\beta_{0j} = 46.320 + u_{0j}

\beta_{1i} = 2.909 + -0.053(RATIO) + u_{1i}
```

 $Var(r_{ii}) = 53.307$

 $Var(u_0) = 59.318$

 $Var(u_1) = 16.760$

 $Cov(u_0, u_1) = -26.232$

Table 4.27 on page 101.

Analyses with NELS-88, (we do not have this data, so these analyses are omitted).

How to cite this page

Report an error on this page or leave a comment

IDRE Listserv

The content of this web site should not be construed as an endorsement of any particular web site, book, or software product by the University of California.

IDRE RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY GROUP

High Performance Computing

Statistical Computing

GIS and Visualization

High Performance Computing GIS Statistical Computing

Hoffman 2 Cluster Mapshare Classes

Hoffman2 Account Application Visualization Conferences

Hoffman 2 Usage Statistics 3D Modeling Reading Materials

UC Grid Portal Technology Sandbox

UCLA Grid Portal Tech Sandbox Access IDRE Resources

Shared Cluster & Storage Data Centers Social Sciences Data Archive

About IDRE

ABOUT CONTACT NEWS EVENTS OUR EXPERTS

© 2013 UC Regents Terms of Use & Privacy Policy