

## Solutions to Exercises 3F :

- 1 Explain why each linear functional is surjective or is the zero map.

Let  $\varphi \in V' = L(V, F)$ .  $\text{range } \varphi \subseteq F \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } \varphi \leq \dim F = 1 \Rightarrow$

Either  $\dim \text{range } \varphi = 0$ , hence  $\varphi = 0$ ; or  $\dim \text{range } \varphi = 1$ , hence  $\text{range } \varphi = F$ , surjective.

- 2 Give three distinct examples of linear functionals on  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ .

$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ , define  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in L(\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}, \mathbb{R})$  by  $\varphi_1(f) = f(0)$ ,  $\varphi_2(f) = f(\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\varphi_3(f) = f(1)$ .

- 3 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $v \in V$  with  $v \neq 0$ . Prove that there exists  $\varphi \in V'$  such that  $\varphi(v) = 1$ .

Let  $V = \text{span}(v) \oplus W$ .  $\forall u \in V$ , we can write  $u = av + w$  uniquely, where  $a \in F$  and  $w \in W$ ; define  $\varphi \in V'$  by  $\varphi(u) = \varphi(av + w) = a$ . It's easy to verify that  $\varphi$  is linear and  $\varphi(v) = 1$ .

- 4 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  such that  $U \neq V$ . Prove that there exists  $\varphi \in V'$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 0$  for every  $u \in U$  but  $\varphi \neq 0$ .

Let  $V = U \oplus W$ .  $U \neq V$ , hence  $W \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow \exists \psi \in W'$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ .  $\forall v \in V$ , write  $v = u + w$  uniquely, where  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ ; define  $\varphi \in V'$  by  $\varphi(v) = \varphi(u+w) = \psi(w)$ . It's easy to verify that  $\varphi$  is indeed linear and  $\varphi(u) = 0$  for  $\forall u \in U$  but  $\varphi \neq 0$ .

- 5 Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is a basis of range  $T$ . Hence for each  $v \in V$ , there exist unique numbers  $\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v)$  such that

$$Tv = \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v)w_m,$$

thus defining functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  from  $V$  to  $F$ . Show that each of the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is a linear functional on  $V$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1}. \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V, \quad T(v_1 + v_2) &= T(v_1) + T(v_2) \Rightarrow (\varphi_1(v_1)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v_1)w_m) + (\varphi_1(v_2)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v_2)w_m) \\ &= \varphi_1(v_1 + v_2)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v_1 + v_2)w_m \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m (\varphi_k(v_1 + v_2) - (\varphi_k(v_1) + \varphi_k(v_2)))w_k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is a basis, this implies  $\varphi_k(v_1 + v_2) = \varphi_k(v_1) + \varphi_k(v_2)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2}. \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \forall \lambda \in F, \quad T(\lambda v) &= \lambda T(v) \Rightarrow \varphi_1(\lambda v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(\lambda v)w_m = \lambda \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \lambda \varphi_m(v)w_m \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m (\lambda \varphi_k(v) - \varphi_k(\lambda v))w_k = 0. \quad \text{As } w_1, \dots, w_m \text{ is a basis, this implies } \varphi_k(\lambda v) = \lambda \varphi_k(v), \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

- 6 Suppose  $\varphi, \beta \in V'$ . Prove that  $\text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{null } \beta$  if and only if there exists  $c \in F$  such that  $\beta = c\varphi$ .

- ① if  $\text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{null } \beta$ :

By the result of Exercises 3B 25,  $\exists T \in L(F)$ ,  $\beta = T \circ \varphi$ ; i.e.,  $\exists c \in F$ ,  $\beta = c\varphi$ .

- ② if  $\exists c \in F$ ,  $\beta = c\varphi$ :

$$\forall v \in \text{null } \varphi, \quad \beta v = c\varphi v = c \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow v \in \text{null } \beta \Rightarrow \text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{null } \beta.$$

- 7 Suppose that  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  are vector spaces. Prove that  $(V_1 \times \dots \times V_m)'$  and  $V_1' \times \dots \times V_m'$  are isomorphic vector spaces.

By the result of Exercises 3E 3,  $L(V_1 \times \dots \times V_m, F)$  and  $L(V_1, F) \times \dots \times L(V_m, F)$  are isomorphic, which gives the result.

- 8 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of  $V$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  is the dual basis of  $V'$ . Define  $\Gamma: V \rightarrow F^n$  and  $\Lambda: F^n \rightarrow V$  by

$$\Gamma(v) = (\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n.$$

Explain why  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  are inverses of each other.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1}. \quad & \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F^n, (\Gamma \Lambda)((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \Gamma(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n) = (\varphi_1(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n), \dots, \varphi_n(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n)) \\ & = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \Rightarrow \Gamma \Lambda = I \in L(F^n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2}. \quad \forall v \in V, (\Lambda \Gamma)(v) = \Lambda((\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v))) = \varphi_1(v)v_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(v)v_n = v \Rightarrow \Lambda \Gamma = I \in L(V).$$

- 9 Suppose  $m$  is a positive integer. Show that the dual basis of the basis  $1, x, \dots, x^m$  of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$  is  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ , where

$$\varphi_k(p) = \frac{p^{(k)}(0)}{k!}.$$

Here  $p^{(k)}$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $p$ , with the understanding that the  $0^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $p$  is  $p$ .

$$\text{If } j = k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, (x^j)^{(k)}|_{x=0} = (x^k)^{(k)}|_{x=0} = k!|_{x=0} = k!, \text{ hence } \varphi_k(x^j) = \frac{k!}{k!} = 1.$$

$$\text{If } j > k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, (x^j)^{(k)}|_{x=0} = \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} x^{j-k}|_{x=0} = \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} \cdot 0 = 0, \text{ hence } \varphi_k(x^j) = \frac{0}{k!} = 0.$$

$$\text{If } j < k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, (x^j)^{(k)}|_{x=0} = 0|_{x=0} = 0, \text{ hence } \varphi_k(x^j) = \frac{0}{k!} = 0.$$

Thus,  $\varphi_k(x^j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j=k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{cases}$ , implying that  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is the dual basis of  $1, x, \dots, x^m$ .

- 11 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of  $V$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  is the corresponding dual basis of  $V'$ . Suppose  $\psi \in V'$ . Prove that

$$\psi = \psi(v_1)\varphi_1 + \dots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n.$$

$$\text{For } k=1, \dots, n, (\psi(v_1)\varphi_1 + \dots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n)(v_k) = \psi(v_1)\varphi_1(v_k) + \dots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n(v_k) = \psi(v_k) \cdot 1 = \psi(v_k).$$

As  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis, this implies  $\psi = \psi(v_1)\varphi_1 + \dots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n$ .

- 12 Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

(a) Prove that  $(S+T)' = S' + T'$ .

(b) Prove that  $(\lambda T)' = \lambda T'$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .

This exercise asks you to verify (a) and (b) in 3.120.

$$(a). \forall \psi \in W', (S+T)'(\psi) = \psi(S+T) = \psi S + \psi T = S'(\psi) + T'(\psi) = (S'+T')(\psi) \Rightarrow (S+T)' = S' + T'.$$

$$(b). \forall \psi \in W', (\lambda T)'(\psi) = \psi(\lambda T) = \lambda(\psi T) = \lambda T'(\psi) = (\lambda T')(\psi) \Rightarrow (\lambda T)' = \lambda T'.$$

- 13 Show that the dual map of the identity operator on  $V$  is the identity operator on  $V'$ .

$$\forall \psi \in V', I'(\psi) = \psi I = \psi \Rightarrow I' \text{ is the identity operator on } V'.$$

- 15 Define  $T: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(Tp)(x) = x^2 p(x) + p''(x)$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})'$  is defined by  $\varphi(p) = p'(4)$ . Describe the linear functional  $T'(\varphi)$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})'$  is defined by  $\varphi(p) = \int_0^1 p$ . Evaluate  $(T'(\varphi))(x^3)$ .

$$(a). \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), (T'(\varphi))(p) = \varphi(T(p)) = \varphi(x^2 p(x) + p''(x)) = (x^2 p(x) + p''(x))'|_{x=4} = 2x p(x) + x^2 p'(x) + p''(x)|_{x=4} = 8p(4) + 16p'(4) + p''(4).$$

$$(b). (T'(\varphi))(x^3) = \varphi(T(x^3)) = \varphi(x^5 + 6x) = \int_0^1 (x^5 + 6x) dx = \frac{1}{6}x^6 + 3x^2 \Big|_0^1 = \frac{19}{6}.$$

- 16 Suppose  $W$  is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that

$$T' = 0 \iff T = 0.$$

$$T' = 0 \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } T = \dim \text{range } T' = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0. \quad T = 0 \Rightarrow T' = 0, \text{ similarly.}$$

- 17 Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(W', V')$  is invertible.

$T$  is invertible  $\iff T$  is injective ;  $T$  is surjective  $\iff T'$  is surjective ;  $T'$  is injective  $\iff T'$  is invertible.

- 18 Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional. Prove that the map that takes  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  to  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(W', V')$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  onto  $\mathcal{L}(W', V')$ .

Define  $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W', V')$  by  $\Phi(T) = T'$ .  $\Phi$  is linear, as you should verify.

If  $\Phi(T) = T' = 0 \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } T = \dim \text{range } T' = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0$ , implying  $\text{null } \Phi = \{0\} \Rightarrow \Phi$  is injective. Then, as  $\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = \dim \mathcal{L}(W', V') = (\dim W)(\dim V)$ ,  $\Phi$  is invertible.  
 $\therefore \Phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  onto  $\mathcal{L}(W', V')$ .

- 19 Suppose  $U \subseteq V$ . Explain why

$$U^0 = \{\varphi \in V' : U \subseteq \text{null } \varphi\}.$$

$\varphi(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U \iff U \subseteq \text{null } \varphi$

- 20 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Show that

$$U = \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in U^0\}.$$

①.  $\forall u \in U$ , for  $\forall \varphi \in U^0$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 0 \Rightarrow U \subseteq \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \varphi \in U^0\}$ .

②. As  $U$  is a subspace,  $\exists \psi \in V'$ ,  $\text{null } \psi = U \Rightarrow \psi \in U^0$ . Then, for  $\forall v \notin U$ ,  $\psi v \neq 0$ , implying  $v \notin \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \varphi \in U^0\}$ . This implies  $\{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \varphi \in U^0\} \subseteq U$ .

- 21 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$ .

- (a) Prove that  $W^0 \subseteq U^0$  if and only if  $U \subseteq W$ .  
(b) Prove that  $W^0 = U^0$  if and only if  $U = W$ .

(a). ①. If  $U \subseteq W$ :  $\forall \varphi \in W^0$ ,  $\text{null } \varphi \supseteq W \supseteq U$ , implying  $\varphi \in U^0 \Rightarrow W^0 \subseteq U^0$ .

②. If  $W^0 \subseteq U^0$ : As  $W$  is a subspace,  $\exists \psi \in V'$ ,  $\text{null } \psi = W$ . Then,  $\psi \in W^0 \Rightarrow \psi \in U^0$ , meaning  $\text{null } \psi \supseteq U \Rightarrow U \subseteq W$ .

(b).  $W^0 = U^0 \iff W^0 \subseteq U^0$  and  $U^0 \subseteq W^0 \iff U \subseteq W$  and  $W \subseteq U \iff U = W$ .

- 22 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$ .

- (a) Show that  $(U + W)^0 = U^0 \cap W^0$ .  
(b) Show that  $(U \cap W)^0 = U^0 + W^0$ .

(a). ①.  $\forall \varphi \in (U + W)^0$ ,  $\forall u \in U$ , as  $u = u + 0 \in U + W$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 0$ , implying  $\varphi \in U^0$ ;  $\varphi \in W^0$ , similarly.

Hence,  $\varphi \in U^0 \cap W^0 \Rightarrow (U + W)^0 \subseteq U^0 \cap W^0$ .

②.  $\forall \varphi \in U^0 \cap W^0$ ,  $\forall u + w \in U + W$ ,  $\varphi(u + w) = \varphi(w) + \varphi(u) = 0 + 0 = 0$ , implying  $\varphi \in (U + W)^0$   
 $\Rightarrow U^0 \cap W^0 \subseteq (U + W)^0$ .

(b). ①. Suppose  $U = (U \cap W) \oplus \bar{U}$  and  $W = (U \cap W) \oplus \bar{W}$ . Thus  $U + W = (U \cap W) \oplus \bar{U} \oplus \bar{W}$ , as you should verify. Suppose  $V = (U + W) \oplus \bar{V} = (U \cap W) \oplus \bar{U} \oplus \bar{W} \oplus \bar{V}$ .  $\forall v \in V$ , we can write

$v = x + \bar{u} + \bar{w} + \bar{v}$  uniquely, where  $x \in U \cap W$ ,  $\bar{u} \in \bar{U}$ ,  $\bar{w} \in \bar{W}$ ,  $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$ ; for  $\forall \varphi \in (U \cap W)^0$ ,

define  $\varphi_1 \in U^0$  by  $\varphi_1(v) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\bar{v}) + \varphi(\bar{w})$ , define  $\varphi_2 \in W^0$  by  $\varphi_2(v) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\bar{v}) + \varphi(\bar{u})$ .

$\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are indeed linear and  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ , as you should verify. Hence,  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in U^0 + W^0$ ,

implying  $(U \cap W)^0 \subseteq U^0 + W^0$ .

②.  $\forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in U^0 + W^0$ , where  $\psi_1 \in U^0$  and  $\psi_2 \in W^0$ ,  $\forall v \in U \cap W$ ,  $(\psi_1 + \psi_2)(v) =$

$$\psi_1(v) + \psi_2(v) = 0 + 0 = 0 \text{, implying } \psi_1 + \psi_2 \in (U \cap W)^0 \Rightarrow U^0 + W^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0.$$

- 23 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in V'$ . Prove that the following three sets are equal to each other.

- (a)  $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$
- (b)  $((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0$
- (c)  $\{\varphi \in V' : (\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m) \subseteq \text{null } \varphi\}$

$$(a) = (b) :$$

By the result of Exercises 3F 22,  $((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0 = (\text{null } \varphi_1)^0 + \dots + (\text{null } \varphi_m)^0$ . By the result of Exercises 3F 6,  $\exists c \in F$ ,  $\beta = c\varphi_k \in \text{span}(\varphi_k) \iff \text{null } \beta \supseteq \text{null } \varphi_k \iff \beta \in (\text{null } \varphi_k)^0$ , implying  $\text{span}(\varphi_k) = (\text{null } \varphi_k)^0$ . Hence,  $((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0 = \text{span}(\varphi_1) + \dots + \text{span}(\varphi_m) = \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ .

$$(b) = (c) :$$

By the result of Exercises 3F 19.

- 24 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ . Define a linear map  $\Gamma: V' \rightarrow F^m$  by  $\Gamma(\varphi) = (\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m))$ .

- (a) Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  spans  $V$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is injective.
- (b) Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\Gamma$  is surjective.

(a). ①. If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  spans  $V$ :  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ ,  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m$ . Consider  $\forall \varphi \in \text{null } \Gamma$ ,

$$\varphi(v) = (\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m)) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(v_1) = \dots = \varphi(v_m) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(v) = \varphi(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) =$$

$$a_1\varphi(v_1) + \dots + a_m\varphi(v_m) = 0 \text{ for } \forall v \in V, \text{ implying } \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \text{null } \Gamma = \{0\}$$
. Thus  $\Gamma$  is injective.

②. If  $\Gamma$  is injective: Assume that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  doesn't span  $V$ . Hence, there exists a subspace  $W$ ,

$$\dim W > 0, V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \oplus W. \text{ As } \dim W > 0, \exists \psi \in W, \psi \neq 0. \text{ For } \forall v \in V, \text{ we can write } v = \bar{v} + w \text{ uniquely, where } \bar{v} \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \text{ and } w \in W; \text{ define } \varphi \in V' \text{ by } \varphi(v) = \psi(w).$$

Then,  $\varphi(v_k) = \psi(0) = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , implying  $\Gamma(\varphi) = 0$ . However,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $\Gamma$  is injective. Hence, only that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  spans  $V$ .

(b). ①. If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent: Then  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .  $\forall (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in F^m$ ,

by linear map lemma,  $\exists \psi \in L(\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m), F)$ ,  $\psi(v_k) = c_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . As  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

is a subspace of  $V$ ,  $\psi$  can be extended to  $\varphi \in V'$  such that  $\varphi(v) = \psi(v)$  for  $\forall v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

Then,  $\Gamma(\varphi) = (\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m)) = (\psi(v_1), \dots, \psi(v_m)) = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ , implying that  $\Gamma$  is surjective.

②. If  $\Gamma$  is surjective: Assume that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is not linearly independent. Hence,  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ ,

not all 0,  $0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m$ . Define  $\Phi \in (F^m)'$  by  $\Phi(c_1, \dots, c_m) = a_1c_1 + \dots + a_mc_m$  for

$\forall (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in F^m$ . Then, consider  $(\Gamma'(\Phi))(\varphi) = \Phi\Gamma(\varphi) = \Phi(\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m)) = a_1\varphi(v_1) + \dots$

$a_m\varphi(v_m) = \varphi(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = \varphi(0) = 0$  for  $\forall \varphi \in V'$ , implying  $\Phi \in \text{null } \Gamma'$ . Also,  $\Phi \neq 0$  as

$a_1, \dots, a_m$  not all 0, implying  $\text{null } \Gamma' \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow \Gamma'$  is not injective  $\Rightarrow \Gamma$  is not surjective,

a contradiction to our hypothesis. Hence, only that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent.

- 25 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in V'$ . Define a linear map  $\Gamma: V \rightarrow F^m$  by  $\Gamma(v) = (\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v))$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  spans  $V'$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is injective.
- (b) Prove that  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\Gamma$  is surjective.

Consider  $\Gamma': (F^m)' \rightarrow V'$ . For  $\forall \phi \in (F^m)'$ , let  $M(\phi)$  denote the matrix of  $\phi$  that corresponds to the standard bases of  $F^m$  and  $F$ , thus  $\phi(c_1, \dots, c_m) = M(\phi)_{1,1}c_1 + \dots + M(\phi)_{1,m}c_m$  for  $\forall (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in F^m$ .

$$(\Gamma'(\phi))(v) = \phi \Gamma'(v) = \phi(\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v)) = M(\phi)_{1,1} \varphi_1(v) + \dots + M(\phi)_{1,m} \varphi_m(v) = (M(\phi)_{1,1} \varphi_1 + \dots +$$

$M(\phi)_{1,m} \varphi_m)(v)$ , meaning  $\Gamma'(\phi) = M(\phi)_{1,1} \varphi_1 + \dots + M(\phi)_{1,m} \varphi_m \in V'$  for  $\forall \phi \in F^n$ .

$$(a). \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \text{ spans } V' \iff \forall v \in V', \exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in F, \varphi = a_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + a_m \varphi_m \iff \forall v \in V',$$

$\exists \phi \in (F^n)', \varphi = M(\phi)_{1,1} \varphi_1 + \dots + M(\phi)_{1,m} \varphi_m = \Gamma'(\phi) \iff \Gamma'$  is surjective  $\iff \Gamma$  is injective.

(b).  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is linearly independent  $\iff$  the only solution to  $0 = a_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + a_m \varphi_m$  is  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$

$\iff$  the only  $\phi \in (F^n)'$  that  $0 = M(\phi)_{1,1} \varphi_1 + \dots + M(\phi)_{1,m} \varphi_m$  is  $\phi = 0 \iff \text{null } \Gamma' = \{0\}$

$\iff \Gamma'$  is injective  $\iff \Gamma$  is surjective.

- 26 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $\Omega$  is a subspace of  $V'$ . Prove that

$$\Omega = \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in \Omega\}^0.$$

Denote  $W = \{w \in V : \varphi(w) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \varphi \in \Omega\}$ . Suppose  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is a basis of  $\Omega$ . Now we show

$W = (\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m)$ : ①.  $\forall w \in W$ , by the definition of  $W$ ,  $\varphi_k(w) = 0, k=1, \dots, m \implies$

$w \in (\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m)$ ; ②.  $\forall w \in (\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m)$ ,  $\varphi_k(w) = 0, k=1, \dots, m \implies \forall \varphi = a_1 \varphi_1 + \dots +$

$$a_m \varphi_m \in \Omega, \varphi(w) = a_1 \varphi_1(w) + \dots + a_m \varphi_m(w) = 0 \implies w \in W$$
.

Then, by the result of Exercises 3F 23,  $\Omega = \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = ((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0 = W^0$ .

- 28 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is a linearly independent list in  $V'$ . Prove that

$$\dim((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m)) = (\dim V) - m.$$

By the result of Exercises 3F 23,  $((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0 = \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ . Also,  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is

linearly independent  $\implies \dim \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = m$ . Hence,  $\dim((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m)) = \dim V -$

$$\dim((\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m))^0 = \dim V - \dim \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = \dim V - m$$
.

- 29 Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

(a) Prove that if  $\varphi \in W'$  and  $\text{null } T' = \text{span}(\varphi)$ , then  $\text{range } T = \text{null } \varphi$ .

(b) Prove that if  $\psi \in V'$  and  $\text{range } T' = \text{span}(\psi)$ , then  $\text{null } T = \text{null } \psi$ .

(a).  $\text{null } T' = (\text{range } T)^0 = \text{span}(\varphi)$ . Then: ①.  $\forall w \in \text{range } T$ , as  $\varphi \in \text{span}(\varphi) = (\text{range } T)^0$ ,  $\varphi(w) = 0$

$\implies w \in \text{null } \varphi \implies \text{range } T \subseteq \text{null } \varphi$ . ②. As  $\text{range } T$  is a subspace of  $W$ , by the result of Exercises

3F 20,  $\text{range } T = \{w \in W : \varphi(w) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \psi \in (\text{range } T)^0\}$ ;  $\forall w \in \text{null } \varphi$ , for  $\forall \lambda \psi \in \text{span}(\varphi) = (\text{range } T)^0$ ,

where  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $(\lambda \varphi)(w) = \lambda \varphi(w) = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0 \implies w \in \text{range } T \implies \text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{range } T \implies \text{range } T = \text{null } \varphi$ .

(b).  $\text{range } T' = (\text{null } T)^0 = \text{span}(\psi)$ . Then: ①.  $\forall v \in \text{null } T$ , as  $\psi \in \text{span}(\psi) = (\text{null } T)^0$ ,  $\psi(v) = 0 \implies$

$v \in \text{null } \psi \implies \text{null } T \subseteq \text{null } \psi$ . ②. As  $\text{null } T$  is a subspace of  $V$ , by the result of Exercises 3F 20,

$\text{null } T = \{v \in V : \psi(v) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \psi \in (\text{null } T)^0\}$ ;  $\forall v \in \text{null } \psi$ , for  $\forall \lambda \psi \in \text{span}(\psi) = (\text{null } T)^0$ , where  $\lambda \in F$ ,

$(\lambda \psi)(v) = \lambda \psi(v) = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0 \implies v \in \text{null } T \implies \text{null } \psi \subseteq \text{null } T \implies \text{null } T = \text{null } \psi$ .

- 30 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  is a basis of  $V'$ . Show that there exists a basis of  $V$  whose dual basis is  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ .

$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  is a basis of  $V' \implies \dim \text{null } \varphi_k = \dim V - \dim \text{range } \varphi_k = n-1$ . Let  $V = \text{null } \varphi_k \oplus W_k$ .

where  $\dim W_k = 1, k=1, \dots, n$ . For each  $k=1, \dots, n$ ,  $\exists v_k \in W_k, \varphi_k(v_k) = 1$ , as you should verify.

Then,  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is the basis of  $V$ , whose dual basis is  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ :

①.  $\varphi_j(v_k) = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ : If  $\exists w \in W_k \cap W_j, w \neq 0$ , then  $W_k = W_j = \text{span}(w) \implies \text{null } \varphi_k = \text{null } \varphi_j$ .

Then, by the result of Exercises 3F 6,  $\exists c \in F$ ,  $\varphi_k = c\varphi_j$ , contradicting that  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  is

a basis. Hence,  $W_k \cap W_j = \{0\} \Rightarrow W_k \subseteq \text{null } \varphi_j \Rightarrow \varphi_j(W_k) = 0$

Q.  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is linearly independent : Let  $0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \Rightarrow \varphi_k(0) = \varphi_k(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \varphi_k(v_j) = a_k$ , as we already have  $\varphi_k(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j=k \\ 0 & j \neq k \end{cases}$ . This implies  $a_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

- 31 Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Let  $i: U \rightarrow V$  be the inclusion map defined by  $i(u) = u$ . Thus  $i' \in \mathcal{L}(V', U')$ .

(a) Show that  $\text{null } i' = U^0$ .

(b) Prove that if  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\text{range } i' = U'$ .

(c) Prove that if  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then  $i'$  is an isomorphism from  $V'/U^0$  onto  $U'$ .

The isomorphism in (c) is natural in that it does not depend on a choice of basis in either vector space.

(a).  $\text{range } i = U \Rightarrow \text{null } i' = (\text{range } i)^0 = U^0$ .

(b).  $i$  is injective  $\Rightarrow \text{null } i = \{0\} \Rightarrow \text{range } i' = (\text{null } i)^0 = U'$ .

(c). By (a) and (b),  $V'/(\text{null } i) = V'/U^0$ ,  $\text{range } i' = U'$ . Then by result 3.107, we derive the result.

- 32 The double dual space of  $V$ , denoted by  $V''$ , is defined to be the dual space of  $V'$ . In other words,  $V'' = (V')'$ . Define  $\Lambda: V \rightarrow V''$  by

$$(\Lambda v)(\varphi) = \varphi(v)$$

for each  $v \in V$  and each  $\varphi \in V'$ .

(a) Show that  $\Lambda$  is a linear map from  $V$  to  $V''$ .

(b) Show that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then  $T'' \circ \Lambda = \Lambda \circ T$ , where  $T'' = (T)'$ .

(c) Show that if  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism from  $V$  onto  $V''$ .

Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Then  $V$  and  $V'$  are isomorphic, but finding an isomorphism from  $V$  onto  $V'$  generally requires choosing a basis of  $V$ . In contrast, the isomorphism  $\Lambda$  from  $V$  onto  $V''$  does not require a choice of basis and thus is considered more natural.

(a). Q.  $(\Lambda(v_1+v_2))(\varphi) = \varphi(v_1+v_2) = \varphi(v_1) + \varphi(v_2) = (\Lambda v_1)(\varphi) + (\Lambda v_2)(\varphi) = (\Lambda v_1 + \Lambda v_2)(\varphi)$  for  $\forall v_1, v_2 \in V$  and  $\forall \varphi \in V' \Rightarrow \Lambda(v_1+v_2) = \Lambda v_1 + \Lambda v_2$ .

Q.  $(\Lambda(\lambda v))(\varphi) = \varphi(\lambda v) = \lambda(\varphi v) = \lambda(\Lambda v)(\varphi)$  for  $\forall \lambda \in F$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ , and  $\forall \varphi \in V' \Rightarrow \Lambda(\lambda v) = \lambda(\Lambda v)$ .

(b).  $((T'' \circ \Lambda)(v))(\varphi) = (T''(\Lambda v))(\varphi) = ((\Lambda v)T')(\varphi) = (\Lambda v)(T'(\varphi)) = (\Lambda v)(\varphi T) = \varphi T(v)$ .

$((\Lambda \circ T)(v))(\varphi) = (\Lambda(Tv))(\varphi) = \varphi(Tv) = \varphi T(v) \Rightarrow T'' \circ \Lambda = \Lambda \circ T$ .

(c).  $\forall v \in \text{null } \Lambda$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in V'$ ,  $(\Lambda v)(\varphi) = \varphi(v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow \text{null } \Lambda = \{0\} \Rightarrow \Lambda$  is injective.

As  $\dim V = \dim V' = \dim V''$ , this implies  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism from  $V$  onto  $V''$ .

- 33 Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Let  $\pi: V \rightarrow V/U$  be the usual quotient map. Thus  $\pi' \in \mathcal{L}((V/U)', V')$ .

(a) Show that  $\pi'$  is injective.

(b) Show that  $\text{range } \pi' = U^0$ .

(c) Conclude that  $\pi'$  is an isomorphism from  $(V/U)'$  onto  $U^0$ .

The isomorphism in (c) is natural in that it does not depend on a choice of basis in either vector space. In fact, there is no assumption here that any of these vector spaces are finite-dimensional.

(a). By the definition of  $\pi'$ ,  $\pi'$  is surjective  $\Rightarrow \pi'$  is injective.

(b).  $\text{null } \pi' = U \Rightarrow \text{range } \pi' \subseteq (\text{null } \pi')^0 = U^0$  (as there's no assumption of finite-dimension).

$\forall \varphi \in U^0$ ,  $\text{null } \varphi \supseteq U$ . by the result of Exercises 3E 19,  $\exists \psi \in (V/U)'$ ,  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi = \pi'(\psi) \Rightarrow$

$U^0 \subseteq \text{range } \pi'$ . Hence,  $\text{range } \pi' = U^0$ .

(c). By (a),  $\pi'$  is injective; by (b),  $\pi'$  is surjective onto  $U^0$ .