

### Solutions to 3A :

- 2 Suppose  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $T: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$Tp = (3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 p(x) dx + c \sin p(0)).$$

Show that  $T$  is linear if and only if  $b = c = 0$ .

① If  $T$  is linear :

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda p) &= (3(\lambda p)(4) + 5(\lambda p)'(6) + b(\lambda p)(1)p(2), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 (\lambda p)(x) dx + c \sin(\lambda p)(0)) \\ &= \lambda(3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 p(x) dx + c \sin p(0)) \\ &\quad + (b(\lambda^2 - \lambda)p(1)p(2), c(\sin \lambda p(0) - \lambda \sin p(0))) \\ &= \lambda Tp + (b(\lambda^2 - \lambda)p(1)p(2), c(\sin \lambda p(0) - \lambda \sin p(0))) \\ \Rightarrow b &= c = 0 \end{aligned}$$

② If  $b = c = 0$  :

$$\begin{aligned} T(p+q) &= (3(p+q)(4) + 5(p+q)'(6), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 (p+q)(x) dx) \\ &= (3p(4) + 5p'(6) + 3q(4) + 5q'(6), (\int_{-1}^2 x^3 p(x) dx) + (\int_{-1}^2 x^3 q(x) dx)) \\ &= Tp + Tq \\ T(\lambda p) &= (3(\lambda p)(4) + 5(\lambda p)'(6), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 (\lambda p)(x) dx) \\ &= \lambda(3p(4) + 5p'(6), \int_{-1}^2 x^3 p(x) dx) = \lambda Tp \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore T$  is linear.

From ① and ②, the result holds.

- 3 Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F^n, F^m)$ . Show that there exist scalars  $A_{j,k} \in F$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (A_{1,1}x_1 + \dots + A_{1,n}x_n, \dots, A_{m,1}x_1 + \dots + A_{m,n}x_n)$$

for every  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ .

Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is the standard basis of  $F^n$ , and  $Tx_i = (A_{1,i}, \dots, A_{m,i}) \in F^m$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $\forall x = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in F^n$ , where  $c_i \in F$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$x = (c_1, \dots, c_n) = c_1(1, 0, \dots, 0) + \dots + c_n(0, \dots, 0, 1) = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore Tx &= T(c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n) = T(c_1x_1) + \dots + T(c_nx_n) \quad (\text{additivity}) \\ &= c_1Tx_1 + \dots + c_nTx_n \quad (\text{homogeneity}) \\ &= c_1(A_{1,1}, \dots, A_{m,1}) + \dots + c_n(A_{1,n}, \dots, A_{m,n}) \\ &= (A_{1,1}c_1 + \dots + A_{1,n}c_n, \dots, A_{m,1}c_1 + \dots + A_{m,n}c_n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result holds.

- 4 Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a list of vectors in  $V$  such that  $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m$  is a linearly independent list in  $W$ . Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent.

If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is not linearly independent.  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ , not all 0, such that

$$0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \Rightarrow T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = T(a_1v_1) + \dots + T(a_mv_m)$$

$$= a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_mTv_m = T(0) = 0. \quad (\text{By definition of linear maps and result 3.10})$$

This implies that  $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m$  is not linearly independent, which is contradictory to the hypothesis. Hence,  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent.

- 5 Prove that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is a vector space, as was asserted in 3.6.

① commutativity :

$$(T_1 + T_2)(x) = T_1(x) + T_2(x) = T_2(x) + T_1(x) = (T_2 + T_1)(x)$$

② associativity :

$$\begin{aligned} ((T_1 + T_2) + T_3)(x) &= (T_1 + T_2)(x) + T_3(x) = (T_1(x) + T_2(x)) + T_3(x) \\ &= T_1(x) + (T_2(x) + T_3(x)) = T_1(x) + (T_2 + T_3)(x) = (T_1 + (T_2 + T_3))(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\lambda_1(\lambda_2 T))(x) = \lambda_1((\lambda_2 T)(x)) = \lambda_1(\lambda_2(T(x))) = (\lambda_1\lambda_2)(T(x)) = ((\lambda_1\lambda_2)T)(x)$$

③ additive identity :

The additive identity of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is  $0(x) = 0$  for  $\forall x \in V$ .

$$(T + 0)(x) = T(x) + 0(x) = T(x) + 0 = T(x)$$

④ additive inverse :

For  $\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , define  $-T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  :  $(-T)(x) = -(T(x))$ .

$$(T + (-T))(x) = T(x) + (-T)(x) = T(x) + (-(-T(x))) = 0 = 0(x)$$

⑤ multiplicative identity :

$$(I T)(x) = I(T(x)) = T(x)$$

⑥ distributive properties :

$$(\lambda(T_1 + T_2))(x) = \lambda((T_1 + T_2)(x)) = \lambda(T_1(x) + T_2(x)) = \lambda(T_1(x)) + \lambda(T_2(x))$$

$$= (\lambda T_1)(x) + (\lambda T_2)(x) = (\lambda T_1 + \lambda T_2)(x)$$

$$((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)T)(x) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(T(x)) = \lambda_1(T(x)) + \lambda_2(T(x)) = (\lambda_1 T)(x) + (\lambda_2 T)(x)$$

$$= (\lambda_1 T + \lambda_2 T)(x)$$

$\therefore \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is a vector space.

- 6 Prove that multiplication of linear maps has the associative, identity, and distributive properties asserted in 3.8.

① associativity :

$$(T_1 T_2 T_3)(w) = (T_1 T_2)(T_3(w)) = T_1(T_2(T_3(w))) = T_1((T_2 T_3)(w)) = (T_1(T_2 T_3))(w)$$

② identity :

$$(I T)(w) = I(T(w)) = T(w) \quad \text{and} \quad (T I)(w) = T(I(w)) = T(w)$$

$$\Rightarrow I T = T I = T$$

③ distributive properties :

$$(S_1 + S_2)T(w) = (S_1 + S_2)(T(w)) = S_1(T(w)) + S_2(T(w)) = (S_1 T)(w) + (S_2 T)(w)$$

$$= (S_1 T + S_2 T)(w)$$

$$(S(T_1 + T_2))(w) = S((T_1 + T_2)(w)) = S(T_1(w) + T_2(w)) = S(T_1(w)) + S(T_2(w))$$

$$= (S T_1)(w) + (S T_2)(w) = (S T_1 + S T_2)(w)$$

- 7 Show that every linear map from a one-dimensional vector space to itself is multiplication by some scalar. More precisely, prove that if  $\dim V = 1$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in F$  such that  $Tv = \lambda v$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Suppose  $v_1$  is a basis of  $V$ . Because  $Tv_1 \in V$ ,  $\exists \lambda \in F$ , such that

$T_{\lambda v} = \lambda T_v$ . For  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $\exists a \in F$ , such that  $v = av$ .

$$\Rightarrow T_v = T(av) = aT_v = a(\lambda v) = \lambda(av) = \lambda v.$$

- 8 Give an example of a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$  but  $\varphi$  is not linear.

$$\text{For } v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \text{ let } \varphi(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{v_1}{v_2} & , v_2 \neq 0 \\ 0 & , v_2 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

It's easy to show that  $\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$  for  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

But obviously  $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\varphi(u+v) \neq \varphi(u) + \varphi(v)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is not linear.

- 9 Give an example of a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\varphi(w+z) = \varphi(w) + \varphi(z)$$

for all  $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$  but  $\varphi$  is not linear. (Here  $\mathbb{C}$  is thought of as a complex vector space.)

Let  $C = \{a+bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , i.e., all complex numbers.

For  $\forall z = a+bi \in C$ , define  $\varphi(z) = a$ .

Hence,  $\forall w = a+bi, z = c+di \in C$ ,  $\varphi(w+z) = \varphi((a+c)+(b+d)i) = a+c$  and

$$\varphi(w) + \varphi(z) = a+c \Rightarrow \varphi(w+z) = \varphi(w) + \varphi(z).$$

However,  $\varphi(i(1+i)) = \varphi(-1+i) = -1$  and  $i\varphi(1+i) = i \cdot 1 = i$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(i(1+i)) \neq i\varphi(1+i) \Rightarrow \exists a \in C, \exists w \in C, \varphi(aw) \neq a\varphi(w)$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is not linear.

- 11 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity if and only if  $ST = TS$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

- ① If  $\exists a \in F$ ,  $T = aI$ :

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{L}(V), (ST)(x) = S(T(x)) = S(aI(x)) = S(ax) = aS(x)$$

$$\text{and } (TS)(x) = T(S(x)) = aI(S(x)) = aS(x). \text{ Thus } ST = TS.$$

- ② If  $\forall S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $ST = TS$ :

Suppose  $\dim V = n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . Define  $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :

$$S_i(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_i v_i, \text{ where } a_i \in F, i=1, \dots, n. \text{ Consider } S_i T(v_i) =$$

$$TS_i(v_i) \Rightarrow T(v_i) = S_i(T(v_i)) \Rightarrow \exists \lambda_i \in F, T(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i.$$

Furthermore, define  $S_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :  $S_{ij}(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = \dots + a_j v_i + \dots + a_i v_j + \dots$ ,

where  $a_i, a_j \in F$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Consider  $S_{ij} T(a_i v_i + a_j v_j) = T S_{ij}(a_i v_i + a_j v_j)$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_j a_i v_i + \lambda_i a_j v_j = \lambda_i a_i v_i + \lambda_j a_j v_j \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \lambda_j.$$

Hence,  $\exists \lambda \in F$ ,  $T(v_i) = \lambda v_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ , implying that  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity.

From ① and ②, we proved the both directions of the result.

- 12 Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  with  $U \neq V$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  and  $S \neq 0$  (which means that  $Su \neq 0$  for some  $u \in U$ ). Define  $T: V \rightarrow W$  by

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Sv & \text{if } v \in U, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in V \text{ and } v \notin U. \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $T$  is not a linear map on  $V$ .

Consider  $\forall u \in U$  such that  $Su \neq 0$ , and  $\forall v \in V$  such that  $v \notin U$ . We have  $u+v \notin U$ , otherwise  $v = (u+v) - (u) \in U$ , a contradiction. Hence  $T(u+v) = 0$ . However,  $T(u) + T(v) = Su + 0 = Su$ , implying  $T(u+v) \neq Tu + Tv$ .  
 $\therefore T$  is not a linear map on  $V$ .

- 13** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Prove that every linear map on a subspace of  $V$  can be extended to a linear map on  $V$ . In other words, show that if  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ , then there exists  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that  $Tu = Su$  for all  $u \in U$ .

There exists a subspace  $M$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U \oplus M$ .  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $\exists u \in U$ ,  $\exists m \in M$ , uniquely,  $v = u+m$ . Suppose  $R \in \mathcal{L}(M, W)$ . For  $\forall v = u+m \in V$ , define  $Tv = Su + Rm$ . We have  $T(\lambda v) = T(\lambda u + \lambda m) = S(\lambda u) + R(\lambda m) = \lambda Su + \lambda Rm = \lambda(Su + Rm) = \lambdaTv$ . We also have  $T(v_1 + v_2) = T((u_1 + m_1) + (u_2 + m_2)) = T((u_1 + u_2) + (m_1 + m_2)) = S(u_1 + u_2) + R(m_1 + m_2) = Su_1 + Su_2 + Rm_1 + Rm_2 = (Su_1 + Rm_1) + (Su_2 + Rm_2) = Tv_1 + Tv_2$ .

Hence,  $T$  is linear.

- 14** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional with  $\dim V > 0$ , and suppose  $W$  is infinite-dimensional. Prove that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is infinite-dimensional.

Suppose  $\dim V = n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . As  $W$  is infinite-dimensional, we can find a sequence of elements in  $W$ , denote by  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  such that  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is linearly independent for any positive integer  $m$ . For  $\forall v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \in V$ , where  $a_i \in F$ , define  $T_k \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ :  $T_k(v) = a_k w_k$ , where  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Consider  $\mathcal{O}(v) = a_1 T_1(v) + \dots + a_m T_m(v) = a_1(\lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_m w_m)$   
 $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$ , as  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is linearly independent  $\Rightarrow T_1, \dots, T_m$  is linearly independent.  
Hence, we find a sequence of elements in  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ ,  $T_1, T_2, \dots$ , such that  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  is linearly independent for any positive  $m$ .  $\therefore \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is infinite-dimensional.

- 15** Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a linearly dependent list of vectors in  $V$ . Suppose also that  $W \neq \{0\}$ . Prove that there exist  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in W$  such that no  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  satisfies  $Tv_k = w_k$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

$v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly dependent  $\Rightarrow \exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ , not all 0, such that  $0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m$ . As  $W \neq \{0\}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  not all 0, we can find  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ , such that  $a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m \neq 0$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that  $Tv_k = w_k$ ,  $T(0) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_mTv_m = a_1w_1 + a_mw_m \neq 0$ . This is impossible. Hence, the result is proved.

- 16** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional with  $\dim V > 1$ . Prove that there exist  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that  $ST \neq TS$ .

Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ , and  $m \geq 2$  for  $\dim V > 1$ . For  $\forall v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \in V$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ , define  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :  $S(v) = S(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots) = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots$ , i.e., switching the coefficients of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ; define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :  $T(v) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = a_1v_1$ . Then,  $ST(v_1 + 2v_2) = S(T(v_1 + 2v_2)) = S(v_1) = v_1$ , and  $TS(v_1 + 2v_2) = T(S(v_1 + 2v_2)) = T(2v_1) = 2v_1$   
 $\Rightarrow ST \neq TS$ . Hence, the result is proved.

- 17 Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Show that the only two-sided ideals of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

A subspace  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  is called a **two-sided ideal** of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  if  $TE \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $ET \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

It's easy to verify that  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  are two-sided ideals of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ . Also, when  $\dim V = 0$ , the result is trivial. Suppose  $\dim V = n \geq 1$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of  $V$ .

Define  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :  $T_{ij}(av_1 + \dots + av_n) = a_j v_i$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ , and  $\mathcal{E} \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \neq \mathcal{L}(V)$ . As  $\mathcal{E} \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\exists E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$E(v_i) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $E(v_k) = \sum_{j \in J} e_j v_j$ ,  $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $e_j \in F$ ,  $e_j \neq 0$ . Select a  $j \in J$ ,

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ define } S_k = \frac{1}{e_j} T_{kj} E T_{ik}. \text{ Then, for } \lambda v_1 + \dots + \lambda v_n \in V, S_k(\lambda v_1 + \dots + \lambda v_n) =$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{e_j} T_{kj} E \right) \left( T_{ik}(\lambda v_1 + \dots + \lambda v_n) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{e_j} T_{kj} E \right) (\lambda v_k) = \frac{\lambda}{e_j} T_{kj}(E(v_k)) = \frac{\lambda}{e_j} T_{kj} \left( \sum_{j \in J} e_j v_j \right)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{e_j} \cdot e_j v_k = \lambda v_k. \text{ By the property of two-sided ideal, } S_k \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ implying that}$$

$I = S_1 + \dots + S_n \in \mathcal{E}$ . As  $\mathcal{E} \neq \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $T \notin \mathcal{E}$ . However,  $IT = T \in \mathcal{E}$  as

$I \in \mathcal{E}$ , leading to a contradiction. Hence, such  $\mathcal{E}$  doesn't exist.

$\therefore$  the only two-sided ideals of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .