I-D: draft-netana-netconf-notif-envelope-02

A. Huang Feng, INSA-Lyon
P. Francois, INSA-Lyon
T. Graf, Swisscom
B. Claise, Huawei

February 10th 2024 Interim NMOP - NETCONF WG

## Proposal of this I-D

- Structure defined as a notification containing
  - event-time
  - metadata(s)

+-- notification-contents?

enable-notif-envelope=True

notification-contents

#### 

JSON example without metadata

#### **Configured Subscriptions**






<anydata>

#### **Dynamic Subscriptions**







# Proposal of this I-D

- YANG Notification structure for YANG-Push Notifications [RFC 8639/8641]
  - (1) Option to "opt-in" to this notification envelope
  - (2) Able to discover the capability of this new header through "ietf-notification-capabilities"
  - (3) Extensible header defined in YANG 1.1
  - (4) Definition of each encoding (XML, JSON, CBOR)
  - (5) Defines the first base extensions (I-D.tgraf-netconf-notif-sequencing;
     I-D.tgraf-netconf-yang-push-observation-time)

#### Feedback IETF 121 Dublin

- Envelope header is configurable per subscription
  - Too complex, suggested to enable it globally [Reshad, Rob]
- Let's simplify, don't allow configuring "which headers" we want
  - Suggested to let the client discover what is supported [Joe]
- The envelope need to be a sx:structure [Rob]
- Plenty of support of this I-D
  - Multiple contributors agreed to implement this I-D

Changes since -00

- (1) Envelope is enabled and disabled globally via an RPC
- (2) Added Observation Timestamp extension [draft-tgraf-netconf-yang-push-observation-time]
- (3) Other changes
  - The XML namespace has been changed to "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yp-notification"
  - Editorial changes
  - "The 'notification-contents' element SHOULD be located at the end of the notification envelope structure."

Objectives of today's Interim meeting

- Confirm current draft direction
  - (1) Envelope is enabled and disabled globally via an RPC
    - Whether this approach is the best?
    - If not, which approach should we follow?
  - (2) Added Observation Timestamp extension [draft-tgraf-netconf-yang-push-observation-time]
    - Confirm interest on Observation Timestamp
    - Confirm current approach


- (1) Enabling the envelope globally
- Global RPC "enable-notif-envelope"
  - This RPC is the only way to enabling/disabling the envelope
  - The node "/sn:subscriptions/enable-notification-envelope" becomes read-only
  - The enabling of the envelope MUST be configured before the creation of any dynamic subscriptions
    - If any subscriptions exist → "invalid-notification-envelope-config" error

```
identity notif-envelope-error {
    description
        "Base identify for errors found while attempting to
        change configuration values during the
        'enable-notif-envelope' RPC requests.";
}

identity invalid-notification-envelope-config {
    base notif-envelope-error;
    description

        "This error is triggered and sent in the response of
        the RPC 'enable-notif-envelope' when attempting to change
        the value of the 'enable-notification-envelope' node
        while any Dynamic Subscription is active. The node
        'enable-notification-envelope' can only be changed prior to
        the creation of the Dynamic Subscription.";
}
```

(1) Enabling the envelope globally



Discussion on change (1) Enabling the envelope globally

- Is this current approach appropriate?
- Request to raise a poll on February 10th interim to decide course of action. Which is the preferred option?
  - (1) Envelope is enabled and disabled globally with RPC call
  - (2) The node "/sn:subscriptions/enable-notification-envelope" becomes writable & remove RPC
  - (3) Revert back to notification-envelope configurable per subscription
- Operational concerns:
  - This RPC complexifies operations where network operators onboarding new nodes would need to explicitly enable the the envelope via the RPC, rather than use <edit-config> requests
  - Defaulting enable-notif-envelope=True eases this case
- Request to raise a second poll on February 10th interim to enable the envelope by default
  - (2) Defaulting enable-notif-envelope=True (notification-envelope enabled by default)

- (2) Added Observation Timestamp extension
- Timestamp representing
  - $\circ$  The time the metrics were polled
  - The time the exported event occurred
- Extensions to YANG-Push
- Impact the following YANG-Push Notification <u>only</u>:
  - push-update
  - push-change-update
- Use same mechanism to get the support of this extension via "/sysc:system-capabilities/notc:subscription-capabilities"
- Based on: <u>draft-tgraf-netconf-yang-push-observation-time</u>

```
"ietf-yp-notification:envelope": {
"event-time": "2023-03-25T08:30:12.22Z",
"hostname": "example-router",
"sequence-number": 1,
"notification-contents": {
  "ietf-yang-push:push-change-update": {
    "ietf-yp-observation:timestamp": \
    "2023-03-25T08:30:11.22Z",
    "ietf-yp-observation:point-in-time": \
    "state-changed",
    "datastore-contents": {
      "yang-patch": {
        "patch-id": "patch 54",
        "comment": "Changing encoding to JSON and increasing \
        the period to 10 minutes",
        "edit": [
            "edit-id": "id_change_1",
            "operation": "merge",
            "target": "/ietf-subscribed-notifications\:subs\
            criptions/subscription[id=2222]",
            "value": {
              "ietf-subscribed-notifications:encoding": \
              "ietf-subscribed-notifications:encode-json",
              "ietf-yang-push:periodic": {
                "period": 60000
```

Discussion on change (2) Adding Observation Timestamp extension

- Is this current approach appropriate?
- Request to raise a poll on February 10th interim and confirm wherever proposed changes reflect the will
  of the WG
  - (3) Observation timestamps added in the YANG-Push header

# (3) Other minor changes

- The XML namespace has been changed to "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yp-notification"
- Editorial changes, improve reading
- "The 'notification-contents' element SHOULD be located at the end of the notification envelope structure."
  - Idea: have the header located at the beginning of the message

# Discussion and open issues

- All the YANG notifications or only YANG-Push Notifications? So, far, current scope if fine (YANG-Push)
- Should this notification be defined as a "notification" or as a "sx:structure"? sx:structure
- XML namespace: which one to use?
  - urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:2.0 → following RFC5277
  - urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yp-notification → following YANG guidelines
- Which notification and subscription extensions should be added?
  - Metadata sent by default when the envelope is enabled? Yes, feedback IETF 121
  - Hostname and Sequencing [draft-tgraf-netconf-notif-sequencing]; Added
  - Observation time? [draft-tgraf-netconf-yang-push-observation-time]; Added
  - Some of the extensions only impact a subset of YANG-Push notifications
    - How to deal with this? → Current approach, extend YANG-Push header

Discussion and open issues

- Thanks Qiufang, Andy, Pierre Francois, and Reshad for the feedback.
- We will integrate todays feedback on the next iteration
- Kent and Mahesh suggested at IETF 121 to initiate working group adoption call.
- Considering that this is the 7th document (including the preceding document) iteration, that 4 major implementations are under way and draft-wilton-netconf-yp-observability build on top of it, the authors request to initiate the working group adoption before IETF 122.

# **BACKUP**

## YANG model for NETCONF Event Notifications

Interim 2024-09-19 – draft-ahuang-netconf-notif-yang

- https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/minutes-interim-2024-netconf-02-202409191300/
- Thorough review of draft-ahuang-netconf-notif-yang/YANG-Push/NETCONF Event Notifications
- Conclusion
  - draft-ahuang-netconf-notif-yang fixes a gap for YANG-Push but might be worth putting the effort on a brand new header
  - O We need:
    - Bypass RFC5277, thus use YANG-Push only
    - Extensible header
      - be able to add new metadata (sequencing, versioning, others...)
    - A client should be able to "opt-in"
      - Clients that don't support this new header should continue working seamlessly
    - The notification should be a YANG-based solution
    - Fix JSON and CBOR underspecification
      - including CBOR-SID allocation

# **YANG model for NETCONF Event Notifications**

Problem statement - (draft-ahuang-netconf-notif-yang)

```
<notification xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0">
<eventTime>2022-09-02T10:59:55.32Z</eventTime>
<push-update xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-push">
 <id>101</id>
 <datastore-contents>
   <interfaces xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces">
    <interface>
     <name>eth0</name>
    <oper-status>up</oper-status>
   </interface>
  </interfaces>
 </datastore-contents>
</push-update>
</notification>
```

**RFC 5277 - Netconf Event Notifications** 

RFC 8641 - YANG Push

#### YANG encodings:

- RFC 7950 YANG XML
- RFC 7951 YANG JSON
- RFC 9254 YANG CBOR

```
"ietf-notification:notification": {
  "eventTime": "2017-10-25T08:00:11.22Z",
  "ietf-yang-push:push-update": {
    "id": 1011,
    "datastore-contents": {
       "ietf-interfaces:interfaces": [
          "interface": {
            "name": "eth0",
            "oper-status": "up"
```

# Implementation Issues:

- (1) YANG module not defined
- (2) Non-existing Normative text defining this header


Thanks Andy for confirming the approach was not correct.

Proposal (comments)

- As requested
  - Scoped to YANG-Push (both dynamic and configured subscriptions)
    - Can be implemented with NETCONF and RESTCONF
  - Use a "notification" statement rather than a "sx:structure"
  - Given that it's intended for YANG-Push, the following notifications are impacted:
    - push-update; push-change-update
    - subscription-started; subscription-modified; subscription-terminated
    - subscription-suspended; subscription-resumed; subscription-completed
    - replay-completed

(1) Option to "opt-in" through a YANG-Push Subscription

Configuration on Globally on the server via the RPC "enable-notif-envelope"



- (2) Able to discover the capability of this new header
- Augmentation on notification capabilities (RFC9196)



- (3) Extensible header defined in YANG
- Structure defined as a notification containing
  - event-time
  - metadata(s)
  - notification-contents

JSON example without metadata

#### Configured Subscriptions




enable-notif-envelope=True



#### **Dynamic Subscriptions**







- (4) Definition of each encoding (XML, JSON, CBOR)
- Explicit definition of the content of the "envelope" (solving gap for JSON and CBOR)
  - Definition of the namespace (urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:2.0)
  - Mandatory event-time node
  - Mandatory notification-contents node
  - Metadata present when configured

A YANG notification encoded in XML is structured as a root "envelope" container. The namespace of this container is the namespace defined in the YANG module "ietf-yp-notification":

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:2.0

Two mandatory child nodes within the "envelope" container are expected, representing the event time and the notification payload. The "event-time" node is defined within the same XML namespace as the "envelope" container. The "event-time" node MUST be compliant with [RFC3339]. Other metadata defined within the YANG module defined in Section 5 MUST use the same XML namespace. See Section 3.4 for more details.

- (5) Extensions for hostname and sequence-number
- Definition of hostname and sequence-number extensions (draft-tgraf-netconf-notif-sequencing)
  - Present by default when the envelope is enabled
  - Discovery of support of this header through RFC9196