



Swisscom Network Analytics Data Mesh Architecture

05.11.2023, Thomas Graf – thomas.graf@swisscom.com

Picture: Apollo 8, December 24th 1968



Nationwide Network Outages everywhere

Increasing in impact and duration - hinting Network Visibility deficiencies

Canada Rogers says network upgrades after outage will cost \$261M, but no timeline given

By Staff - The Canadian Press
Posted August 25, 2022 11:09 am



Rogers CEO Tony Staffieri explained to a standing committee in the House of Commons on Monday that the technology...
C: July 14, 2021
2: 7:57 AM GMT+2
Last Updated a year ago

Swisscom boss apologises for massive network outage - newspaper


Reuters

2 minute read



Chief Executive Urs Schaeppi of Swisscom, mobile phone and digital television provider Swisscom addresses the company's annual news conference in Zurich, Switzerland February 7, 2019. REUTERS/Arnd Wiegmann

BUSINESS KDDI to spend ¥7.3 billion to compensate users for major network outage



KDDI chief Makoto Takahashi speaks to reporters in Tokyo on Friday. | KYODO

BY KAZUAKI NAGATA

SHARE Jul 29, 2022

05 FEB 2023 | 08:23 AM UTC

Italy: TIM internet services interruption reported nationwide Feb. 5

TIM internet services interruption reported in Italy Feb. 5. Likely communication disruptions.

Informational

Communications/technology

Transportation

ITA

ORANGE FRANCE UNDER FIRE FOR MISHANDLING NETWORK OUTAGE

Posted by Harry Baldock | Jul 22, 2021 | Subsea, INFRASTRUCTURE, Satellite, Towers, COMPANY NEWS, Governance, Data Centres, Networks, Wholesale, Virtualisation, Europe, Middle East & Africa, News



Facebook outage: what went wrong and why did it take so long to fix after social platform went down?

Billions of users were unable to access Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp for hours while the social media giant scrambled to restore services



Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp all went down, and reappeared online after a six-hour global outage. Photograph: Anadolu Agency/Getty Images



The customer knows before Swisscom that there is service interruption.

Unable to recognize impact and root cause when configurational or operational network changes occur.

Swisscom suffers reputation damage.
We need to work together to mediate.



Markus Reber

Head of Networks at Swisscom



At IETF only 9.85% of the activities are related to network automation and monitoring.


We are still using protocols designed 40 years ago to manage networks.

IP network protocols are not made to expose metrics for analytics. **IPFIX and BGP monitoring protocol are the rare exception.**



Thomas Graf

Distinguished Network Engineer
and Network Analytics Architect at Swisscom





*“ It is our duty to recognize service interruption
before our customer does.*

Why do we still often fail to be first ? “





Network Analytics Use Cases

What they are and how they relate

Network Data Collection

- Enables analytical use cases

Verification, Troubleshooting and Notification

- Dashboard, query and drill down on operational metrics

Network Anomaly Detection

- State change for connectivity services

Network Service Level Indicator and Objective

- State and state objective for connectivity services

Network Visualization


- Eases overview and access of metrics to humans

Network Device Trend Detection

- Tracks and predicts critical devices resources

Closed Loop Operation


- Automates network verification





Network Analytics Use Cases


Data Mesh and Data Products





Data Collection with Network Telemetry

Structured metrics enable informed decision-making



Network Telemetry:

- > A data collection framework where the network device pushes its metrics to Big Data. Defined in [RFC 9232](#).

Data Modelling:

- > Key for Big Data correlation to understand and react in the right context
 - > Are interface drops bad?
 - > How should we react?




*“The solution comes with innovators.
That's why Swisscom cooperates at IETF with
network operators, vendors and universities.”*






Collaboration for tomorrow's Network Analytics





YANG Datastores enables Closed Loop Operation

Automated data correlation – what else?



YANG is a data modelling language which will not only transform how we managed our networks; it will transform also how we manage our services.

News: 20 industry leading colleagues from 4 network operators, 2 network and 4 analytics providers, and 2 universities [commit on a project to integrate YANG and CBOR into data mesh](#). Next update IETF 118 Prague.


Automated networks can only run with a common data model. A digital twin YANG data store enables a comparison between intend and reality. Schema preservation enables closed loop operation. **Closed Loop is like an autopilot on an airplane.** We need to understand what the flight envelope is to keep the airplane within. Without, we crash.



When Big Data and Network become one

Marrying two messaging protocols

Data Mesh



Preserve YANG data module definition throughout the data processing chain.

Enable automated data correlation among management, forwarding and control-plane **for anomaly detection.**

Simplify YANG push network data collection at high scale with low impact. **Suited for nowadays distributed forwarding systems.**

Support of Hostname and Sequencing in YANG Notifications

[draft-tgraf-netconf-notif-sequencing](#)

Support of Network Observation Timestamping in YANG Notifications

[draft-tgraf-yang-push-observation-time](#)

Support of Versioning in YANG Notifications Subscription


[draft-netconf-yang-notifications-versioning](#)

UDP-based Transport for Configured Subscriptions

[draft-ietf-netconf-udp-notif](#)

Subscription to Distributed Notifications

[draft-ietf-netconf-distributed-notif](#)



♥ Yang ♥♥♥
Kafka ♥




BMP Covering all RIB's

Extends much needed RIB coverage

BGP route exposure without BMP is a challenge of the first order:

- > Only best path is exposed (missing best-external and ECMP routes)
- > Next-hop attribute not preserved all the time
- > Filtering between RIB's not visible
- **Support for Local RIB in BGP Monitoring Protocol**
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9069>
- **Support for Adj-RIB-Out in BGP Monitoring Protocol**
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8671>

Adj-RIB-Out an RFC since November 2019. Local RIB since February 2022. Juniper, Huawei and Nokia have public releases available supporting both. Cisco for Local RIB since August 2023.






BMP with extended TLV support

Brings visibility into FIB's and route-policies

Knowing all the routes in all the RIB's brings the new challenge

- > That we don't know how they are being used in the FIB/RIB (which one is best, best-external, ECMP, backup)
- > That we don't know which route-policy permitted/denied/changed which prefix/attribute
- **TLV support for BMP Route Monitoring and Peer Down Messages**
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit>
- **Support for Enterprise-specific TLVs in the BGP Monitoring Protocol**
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-lucente-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit>
- **BMP Extension for Path Marking TLV**
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-grow-bmp-path-marking-tlv>

All documents are GROW working group documents. Huawei has test code available. Frouting is about to merge code.





IPFIX Covering Segment Routing

For MPLS-SR, SRv6 and On-path Delay

SRv6 is commonly standardized, network vendors implementations are available and network operators are at various stages in their deployments, missing data-plane visibility though.

Segment Routing coverage in IPFIX brings visibility for:

- > Which routing protocol provided the label or IPv6 Segment in the SR domain.
- > The active Segment where the packet is forwarded to in the SRv6 Domain.
- > The Segment List where the packet is going to be forwarded throughout the SRv6 Domain.
- > The Endpoint Behavior describing how the packet is being forwarded in the SRv6 Domain.
- > The Min, Max and Average On-path delay at each hop in the SR domain.

Export of MPLS Segment Routing Label Type Information in IPFIX


<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9160>

Export of Segment Routing IPv6 Information in IPFIX

<https://www.rfc-editor.org/authors/rfc9487.html>

Export of Forwarding Path Delay in IPFIX


<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-opsawg-ipfix-on-path-telemetry>





Inband Telemetry with IPFIX Flow-Aggregation

Aggregate and sample as early as possible – Chose your Cardinality



- IPFIX defines two key data engineering tools to reduce collected and exported amount of data. **Sampling and Aggregation**. Enabling a **statistical view from the network usage**. Also called **connectivity matrix**.
- IPFIX measures **packets and bytes** and give **device and control-plane context**.
- **With Inband Telemetry, iOAM, Path Tracing and iFIT, delay can be measured** actively (probing) or passively. Metrics are exposed on every node, postcards or only at the last node (passport).
- **IPFIX lacks the ability to export delay**. A key element for monitoring Customer Service Level Agreements.
- **Inband Telemetry lacks Flow Aggregation support** as defined in RFC 7015. Therefore, **scalability** in terms of data export and collection is **drastically limited** today.
- draft-tgraf-opsawg-ipfix-inband-telemetry enables IPFIX to export delay while preserving the ability to aggregate and also **adds the Inband Telemetry path delay metric definition** in the performance registry for proper delay definition.




Measure delay and give network context

Enabling a statistical network delay view

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| IPv4/6 Source: | 172.16.31.1 |
| Port Source: | 23456 |
| IP Protocol: | TCP |
| IP Type of Service: | 192 |
| IPv4/6 Destination: | 100.67.1.2 |
| Port Destination: | 443 |
| Ingress Logical Interface ID: | 32 |
| Ingress Physical Interface ID: | 21 |
| Ingress VRF ID: | 0x100 |
| Egress Logical Interface ID: | 11 |
| Egress Physical Interface ID: | 43 |
| Egress VRF ID: | 0x16 |
| Forwarding Status: | FWD Unknown |

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| IPv4/6 Source: | 172.16.31.1 |
| Port Source: | 23456 |
| IP Protocol: | TCP |
| IP Type of Service: | 192 |
| IPv4/6 Destination: | 100.67.1.2 |
| Port Destination: | 443 |
| Ingress Logical Interface ID: | 32 |
| Ingress Physical Interface ID: | 21 |
| Ingress VRF ID: | 0x16 |
| Egress Logical Interface ID: | 11 |
| Egress Physical Interface ID: | 43 |
| Egress VRF ID: | 0x16 |
| Forwarding Status: | FWD Unknown |
| SID List: | 17001, 34002 |
| Delay Min | 1 |
| Delay Sum | 5 |
| Delay Max | 7 |




- > Packets are captured ingress with an optional sampler, data-plane dimensions extracted, enriched with device and control-plane dimensions and **added with a unique flow ID to a flow cache on the node for aggregation.**
- > The data-plane dimensions answers **which packet**. The control-plane **which service**. The device dimensions **where in the network**.
- > In case of Inband Telemetry, a timestamp and optionally a direct export tag is added to the packet header when entering the Inband Telemetry domain.
- > Each subsequent packet for the same flow increases byte and packet count. Each new flow creates a new flow ID in the flow cache.
- > In case of Inband Telemetry, At each node in transit (postcard) or only at the last node (passport), **the delay is calculated by comparing the timestamp in the packet and when packet is received on the node. Delay is populated into the flow cache besides packet and byte count.**




IETF 116/117– Network Analytics Development

SRv6 Data Plane Visibility and YANG/Kafka Integration



<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/network-analytics-ietf-116-yokohama-thomas-graf/>



<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/network-analytics-ietf-117-san-francisco-thomas-graf/>



5x BMP drafts and 1 RFC at GROW working group. Bringing RIB and route-policy dimensions into BMP and increase scale.



6x YANG push drafts at NETCONF working group.



2x IPFIX Segment Routing On-path delay draft and 1 RFC at OPSAWG working group.



2x IOAM DEX drafts at IPPM working group.



Network Anomaly Detection code development.



YANG push udp-notif, BMP, IPFIX SRv6, On-Path and IOAM open-source running code.