

Understanding sensory systems by training models in virtual worlds

Chengxu Zhuang^{1*}, Damian Mrowca², Daniel Yamins¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Stanford

²Computer Science Department, University of Stanford
Jordan Hall Rm 427, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: chengxuz@stanford.edu.

In this paper, we trained models in virtual worlds for specific goals and then showed that those models could predict the brain areas related to the same goals well. We first built two virtual worlds for models to learn two behaviors, recognizing objects by detecting their shapes using simulated-whiskers and recovering 3D shapes and therefore categories from 2D images collected in simulation world. After training those models well, we then showed that those models could predict the related neural responses for those behaviors as well. Both these models shared the similarity that they were trained to give normals of the object surfaces as intermediate outputs. And by explicitly constraining the models to do so, we were expecting that this would actually help the models for better explaining the responses of both intermediate layers and final layers of brain areas in interest. We also hope that this work would inspire future researches to utilize the considerable literature about functions of intermediate layers to improve the performances of models both on finishing

tasks and modelling brains.

Introduction

Brains have done remarkable work by actively analyzing environment information and making decisions upon that. Among all systems in brains, sensory systems are usually concentrated on analyzing some particular environment information, for example, light for visual systems, sound for auditory systems, and inputs from whiskers for mouse somatosensory systems. The goal of those sensory systems is to extract the useful semantic information from the complex raw input data, which could be described as untangling the behavior-related dimensions (such as category) from other irrelevant dimensions (such as translation and rotation of the objects)[17]. And in this work, we are especially interested in visual systems and mouse somatosensory systems.

A lot of work has been done to explore both two systems. While those systems differ from their input data, number of overall neurons, and specific structures as well as organizations, they are believed to have the similarity of consisting of several consecutive regions that are distinguishable on both structures and functions [3]. For visual systems, starting from work of Hubel and Wiesel[6], there have been a large number of hierarchical models developed to explain the response patterns of them[13, 14, 4, 1, 11]. Similarly in somatosensory systems, researchers also find evidence showing hierarchical processing for somatosensory input in both human and primates[12, 7, 8].

Hierarchical models are also used widely in artificial intelligence to help design better systems for various tasks. The recent work using deep neural networks (DNNs) has achieved significant improvements on object recognition, speech recognition, and numerous of other artificial intelligence tasks[9, 5, 10]. Those deep neural networks are all composed of multiple simple neural network layers in series, where the computation in single layer is usually sim-

ple but non-linear and stacks of those simple non-linear computations finally make up of some highly complicated non-linear computations. Additionally, those models are also believed to be biologically plausible and therefore could be good candidates for models of related brain systems.

Furthermore, researchers have also found that the hierarchical models optimized for performances on object recognition tasks could also serve as a good model for IT areas in primates, which are believed to be the responsible areas for object recognition in brains [15, 16, 2]. Inspired by this, we are building performance optimized hierarchical models for specific tasks that we think V4 (an intermediate layer in visual systems) in human and primates and mouse somatosensory systems are performing. And after having the models, we could use them to explain the responses of those brain areas.

The two tasks that we are interested in are predicting normals of object surfaces and then object categories both using 2D images as input or collecting input through simulated-whiskers respectively. The two tasks mainly differ in their required input and there have been

However, for optimizing those deep hierarchical models, one would need a large number of examples with corresponding labels. And in our work, it is either too difficult to collect the corresponding labels (for example, the normals of object surfaces given the 2D images) or the desired example itself (for example, the mechanical)

Methods

Results

References

- [1] Yoshua Bengio. Learning deep architectures for ai. *Foundations and trends® in Machine Learning*, 2(1):1–127, 2009.

- [2] Charles F. Cadieu, Ha Hong, Daniel L K Yamins, Nicolas Pinto, Diego Ardila, Ethan A. Solomon, Najib J. Majaj, and James J. DiCarlo. Deep Neural Networks Rival the Representation of Primate IT Cortex for Core Visual Object Recognition. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 10(12):1–35, 2014.
- [3] Daniel J Felleman and David C Van Essen. Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. *Cerebral cortex*, 1(1):1–47, 1991.
- [4] Kunihiko Fukushima. Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. *Biological cybernetics*, 36(4):193–202, 1980.
- [5] Geoffrey Hinton, Li Deng, Dong Yu, George E Dahl, Abdel-rahman Mohamed, Navdeep Jaitly, Andrew Senior, Vincent Vanhoucke, Patrick Nguyen, Tara N Sainath, et al. Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 29(6):82–97, 2012.
- [6] D H Hubel and T N Wiesel. Receptive fields of single neurones in the cat’s striate cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, 148:574–591, 1959.
- [7] Koji Inui, Xiaohong Wang, Yohei Tamura, Yoshiki Kaneoke, and Ryusuke Kakigi. Serial processing in the human somatosensory system. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14(8):851–857, 2004.
- [8] Yoshiaki Iwamura. Hierarchical somatosensory processing. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 8(4):522–528, 1998.
- [9] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. *Advances In Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1–9, 2012.

- [10] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444, 2015.
- [11] Nicolas Pinto, David Doukhan, James J DiCarlo, and David D Cox. A high-throughput screening approach to discovering good forms of biologically inspired visual representation. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 5(11):e1000579, 2009.
- [12] T P Pons, P E Garraghty, David P Friedman, and Mortimer Mishkin. Physiological evidence for serial processing in somatosensory cortex. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 237(4813):417–420, 1987.
- [13] Maximilian Riesenhuber and Tomaso Poggio. Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. *Nature neuroscience*, 2(11):1019–1025, 1999.
- [14] Thomas Serre, Aude Oliva, and Tomaso Poggio. A feedforward architecture accounts for rapid categorization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(15):6424–6429, 2007.
- [15] D L Yamins, H Hong, and C Cadieu. Hierarchical Modular Optimization of Convolutional Networks Achieves Representations Similar to Macaque IT and Human Ventral Stream. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1–9, 2013.
- [16] Daniel L K Yamins, Ha Hong, Charles F Cadieu, Ethan a Solomon, Darren Seibert, and James J DiCarlo. Performance-optimized hierarchical models predict neural responses in higher visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(23):8619–24, jun 2014.
- [17] Daniel LK Yamins and James J DiCarlo. Using goal-driven deep learning models to understand sensory cortex. *Nature neuroscience*, 19(3):356–365, 2016.