

A COMPLEX RUELLE-PERRON-FROBENIUS THEOREM FOR INFINITE MARKOV SHIFTS WITH APPLICATIONS TO RENEWAL THEORY

MARC KESSEBÖHMER AND SABRINA KOMBRINK

*Dedicated to the memory of our good friend and colleague
Bernd O. Stratmann (1957-2015)*

ABSTRACT. We prove a complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem for Markov shifts over an infinite alphabet, whence extending results by M. Pollicott from the finite to the infinite alphabet setting. As an application we obtain an extension of renewal theory in symbolic dynamics, as developed by S. P. Lalley and in the sequel generalised by the second author, now covering the infinite alphabet case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The core part of the present article is an extension of M. Pollicott's results [Pol84] concerning spectral properties of Perron-Frobenius operators for complex potential functions to the setting of an infinite alphabet (see Thm. 2.14 in Sec. 2.3). In order to obtain this extension we heavily make use of results on the Perron-Frobenius operator for real potential functions and infinite alphabets mainly developed by D. Mauldin and M. Urbański in [MU03] (see Sec. 2.2). Moreover, in Sec. 2.4 we prove analyticity results for complex perturbed resolvents of Perron-Frobenius operators.

Applying M. Pollicott's complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem from [Pol84] (see also [PP90]) has lead to various new results, for instance to S. P. Lalley's renewal theorems for counting measures in symbolic dynamics [Lal89, Thms. 1 and 2]. In [Kom15] S. P. Lalley's ideas were generalised to more general measures. By this a setting was found which extends and unifies the setting of several established renewal theorems, namely (i) the above-mentioned theorems by S. P. Lalley [Lal89, Thms. 1 and 2] (ii) the classical key renewal theorem for finitely supported probability measures [Fel71] and (iii) a class of Markov renewal theorems (see e.g. [Als91, Asm03]). By applying our new Thm. 2.14 in Sec. 3 we extend the setting of [Kom15] further by lifting the results from a finite to a countably infinite alphabet leading to a new renewal theorem (see Thm. 3.1). This (i) exhibits new results in the vein of [Lal89] (ii) encompasses the key renewal theorem for arbitrary discrete measures, see Cor. 3.3 and (iii) comprises certain Markov renewal theorems.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C30, 60K05 (28D99, 58C40).

Key words and phrases. Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator, renewal theory, infinite alphabet subshift.

Renewal theorems are a useful tool in various areas of mathematics. Of particular interest to us are their applications in geometry (see e.g. [Lal88] or [Fal97, Ch. 7]). Indeed, the new renewal theorem, Thm. 3.1, that is stated and proved in Sec. 3 allows for new results in this area. For instance, it yields statements concerning Minkowski measurability of limit sets of infinitely generated conformal graph directed systems (cGDS). These results will be presented in a forthcoming article [KK16] by the authors. For some previous results on the finite alphabet case we refer to [KK12, KK15]. The class of limit sets of infinitely generated cGDS is very rich and contains the boundary of Apollonian circle packings, limit sets of Fuchsian and Kleinian groups, self-similar and self-conformal sets and restricted continued fraction sets.

2. COMPLEX RUELLE-PERRON-FROBENIUS THEOREM

In [Pol84] a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem for complex potential functions was proven for the case that the underlying alphabet is finite. The aim of this section is to extend these results from [Pol84] to the setting of an infinite alphabet and to obtain analytic properties of resolvents which are associated to Perron-Frobenius operators for a family of complex potential functions. In Sec. 2.1 we introduce the relevant notions and the central object, namely the complex Perron-Frobenius operator. Important results concerning the Perron-Frobenius operator for real potential functions in the setting of an infinite alphabet have been obtained by D. Mauldin and M. Urbański and we collect their relevant results in Sec. 2.2. In Sec. 2.3 we use these statements to extend the findings of [Pol84] to the setting of an infinite alphabet, where we gain information on the spectrum of Perron-Frobenius operators $\mathcal{L}_{z\xi+\eta}$ for a family $(z\xi + \eta \mid z \in \mathbb{C})$ of complex potential functions (with real-valued potentials ξ, η). At this point we would like to thank Mariusz Urbański for very valuable discussions on this problem. Finally, in Sec. 2.4, we use the statements of Sec. 2.2, 2.3 to obtain analytic properties of the resolvent-valued map $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{z\xi+\eta})^{-1}$ with Id denoting the identity operator.

2.1. The complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator. In the sequel $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ shall denote an at most countable alphabet, $A: I \times I \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ an incidence matrix and

$$E^\infty := \{\omega \in I^\mathbb{N} \mid A_{\omega_j \omega_{j+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } j \geq 1\}$$

the space of *A-admissible infinite sequences*. E^n denotes the set of all subwords of E^∞ of length $n \geq 1$. The space of *A-admissible finite sequences* is denoted by

$$E^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} E^n,$$

where E^0 denotes the set which solely contains the empty word \emptyset . For $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \in E^\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $\omega|_n := \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ for the initial subword of ω of length n . For $\omega, x \in E^\infty$ we write $\omega \wedge x := \max\{m \geq 0 \mid \omega_i = x_i \text{ for } i \leq m\}$ for the length of the longest common initial block of ω and x .

Throughout this paper we assume that the incidence matrix A is *finitely irreducible*, that is there exists a finite set $\Lambda \subset E^*$ such that for all $i, j \in I$ there is an $\omega \in \Lambda$ with $i\omega j \in E^*$. Note, finitely irreducible is a weaker condition than finitely primitive which is equivalent to the *big images and preimages (BIP) property* of [Sar03],

whenever the shift-dynamical system (E^∞, σ) (with σ defined next) is topologically mixing. On $E^\infty \cup E^*$ the *shift map* σ is defined by

$$\sigma(\omega) := \begin{cases} \omega_2\omega_3\cdots & : \omega = \omega_1\omega_2\cdots \in E^\infty \\ \omega_2\omega_3\cdots\omega_n & : \omega = \omega_1\omega_2\cdots\omega_n \in E^n, \ n \geq 2 \\ \emptyset & : \omega \in E^0 \cup E^1. \end{cases}$$

For $\omega \in E^n$ we denote the ω -cylinder set by

$$[\omega] := \{x \in E^\infty \mid x_i = \omega_i \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

The *topological pressure function* of $u: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the shift map $\sigma: E^\infty \rightarrow E^\infty$ is defined by the well-defined limit

$$P(u) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in E^n} \exp \left(\sup_{\tau \in [\omega]} S_n u(\tau) \right),$$

where

$$S_n f := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f \circ \sigma^j \text{ for } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 f := 0$$

denotes the n -th *Birkhoff sum* of $f: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that since the incidence matrix is finitely irreducible we have that the pressure defined above coincides with the Gurevich pressure (cf. [HU99, JKL14, Sar03]).

We equip $I^\mathbb{N}$ with the product topology of the discrete topologies on I and equip $E^\infty \subset I^\mathbb{N}$ with the subspace topology. By $\mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$ resp. $\mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ we denote the set of continuous complex- resp. real-valued functions on E^∞ . We refer to functions from $\mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$ as *potential functions*. The set of bounded continuous functions in $\mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$ resp. $\mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the supremum-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ resp. $\mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. Of particular importance to us is the subclass of Hölder continuous functions.

Definition 2.1 (Hölder continuity). For $f \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\text{var}_n(f) := \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| \mid x, y \in E^\infty \text{ and } x_i = y_i \text{ for } i \leq n\},$$

$$\|f\|_\theta := \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\text{var}_n(f)}{\theta^n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty) \mid \|f\|_\theta < \infty\}.$$

Elements of $\mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ are called θ -Hölder continuous functions on E^∞ . Moreover, by $\mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ we denote the subclass of real-valued θ -Hölder continuous functions on E^∞ . Note that by our definition a Hölder continuous function is not necessarily bounded. For the respective spaces of bounded Hölder continuous functions we write $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty) := \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty) \cap \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$.

In order to define the central object of this section, namely the Perron-Frobenius operator of a complex potential function $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$, we need to assume that

$$(2.1) \quad C_u := \sum_{e \in I} \exp(\sup(u|_{[e]})) < \infty.$$

A function $u \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies (2.1) is called *summable*. Notice, when we write $f = u + \mathbf{i}v$ for $f: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we implicitly assume that u and v are real-valued.

Remark 2.2. If $u: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous then u is summable if and only if $P(u) < \infty$, see [MU03, Prop. 2.1.9]. Moreover, if u is summable, then $P(u) > -\infty$, which is a consequence of [MU03, Thm. 2.1.5].

Definition 2.3 (Perron-Frobenius operator). Let $f = u + iv \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ with summable u . The *Perron-Frobenius-Operator* $\mathcal{L}_f: \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ for the potential function f acting on $\mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_f(g)(\omega) := \sum_{e \in I: A_{e\omega_1}=1} e^{f(e\omega)} g(e\omega) = \sum_{y: \sigma y = \omega} e^{f(y)} g(y).$$

The conjugate operator \mathcal{L}_f^* acting on $\mathcal{C}_b^*(E^\infty)$ can be restricted to the subset of finite Borel measures. In fact, for any finite Borel measure μ the functional $\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)(g) = \mu(\mathcal{L}_f(g)) = \int \mathcal{L}_f(g) d\mu,$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$, is tight in the following sense. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset E^\infty$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ with $0 \leq g \leq \mathbb{1}_{E^\infty \setminus K}$ we have

$$|\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)(g)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Here, $\mathbb{1}_B$ denotes the indicator function on a set B , that is $\mathbb{1}_B(x) = 1$ if $x \in B$ and 0 otherwise. To verify this condition we exclude the trivial measure and first choose an integer $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_M := \sum_{e \in I, e > M} \exp(\sup(u|_{[e]})) \leq \varepsilon/(2\mu(E^\infty))$. Since $E_{i,\ell} := \{\omega \in E^\infty : \omega_i \geq \ell\} \downarrow \emptyset$, for $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we find an increasing sequence (ℓ_k) of integers with $\ell_1 \geq M$ and $\mu(E_{k,\ell_k}) < \varepsilon 2^{-k-1}/C_u$. Then for the compact set $K := E^\infty \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_{k,\ell_k}$ we have $\mu(E^\infty \setminus K) < \varepsilon/(2C_u)$ and $e\omega \in K$ for all $e < M$ and $\omega \in K$ with $A_{e\omega_1} = 1$. For later use let us set $K_M := K_M(\varepsilon, \mu) := K$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)(g)| &\leq \int \mathcal{L}_u(g) d\mu = \int_K \mathcal{L}_u(g) d\mu + \int_{E^\infty \setminus K} \mathcal{L}_u(g) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_K \sum_{e \in I: A_{e\omega_1}=1} e^{u(e\omega)} g(e\omega) d\mu(\omega) + C_u \mu(E^\infty \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon_M \mu(K) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim of $\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)$ being tight. Applying an analogue of Riesz representation theorem for non-compact spaces stated in [Bog07, Thm. 7.10.6] yields that the functional $\mathcal{L}_f^*(\mu)$ can be represented uniquely by a finite Radon measure.

2.2. A real Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem and Gibbs measures. A Borel probability measure μ on E^∞ is said to be a *Gibbs state* for $u \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad c^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu([\omega|_n])}{\exp(S_n u(\omega) - nP(u))} \leq c$$

for every $\omega \in E^\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The central theorem of this subsection, Thm. 2.4, is a combination of Lem. 2.4.1, Thms. 2.4.3, 2.4.6 and Cor. 2.7.5 from [MU03]. Note that Thms. 2.4.3, 2.4.6 in [MU03] are stated and proved under the hypothesis that the incidence matrix A is finitely primitive. In fact, the assumption of finitely irreducible A suffices which we indicate as follows. From [MU03, Thm. 2.3.5] it follows under the assumption

of finite irreducibility that any convergent subsequence of $(n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}_{u-P(u)}^k(\mathbb{1}))_n$ in the proof of [MU03, Thm. 2.4.3] is uniformly bounded away from zero. Here, $\mathbb{1} := \mathbb{1}_{E^\infty}$ denotes the constant one-function on E^∞ .

Theorem 2.4 (Real Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem for infinite alphabets, [MU03]). *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is summable. Then \mathcal{L}_u preserves the space $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$, i. e. $\mathcal{L}_u|_{\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the following hold.*

- (i) *There is a unique Borel probability eigenmeasure ν_u of the conjugate Perron-Frobenius operator \mathcal{L}_u^* and the corresponding eigenvalue is equal to $e^{P(u)}$. Moreover, ν_u is a Gibbs state for u .*
- (ii) *The operator $\mathcal{L}_u|_{\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})}$ has an eigenfunction h_u which is bounded from above and which satisfies $\int h_u d\nu_u = 1$. Further, there exists an $R > 0$ such that $h_u \geq R$ on E^∞ .*
- (iii) *The function u has a unique ergodic σ -invariant Gibbs state μ_u .*
- (iv) *There exist constants $\overline{M} > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| e^{-nP(u)} \mathcal{L}_u^n(g) - \int g d\nu_u \cdot h_u \right\|_\theta \leq \overline{M} \gamma^n (\|g\|_\theta + \|g\|_\infty).$$

Note that for our purposes it is important in Thm. 2.4(ii) that h_u is uniformly bounded from below by R rather than just positive, see e.g. proof of Prop. 2.6. Directly from (2.3) we infer the following:

Corollary 2.5. *In the setting of Thm. 2.4(iv), $e^{P(u)}$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_u|_{\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})}$. The rest of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_u|_{\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})}$ is contained in a disc centred at zero of radius at most $\gamma e^{P(u)} < e^{P(u)}$.*

2.3. Spectral Properties of the complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator and Complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorems. Important spectral properties of the Perron-Frobenius operator in the case of a finite alphabet have been obtained by W. Parry and M. Pollicott in [PP90, Pol84]. In this section we are extending some of their results to the setting of an infinite alphabet.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$. Suppose that u is summable. For $0 \leq a < 2\pi$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *$e^{\mathbf{i}a+P(u)}$ is an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L}_f .*
- (ii) *There exists $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ such that $v - a + \zeta \circ \sigma - \zeta \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. takes values only in $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. For the implication “(ii) \Rightarrow (i)” one readily sees that $e^{\mathbf{i}a+P(u)}$ is an eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction $e^{-\mathbf{i}\zeta} h_u$ with h_u as in Thm. 2.4.

“(i) \Rightarrow (ii)”: We can find $h \in \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad e^{\mathbf{i}a+P(u)} h = \mathcal{L}_f h.$$

We write $h = |h|\exp(\mathbf{i}\tilde{h})$, where \tilde{h} is continuous (note, \tilde{h} is unique only up to $\text{mod}(2\pi)$) and thus we have for all $x \in E^\infty$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{\mathbf{i}a+P(u)}|h(x)|e^{\mathbf{i}\tilde{h}(x)} &= \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{(u+\mathbf{i}(v+\tilde{h}))(y)}|h(y)| \\ \Leftrightarrow e^{P(u)}|h(x)| &= \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{(u+\mathbf{i}(v+\tilde{h}-\tilde{h}\circ\sigma-a))(y)}|h(y)| \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) and Thm. 2.4 we infer

$$e^{P(u)}\|h\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}} = \int |\mathcal{L}_f h| d\nu_u \leq \int \mathcal{L}_u |h| d\nu_u = e^{P(u)}\|h\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}}$$

Since $|\mathcal{L}_f h(x)| \leq \mathcal{L}_u |h|(x)$ for all $x \in E^\infty$ this shows that $|\mathcal{L}_f h| = \mathcal{L}_u |h|$ holds ν_u -almost surely. Together with (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad e^{P(u)}|h| = \mathcal{L}_u |h| \quad \nu_u - \text{almost surely}$$

Thus, $|h|$ is a version of the unique strictly positive eigenfunction h_u of \mathcal{L}_u to the eigenvalue $e^{P(u)}$. We deduce from Thm. 2.4 that ν_u -almost surely, $|h| \geq R$. (Here, it is important that $h_u \geq R > 0$ holds true (see Thm. 2.4(ii)) rather than $h_u > 0$.) Thus, by Rem. 2.2 $\mathcal{L}_u |h|$ is ν_u -almost surely bounded away from zero. The equations (2.5) and (2.6) together imply for ν_u -almost every $x \in E^\infty$

$$(2.7) \quad 1 = \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{\mathbf{i}(v+\tilde{h}-\tilde{h}\circ\sigma-a)(y)} \frac{e^{u(y)}|h(y)|}{\mathcal{L}_u |h|(x)}.$$

The above equation represents a (countable) convex combination of points on the unit circle which lies on the unit circle. Thus, all the points on the unit circle need to coincide. As moreover the left hand side is equal to 1 it follows that

$$(v + \tilde{h} - \tilde{h} \circ \sigma - a)(y) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$$

for all y with $\sigma y = x$ and ν_u -almost all $x \in E^\infty$. Now, this set is dense in E^∞ , since being a Gibbs measure, ν_u assigns positive mass to every cylinder set. Since v and \tilde{h} are both continuous functions we obtain

$$v + \tilde{h} - \tilde{h} \circ \sigma - a \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, 2\pi\mathbb{Z}).$$

□

Definition 2.7. If $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ satisfies one (and hence both) of the conditions of Prop. 2.6 then f is called an *a-function*. If f is not an *a-function* (for any a) then f is called *regular*.

With the above proposition we conclude with the same argument as in [Pol84, p.139] that the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_f is precisely the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_u rotated through the angle a , when f is an *a-function*. Together with Cor. 2.5 this yields the following analogue of [Pol84, Prop. 3].

Proposition 2.8 (Complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem for *a-functions*). *If $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ with summable u is an *a-function* then $\exp(\mathbf{i}a + P(u))$ is a simple eigenvalue for \mathcal{L}_f and the rest of the spectrum is contained in a disc of radius strictly smaller than $|\exp(\mathbf{i}a + P(u))| = \exp(P(u))$.*

Now, we study the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_f when f is regular and show that it is disjoint from the circle with centre at the origin and radius $\exp(P(u))$. For this, we adapt the arguments in [Pol84, p. 139]. For simplicity, we will often assume that u is normalised so that $P(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_u \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$. This is possible, since for any summable $u \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ we have $P(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Rem. 2.2), whence $P(u - P(u)) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{u-P(u)+\log h_u - \log h_u \circ \sigma} \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$. Note that $u - P(u) + \log h_u - \log h_u \circ \sigma$ is summable when u is summable by the bounded distortion property stated next.

Lemma 2.9 (cf. [MU03, Lem. 2.3.1]). *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in E^n$ and $x, y \in E^\infty$ with $\omega x, \omega y \in E^\infty$ we have*

$$|S_n f(\omega x) - S_n f(\omega y)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_\theta}{1-\theta} \theta^{n+1}.$$

Lemma 2.10. *For $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ with summable u (with $P(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_u \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$) there exists a constant $C = C(f, \theta)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_f^n g\|_\theta \leq C \|g\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + (C+1) \|g\|_\theta \theta^n.$$

Proof. For $x, y \in E^\infty$ satisfying $x \wedge y = m \geq 1$ we have $\{\omega \in E^n \mid \omega x \in E^\infty\} = \{\omega \in E^n \mid \omega y \in E^\infty\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{L}_f^n g(x) - \mathcal{L}_f^n g(y)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\omega \in E^n, \omega x \in E^\infty} \left(e^{S_n f(\omega x)} g(\omega x) - e^{S_n f(\omega y)} g(\omega y) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in E^n, \omega x \in E^\infty} \left| e^{S_n f(\omega x)} - e^{S_n f(\omega y)} \right| \cdot |g(\omega x)| + \left| e^{S_n f(\omega y)} \right| \cdot |g(\omega x) - g(\omega y)| \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sum_{\omega \in E^n, \omega x \in E^\infty} \left(e^{S_n u(\omega z)} |S_n f(\omega x) - S_n f(\omega y)| \cdot |g(\omega x)| + e^{S_n u(\omega y)} |g(\omega x) - g(\omega y)| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in E^n, \omega x \in E^\infty} \left(e^{S_n u(\omega z)} \|f\|_\theta \frac{\theta^{m+1}}{1-\theta} |g(\omega x)| + e^{S_n u(\omega y)} \|g\|_\theta \theta^{n+m} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \left(\|f\|_\theta \frac{\theta^{m+1}}{1-\theta} \sum_{\omega \in E^n, \omega x \in E^\infty} c \nu_u([\omega]) |g(\omega x)| \right) + \|g\|_\theta \theta^{n+m} \\ &\leq \|f\|_\theta \frac{c \theta^{m+1}}{1-\theta} \left(\int |g| d\nu_u + \|g\|_\theta \theta^n \right) + \|g\|_\theta \theta^{n+m}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $(*)$ follows from the mean value theorem with some $z \in E^\infty$ and in $(**)$ we used the Gibbs property of ν_u with constant c , see (2.2) and Thm. 2.4. Setting $C := \|f\|_\theta \frac{c \theta}{1-\theta}$, we obtain

$$\text{var}_m(\mathcal{L}_f^n g) \leq \theta^m \left(C \|g\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + (C+1) \theta^n \|g\|_\theta \right)$$

which shows the assertion. \square

Choose a point e^{it} on the unit circle. For $h \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ which satisfies

$$\|h\|_\theta := \|h\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + \|h\|_\theta \leq 1$$

and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$h_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{L}_{f-it}^n h.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_\infty &= \int \|h\|_\infty d\nu_u \leq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{[i]} (|h(x)| + \text{var}_1(|h|)) d\nu_u(x) \\ &\leq \|h\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}} + \theta \|h\|_\theta \leq \|h\|_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|h\|_\theta \leq 1$ in particular implies $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $f = u + \mathbf{i}v \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{C})$ be regular and u summable (with $P(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_u \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$). Then $\|h_N\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}}$ tends to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\|h_N\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}}$ does not tend to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Under this assumption $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|h_N\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}} =: s \in (0, 1]$. Thus, there is a sequence $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|h_{N_k}\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}} = s.$$

We now show that there exists a subsequence of $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ along which $(h_N)_N$ converges ν_u -almost surely to a function $h^* \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$. For this, we assume without loss of generality that $I = \mathbb{N}$ and need the following two ingredients.

- (i) Recall that on p. 4 we constructed for every Borel measure μ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ a compact set $K = K_M = K_M(\varepsilon, \mu)$ for which $\mu(E^\infty \setminus K) < \varepsilon/(2C_u)$ and $e\omega \in K$ for every $e < M$ and $\omega \in K$ with $A_{e\omega_1} = 1$. Moreover, by construction $K_M \uparrow \bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} K_M$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the compact set $Y_M := K_M(2^{-M+1}, \nu_u)$. Then
 - (a) $\nu_u(E^\infty \setminus Y_M) < 2^{-M}/C_u$
 - (b) $\nu_u\left(\bigcup_{M \rightarrow \infty} Y_M\right) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \nu_u(Y_M) = 1$
 - (c) $e\omega \in Y_M$ for all $\omega \in Y_M$ and $e \in I$ with $e < M$, $A_{e\omega_1} = 1$
 - (d) $\{\omega \in E^\infty \mid \omega_k \leq M \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset Y_M$.
- (ii) $(h_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous on E^∞ : Let $x, y \in E^\infty$ be such that $x \wedge y = m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lem. 2.10 there exists $C = C(f - \mathbf{i}t, \theta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |h_N(x) - h_N(y)| &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(C \|h\|_{L^1_{\nu_u}} + (C+1) \|h\|_\theta \theta^n \right) \theta^n \\ (2.8) \qquad \qquad &\leq \left(C + \frac{C+1}{N(1-\theta)} \right) \theta^m, \end{aligned}$$

which shows equicontinuity.

Because of the equicontinuity, Azelà-Ascoli implies that for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find a subsequence $(N_m^M)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(h_{N_m^M})_m$ converges uniformly on Y_M to a function $h_M^*: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which restricted to Y_M lies in $\mathcal{C}(Y_M)$. By construction, we can assume that $(N_m^{M+1})_m$ is a subsequence of $(N_m^M)_m$. Moreover, it is clear that $h_{M+1}^*|_{Y_M} = h_M^*|_{Y_M}$. Because of (i) we can find to ν_u -almost every $x \in E^\infty$ an $M_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in Y_{M_x}$. Let $h^*(x) := h_{M_x}^*(x)$. This defines a function

h^* on $\bigcup Y_M$ which lies in $\mathcal{C}(\bigcup Y_M)$ and is even uniformly continuous because of the following. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in Y_M$

$$\begin{aligned} & |h^*(x) - h^*(y)| \\ & \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |h^*(x) - h_{N_m^M}(x)| + |h_{N_m^M}(x) - h_{N_m^M}(y)| + |h_{N_m^M}(y) - h^*(y)| \\ & \leq C \cdot \theta^{x \wedge y} \end{aligned}$$

with $C = C(f - it, \theta)$ as in (2.8). As ν_u is a Gibbs state for u , it assigns positive mass to every cylinder set. Thus, (i) implies that $\bigcup Y_M$ is dense in E^∞ and we can uniquely extend h^* continuously to E^∞ . We denote the extension of h^* to E^∞ by h^* as well and show in the following that h^* is a non-zero eigenfunction of \mathcal{L}_f to the eigenvalue e^{it} , a contradiction to the regularity of f .

(i) h^* is non-zero: For all $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^*\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} &= \int_{Y_M} |h^*| d\nu_u + \int_{E^\infty \setminus Y_M} |h^*| d\nu_u \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y_M} |h_{N_m^M}| d\nu_u + \int_{E^\infty \setminus Y_M} |h^*| d\nu_u \\ &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|h_{N_m^M}\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} - \int_{E^\infty \setminus Y_M} |h_{N_m^M}| d\nu_u \right) \\ &\geq s - \nu_u(E^\infty \setminus Y_M) > s - 2^{-M}/C_u \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$0 < s \leq \|h^*\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1}.$$

(ii) $\|h^*\|_\infty \leq 1$.

(iii) To show that h^* is an eigenfunction to the eigenvalue e^{it} , we first take $x \in \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Y_m$, say $x \in Y_M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, recall that for all $x \in E^\infty$,

$$\varepsilon_M := \sum_{\substack{e \in I \\ e > M}} \exp(\sup u|_{[e]}) \geq \sum_{\substack{y \in E^\infty \setminus Y_M \\ \sigma y = x}} \exp(u(y)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{L}_f h^*(x) - e^{it} h^*(x)| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{y: \sigma y = x, y \in Y_M} e^{f(y)} h^*(y) - e^{it} h^*(x) \right| + \varepsilon_M \\ & = \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_m^M} \sum_{n=0}^{N_m^M-1} \left(\mathcal{L}_{f-it}^{n+1} h(x) e^{it} - \sum_{\substack{\sigma y = x \\ y \notin Y_M}} e^{f(y)} \mathcal{L}_{f-it}^n h(y) \right) - e^{it} h^*(x) \right| + \varepsilon_M \\ & \leq \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{N_m^M}(x) e^{it} + \frac{e^{it}}{N_m^M} \left(\mathcal{L}_f^{N_m^M} h(x) - h(x) \right) - e^{it} h^*(x) \right| + 2\varepsilon_M \\ & = 2\varepsilon_M. \end{aligned}$$

As $x \in Y_M$ implies $x \in Y_{\overline{M}}$ for all $\overline{M} \geq M$ we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_f h^*(x) = e^{it} h^*(x)$$

for all $x \in \bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} Y_M$. Since $\mathcal{L}_f h^*$ and $e^{it} h^*$ are both uniformly continuous and as they coincide on a dense subset of E^∞ , they need to coincide on E^∞ , that is

$$\mathcal{L}_f h^* = e^{it} h^* \quad \text{on } E^\infty.$$

(i) and (ii) together with (iii) show that e^{it} is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_f with non-zero eigenfunction $h^* \in \mathcal{C}_b(E^\infty)$. This together with Prop. 2.6 is a contradiction to the assumption that f is regular, whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_N\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let $f = u + iv \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$ be regular and u summable (with $P(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_u \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$). Then $\|h_N\|_\theta$ tends to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. For any $n \leq N$ we deduce the following inequalities from Lem. 2.10.

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_N\|_\theta &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{L}_{f-it}^k h - \mathcal{L}_{f-it}^n h_N \right\|_\theta + \|\mathcal{L}_{f-it}^n h_N\|_\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_{f-it}^k h\|_\theta + \|\mathcal{L}_{f-it}^{k+N} h\|_\theta \right) + \|\mathcal{L}_{f-it}^n h_N\|_\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(C \|h\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} \cdot 2 + (C+1) \|h\|_\theta (\theta^k + \theta^{k+N}) \right) \\ &\quad + C \|h_N\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + (C+1) \|h_N\|_\theta \theta^n \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{N} C \|h\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + \frac{(C+1)(1+\theta^N)}{(1-\theta)N} (1-\theta^n) \|h\|_\theta + C \|h_N\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} \\ &\quad + (C+1) \theta^n \left(C \|h\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} + (C+1) \|h\|_\theta \frac{1-\theta^N}{N(1-\theta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities are in particular valid for $n = \sqrt{N}$. Additionally using that $\|h\|_\theta \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_N\|_\theta &\leq \frac{2C}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{(C+1)(1+\theta^N)}{(1-\theta)N} (1-\theta^{\sqrt{N}}) + C \|h_N\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} \\ (2.9) \quad &\quad + (C+1) \theta^{\sqrt{N}} C + \frac{(C+1)^2 \theta^{\sqrt{N}}}{N(1-\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lem. 2.11 now finishes the proof. □

Lemma 2.13. *If u is summable then the closed unit ball*

$$H := \{h \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty) \mid \|h\|_\theta \leq 1\}$$

is compact in the Banach space $(L_{\nu_u}^1(E^\infty), \|\cdot\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1})$.

Proof. It suffices to show that H is a sequentially compact subset of the Banach space of $L_{\nu_u}^1(E^\infty)$ -functions. Since H is equicontinuous, we can use the arguments of the proof of Lem. 2.11 to conclude that any sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H possesses a subsequence $(f_{n_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges pointwise to a uniformly continuous limiting

function f^* . We use the notation from Lem. 2.11. Write $\delta_m(x) := |f^*(x) - f_{n_m}(x)|$ and $\varepsilon_m^M := \sup_{x \in Y_M} |f^*(x) - f_{n_m}(x)|$. Uniform convergence of $(f_{n_m})_m$ on Y_M implies $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_m^M = 0$. Further let $\varepsilon_M := \sum_{e \in I, e > M} \exp(\sup(u|_{[e]}))$ be as above. We again assume without loss of generality that $I = \mathbb{N}$. Because of

$$\begin{aligned} |f^*(x) - f^*(y)| &\leq |f^*(x) - f_{n_m}(x)| + |f_{n_m}(x) - f_{n_m}(y)| + |f_{n_m}(y) - f^*(y)| \\ &\leq \delta_m(x) + \theta^{x \wedge y} \|f_{n_m}\|_\theta + \delta_m(y) \end{aligned}$$

and that $\|f_{n_m}\|_\infty$ implies $\|f^*\|_\infty \leq 1$, which gives

$$\|f^* - f_{n_m}\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} = \int_{Y_M} |f^* - f_{n_m}| d\nu_u + \int_{Y_M^c} |f^* - f_{n_m}| d\nu_u \leq \varepsilon_m^M + 2 \cdot 2^{-M} / C_u$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^*\|_\theta &= \|f^*\|_\theta + \|f^*\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} = \sup_{e \in I, x \neq y \in [e]} \frac{|f^*(x) - f^*(y)|}{\theta^{x \wedge y}} + \|f^*\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} \\ &\leq \sup_{e \in I, x \neq y \in [e]} \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_m(x) + \delta_m(y)}{\theta^{x \wedge y}} + \|f_{n_m}\|_\theta + \varepsilon_m^M + \frac{2^{-M+1}}{C_u} + \|f_{n_m}\|_{L_{\nu_u}^1} \\ &\leq 1 + \sup_{e \in I, x \neq y \in [e]} \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_m(x) + \delta_m(y)}{\theta^{x \wedge y}} + \varepsilon_m^M + 2^{-M+1} / C_u \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Lem. 2.11, 2.13 and (2.9) yields that we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|h_N\|_\theta < 1$ for all $h \in H$ with H defined in Lem. 2.13. The arguments of [Pol84, p. 140] imply that e^{it} is not in the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_f and finally the following theorem.

Theorem 2.14 (Complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem for regular functions). *Let $f = u + iv \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty)$. Suppose that u is summable. If f is regular then the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_f is contained in a disc of radius strictly smaller than $\exp(P(u))$.*

2.4. Analyticity of $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$. Consider $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ with $\xi \geq 0$. We suppose that there exists a unique $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $P(\eta - \delta\xi) = 0$. This condition in particular implies that ξ cannot be identically zero. Moreover, by Rem. 2.2

$$t^* := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \eta + t\xi \text{ is summable}\}$$

satisfies $-\delta \leq t^*$.

In order to study the analytic properties of the operator-valued function $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$, we let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty))$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)$ to $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)$. We equip $\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)$ with the norm $|\cdot|_\theta := \|\cdot\|_\theta + \|\cdot\|_\infty$ and note that $(\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty), |\cdot|_\theta)$ is a Banach space, which makes $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}})$ a Banach space. Here, $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm.

We let $G := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) < t^*\}$ denote the open domain of parameters $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\eta + \Re(z)\xi$ is summable. As a consequence of [MU03, Cor. 2.6.10], $z \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty))$ is holomorphic in G . Hence, if $(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ exists in some open domain $D \subseteq G$, then $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ is holomorphic (see e.g. [Kat95, Ch. 1.4.5]). The map $t \mapsto P(\eta + t\xi)$ is monotonically increasing for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the uniqueness of $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $P(\eta - \delta\xi) = 0$ implies $P(\eta + t\xi) < 0$

for $t < -\delta$. Therefore, Prop. 2.8 and Thm. 2.14 imply that 1 does not lie in the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}$ for $\Re(z) < -\delta$, which proves the following proposition.

Proposition 2.15 (cf. [Lal89, Prop. 7.1]). *$z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ is holomorphic in the half-plane $\Re(z) < -\delta$.*

Moreover, the next proposition shows that $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ has a meromorphic extension to a neighbourhood of $z = -\delta$, provided $-\delta < t^*$. The meromorphic extension builds on regular perturbation theory [Kat95, Ch. 7 and 4.3] which shows that the functions $z \mapsto \gamma_{\eta+z\xi} := \exp(P(\eta + z\xi))$, $z \mapsto h_{\eta+z\xi}$ and $z \mapsto \nu_{\eta+z\xi}$ extend to holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of the half-line $(-\infty, t^*)$ such that $\gamma_{\eta+z\xi} \neq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi} h_{\eta+z\xi} = \gamma_{\eta+z\xi} h_{\eta+z\xi}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}^* \nu_{\eta+z\xi} = \gamma_{\eta+z\xi} \nu_{\eta+z\xi}$ and $\nu_{\eta+z\xi}(h_{\eta+z\xi}) = \nu_0(h_{\eta+z\xi}) = 1$ (see [Lal89, p. 27]). Since furthermore, $P(\eta + t\xi) = \log(\gamma_{\eta+t\xi})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we can extend the topological pressure function analytically by setting $P(\eta + z\xi) := \log(\gamma_{\eta+z\xi})$, though formally, the definition can only be made modulo $2\pi i$ (see [PP90, p. 31]). Under the assumption that $-\delta < t^*$, the proof of the existence of the meromorphic extension given in [Lal89, Prop. 7.2] remains valid in the setting of an infinite alphabet, when using Thm. 2.4 and Cor. 2.5 instead of [Lal89, Thm. A]. Thus, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.16 (cf. [Lal89, Prop. 7.2]). *If $-\delta < t^*$ then $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ has a simple pole at $z = -\delta$. In particular, for each $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty)$*

$$(2.10) \quad (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1} \chi = \frac{e^{P(\eta+z\xi)}}{1 - e^{P(\eta+z\xi)}} \nu_{\eta+z\xi}(\chi) h_{\eta+z\xi} + (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}'')^{-1} \chi$$

for z in some punctured neighbourhood of $z = -\delta$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}'' &:= \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}' && \text{with} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi}' \chi &:= e^{P(\eta+z\xi)} \nu_{\eta+z\xi}(\chi) h_{\eta+z\xi} && \text{for } \chi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

The factor $e^{P(\eta+z\xi)}$ of the first summand of (2.10) is missing in [Lal89]. However, this does not make a difference, since the z -value of interest is $z = -\delta$, where $P(\eta + z\xi) = 0$.

Under the additional assumption that $\int -(\eta + t\xi) d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi} < \infty$ for all t in an open neighbourhood of $-\delta$, [MU03, Prop. 2.6.13] gives

$$(2.11) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} P(\eta + t\xi) \right|_{t=-\delta} = \int \xi d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi} > 0,$$

since $\eta - \delta\xi$ is summable. Combining the above-stated results from regular perturbation theory, Prop. 2.16 and (2.11) we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.17. *If $-\delta < t^*$ and $\int -(\eta + t\xi) d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi} < \infty$ for all t in an open neighbourhood of $-\delta$ then, for each $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in E^\infty$, we have that the residue of $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1} \chi(x)$ at the simple pole $z = -\delta$ is equal to*

$$-\frac{\int \chi d\nu_{\eta-\delta\xi}}{\int \xi d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi}} h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x).$$

By using the same arguments as in [Lal89] and applying Prop. 2.8 and Thm. 2.14 whenever [Lal89, Thm. B] is used, we gain [Lal89, Prop. 7.3, 7.4] when $-\delta < t^*$. For this we need the following notions: A function $\xi \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is called *lattice* if

there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ such that the range of $\xi - \psi + \psi \circ \sigma$ lies in a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R} . Otherwise, we say that ξ is *non-lattice*.

Proposition 2.18 (cf. [Lal89, Prop. 7.3, 7.4]). *Suppose that $-\delta < t^*$.*

- (i) *If ξ is non-lattice, then $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of every z on the line $\Re(z) = -\delta$ except for $z = -\delta$.*
- (ii) *If ξ is integer-valued but there does not exist any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty)$ such that the range of $\xi - \psi + \psi \circ \sigma$ is contained in a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , then $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+z\xi})^{-1}$ is $2\pi\mathbf{i}$ -periodic, and holomorphic at every z on the line $\Re(z) = -\delta$ such that $\Im(z)/(2\pi)$ is not an integer.*

3. RENEWAL THEORY

In [Lal89] renewal theorems for counting measures in symbolic dynamics were established, where the underlying symbolic space is based on a finite alphabet. (Given a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{A}) , a *counting measure* μ_A on $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined through $\mu_A(B) = \#A \cap B$ for $B \in \mathcal{A}$.) These renewal theorems were extended to more general measures in [Kom11, Kom15]. The renewal theorems of [Kom15] generalise and unify (i) [Lal89, Thms. 1 and 2] (ii) the classical key renewal theorem for finitely supported probability measures [Fel71] and (iii) a class of Markov renewal theorems (see e.g. [Als91, Asm03]). In the present section we show how the results of Sec. 2.2 and 2.3 lead to an extension of the generalised versions from [Kom15] to the setting of an underlying countable alphabet. Moreover, we explain that the classical key renewal theorem for arbitrary discrete measures [Fel71] is a simple special case of the new renewal theorem. Having the results of Sec. 2, the proof of the newly extended renewal theorem follows along the lines of proof in [Kom15, Lal89]. Therefore, we only present an outline of proof focusing on the necessary modifications, in Sec. 3.1.

We fix $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and a non-negative but not identically zero $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^b(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the following:

- (A) *Regular potential.* ξ is non-negative, but not identically zero. There exists a unique $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $P(\eta - \delta\xi) = 0$. Further, $\int -(\eta + t\xi) d\mu_{\eta - \delta\xi} < \infty$ for all t in a neighbourhood of $-\delta$ and $-\delta < t^* := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \eta + t\xi \text{ is summable}\}$.

For $x \in E^\infty$ we study the asymptotic behaviour as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the renewal function

$$(3.1) \quad N(t, x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y: \sigma^n y = x} \chi(y) f_y(t - S_n \xi(y)) e^{S_n \eta(y)},$$

where $f_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $x \in E^\infty$, needs to satisfy some regularity conditions (see (B)–(D) below). We call N a *renewal function* since it satisfies an analogue to the classical renewal equation:

$$(3.2) \quad N(t, x) := \sum_{y: \sigma y = x} N(t - \xi(y), y) e^{\eta(y)} + \chi(x) f_x(t).$$

- (B) *Lebesgue integrability.* For any $x \in E^\infty$ the Lebesgue integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\delta} |f_x(t)| dt$$

exists.

- (C) *Boundedness of N .* There exists $c > 0$ such that $e^{-t\delta} N^{\text{abs}}(t, x) \leq c$ for all $x \in E^\infty$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$N^{\text{abs}}(t, x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y: \sigma^n y = x} \chi(y) |f_y(t - S_n \xi(y))| e^{S_n \eta(y)}.$$

- (D) *Exponential decay of N on the negative half-axis.* There exist $\tilde{c} > 0, s > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{-t\delta} N^{\text{abs}}(t, x) \leq \tilde{c} e^{st}$ for all $t \leq t_0$.

The asymptotic behaviour of N as $t \rightarrow \infty$ depends on whether the potential ξ is lattice or non-lattice. Two functions $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are called *asymptotic* as $t \rightarrow \infty$, written $f(t) \sim g(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq \tilde{t}$ the value $f(t)$ lies between $(1 - \varepsilon)g(t)$ and $(1 + \varepsilon)g(t)$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $[t] := \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq t\}$ and $\{t\} := t - [t] \in [0, 1)$. Note that $[t]$ and $\{t\}$ respectively are the integer and the fractional part of t if $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.1 (Renewal theorem). *Assume that $x \mapsto f_x(t)$ is θ -Hölder continuous for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that Conditions (A) to (D) hold.*

- (i) *If ξ is non-lattice and f_x is monotonic for every $x \in E^\infty$, then*

$$N(t, x) \sim e^{t\delta} h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x) \underbrace{\frac{1}{\int \xi d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi}} \int_{E^\infty} \chi(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-T\delta} f_y(T) dT d\nu_{\eta-\delta\xi}(y)}_{=:G}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $x \in E^\infty$.

- (ii) *Assume that ξ is lattice and let $\zeta, \psi \in \mathcal{C}(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the relation*

$$\xi - \zeta = \psi - \psi \circ \sigma,$$

where ζ is a function whose range is contained in a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R} . Let $a > 0$ be maximal such that $\zeta(E^\infty) \subseteq a\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$N(t, x) \sim e^{t\delta} h_{\eta-\delta\zeta}(x) \tilde{G}_x(t)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $x \in E^\infty$, where \tilde{G}_x is periodic with period a and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_x(t) &:= \int_{E^\infty} \chi(y) \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a\ell\delta} f_y \left(a\ell + a \left\{ \frac{t+\psi(x)}{a} \right\} - \psi(y) \right) d\nu_{\eta-\delta\zeta}(y) \\ &\quad \times e^{-a \left\{ \frac{t+\psi(x)}{a} \right\} \delta} \frac{a e^{\delta\psi(x)}}{\int \zeta d\mu_{\eta-\delta\zeta}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *We always have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t e^{T\delta} N(T, x) dT = G \cdot h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x).$$

Remark 3.2. The monotonicity condition in Thm. 3.1 (i) can be substituted by other conditions (see [Kom15]). One such condition is that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $S_n \xi$ is bounded away from zero and that the family $(t \mapsto e^{-t\delta} |f_x(t)| \mid x \in E^\infty)$ is equi directly Riemann integrable; a condition which is motivated by the key renewal theorem, see [Fel71].

Our renewal theorem deals with renewal functions which act on the product space $\mathbb{R} \times E^\infty$. When making the restrictions (i) to (iv) below the renewal function is independent of the second component and we obtain the classical key renewal theorem for discrete measures (see [Kom15] for details).

- (i) $I = \mathbb{N}$ or $I = \{1, \dots, M\}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E^\infty = I^\mathbb{N}$ (full shift).
- (ii) $f_x = f$ is independent of $x \in I^\mathbb{N}$
- (iii) $\chi = \mathbb{1}$
- (iv) ξ and η are constant on cylinder sets of length one.

Notice, any probability vector (p_1, p_2, \dots) with $p_i \in (0, 1)$ and every (s_1, s_2, \dots) with $s_i \geq 0$ determine $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(E^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ via $\eta(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots) := \log(p_{\omega_1} e^{\delta s_{\omega_1}})$ and $\xi(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots) := s_{\omega_1}$. Setting $Z(t) := e^{-\delta t} N(t, x)$, which now is independent of x , and $z(t) := e^{-\delta t} f(t)$ (which is directly Riemann integrable by Rem. 3.2) we deduce from (3.2) that Z solves the *classical renewal equation*:

$$(3.3) \quad Z(t) = \sum_{i \in I} Z(t - s_i) p_i + z(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ or equivalently, $Z = Z \star F + z$, where F is the distribution which assigns mass p_i to s_i and where \star denotes the convolution operator. Thm. 3.1 implies

Corollary 3.3 (Key renewal theorem for discrete measures, see e. g. [Fel71, Ch. XI]). *Let $s_1, s_2, \dots \geq 0$ be so that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s_n > 0$ and let (p_1, p_2, \dots) be a probability vector with $p_i \in (0, 1)$. Denote by $z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a directly Riemann integrable function with $z(t) \leq c'e^{st}$ for all $t < 0$ and some $c', s > 0$. Further, let $Z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the unique solution of the renewal equation (3.3) which satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Z(t) = 0$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ is not contained in a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R} , then as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$Z(t) \sim \frac{1}{\sum_i p_i s_i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z(T) dT.$$

- (ii) *If $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\} \subset a \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ and $a > 0$ is maximal, then as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$Z(t) \sim \frac{a}{\sum_i p_i s_i} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} z(a\ell + t).$$

- (iii) *We always have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \int_0^t Z(T) dT = \frac{1}{\sum_i p_i s_i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z(T) dT.$$

3.1. The ideas of proof. In proving Thm. 3.1 we use the methods of proof which were developed in [Lal89] and extended in [Kom15]. Besides using our new results of Sec. 2 only small modifications are necessary. Thus, below, we only provide an outline of the main steps of the proof and focus on the necessary modifications. For more details we refer the reader to [Kom15], where similar notation is used.

Outline of the proof of Thm. 3.1(i). For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in E^\infty$ one studies the Fourier-Laplace transform

$$(3.4) \quad L(z, x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{zT} e^{-T\delta} N(T, x) dT$$

of $t \mapsto e^{-t\delta} N(t, x)$ at z . Conditions (C), (D) and the monotone and dominated convergence theorems imply that for any sufficiently small fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $-s + \varepsilon \leq \Re(z) \leq -\varepsilon$ one has

$$L(z, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{\eta+(z-\delta)\xi}^n \left(\chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(z-\delta)T} f(T) dT \right) (x),$$

where $f(T) : E^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto f_x(T)$.

In the present setting, we assume that δ is unique with $P(\eta - \delta\xi) = 0$. Together with the monotonicity of $t \mapsto P(\eta + t\xi)$ this implies $\gamma_{\eta+t\xi} < 1$ for $t < -\delta$. Applying Prop. 2.8, Thm. 2.14 and the spectral radius formula shows that the above series converges for $-\alpha < \Re(z) < 0$ for some $\alpha \in (0, s]$, whence

$$L(z, x) = (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+(z-\delta)\xi})^{-1} \left(\chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(z-\delta)T} f(T) dT \right) (x).$$

Using Prop. 2.16 and Cor. 2.17, we see that $z \mapsto L(z, x)$ has a simple pole at $z = 0$ with residue

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\int_{E^{\infty}} \chi(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-T\delta} f_y(T) dT d\nu_{\eta-\delta\xi}(y)}{\int \xi d\mu_{\eta-\delta\xi}} h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x) =: -U(x),$$

where $U(x) = G \cdot h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x)$ and G is as in Thm. 3.1(i). Thus, $L(z, x)$ has the following representation.

$$(3.6) \quad L(z, x) = q(z, x) - \frac{U(x)}{z},$$

where $q(\cdot, x) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto q(z, x)$ is holomorphic in a region containing the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\alpha + \varepsilon \leq \Re(z) \leq 0\}$ with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. A test function argument yields that it suffices to show that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{\beta \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(i\theta - \beta, x) \widehat{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}(i\theta) e^{-i\theta r} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ & \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \lim_{\beta \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(q(i\theta - \beta, x) + \frac{U(x)(i\theta + \beta)}{\theta^2 + \beta^2} \right) \widehat{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}(i\theta) e^{-i\theta r} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

converges to $U(x)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $\widehat{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}(i\theta) = \widehat{\Pi}(i\theta\varepsilon/\tau(\varepsilon))$ with

$$\widehat{\Pi}(i\theta) := \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{-\theta^2}{1-\theta^2}\right) & : |\theta| \leq 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and a particular decreasing function τ , which satisfies $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \tau(\varepsilon) = \infty$. The convergence of (3.7) to $U(x) = G \cdot h_{\eta-\delta\xi}(x)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is shown by means of complex analysis (see [Kom15, Sec. 5.2], [Lal89]). \square

Outline of the proof of Thm. 3.1(ii). In the lattice situation we work with discrete Fourier-Laplace transforms. Conditions (C), (D) imply that for fixed $\beta \in [0, a)$ and $x \in E^{\infty}$, the function $\widehat{N}^{\beta}(\cdot, x)$ given by

$$(3.8) \quad \widehat{N}^{\beta}(z, x) := \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\ell z} N(a\ell + \beta - \psi(x), x)$$

is well-defined and analytic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -a(s + \delta) < \Re(z) < -a\delta\}$. Using $S_n\xi = S_n\zeta + \psi - \psi \circ \sigma^n$ and $S_n\zeta \in a\mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Conditions (C), (D) imply for such z that

$$\widehat{N}^\beta(z, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{\eta+a^{-1}z\zeta}^n \left(\chi \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\ell z} f.(a\ell + \beta - \psi) \right) (x)$$

with $f.(t): E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto f_x(t)$ as before. With the same arguments as in the proof of the non-lattice situation, there exists $\alpha \in (0, s]$ so that $\gamma_{\eta+a^{-1}z\zeta} = \gamma_{\eta+a^{-1}z\xi} < 1$ if $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -a(\alpha + \delta) < \Re(z) < -a\delta\}.$$

The spectral radius formula now implies, for $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, that

$$\widehat{N}^\beta(z, x) = (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+a^{-1}z\zeta})^{-1} \left(\chi \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\ell z} f.(a\ell + \beta - \psi) \right) (x).$$

Note that $\|\chi \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\ell z} f.(a\ell + \beta - \psi)\|_\infty$ is finite because of Conditions (C), (D).

Since $a^{-1}\zeta$ is integer-valued but not co-homologous to any function valued in a proper subgroup of the integers, we can apply Prop. 2.18. Therefore, $z \mapsto (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_{\eta+a^{-1}z\zeta})^{-1}$ is holomorphic at each $z = -a\delta + i\theta$, for $0 < |\theta| \leq \pi$. Moreover, it has a simple pole at $z = -a\delta$ with residue

$$C_\beta(x) := -\frac{a}{\int \zeta d\mu_{\eta-\delta\zeta}} \int \chi(y) \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a\ell\delta} f_y(a\ell + \beta - \psi(y)) d\nu_{\eta-\delta\zeta}(y) h_{\eta-\delta\zeta}(x),$$

see Cor. 2.17. It follows that $\widehat{N}^\beta(\cdot, x): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto \widehat{N}^\beta(z, x)$ is meromorphic in

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\varepsilon) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -a(\delta + \alpha) < \Re(z) < -a\delta + \varepsilon, 0 \leq \Im(z) \leq \pi\},$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and that the only singularity in this region is a simple pole at $-a\delta$ with residue $C_\beta(x)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{\ell z} N(a\ell + \beta - \psi(x), x) - \frac{C_\beta(x)}{z + a\delta}$$

is holomorphic in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\varepsilon)$, whence

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} z^\ell e^{-a\ell\delta} N(a\ell + \beta - \psi(x), x) - \frac{C_\beta(x)}{z - 1}$$

is holomorphic in $\{e^{z+a\delta} \mid z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\varepsilon)\}$. This implies that

$$L(z, x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} z^\ell (e^{-a\ell\delta} N(a\ell + \beta - \psi(x), x) + C_\beta(x))$$

is holomorphic in $\{z \mid |z| < e^\varepsilon\}$. Since $e^\varepsilon > 1$, the coefficient sequence of the power series of $L(\cdot, x): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto L(z, x)$ converges to zero exponentially fast, more precisely,

$$e^{-an\delta} N(an + \beta - \psi(x), x) + C_\beta(x) \in \mathfrak{o}((1 + (e^\varepsilon - 1)/2)^{-n})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Thus, for $x \in E^\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(t, x) &= N\left(a \underbrace{\left\lfloor \frac{t + \psi(x)}{a} \right\rfloor}_{=:n} + a \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{t + \psi(x)}{a} \right\}}_{=: \beta} - \psi(x), x\right) \\
&\sim -e^a \lfloor \frac{t + \psi(x)}{a} \rfloor^\delta C_{a\{(t + \psi(x))/a\}}(x) \\
&= e^{t\delta} e^{-a\left\{ \frac{t + \psi(x)}{a} \right\} \delta} e^{\delta \psi(x)} \frac{a}{\int \zeta d\mu_{\eta - \delta \zeta}} h_{\eta - \delta \zeta}(x) \\
&\quad \times \int_{E^\infty} \chi(y) \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\ell a \delta} f_y \left(a\ell + a \left\{ \frac{t + \psi(x)}{a} \right\} - \psi(y) \right) d\nu_{\eta - \delta \zeta}(y) \\
&= e^{t\delta} h_{\eta - \delta \zeta}(x) \tilde{G}_x(t)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since in all instances where t occurs only the fractional part is involved, it is clear that \tilde{G}_x is periodic with period a , which finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [Als91] G. Alsmeyer. *Erneuerungstheorie: Analyse stochastischer Regenerationsschemata*. Teubner Skripten zur mathematischen Stochastik. Teubner B.G. GmbH, 1991.
- [Asm03] Søren Asmussen. *Applied probability and queues. 2nd revised and extended ed.* New York, NY: Springer, 2nd revised and extended ed. edition, 2003.
- [Bog07] V. I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. Vol. I, II.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [Fal97] Kenneth Falconer. *Techniques in fractal geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1997.
- [Fel71] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II.* Second edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1971.
- [HU99] Paweł Hanus and Mariusz Urbański. A new class of positive recurrent functions. In *Geometry and topology in dynamics (Winston-Salem, NC, 1998/San Antonio, TX, 1999)*, volume 246 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 123–135. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [JKL14] Johannes Jaerisch, Marc Kesseböhmer, and Sanaz Lamei. Induced topological pressure for countable state Markov shifts. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 14(2):1350016, 31, 2014.
- [Kat95] Tosio Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators. Reprint of the corr. print. of the 2nd ed. 1980.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, reprint of the corr. print. of the 2nd ed. 1980 edition, 1995.
- [KK12] Marc Kesseböhmer and Sabrina Kombrink. Fractal curvature measures and Minkowski content for self-conformal subsets of the real line. *Adv. Math.*, 230(4-6):2474–2512, 2012.
- [KK15] Marc Kesseböhmer and Sabrina Kombrink. Minkowski content and fractal Euler characteristic for conformal graph directed systems. *J. Fractal Geom.*, 2(2):171–227, 2015.
- [KK16] Marc Kesseböhmer and Sabrina Kombrink. Minkowski measurability of infinite conformal graph directed systems. *preprint*, 2016.
- [Kom11] Sabrina Kombrink. *Fractal curvature measures and Minkowski content for limit sets of conformal function systems*. PhD thesis, Universität Bremen, 2011. <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:gbv:46-00102477-19>.
- [Kom15] Sabrina Kombrink. Renewal theorems for a class of processes with dependent interarrival times. *preprint arXiv:1512.08351*, pages 1–35, 2015.
- [Lal88] Steven P. Lalley. The packing and covering functions of some self-similar fractals. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 37(3):699–710, 1988.
- [Lal89] Steven P. Lalley. Renewal theorems in symbolic dynamics, with applications to geodesic flows, non-Euclidean tessellations and their fractal limits. *Acta Math.*, 163(1-2):1–55, 1989.
- [MU03] R. Daniel Mauldin and Mariusz Urbański. *Graph directed Markov systems*, volume 148 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. Geometry and dynamics of limit sets.

- [Pol84] Mark Pollicott. A complex Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem and two counterexamples. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 4:135–146, 1984.
- [PP90] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. *Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics*. Number 187–188 in Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1990.
- [Sar03] Omri Sarig. Existence of Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(6):1751–1758, 2003.

FB03 – MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BREMEN, BIBLIOTHEKSTR. 1, 28359
BREMEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `mhk@math.uni-bremen.de`

UNIVERSITÄT ZU LÜBECK, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RATZEBURGER ALLEE 160, 23562 LÜBECK,
GERMANY

E-mail address: `kombrink@math.uni-luebeck.de`