Nil Clean Involutions

Janez Šter Faculty of Mechanical Engineering University of Ljubljana

janez.ster@fs.uni-lj.si

December 7, 2015

Abstract

We prove that if an involution in a ring is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent then the idempotent in this decomposition must be 1. As a consequence, we completely characterize weakly nil-clean rings introduced recently in [Breaz, Danchev and Zhou, Rings in which every element is either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent, J. Algebra Appl., DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501486].

In this note rings are unital. U(R), $\operatorname{Id}(R)$, $\operatorname{Nil}(R)$ and $\operatorname{Nil}^*(R)$ stand for the set of units, the set of idempotents, the set of nilpotents and the upper nilradical of a ring R, respectively. \mathbb{Z}_n stands for the set of integers modulo n. An *involution* in a ring means an element a satisfying $a^2 = 1$.

Following [2], we say that an element in a ring is *nil clean* if it is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent, and a ring is nil clean if every element is nil clean. The main result in this note is the following:

Proposition 1. Let R be a ring with an involution $a \in R$. If a is the sum of an idempotent e and a nilpotent e then e = 1. In particular, every nil clean involution in a ring is unipotent (i.e. 1 plus a nilpotent).

Proof. Write a = e + q with $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $q \in \text{Nil}(R)$, and denote $f = 1 - e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $r = q(1+q) \in \text{Nil}(R)$. From fq = f(a-e) = fa we compute $fr = fq(1+q) = fa(1+a-e) = fa(f+a) = faf + fa^2 = faf + f$, and similarly rf = faf + f. Hence fr = rf, so that r is a nilpotent which commutes with f, e, q and a. Accordingly,

$$f = fa^2 = fqa = fr(1+q)^{-1}a = f(1+q)^{-1}a \cdot r$$

is a nilpotent and hence f = 0, as desired.

Following [1], we say that a ring is *weakly nil-clean* if every element is either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent.

Lemma 2. If R is a weakly nil-clean ring with $2 \in U(R)$ then $R/\operatorname{Nil}^*(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Proof. Choose any idempotent $e \in \mathrm{Id}(R)$, and set a=1-2e. By assumption, either a or -a is nil clean. If a is nil clean then, since $a^2=1$, Proposition 1 gives that a-1=-2e is a nilpotent, so that e is a nilpotent and hence e=0. Similarly, if -a is nil clean then, since $(-a)^2=1$, Proposition 1 gives that -a-1=-2(1-e) is a nilpotent, so that 1-e is a nilpotent and hence e=1. This proves that R has only trivial idempotents. Accordingly, since R is weakly nil clean, every element of R must be either q or 1+q or -1+q for some $q \in \mathrm{Nil}(R)$. From this, one quickly obtains that $\mathrm{Nil}(R)$ must actually form an ideal in R, so that $R/\mathrm{Nil}^*(R)$ can have only 3 elements and hence $R/\mathrm{Nil}^*(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, as desired. (Alternatively, considering that R is abelian, $R/\mathrm{Nil}^*(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ can be also obtained from [1, Theorem 12].)

Using the above lemma, we have:

Theorem 3. A ring is weakly nil clean if and only if it is either nil clean or isomorphic to $R_1 \times R_2$ where R_1 is nil clean and $R_2/\operatorname{Nil}^*(R_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2 together with [1, Theorem 5].

Remark 4. Proposition 1 can be generalized to arbitrary algebraic elements of order 2 as follows. Let R be an algebra over a commutative ring k, and let $a \in R$ be an element satisfying $\alpha a^2 + \beta a + \gamma = 0$, with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$, and suppose that a = e + q with $e \in \operatorname{Id}(R)$ and $q^n = 0$. Then one can show that $r = q(\alpha q + \alpha + \beta)$ is a nilpotent commuting with e, which yields, similarly as in Proposition 1, that

$$(\alpha + \beta)^n e + (\alpha + \beta)^{n-1} \gamma$$

is also a nilpotent. Note that this result indeed generalizes Proposition 1 (taking $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = -1$ yields that e - 1 is a nilpotent, so that e = 1). However, for orders of algebraicity higher than 2 this argument no longer seems to work.

Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to Professor T.Y. Lam for a helpful discussion on the previous version of this work.

References

- [1] S. Breaz, P. Danchev, and Y. Zhou. Rings in which every element is either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 0(0):1650148, 0.
- [2] A. J. Diesl. Nil clean rings. J. Algebra, 383:197–211, 2013.