COMPACT SETS IN THE FREE TOPOLOGY

MERIC AUGAT, SRIRAM BALASUBRAMANIAN¹, AND SCOTT MCCULLOUGH²

ABSTRACT. Subsets of the set of g-tuples of matrices that are closed with respect to direct sums and compact in the free topology are characterized. They are, in a dilation theoretic sense, contained in the hull of a single point.

1. Introduction

Given positive integers n, g, let $M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ denote the set of g-tuples of $n \times n$ matrices. Let $M(\mathbb{C})^g$ denote the sequence $(M_n(\mathbb{C})^g)_n$. A subset E of $M(\mathbb{C})^g$ is a sequence (E(n)) where $E(n) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$. The free topology [AM14] has as a basis free sets of the form $G_\delta = (G_\delta(n))$, where

$$G_{\delta}(n) = \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^g : ||\delta(X)|| < 1 \},$$

and δ is a (matrix-valued) free polynomial. Agler and McCarthy [AM14] prove the remarkable result that a bounded free function on a basis set G_{δ} is uniformly approximable by polynomials on each smaller set of the form

$$K_{s\delta} = \{ X \in M(\mathbb{C})^g : ||\delta(X)|| \le s \}, \quad 0 \le s < 1.$$

For the definitive treatment of free function theory, see [KVV14].

Sets $E \subset M(\mathbb{C})^g$ naturally arising in free analysis ([AM15, BMV, BKP16, HKN14, KV, KŠ, Pas14, Voi10] is a sampling of the references) are typically closed with respect to direct sums in the sense that if $X \in E(n)$ and $Y \in E(m)$, then

$$X \oplus Y = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} X_g & 0 \\ 0 & Y_g \end{pmatrix} \right) \in E(n+m).$$

Theorem 1.1 below, characterizing free topology compact sets that are closed with respect to directs sums, is the main result of this article. A tuple $Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ polynomially dilates to a tuple $X \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^g$ if there is an isometry $V : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^N$ such that for all free polynomials p,

$$p(Y) = V^* p(X) V.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47L07, 52A05 (Primary); 46N10, 46L07, 32F17 (Secondary).

¹Supported by the New Faculty Initiative Grant (MAT/15-16/836/NFIG/SRIM) of IIT Madras.

²Research supported by the NSF grant DMS-1361501.

An ampliation of X is a tuple of the form $I_k \otimes X$, for some positive integer k. The dilation hull of $X \in M(\mathbb{C})^g$ is the set of all $Y \in M(\mathbb{C})^g$ that dilate to an ampliation of X.

Theorem 1.1. A subset E of $M(\mathbb{C})^g$ that is closed with respect to direct sums is compact if and only if it is contained in the polynomial dilation hull of an $X \in E$.

Corollary 1.2. If $E \subset M(\mathbb{C})^g$ is closed with respect to direct sums and is compact in the free topology, then there exists a non-zero free polynomial p such that E is a subset of the zero set of p; i.e., p(Y) = 0 for all $Y \in E$. In particular, there is an N such that for $n \geq N$ the set E(n) has empty interior.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, there is an n and $X \in E(n)$ such that each $Y \in E$ polynomially dilates to an ampliation of X. Choose a nonzero scalar free polynomial p such that p(X) = 0 (using the fact that the span of $\{w(X) : w \text{ is a word}\}$ is a subset of the finite dimensional vector space $M_n(\mathbb{C})$). It follows that p(Y) = 0 for all Y. Hence E is a subset of the zero set of p. It is well known (see for instance the Amistur-Levitzki Theorem [Row80]) that the zero set p in $M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ must have empty interior for sufficiently large n.

The authors thank Igor Klep for a fruitful correspondence which led to this article. The proof of Theorem 1.1 occupies the remainder of this article.

2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 2.1. Suppose $E \subset M(\mathbb{C})^g$ is nonempty and closed with respect to direct sums. If for each $X \in E$ there is a matrix-valued free polynomial δ and a $Y \in E$ such that

$$\|\delta(X)\| < \|\delta(Y)\|,$$

then E is not compact in the free topology.

Proof. By hypothesis, for each $X \in E$ there is a matrix-valued polynomial δ_X and $Y_X \in E$ such that $\|\delta_X(X)\| < 1 < \|\delta_X(Y_X)\|$. The collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{\delta_X} : X \in E\}$ is an open cover of E. Suppose $S \subset E$ is a finite. Observe that for each $X \in S$, $Y_X \in E \setminus G_{\delta_X}$. Since E is closed with respect to direct sums, $Z = \bigoplus_{X \in S} Y_X \in E$. On the other hand, for a fixed $W \in S$,

$$\|\delta_W(Z)\| \ge \|\delta_W(Y_W)\| > 1.$$

Thus $Z \notin G_{\delta_W}$ and therefore $Z \in E$ but $Z \notin \bigcup_{X \in S} G_{\delta_X}$. Thus \mathcal{G} admits no finite subcover of E and therefore E is not compact.

The following lemma is a standard result.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $X, Y \in M(\mathbb{C})^g$. The tuple Y polynomially dilates to an ampliation of X if and only if

$$\|\delta(Y)\| \le \|\delta(X)\|$$

for every free matrix-valued polynomial δ .

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of scalar free polynomials in g variables. Given a tuple $Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$, let $\mathcal{S}(Z) = \{p(Z) : p \in \mathcal{P}\} \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$. The set $\mathcal{S}(Z)$ is a unital operator algebra. Let m and n denote the sizes of Y and X respectively. The hypotheses thus imply that the unital homomorphism $\lambda : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(Y)$ given by $\lambda(p(X)) = p(Y)$ is well defined and completely contractive. Thus by Corollary 7.6 of [Pau02], it follows that there exists a completely positive map $\varphi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_m(\mathbb{C})$ extending λ . By Choi's Theorem [Pau02], there exists an M and, for $1 \leq j \leq M$, mappings $W_j : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\sum W_j^* W_j = I$ and

$$\varphi(T) = \sum W_j^* T W_j.$$

Let W denote the column matrix with entries W_i . With this notation, $\varphi(T) = W^*(I_M \otimes T)W$. In particular, W is an isometry, since $I = \varphi(I) = W^*W$. Moreover, for polynomials p,

$$p(Y) = \varphi(p(X)) = W^*(I_M \otimes p(X))W$$

and the proof of the reverse direction is complete.

To prove the converse, suppose there is a N and an isometry V such that for all free scalar polynomials p,

$$p(Y) = V^* p(I_N \otimes X) V = V^* [I_N \otimes p(X)] V.$$

Thus for all matrix free polynomials δ , say of size $d \times d$ (without loss of generality δ can be assumed square),

$$\delta(Y) = [V \otimes I_d]^* [I_N \otimes \delta(X)] [V \otimes I_d].$$

It follows that $\|\delta(Y)\| \leq \|\delta(X)\|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If for each $X \in E$ there is a $Y \in E$ that does not polynomially dilate to an ampliation of X, then, by Lemma 2.2, for each $X \in E$ there is a $Y \in E$ and a matrix-valued polynomial δ_X such that $\|\delta_X(X)\| < \|\delta_X(Y)\|$. An application of Proposition 2.1 shows E is not compact.

To prove the converse, suppose there exists $X \in E$ such that every $Y \in E$ polynomially dilates to an ampliation of X. Let \mathcal{G} be an open cover of E. There is a $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and a matrix valued free polynomial δ such that $X \in G_{\delta} \subset G$. Since Y polynomially dilates to an ampliation of X, it follows that $\|\delta(Y)\| \leq \|\delta(X)\| < 1$. Hence $Y \in G_{\delta} \subset G$ and therefore $E \subset G$.

References

- [AM14] J. Agler, J. McCarthy: Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables, Canad. J. Math. 67 (2015) 241–285.
- [AM15] J. Agler, J. McCarthy: Pick interpolation for free holomorphic functions, Amer. J. Math. 137 (2015) 1685–1701.
- [BMV] J.A. Ball, G. Marx, V. Vinnikov: Interpolation and transfer-function realization for the noncommutative Schur-Agler class, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00762
- [BKP16] Sabine Burgdorf, Igor Klep and Janez Povh: Optimization of polynomials in non-commuting variables, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2016.
- [HKN14] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, Christopher S. Nelson: Noncommutative polynomials nonnegative on a variety intersect a convex set, J. Funct. Anal., 2014, vol. 266, pp. 6684-6752.
- [KVV14] D. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, V. Vinnikov: Foundations of Free Noncommutative Function Theory, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 199, AMS, 2014.
- [KV] Igor Klep, Jurij Volcic: Free loci of matrix pencils and domains of noncommutative rational functions, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02648
- [KŠ] I. Klep, Š. Špenko: Free function theory through matrix invariants, to appear in Canad. J. Math.
- [Pas14] J.E. Pascoe: The inverse function theorem and the Jacobian conjecture for free analysis, Math. Z. 278 (2014) 987–994.
- [Pau02] V. Paulsen: Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
- [Row80] L.H. Rowen: Polynomials identities in ring theory, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [Voi10] D.-V. Voiculescu: Free analysis questions II: The Grassmannian completion and the series expansions at the origin, J. reine angew. Math. 645 (2010) 155–236.

MERIC AUGAT, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE

E-mail address: mlaugat@ufl.edu

Sriram Balasubramanian, Department of Mathematics, IIT Madras, Chennai - 600036, India

E-mail address: bsriram@iitm.ac.in

SCOTT McCullough, Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville

E-mail address: sam@math.ufl.edu