

Some noteworthy alternating trilinear forms

Jan Draisma* and Ron Shaw

Abstract

Given an alternating trilinear form $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ on $V_n = V(n, \mathbb{F})$ let \mathcal{L}_T denote the set of T -singular lines in $\text{PG}(n-1) = \mathbb{P}V_n$, consisting that is of those lines $\langle a, b \rangle$ of $\text{PG}(n-1)$ such that $T(a, b, x) = 0$ for all $x \in V_n$. Amongst the immense profusion of different kinds of T we single out a few which we deem noteworthy by virtue of the special nature of their set \mathcal{L}_T .

Keywords: trivector; alternating form; singular line; division algebra; Desarguesian line-spread

MSC 2010: 15A69, 17A35, 51E23

1 Introduction

We will deal with a finite-dimensional vector space $V_n = V(n, \mathbb{F})$ and the associated projective space $\text{PG}(n-1, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{P}V_n$. The $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional space $\text{Alt}(\times^2 V_n)$ consisting of alternating bilinear forms on V_n is of course very well understood. If $n = 2m$, or if $n = 2m + 1$, then the nonzero elements $B \in \text{Alt}(\times^2 V_n)$ fall into m $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ -orbits $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots,m}$, where Ω_k consists of those B which have rank $2k$. For a given $B \in \text{Alt}(\times^2 V_n)$ a point $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ is said to be (B) -singular whenever $B(a, x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in V_n$. Consequently if n is odd then B -singular points exist for any B , while if $n = 2m$ is even then only when B is on the orbit Ω_m do B -singular points not exist.

In the present paper we consider instead the $\binom{n}{3}$ -dimensional space $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ consisting of alternating trilinear forms on V_n . In contrast with $\text{Alt}(\times^2 V_n)$ the mathematics of the space $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ is much more complicated (and interesting!). In particular the orbit structure of $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ is only known in certain low-dimensional cases. Alternating trilinear forms have been classified in dimension $n \leq 7$ over an arbitrary field, see [3, 11], and also in dimension 8 over \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} , see [4, 6]. Over \mathbb{C} there are 23 orbits in dimension $n = 8$, but in dimension $n = 9$ the number of orbits is known to be infinite.

*Jan Draisma is supported by a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)

Over a finite field $\text{GF}(q)$ there are of course, in any finite dimension n , “only” a finite number of $\text{GL}(n, q)$ -orbits. But in fact the number of orbits increases extremely rapidly with increasing n . To demonstrate this it will suffice to use a crude upper bound on the order of the group $\text{GL}(n, q)$, namely $|\text{GL}(n, q)| \ll q^{n^2}$, which holds on account of the inclusion $\text{GL}(V_n) \subset L(V_n, V_n)$. Since $\wedge^3 V_{n,q}$ is of size $q^{n(n-1)(n-2)/6}$ it follows that $|\wedge^3 V_{n,q}|/|\text{GL}(n, q)| \gg q^{n(n^2-9n+2)/6}$. In particular for $n = 10$ we have $|\wedge^3 V_{10}|/|\text{GL}(10, q)| \gg q^{20}$, and so even on the ridiculous assumption that the stabilizer group of every $T \in \wedge^3 V_{10}$ is the whole of $\text{GL}(10, q)$ the number of $\text{GL}(10, q)$ -orbits would be substantially more than q^{20} . And for $n = 20$ the number of $\text{GL}(20, q)$ -orbits in $\wedge^3 V_{20}$ is much more than q^{740} .

The violence of the combinatorial explosion which takes place for $n > 8$ is really quite startling! This occurs even over the smallest fields. For on setting $N(n, q) = |\wedge^3 V_{n,q}|/|\text{GL}(n, q)|$ we find for $q = 2$ the following approximate values

$n =$	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$N(n, 2)$	0.00010	0.000053	0.00021	0.0135	27.6	3.6×10^6	6.1×10^{13}

Faced with this great multitude of orbits for alternating trilinear forms one naturally hopes that there are a few orbits which are singled out by having some special property and which thus deserve further attention. In the case of alternating bilinear forms the outstanding $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ -orbit occurs of course in even dimension $n = 2m$ and consists of those $B \in \text{Alt}(\times^2 V_n)$ which have no singular points, the stabilizer groups being $\cong \text{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{F})$. Now in the case of $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ one may define a point $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ to be *T-singular* whenever $T(a, x, y) = 0$ holds for all $x, y \in V_n$. Also one may define a subspace $\text{rad } T$ of V_n by

$$\text{rad } T = \{a \in V_n : T(a, x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in V_n\} \quad (1)$$

and call T *non-degenerate* whenever $\text{rad } T = \{0\}$. But, just as in the bilinear case, there is not much interest in degenerate T , since one naturally switches one’s attention to the non-degenerate trilinear form induced in the lower-dimensional quotient space $V_n/\text{rad } T$. However of crucial importance in the case of $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ are those projective lines $\langle a, b \rangle$ in $\text{PG}(n-1) = \mathbb{P}V_n$ which are *T-singular*, satisfying that is

$$T(a, b, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V_n. \quad (2)$$

For a given $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ we will denote by \mathcal{L}_T the set consisting of all the *T-singular* lines in $\text{PG}(n-1, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{P}V_n$.

Remark 1 *The space $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ of alternating 3-forms is naturally isomorphic to the space $\wedge^3 V_n^*$ of dual trivectors, and sometimes statements concerning an element $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ will be phrased in terms of its isomorphic image $t \in$*

$\wedge^3 V_n^*$. If $\{f_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the basis for V_n^* dual to the basis $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ for V_n then, on writing $f_{ijk} := f_i \wedge f_j \wedge f_k$, we have

$$t = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} c_{ijk} f_{ijk}, \quad \text{where } c_{ijk} := T(e_i, e_j, e_k). \quad (3)$$

Equivalently expressed, each $t \in \wedge^3 V_n^*$ gives rise to an element $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ by way of

$$T(x, y, z) = \langle t | x \wedge y \wedge z \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is the standard determinantal pairing of $\wedge^3 V_n^*$ with $\wedge^3 V_n$ given by $\langle f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 | v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \rangle = \det[f_i(v_j)]$.

2 Alternating 3-forms having no singular lines?

A question immediately arises: *at least for some (n, \mathbb{F}) , does there exist $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ such that \mathcal{L}_T is empty?*

Well, for any field \mathbb{F} , certainly not in even dimension $n = 2m$. For given $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_{2m})$ choose any direct sum decomposition $V_{2m} = \langle a \rangle \oplus V_{2m-1}$ and consider the element $B_a \in \text{Alt}(\times^2 V_{2m-1})$ defined by $B_a(x, y) = T(a, x, y)$. Since the dimension of V_{2m-1} is odd there exists at least one B_a -singular point $\langle b \rangle$, whence $\langle a, b \rangle$ is a T -singular line. It follows that *through each point $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V_{2m}$ there passes at least one T -singular line.*

Concerning odd dimension n , certainly a non-zero $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ has no T -singular lines in the special case $n = 3$. But *for $n > 3$ if \mathbb{F} is quasi-algebraically closed then it is known that T -singular lines always exist:* see [5, Theorem 1.1].

An important field not covered in this last statement is the real field \mathbb{R} . And in the case of a real 7-dimensional space we have an affirmative answer to our query: *if $V_7 = V(7, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_7)$ such that \mathcal{L}_T is empty.* To see this, recall that in a real 7-dimensional Euclidean space V_7 there exist, see [2], bilinear vector cross products $a \times b$ which satisfy (i) $a \times b \cdot a = 0 = a \times b \cdot b$ and (ii) $a \times b \cdot a \times b = (a \cdot a)(b \cdot b) - (a \cdot b)^2$. Then upon defining $T(a, b, c) = a \times b \cdot c$ it follows that $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_7)$; moreover, since, by (ii), $a \times b \neq 0$ for linearly independent a, b , we see that \mathcal{L}_T is empty. One such T has for its isomorphic image the dual trivector t given by

$$t = f_{124} + f_{235} + f_{346} + f_{457} + f_{561} + f_{672} + f_{713}, \quad (5)$$

where the presence of f_{ijk} in this expression for t goes along with the cross product relation $e_i \times e_j = +e_k$.

As is well known, the existence in real seven dimensions of these vector cross products is related to the exceptional existence of the real division algebra of the (non-split) octonions. The next theorem shows that the real dimension $n = 7$ is also exceptional since T -singular lines always exist for $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ in any other real dimension $n > 3$.

Theorem 2 *Except when $n \in \{3, 7\}$ every alternating trilinear form on a real vector space $V_n, n > 2$, possesses singular lines.*

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ is such that \mathcal{L}_T is empty. Set $V_{n+1} = \mathbb{R} \oplus V_n$, and equip V_n with $O(n)$ -geometry by making a(ny) choice $x.y$ of a positive definite scalar product on V_n . Extend this to a positive definite scalar product $a.b$ on V_{n+1} by defining

$$(\alpha, x).(\beta, y) = \alpha\beta + x.y, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x, y \in V_n. \quad (6)$$

Make V_n into a real algebra by defining the algebra product of x and y to be that element $x \times y \in V_n$ such that

$$x \times y.z = T(x, y, z), \quad \text{for all } z \in V_n. \quad (7)$$

Since T is alternating it follows that

$$x \times y = -y \times x \in \prec x, y \succ^\perp. \quad (8)$$

Further, since we are supposing that there are no T -singular lines, if x and y are linearly independent then

$$x \times y \text{ is a nonzero element of } V_n \text{ which is perpendicular to the plane } \prec x, y \succ. \quad (9)$$

We now make V_{n+1} into a real algebra \mathcal{A} by laying down that $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is an identity element and that the \mathcal{A} -product of $x, y \in V_n$ is

$$xy = -x.y + x \times y, \quad x, y \in V_n. \quad (10)$$

It follows from (8)-(10) that the algebra \mathcal{A} has no zero divisors. Consequently, see [10, Section II.2], \mathcal{A} is a division algebra over \mathbb{R} . The theorem now follows since real division algebras exist only in dimensions 1, 2, 4, 8: see [1], [7]. ■

Remark 3 *In [5, Theorem 3.2] it was proved that, over any field \mathbb{F} , the union of all lines $L \in \mathcal{L}_T$, $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_{2k+1})$, is either the whole of V_{2k+1} or is a hypersurface in $\text{PG}(2k)$ with equation $f_T(x) = 0$, $x \in V_{2k+1}$, where f_T is a certain homogeneous polynomial of degree $k - 1$. In the case of a space V_7 the polynomial f_T has degree 2, and if T has trivector t as in (5) then (up to an overall sign) one finds that*

$$f_T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^7 (x_i)^2. \quad (11)$$

In the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ it follows that $f_T(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$. Thus we have obtained another proof that \mathcal{L}_T is empty if t is as in (5) and $V_7 = V(7, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 4 *It is of some interest to consider t as in (5) in the cases when $V_7 = V(7, q)$.*

- (i) First suppose that $q = 2^h$ is even. In which case $f_T(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^7 x_i)^2$, whence the union of all the T -singular lines is the hyperplane with equation $\sum_{i=1}^7 x_i = 0$.
- (ii) If q is odd then the union of all the T -singular lines is the parabolic quadric \mathcal{P}_6 in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ having equation $\sum_{i=1}^7 (x_i)^2 = 0$.

That the cases of even q and odd q are quite different is highlighted by the fact that the alternating trilinear form given by $t = f_{124} + f_{235} + f_{346} + f_{457} + f_{561} + f_{672} + f_{713}$ can be seen to belong to the same $\text{GL}(7, q)$ -orbit as f_6 in [3, Table 1] if q is even, but to belong to the same $\text{GL}(7, q)$ -orbit as f_9 in [3, Table 1] if q is odd. In particular, upon using Mathematica to compute the quadratic form f_T for all orbit representatives in [3, Table 1], we found that only f_9 gives rise to a nonsingular quadratic form.

3 Alternating 3-forms yielding spreads in $\mathbb{P}V_{2m}$?

As noted in the preceding section, if T is any alternating 3-form on an even-dimensional space V_{2m} , over any field \mathbb{F} , then through each point $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V_{2m}$ there passes at least one T -singular line. In our attempt to find alternating 3-forms T whose set \mathcal{L}_T of singular lines is in some manner special, perhaps there exists $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_{2m})$ such that through each point $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V_{2m}$ there passes precisely one T -singular line? That is, for some $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_{2m})$, perhaps \mathcal{L}_T is a spread of lines in $\mathbb{P}V_{2m}$? Certainly, in finite geometry circles, line-spreads in $\text{PG}(2m-1, q)$ are of continuing interest. They also exist in great profusion, even in low dimension; in particular in $\text{PG}(5, 2)$ there exist, see [9], 131,044 inequivalent line-spreads!

In the present section we will show that in the case of $V_6 = V(6, q)$ there exists $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ such that \mathcal{L}_T is a line-spread in $\text{PG}(5, q)$. To this end consider a space $V(3, q^2)$ with basis $\prec e_1, e_2, e_3 \succ$. Choose any element $\rho \in \text{GF}(q^2) \setminus \text{GF}(q)$ and define

$$e_4 = \rho e_1, \quad e_5 = \rho e_2, \quad e_6 = \rho e_3. \quad (12)$$

Then we may view $V(3, q^2)$ as a 6-dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(q)$:

$$V_6 = V(6, q) = \prec e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6 \succ. \quad (13)$$

The $q^4 + q^2 + 1$ points $\langle a \rangle$ of $\text{PG}(2, q^2) = \mathbb{P}(V(3, q^2))$ give rise over $\text{GF}(q)$ to a Desarguesian spread \mathcal{L} of $q^4 + q^2 + 1$ lines $\langle a, \rho a \rangle$ in $\text{PG}(5, q) = \mathbb{P}(V(6, q))$. We aim to show that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_T$ for some $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$.

If τ is any element of the 1-dimensional $\text{GF}(q^2)$ -space $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V(3, q^2))$ then we may define an element $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ by

$$T(x, y, z) = \text{Tr}(\tau(x, y, z)). \quad (14)$$

Here we use Tr to denote the trace $\text{Tr}_{\text{GF}(q^2)/\text{GF}(q)}$ over the subfield $\text{GF}(q)$ defined, see [8, Section 2.3], by

$$\text{Tr}(\beta) = \beta + \beta^q, \quad \beta \in \text{GF}(q^2). \quad (15)$$

(So Tr here is not the absolute trace over the prime subfield $\text{GF}(p)$ except if $q = p$.) Thus defined, Tr is a $\text{GF}(q)$ -linear mapping $\text{GF}(q^2) \rightarrow \text{GF}(q)$. whence T is indeed an element of $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$.

Let us fix τ by requiring $\tau(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \beta$ for some choice of nonzero element $\beta \in \text{GF}(q^2)$. Upon defining $c_i \in \text{GF}(q^2)$ by

$$c_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta), \quad c_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta\rho), \quad c_2 = \text{Tr}(\beta\rho^2), \quad c_3 = \text{Tr}(\beta\rho^3) \quad (16)$$

it follows from (12), (14) that t in (3) is given by

$$c_{123} = c_0, \quad c_{234} = -c_{135} = c_{126} = c_1, \quad c_{156} = -c_{246} = c_{345} = c_2, \quad c_{456} = c_3, \quad (17)$$

with $c_{ijk} = 0$ for other $i < j < k$. That is

$$t = c_0 t_0 + c_1 t_1 + c_2 t_2 + c_3 t_3, \quad (18)$$

where the trivectors t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 are defined by

$$t_0 = f_{123}, \quad t_1 = f_{234} - f_{135} + f_{126}, \quad t_2 = f_{156} - f_{246} + f_{345}, \quad t_3 = f_{456}. \quad (19)$$

The multiplicative group $\text{GF}(q^2)^\times$ of the field $\text{GF}(q^2)$ is a cyclic group $\langle \zeta \rangle$ generated by an irreducible element $\zeta \in \text{GF}(q^2)$ of order $q^2 - 1$: $\zeta^{(q-1)(q+1)} = 1$. The multiplicative group $\text{GF}(q)^\times$ of the subfield $\text{GF}(q)$ is the cyclic group $\langle \xi \rangle$ generated by $\xi = \zeta^{q+1}$, of order $q - 1$. In making a specific choice of the field elements β, ρ in (16), it will help to consider separately the cases of odd q and even q .

3.1 The case of odd q

Suppose that $q = 2k + 1$ is odd. Then

$$\text{GF}(q^2)^\times = \langle \zeta \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta^{4k(k+1)} = 1, \quad \zeta^{2k(k+1)} = -1. \quad (20)$$

In (12) let us make the following choice of $\rho \notin \text{GF}(q)$:

$$\rho = \zeta^{k+1}, \quad \text{and so} \quad \rho^{4k} = 1, \quad \rho^{2k} = -1. \quad (21)$$

It follows that

$$\text{Tr}(\rho) = \rho(1 + \rho^{2k}) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \rho^2(1 + \rho^{4k}) = 2\rho^2, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^3) = \rho^3(1 + \rho^{6k}) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Since $\text{Tr}(1) = 2$, if we make the choice $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ then the trivector t in (18) is

$$t = f_{123} + \mu(f_{156} - f_{246} + f_{345}), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = \rho^2. \quad (23)$$

Observe that μ is the square of an element ρ of $\text{GF}(q^2)$, but *that μ is an element of $\text{GF}(q)$ which is one of the non-squares in $\text{GF}(q)$* . By making different choices of the irreducible element ζ in the definition (21) of ρ we can arrange for μ in (23) to be any of the non-square elements in $\text{GF}(q)$.

Theorem 5 *If $V_6 = V(6, q)$ where q is odd, consider the 3-form $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ given by the dual trivector*

$$t = f_{123} + \mu(f_{156} - f_{246} + f_{345}).$$

Then, provided only that $\mu \in \text{GF}(q)$ is chosen to be a non-square, \mathcal{L}_T is a Desarguesian line-spread in $\text{PG}(5, q)$.

Proof. Because in (14) we have $\tau(a, \rho a, z) = 0$ for all z , the $q^4 + q^2 + 1$ lines $\langle a, \rho a \rangle$ in $\text{PG}(5, q)$ are certainly all T -singular. To complete the proof we need to show that no other lines $\langle a, b \rangle$ in $\text{PG}(5, q)$ are T -singular. Suppose to the contrary that $b \notin \langle a, \rho a \rangle$ yet $T(a, b, x) = 0$ for all $x \in V_6$. Now $\tau(Aa, Ab, Ax) = \tau(a, b, x)$ for any $A \in \text{SL}(3, q^2)$. So if $b \notin \langle a, \rho a \rangle$ we can choose $A \in \text{SL}(3, q^2)$ such that $Aa = e_1$, $Ab = e_2$. Since $\text{Tr}(\tau(e_1, e_2, x)) \neq 0$ if $x = e_3$ it follows that $T(a, b, x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in V_6$. ■

3.2 The case of even q

If $q = 2^h$ then every element $\mu \in \text{GF}(q)$ is a square and for no choice of μ in (23) is \mathcal{L}_T a spread. For example, if $\mu = 1$ then every line in the plane $\langle e_1 + e_4, e_2 + e_5, e_3 + e_6 \rangle$ is T -singular. However, as we now demonstrate, \mathcal{L}_T is a line-spread for a different choice of T .

In proving this, in addition to the previous $\text{GF}(q)$ -linear mapping $\text{Tr} : \text{GF}(q^2) \rightarrow \text{GF}(q)$, we will also make use of the $\text{GF}(2)$ -linear mapping $\text{tr} : \text{GF}(q) \rightarrow \text{GF}(2)$, where $\text{tr}(\mu) \in \text{GF}(2)$ is the absolute trace of $\mu \in \text{GF}(2^h)$:

$$\text{tr}(\mu) = \mu + \mu^2 + \mu^4 + \dots + \mu^{2^{h-1}}. \quad (24)$$

Observe that $\text{GF}(q) = \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_1$ where

$$\mathcal{T}_i := \{\mu \in \text{GF}(q) \mid \text{tr}(\mu) = i\}, \quad i \in \{0, 1\}; \quad (25)$$

in particular \mathcal{T}_0 is the kernel of the linear mapping tr , and is a hyperplane in the $\text{GF}(2)$ -space $\text{GF}(q)$. It is easy to see also that $\mathcal{T}_0 = \text{im } F$, where F denotes the linear endomorphism of the $\text{GF}(2)$ -space $\text{GF}(q)$ defined by $F(\lambda) = \lambda + \lambda^2$. Consequently \mathcal{T}_1 consists of those $\mu \in \text{GF}(q)$ *not* expressible as $\mu = \lambda + \lambda^2$ for any $\lambda \in \text{GF}(q)$.

Lemma 6 *There exists $\rho \in \text{GF}(q^2) \setminus \text{GF}(q)$, $q = 2^h$, such that*

$$(i) \text{ } h \text{ odd:} \quad \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^3) = 0; \quad (26)$$

$$(ii) \text{ } h \text{ even:} \quad \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\rho^3) = \mu, \text{ where } \text{tr}(\mu) = 1. \quad (27)$$

Moreover in (ii) we can choose ρ so that μ is any pre-assigned element of \mathcal{T}_1 .

Proof. For any $\zeta \in \text{GF}(q^2) \setminus \text{GF}(q)$ we have $\text{Tr}(\zeta) \neq 0$. So, since $\text{Tr}(\alpha\zeta) = \alpha \text{Tr}(\zeta)$ for $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$, $\zeta \in \text{GF}(q^2)$, then $\rho = (\text{Tr}(\zeta))^{-1}\zeta$ achieves $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$. It then follows that $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \rho^2 + \rho^{2q} = (\rho + \rho^q)^2 = 1$. It further follows that $\rho^{3q} = \rho^q \rho^{2q} = (1 + \rho)(1 + \rho^2)$, whence

$$\mu := \text{Tr}(\rho^3) = \rho^3 + \rho^{3q} = 1 + \rho + \rho^2. \quad (28)$$

Now from $\mu + 1 = \rho + \rho^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mu + 1) &= (\rho + \rho^2) + (\rho^2 + \rho^4) + \dots + (\rho^{2^{h-1}} + \rho^{2^h}) \\ &= \rho + \rho^q = \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Suppose first that h is odd. Then $\text{tr}(1) = 1$ and so $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Now for any $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$ consider $\rho' := \rho + \alpha$. Then $\text{Tr}(\rho') = 1$, and hence $\text{Tr}((\rho')^2) = 1$. Further if $\mu' := \text{Tr}((\rho')^3)$ then

$$\mu' = \text{Tr}(\rho^3) + \alpha \text{Tr}(\rho^2) + \alpha^2 \text{Tr}(\rho) + \alpha^3 \text{Tr}(1) = \mu + \alpha + \alpha^2, \quad (30)$$

whence $\mu' = 0$ for a suitable choice of α , thus achieving (26).

If instead h is even and so $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_1$, then we see from (30) that we can achieve (27) for any pre-assigned $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_1$. ■

Theorem 7 *If $V_6 = V(6, q)$ where $q = 2^h$ is even, then if h is odd the 3-form $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ given by the trivector*

$$t = f_{234} + f_{135} + f_{126} + f_{156} + f_{246} + f_{345} \quad (31)$$

is such that \mathcal{L}_T is a Desarguesian line-spread in $\text{PG}(5, q)$. If h is even then, for any $\mu \in \text{GF}(q)$ satisfying $\text{tr}(\mu) = 1$, the 3-form $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ given by the trivector

$$t = f_{234} + f_{135} + f_{126} + f_{156} + f_{246} + f_{345} + \mu f_{456} \quad (32)$$

is such that \mathcal{L}_T is a Desarguesian line-spread in $\text{PG}(5, q)$.

Proof. In (16) choose ρ as in Lemma 6 and choose $\beta = 1$, and so $c_0 = \text{Tr}(1) = 0$. Then we obtain (31) and (32) from (18) (19). The rest of the proof is as in the proof of Theorem 5. ■

Remark 8 *The canonical form (31) was obtained previously in [12], albeit only in the special case $q = 2$. In [12] two alternative canonical forms were also found, namely t' and t'' as given by*

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= f_{156} + f_{246} + f_{345} + f_{123} + f_{456} \\ t'' &= f_{234} + f_{135} + f_{126} + f_{123} + f_{456}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

These are also alternatives to (31) for any $q = 2^h$, h odd. One way to obtain these alternatives is by use of the choice $\rho = \zeta^{(q-1)(q+1)/3}$ in (16). For if h is odd then $3|(q+1)$ and so $\zeta^{(q+1)/3} \in \text{GF}(q)$, whence $\rho \notin \text{GF}(q)$. Since $\rho^3 = 1$, we have $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \text{Tr}(\rho)$ and $\text{Tr}(\rho^3) = 0$. So in (16) the choices $\beta = 1$, $\beta = \rho$, $\beta = \rho^2$ give rise respectively to the trivectors t (as in (31)), t' , t'' .

Remark 9 For even n one might wonder whether \mathcal{L}_T can be a line spread for fields other than finite fields. Indeed, suppose that \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed; is it possible that \mathcal{L}_T is a spread? The T 's for which this is the case can be shown to form a Zariski-open subset of $\text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$, but this subset may be empty. Indeed, we have performed Gröbner basis calculations which show that for $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ and for algebraically closed \mathbb{F} of characteristic zero there are no trilinear forms T for which \mathcal{L}_T is a spread. For $n = 8$ our computational approach is not feasible, and new ideas will be needed.

4 Some other noteworthy alternating 3-forms

So far we have been looking at alternating 3-forms T for which \mathcal{L}_T is as small a set as possible. In contrast we now give some examples of interesting alternating 3-forms $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_n)$ which are non-degenerate yet for which some sizeable subspace V_r of V_n is such that *every line in $\mathbb{P}V_r$ is T -singular*. Let us term such a subspace V_r *totally T -singular*. Our first example is in dimension $n = 6$, where

$$t = f_{156} + f_{246} + f_{345} \quad (34)$$

is the trivector of a non-degenerate $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_6)$ for which, for any field \mathbb{F} , the 3-space $V_3 = \prec e_1, e_2, e_3 \succ$ is totally T -singular. It is easy to check that no subspace of dimension > 3 is totally singular. A second example is in dimension $n = 10$, where, writing $\mathbf{x} = 10$,

$$t = f_{17\mathbf{x}} + f_{28\mathbf{x}} + f_{39\mathbf{x}} + f_{489} + f_{579} + f_{678} \quad (35)$$

is the trivector of a non-degenerate $T \in \text{Alt}(\times^3 V_{10})$ for which the 6-space $V_6 = \prec e_1, \dots, e_6 \succ$ is totally T -singular.

Theorem 10 *If $n = \frac{1}{2}s(s+1)$, $s > 2$, then, for any field \mathbb{F} , there exists a single $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ -orbit, say Ω , of non-degenerate alternating 3-forms T on V_n with the property that there is a unique totally T -singular subspace V_r of V_n of dimension $r = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)$.*

Proof. See [5, Section 4]. ■

Remark 11 *In dimension $n = 15$ the subspace V_r in the theorem is of dimension 10. By use of the crude inequality $|\text{GL}(n, q)| \ll q^{n^2}$, as in Section 1, we get the even cruder lower bound q^{230} for the number of $\text{GL}(15, q)$ orbits of alternating 3-forms T on $V(15, q)$. Clearly there is no possibility of studying all of these zillions of orbits! But perhaps the orbit Ω does deserve further study?*

References

- [1] Bott R., Milnor, J.W.: *On the parallelizability of the spheres*. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **64**, 87-89 (1958)
- [2] Brown, R.B., Gray, A.: *Vector cross products*. Comment. Math. Helv. **42**, 222–236 (1967)
- [3] Cohen, A.M., Helminck, A.G.: *Trilinear alternating forms on a vector space of dimension 7*. Commun. Algebra **16**, 1–25 (1988)
- [4] Djoković, D.Ž.: *Classification of trivectors of an eight-dimensional real vector space*. Linear Multilinear Algebra **13**, 3-39 (1983)
- [5] Draisma, J., Shaw, R.: *Singular lines of trilinear forms*. Linear Algebra Appl. **433**, 690-697 (2010)
- [6] Gurevich, G.B.: *Classification des trivecteurs ayant le rang huit*. C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS, **2**, 353-356 (1935)
- [7] Kervaire, M.A.: *Non-parallelizability of the n -sphere for $n > 7$* . Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **44**, 280-283 (1958)
- [8] Lidl, R., Niederreiter, H.: *Introduction to finite fields and their applications*. Cambridge University Press (1994)
- [9] Mateva, Z.T., Topalova, S.I.: *Line spreads of $PG(5, 2)$* . J. Combin. Des. **17**, 90–102 (2009)
- [10] Schafer, R.D.: *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*. Academic Press, New York-London (1966)
- [11] Schouten, J.A.: *Klassifizierung der alternierenden Grössen dritten Grades in sieben Dimensionen*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **55**, 137–156 (1931)
- [12] Shaw, R.: *Trivectors yielding spreads in $PG(5,2)$* . J. Geom. **96**, 149-165 (2010)

Jan Draisma

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven

(also CWI Amsterdam)

The Netherlands

e-mail: `j.draisma@tue.nl`

Ron Shaw

Centre for Mathematics

University of Hull

Hull HU6 7RX

United Kingdom

e-mail: `r.shaw@hull.ac.uk`