# Variations on known and recent cardinality bounds

F.A. Basile, M. Bonanzinga, N. Carlson

#### Abstract

Sapirovskii [18] proved that  $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{c(X)\psi(X)}$ , for a regular space X. We introduce the  $\theta$ -pseudocharacter of a Urysohn space X, denoted by  $\psi_{\theta}(X)$ , and prove that the previous inequality holds for Urysohn spaces replacing the bounds on celluarity  $c(X) \leq \kappa$  and on pseudocharacter  $\psi(X) \leq \kappa$  with a bound on Urysohn cellularity  $Uc(X) \leq \kappa$  (which is a weaker condition because  $Uc(X) \leq c(X)$ ) and on  $\theta$ -pseudocharacter  $\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq \kappa$  respectivly (Note that in general  $\psi(\cdot) \leq \psi_{\theta}(\cdot)$  and in the class of regular spaces  $\psi(\cdot) = \psi_{\theta}(\cdot)$ . Further, in [6] the authors generalized the Dissanayake and Willard's inequality:  $|X| \leq 2^{aL_c(X)\chi(X)}$ , for Hausdorff spaces X [25], in the class of *n*-Hausdorff spaces and de Groot's result:  $|X| \leq 2^{hL(X)}$ , for Hausdorff spaces [11], in the class of  $T_1$  spaces (see Theorems 2.22) and 2.23 in [6]). In this paper we restate Theorem 2.22 in [6] in the class of n-Urysohn spaces and give a variation of Theorem 2.23 in [6] using new cardinal functions, denoted by UW(X),  $\psi w_{\theta}(X)$ ,  $\theta$ -aL(X),  $h\theta$ -aL(X),  $\theta$ - $aL_c(X)$  and  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X)$ . In [5] the authors introduced the Hausdorff point separating weight of a space X denoted by Hpsw(X) and proved a Hausdorff version of Charlesworth's inequality  $|X| \leq psw(X)^{L(X)\psi(X)}$  [7]. In this paper, we introduce the Urysohn point separating weight of a space X, denoted by Upsw(X), and prove that  $|X| \leq Upsw(X)^{\theta-aL_c(X)\psi(X)}$ , for a Urysohn space X.

**Keywords:** Urysohn;  $\theta$ -closure; pseudocharacter; almost Lindelöf degree; Hausdorff point separating weight.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A25.

<sup>\*</sup>University of Messina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of Messina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>California Lutheran University

### 1 Introduction

We shall follow notations from [12] and [14]. Recall that a space X is Urysohn if for every two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there are open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ .

For a space X, we denote by  $\chi(X)$  (resp.,  $\psi(X)$ ,  $\pi\chi(X)$ , c(X), t(X)) the character, (resp., pseudocharacter,  $\pi$ -character, celluarity, tightness) of a space X [12].

The  $\theta$ -closure of a set A in a space X is the set  $cl_{\theta}(A) = \{x \in X : \text{for every neighborhood } U \ni x, \overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ ; A is said to be  $\theta$ -closed if  $A = cl_{\theta}(A)$  [24]. Considering the fact that the  $\theta$ -closure operator is not in general idempotent, Bella and Cammaroto defined in [2] the  $\theta$ -closed hull of a subset A of a space X, denoted by  $[A]_{\theta}$ , that is the smallest  $\theta$ -closed subset of X containing A. The  $\theta$ -tightness of X at  $x \in X$  is  $t_{\theta}(x, X) = \min\{k : \text{for every } A \subseteq X \text{ with } x \in cl_{\theta}(A) \text{ there exists } B \subseteq A \text{ such that } |B| \leq k \text{ and } x \in cl_{\theta}(B);$  the  $\theta$ -tightness of X is  $t_{\theta}(X) = \sup\{t_{\theta}(x, X) : x \in X\}$  [8]. We have that tightness and  $\theta$ -tightness are independent (see Example 11 and Example 12 in [9]), but if X is a regular space then  $t(X) = t_{\theta}(X)$ . The  $\theta$ -density of X is  $d_{\theta}(X) = \min\{k : A \subseteq X , A \text{ is a dense subset of } X \text{ and } |A| \leq k\}$ . We say that a subset A of X is  $\theta$ -dense in X if  $cl_{\theta}(A) = X$ .

If X is a Hausdorff space, the closed pseudocharacter of a point x in X is  $\psi_c(x,X) = \min\{|\mathcal{U}| : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a family of open neighborhoods of } x \text{ and } \{x\}$  is the intersection of the closure of  $\mathcal{U}\}$ ; the closed pseudocharacter of X is  $\psi_c(X) = \sup\{\psi_c(x,X) : x \in X\}$  (see [19] where it is called  $S\psi(X)$ ). The Urysohn pseudocharacter of X, denoted by  $U\psi(X)$ , is the smallest cardinal k such that for each point  $x \in X$  there is a collection  $\{V(\alpha,x) : \alpha < k\}$  of open neighborhoods of x such that if  $x \neq y$ , then there exist  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta < k$  such that  $\overline{V(\alpha,x)} \cap \overline{V(\beta,y)} = \emptyset$  [20]; this cardinal function is defined only for Urysohn spaces. The Urysohn-cellularity of a space X is  $Uc(X) = \sup\{|\mathcal{V}| : \mathcal{V} \text{ is Urysohn-cellular}\}$  (a collection  $\mathcal{V}$  of open subsets of X is called Urysohn-cellular, if  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $O_1 \neq O_2$  implies  $\overline{O_1} \cap \overline{O_2} = \emptyset$ ). Of course,  $Uc(X) \leq c(X)$ .

The almost Lindelöf degree of a subset Y of a space X is  $aL(Y,X) = \min\{k : \text{ for every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{ there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}.$  The function aL(X,X) is called the almost Lindelöf degree of X and denoted by aL(X) (see [25] and [15]). The almost Lindelöf degree of X with respect to closed subsets of X is  $aL_c(X) = \sup\{aL(C,X) : C \subseteq X \text{ is closed}\}.$ 

For a subset A of a space X we will denote by  $[A]^{\leq \lambda}$  the family of all subsets of A of cardinality  $\leq \lambda$ .

Sapirovskii [18] proved that  $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{c(X)\psi(X)}$ , for a regular space X.

Later Shu-Hao [19] proved that the previous inequality holds in the class of Hausdorff spaces by replacing the pseudocharacter with the closed pseudocharacter. In Section 2 we introduce the  $\theta$ -pseudocharacter of a Urysohn space X, denoted by  $\psi_{\theta}(X)$  and prove the following result:

•  $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{Uc(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$  for a Urysohn space X.

A space X is n-Urysohn [4] (resp. n-Hausdorff [3]),  $n \in \omega$ , if for every  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$  there exist open subsets  $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$  of X such that  $x_1 \in U_1, x_2 \in U_2, ..., x_n \in U_n$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$  (resp.  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = \emptyset$ ). In [6] the authors generalized the Dissanayake and Willard's inequality:  $|X| \leq 2^{aL_c(X)\chi(X)}$ , for Hausdorff spaces X [25], in the class of n-Hausdorff spaces and de Groot's result:  $|X| \leq 2^{hL(X)}$ , for Hausdorff spaces [11], in the class of  $T_1$  spaces. In particular, they used two new cardinal functions, denoted by HW(X),  $\psi w(X)$ , to obtain the following results:

- If X is a  $T_1$  n-Hausdorff  $(n \in \omega)$  space, then  $|X| \leq HW(X)2^{aL_c(X)\chi(X)}$ .
- If X is a  $T_1$  space, then  $|X| \leq HW(X)\psi w(X)^{haL(X)}$ .

In Section 3 we introduce new cardinal functions, denoted by UW(X),  $\psi w_{\theta}(X)$ ,  $\theta$ -aL(X),  $h\theta$ -aL(X),  $\theta$ - $aL_c(X)$  and  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X)$  such that  $HW(X) \leq UW(X)$ ,  $\psi w(X) \leq \psi w_{\theta}(X)$  and  $\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq aL(X)$ , restate Theorem 2.22 in [6] in the class of n-Urysohn spaces and give a variation of Theorem 2.23 in [6]. In particular, we prove the following results:

- If X is a  $T_1$  n-Urysohn  $(n \in \omega)$  space, then  $|X| \leq UW(X)2^{\theta-aL_{\theta}(X)\chi(X)}$ .
- If X is a  $T_1$  space then  $|X| \leq UW(X)\psi w_{\theta}(X)^{h\theta-aL(X)}$ .

In [5] the authors introduced the Hausdorff point separating weight of a space X denoted by Hpsw(X) and proved a Hausdorff version of Charlesworth's inequality  $|X| \leq psw(X)^{L(X)\psi(X)}$  [7]. In a similar way, in Section 4 we introduce Urysohn point separating weight of a space X, denoted by Hpsw(X), and prove the following result:

• If X is a Urysohn space, then  $|X| \leq Upsw(X)^{\theta-aL_c(X)\psi(X)}$ .

## 2 A generalization of Sapirovskii's inequality $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{c(X)\psi(X)}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** If X is a Urysohn space, we define  $\theta$ -pseudocharacter of a point  $x \in X$  the smallest cardinal k such that  $\{x\}$  is the intersection of the  $\theta$ -closure of the closure of a family of open neighborhood of x having cardinality less or equal to k; we denote it with  $\psi_{\theta}(x, X)$ . The  $\theta$ -pseudocharacter of X is:

$$\psi_{\theta}(X) = \sup\{\psi_{\theta}(x, X) : x \in X\}.$$

The following result is trivial:

**Proposition 2.1.** X is a Urysohn space iff for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is the intersection of the  $\theta$ -closure of the closure of a family of open neighborood of x.

Proof. Let X be a Urysohn space and  $x \in X$ . For every  $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ , there exist  $U_y$  and  $V_y$  open disjoint subsets of X such that  $x \in U_y$ ,  $y \in V_y$  and  $\overline{U_y} \cap \overline{V_y} = \emptyset$ . So,  $y \notin cl_\theta(\overline{U_y})$  and  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} cl_\theta(\overline{U_y})$ . Viceversa let x, y be distinct points of X. By hypothesis there exists an open neighbourhood V of x such that  $y \notin cl_\theta(\overline{V})$ . Then there exists an open subset U of X such that  $y \in U$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ . So X is Urysohn.

We have that:

$$\psi(X) \le \psi_c(X) \le \psi_\theta(X) \le U\psi(X) \le \chi(X).$$

Since for a regular space X,  $cl_{\theta}(A) = \overline{A}$  for every  $A \subseteq X$  [13], we have that for a regular space X,  $\psi_c(X) = \psi_{\theta}(X)$ . In general this need not be true for non regular spaces. Indeed if we consider  $\mathbb{R}$  with the countable complement topology we have that  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \neq cl_{\theta}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Question 2.1. Is there a Urysohn space such that  $\psi_c(X) < \psi_{\theta}(X)$ ?

It was proved in [2] that for Urysohn spaces,  $|cl_{\theta}(A)| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$  for every  $A \subseteq X$  and further this inequality was used for the estimation of cardinality of Lindelöf spaces. Since  $t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq \chi(X)$ , the following proposition improves the result in [2]. (Note that if  $X = \omega \cup \{p\}$ , with  $p \in \omega^*$ , we have that  $\aleph_0 = t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X) < \chi(X)$ .)

**Proposition 2.2.** Let X be a Urysohn space such that  $t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$ . Then for every  $A \subseteq X$  we have that  $|cl_{\theta}(A)| \leq |A|^k$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in cl_{\theta}(A)$ , since  $\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$  there exist a family  $\{U_{\alpha}(x)\}_{\alpha < k}$  of neighborhood of x such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)})$ . We want to prove that  $x \in cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A)$ ,  $\forall \alpha < k$ . Let U be a neighborhood of x and  $\alpha < k$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq \overline{U \cap U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A \subseteq \overline{U} \cap \overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A$ . This shows that  $x \in cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A)$ . Since  $t_{\theta}(X) \leq k$ , there exists  $A_{\alpha} \subset \overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A$  such that  $|A_{\alpha}| \leq k$  and  $x \in cl_{\theta}(A_{\alpha})$ . Then  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} cl_{\theta}(A_{\alpha})$  and  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < k} \in [A]^{\leq k}\}^{\leq k}$ , so  $|cl_{\theta}(A)| \leq |[A]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k} = |A|^{k}$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** [2] If X is a Urysohn space then for every  $A \subseteq X$  we have that  $|cl_{\theta}(A)| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$ .

The following result is the analogue of 2.20 in [16] in the case of Urysohn spaces.

Corollary 2.2. If X is a Urysohn space then  $|X| \leq d_{\theta}(X)^{t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$ .

*Proof.* If A is  $\theta$ -dense subset of X, i.e.  $cl_{\theta}(A) = X$ , we have that  $|A| \leq d_{\theta}(X)$  and from the above theorem we have that  $|cl_{\theta}(A)| \leq |A|^{t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$ , so  $|X| \leq d_{\theta}(X)^{t_{\theta}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$ .

The authors know that I. Gotchev obtained independently the results given in Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.2.

Now we prove the following result:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let X be a topological space,  $\mathcal{B}$  a  $\pi$ -base for X and  $\mathcal{W}$  a family of open sets. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximal Urysohn cellular subfamily of  $\{U \in \mathcal{B}: U \subseteq W \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ . Then  $cl_{\theta}(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \supseteq \bigcup \mathcal{W}$ .

Proof. Using Zorn's Lemma we can say that there exists a maximal Urysohn-cellular subfamily  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \subseteq W \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ . We want to prove that  $cl_{\theta}\left(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{M}}\right) \supseteq \bigcup \mathcal{W}$ . Assume, by the way of contradiction, that  $cl_{\theta}\left(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{M}}\right) \not\supseteq \bigcup \mathcal{W}$ . Let  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{W}$  such that  $x \notin cl_{\theta}(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{M}})$ . Then there exists an open set U such that  $x \in U$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{M} = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall M \in \mathcal{M}$ . So  $x \notin M$ ,  $\forall M \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $x \in W$ .  $\mathcal{M} \cup \{U \cap W\}$  is a Urysohn cellular family. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for X and  $U \cap W$  is an open set containing x, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \subseteq U \cap W$ , so  $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M} \cup \{B\}$  is a Urysohn cellular subfamily of  $\{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \subseteq W \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{W}\}$  containing  $\mathcal{M}$ ; a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a Urysohn space. Then  $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{Uc(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi \chi(X) = \lambda$  and  $Uc(X)\psi_{\theta}(X) = k$ ; for each  $p \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_p$  be a local  $\pi$ -base at p such that  $|\mathcal{U}_p| \leq \lambda$ .

Construct an increasing chain  $\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha < k^{+}\}$  of subsets of X and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}: 0 < \alpha < k^{+}\}$  of open collections in X such that:

- 1.  $|A_{\alpha}| \leq \lambda^k$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < k^+$ ;
- 2.  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \{ V \in \mathcal{U}_p : p \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta} \}, 0 < \alpha < k^+;$
- 3. for each  $\gamma < k$ , if  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma} \in [\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}]^{\leq k}$  and  $W = \bigcup_{\gamma < k} cl_{\theta}(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{V}_{\gamma}}) \neq X$ , then  $A_{\alpha} \setminus W \neq \emptyset$ .

The construction is by trasfinite induction. Let  $0 < \alpha < k^+$  and assume that  $\{A_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$  has already been constructed. Then  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  is defined by 2., i.e., we put  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \{V : \exists p \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta}, \ V \in \mathcal{U}_{p}\}$ . It follows that  $|\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}| \leq \lambda^{k}$ . If  $\{\mathcal{V}_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < k} \in [[\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}$  and  $W = \bigcup_{\gamma < k} cl_{\theta}(\bigcup \overline{\mathcal{V}_{\gamma}}) \neq X$ , then we can choose one point of  $X \setminus W$ . Let  $S_{\alpha}$  be the set of points chosen in this way. Note that  $|[[\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}| \leq \lambda^{k}$ . Define  $A_{\alpha}$  to be the set  $S_{\alpha} \cup (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta})$ . Then  $A_{\alpha}$  satisfies 1., and 3. is also satisfied if  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . This completes the construction.

Now let  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha < k^+} A_{\alpha}$ ; then  $|S| \leq k^+ \lambda^k = \lambda^k$ . The proof is complete if S = X. Suppose not and let  $p \in X \setminus S$ ; since  $\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$ , there exist open neighbourhoods  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < k}$  of p such that  $\{p\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}})$ . For each  $\alpha < k$ , let  $V_{\alpha} = X \setminus cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}})$ . Then  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha < k} V_{\alpha} \cap S$ . Fix  $\alpha < k$ . For each  $q \in V_{\alpha} \cap S$ , there exists  $V_{q} \in \mathcal{U}_{q}$  such that  $\overline{V_{q}} \cap \overline{U_{\alpha}} = \emptyset$  (from the definition of  $V_{\alpha}$ ). We have that  $\{V \in \mathcal{U}_{q} : V \subseteq V_{q}\}$  is a local  $\pi$ -base at q. Since  $q \in \overline{\bigcup}\{V \in \mathcal{U}_{q} : V \subseteq V_{q}\}$ , we have that  $S \cap V_{\alpha} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup}\{V \in \mathcal{U}_{q} : V \subseteq V_{q}\}$  where  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  is a local  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  is closed, it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  is closed, it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  is closed, it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  is closed, it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  such that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  such that  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  for some  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Put  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  so  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  for some  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Put  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  so  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . But  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  for each  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Hence, by  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  so contradiction.  $Y_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ 

Corollary 2.3. [18] Let X be a regular space. Then  $|X| \leq \pi \chi(X)^{c(X)\psi(X)}$ .

3 Variations of the Dissanayake and Willard's inequality  $|X| \leq 2^{aL_c(X)\chi(X)}$  and of the de Groot's inequality  $|X| \leq 2^{hL(X)}$  in the class of  $T_1$  spaces.

In Proposition 2.1 it was shown that Urysohn axiom is equivalent to  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \text{ open, } x \in U\}$ , for every point x of the space. The following example shows that in spaces which are not Urysohn the previous intersection can be large.

**Example 3.1.** Any infinite space X with the cofinite topology is a  $T_1$ , not Hausdorff space for which there is a point x such that  $\bigcap \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}): x \in U\}$  has large cardinality.

The example above gives a motivation to introduce the following definition:

**Definition 3.1.** Let X be a  $T_1$  topological space and for all  $x \in X$ , let

$$Uw(x) = \bigcap \{ cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : x \in U, U \text{ open} \}.$$

The *Urysohn width* is:

$$UW(X) = \sup\{|Uw(x)| : x \in X\}.$$

It is clear that if X is a Urysohn space then UW(X) = 1.

Recall that  $HW(X) = \sup\{|Hw(x)| : x \in X\}$  is the Hausdorff width, where  $Hw(x) = \bigcap\{\overline{U} : x \in U, U \text{ open}\}$  [6]. Since the  $\theta$ -closure of a set contains its closure we have that  $HW(X) \leq UW(X)$ .

Question 3.1. Is HW(X) = UW(X) in some class of non regular spaces? Definition 3.2. [6] Let X be a space and  $x \in X$ .

$$\psi w(x) = min\{|\mathcal{U}_x|: \bigcap \{\overline{U}: U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} = Hw(x), \mathcal{U}_x \text{ is } a$$

family of open neighborhood of x;

and

$$\psi w(X) = \sup \{ \psi w(x) : x \in X \}.$$

Similarly, we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 3.3.** Let X be a space and  $x \in X$ .

$$\psi w_{\theta}(x) = min\{|\mathcal{U}_x|: \bigcap \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}): U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} = Uw(x), \mathcal{U}_x \text{ is } a$$

family of open neighborhood of x;

and

$$\psi w_{\theta}(X) = \sup \{ \psi w_{\theta}(x) : x \in X \}.$$

Of course, if X is a  $T_1$  space then  $\psi w(X) \leq \psi w_{\theta}(X) \leq \chi(X)$ ; further if X is a Urysohn space then we have that  $\psi w_{\theta}(X) = \psi_{\theta}(X)$ .

We introduce the following definition:

**Definition 3.4.** Let Y be a subset of a space X.

The  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree of a subset Y of a space X is

 $\theta$ - $aL(Y,X) = \min\{k : \text{ for every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{ there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{V}) : V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}.$ 

The function  $\theta$ -aL(X,X) is called  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree of the space X and denoted by  $\theta$ -aL(X).

The  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree with respect to closed subsets of X, denoted by  $\theta$ -aL<sub>c</sub>(X), is the cardinal  $\sup\{\theta$ -aL(C, X):  $C \subseteq X$  is closed $\}$ .

The  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree with respect to  $\theta$ -closed subsets of X, denoted by  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X)$ , is the cardinal  $\sup\{\theta$ - $aL(B,X): B \subseteq X \text{ is } \theta\text{-closed}\}.$ 

Of course  $\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq aL(X)$ , for every space X. Using a slight modification of Example 2.3 in [1] we prove that the previous inequality can be strict.

**Example 3.2.** A space X such that  $\theta$ -aL(X) < aL(X).

Let k be any uncountable cardinal, let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of all the rationals and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of the irrationals. Put  $X = (\mathbb{Q} \times k) \cup \mathbb{P}$ . We topologized X as follows. If  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha < k$  then a neighborhood base at  $(q, \alpha)$  is  $\mathcal{U}(q, \alpha) = \{U_n(q, \alpha) : n \in \omega\}$  where

$$U_n(q,\alpha) = \{(r,\alpha) : r \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } |r-q| < \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

If  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  a neighborhood base at p takes the form:

$$\{\{b \in \mathbb{P} : |b-p| < \frac{1}{n}\} \cup \{(q,\alpha) : \alpha < k \text{ and } |q-p| < \frac{1}{n}\} : n \in \omega\}.$$

For every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\alpha < k$  and  $n \in \omega$  we have that:

$$\overline{U_n(q,\alpha)} = U_n(q,\alpha) \bigcup \{(r,\alpha) : r \in \mathbb{Q}, |r-q| < \frac{1}{n}\} \bigcup \{p \in \mathbb{P} : |q-p| < \frac{1}{n}\};$$

and:

$$cl_{\theta}(\overline{U_n(q,\alpha)}) = \overline{U_n(q,\alpha)} \bigcup \{(r,\beta) : |r-q| < \frac{1}{n}, \ \beta < k \text{ and } \beta \neq \alpha\}.$$

Let  $\alpha < k$ , we have that  $X = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} cl_{\theta}(\overline{\mathcal{U}(q,\alpha)})$  and so  $\theta$ - $aL(X) = \aleph_0$  but we have that  $aL(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

It is easy to show that the almost Lindelöf degree is hereditary with respect to  $\theta$ -closed subsets. It is natural to ask:

**Question 3.2.** Is the  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree hereditary with respect to  $\theta$ -closed subsets?

We find out (Proposition 3.1) that the  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree is hereditary with respect to a new class of spaces that we call  $\gamma$ -closed.

**Definition 3.5.** Let X be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . The  $\gamma$ -closure of the set A is

 $cl_{\gamma}(A) = \{x : \text{for every open neighborhood of } X, \ cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$  A is said to be  $\gamma$ -closed if  $A = cl_{\gamma}(A)$ .

The following example shows that the  $\gamma$ -closure and the  $\theta$ -closure of a subset of a topological space can be different.

**Example 3.3.** A Urysohn space X having a subset Y such that  $cl_{\gamma}(Y) \neq cl_{\theta}(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{R} = A \cup B \cup C \cup D$  where A, B, C, D are pairwise disjoint and each is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let A' be a topological copy of A; points in A' are denoted as a' where  $a \in A$ .

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . A base for X is generated by these families of open sets:

- $(1)\{(a,b) \cap A : a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$
- $(2)\{(a,b) \cap C : a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} ,$
- $(3)\{(a,b) \cap A' : a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\},\$
- $(4)\{(a,b) \cap (A \cup B \cup C) : a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}, \text{ and}$
- $(5)\{(a,b) \cap (C \cup D \cup A') : a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}.$

Note that for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\overline{(a,b) \cap A} = [a,b] \cap (\underline{A \cup B})$ ,  $\overline{(a,b) \cap A'} = [a,b] \cap (A' \cup D)$ ,  $\overline{(a,b) \cap C} = [a,b] \cap (B \cup C \cup D)$ ,  $cl_{\theta}(\overline{(a,b) \cap A}) = [a,b] \cap (A \cup B \cup C)$  and  $cl_{\theta}(\overline{(a,b) \cap A'}) = [a,b] \cap (A' \cup D \cup C)$ . For these reasons we can say that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and if we put  $Y = (a,b) \cap C$ , we have that  $cl_{\theta}(Y) = [a,b] \cap (B \cup C \cup D)$  and  $cl_{\gamma}(Y) = [a,b] \cap (A \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup A')$ .  $\square$ 

We have the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** The  $\theta$ -almost Lindelöf degree is hereditary with respect to  $\gamma$ -closed subsets.

Proof. Let X be a topological space such that  $\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq k$  and let  $C \subseteq X$  be  $\gamma$ -closed set.  $\forall x \in X \setminus C$  we have that there exists an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of x such that  $cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) \subseteq X \setminus C$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cover of C consisting of open subsets of X. Then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \bigcup \{U_x : x \in X \setminus C\}$  is an open cover of X and since  $\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq k$ , there exists  $\mathcal{V}' \in [\mathcal{V}]^{\leq k}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{V}) : V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$ . Then there exists  $\mathcal{V}'' \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k}$  such that  $C \subseteq \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{V}) : V \in \mathcal{V}''\}$ ; this proves that  $\theta$ - $aL(C) \leq k$ .

Now we use UW(X) and  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X)$  to restate Theorem 2.22 in [6] in the class of n-Uysohn spaces. The proof follows step by step the proof of Theorem 2.22 in [6].

**Theorem 3.1.** If X is a  $T_1$  n-Urysohn  $(n \in \omega)$  space, then  $|X| \leq UW(X)2^{\theta-aL_{\theta}(X)\chi(X)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $UW(X) \leq k$ ,  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X)\chi(X) \leq \tau$ . For all  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_x$  be a local base and  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \tau$ . Note that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $Uw(x) = \bigcap \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\}$ . Construct  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$  such that:

- 1.  $H_{\alpha} \subset H_{\beta} \subset X$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \tau^+$ ;
- 2.  $H_{\alpha}$  is  $\theta$ -closed for all  $\alpha \in \tau^+$ ;

- 3.  $|H_{\alpha}| \leq 2^{\tau}$  for all  $\alpha \in \tau^+$ ;
- 4. if  $\{H_{\beta}: \beta \in \alpha\}$  are defined for some  $\alpha \in \tau^+$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_x: x \in \bigcup \{H_{\beta}: \beta \in \alpha\}\};$
- 5. if  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and  $W \in [\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}]^{\leq \tau}$  is such that  $X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in W\}) \neq \emptyset$  then  $H_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in W\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and  $\{H_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\}$  be already defined. For all  $\mathcal{W}$  as in 5., choose a point  $x(\mathcal{W}) \in X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\})$  and let  $C_{\alpha}$  be the set of these points. Let  $H_{\alpha} = [\bigcup \{H_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\} \cup C_{\alpha}]_{\theta}$ . Considering the fact that if X is a n-Urysohn space we have that for every  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $|[A]_{\theta}| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$  [4] we have that  $|H_{\alpha}| \leq 2^{\tau}$ . Let  $H = \bigcup \{H_{\beta} : \beta \in \tau^+\}$ . Since  $t_{\theta}(X) \leq \chi(X) \leq \tau$ ,  $\tau^+$  is regular and  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$  is an increasing family of my $\theta$ -closed sets of lenght  $\tau^+$ , we have that H is  $\theta$ -closed. Also  $|H| \leq 2^{\tau}$ . Let  $H^* = \bigcup \{Uw(x) : x \in H\} \supseteq H$ . Then  $|H^*| \leq k2^{\tau}$ .

We want to prove that  $X = H^*$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $q \in X \setminus H^* \subset X \setminus H$ . Then for all  $x \in H$  there is  $U(x) \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $q \notin cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)})$ . From  $\theta$ - $aL_{\theta}(X) \leq \tau$  choose  $H' \in [H]^{\leq \tau}$  such that  $H \subseteq \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)}) : x \in H'\}$ . Then  $H' \subseteq H_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and hence  $\mathcal{W} = \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)}) : x \in H'\} \in [\mathcal{B}_{\alpha+1}]^{\leq \tau}$  and  $q \in X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence we have already chosen  $x(\mathcal{W}) \in H_{\alpha}+\} \cap (H \setminus \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)}) : x \in H'\}) \subseteq H \cap (X \setminus H)$  a contradiction. Hence  $X = H^*$  and  $|X| \leq k2^{\tau}$ .  $\square$ 

Now we use  $UW(X), \psi w_{\theta}(X)$  and  $h\theta$ -aL(X) to present a variation of the Theorem 2.23 in [6]. The proof of Theorem 3.2 follows step by step the proof of Theorem 2.23 in [6].

**Theorem 3.2.** If X is a  $T_1$  space then  $|X| \leq UW(X)\psi w_{\theta}(X)^{h\theta-aL(X)}$ .

Proof. Let  $UW(X) \leq k$ ,  $h\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq \tau$  and  $\psi w_{\theta}(X) \leq \lambda$ . For all  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_x$  be a family of open neighborhood of x such that  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \lambda$  and  $Uw(x) = \bigcap \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\}$ . By trasfinite induction we construct two families  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$  such that:

- 1.  $\{H_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \tau^{+}\}\$  is an increasing sequence of subsets of X;
- 2.  $|H_{\alpha}| \leq k\lambda^{\tau}$  for all  $\alpha \in \tau^{+}$ ;
- 3. if  $\{H_{\beta}: \beta \in \alpha\}$  are defined for some  $\alpha \in \tau^+$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_x: x \in \bigcup \{Uw(y): y \in \bigcup \{H_{\beta}: \beta \in \alpha\}\}\};$
- 4. if  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and  $\mathcal{W} \in [\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}]^{\leq \tau}$  is such that  $X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\}) \neq \emptyset$  then  $H_{\alpha} (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and  $\{H_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}$  be already defined. For all  $\mathcal{W}$  as in 4., choose a point  $x(\mathcal{W}) \in X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_\theta(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\})$  and let  $C_\alpha$  be the set of these points.

Let  $H_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{H_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\} \cup C_{\alpha}$ . Then  $|H_{\alpha} \leq k\lambda^{\tau}$ . Let  $H = \bigcup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tau^{+}\}$  and  $H^{*} = \bigcup \{Uw(x) : x \in H\} \supseteq H$ . Then  $|H^{*}| \leq k\lambda^{\tau}$ .

We want to prove that  $X = H^*$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $q \in X \setminus H^*$ . Then  $q \notin Uw(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in H$ . Hence for all  $x \in H$  there is  $U(x) \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $q \notin cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)})$ . From  $h\theta$ - $aL(X) \leq \tau$  choose  $H' \in [H]^{\leq \tau}$  such that  $H \subseteq \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U(x)}) : x \in H'\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{\overline{U(x)} : x \in H'\}$ . We have that  $H' \subseteq H_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \tau^+$  and  $\mathcal{W} \in [\mathcal{B}_{\alpha+1}]^{\leq \tau}$  and  $X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence we have already chosen  $x(\mathcal{W}) \in X \setminus (\bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{U}) : U \in \mathcal{W}\} \subseteq X \setminus H$  and  $x(\mathcal{W}) \in H$  a contradiction. Hence  $X = H^*$  and  $|X| \leq k\lambda^{\tau}$ .

Corollary 3.1. If X is a Urysohn space then  $|X| \leq \psi_{\theta}(X)^{h\theta - aL(X)}$ .

### 4 The Urysohn point separating weight

**Definition 4.1.** [5] A Hausdorff point separating open cover S for a space X is an open cover of X having the property that for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $S \in S$  such that  $x \in S$  and  $y \notin \overline{S}$ .

The Hausdorff point separating weight of a space X is

 $Hpsw(X) = min\{\tau : X \text{ has a Hausdorff point separating open cover } \mathcal{S} \text{ such that each point of } X \text{ is contained in at most } \tau \text{ elements of } \mathcal{S} \}.$ 

Following the same idea as in [5] we introduce the following definition:

**Definition 4.2.** A Urysohn point separating open cover S for a space X is an open cover of X having the property that for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $S \in S$  such that  $x \in S$  and  $y \notin cl_{\theta}(\overline{S})$ .

**Definition 4.3.** The *Urysohn point separating weight* of a Urysohn space X is the cardinal:

 $Upsw(X) = min\{\tau : X \text{ has a Urysohn point separating open cover } S$ 

such that each point of X is contained in at most  $\tau$  elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  +  $\aleph_0$ .

Note that  $Hpsw(X) \leq Upsw(X)$ , for every Urysohn space X. The proof of the following theorem follows step by step the proof of Theorem 20 in [5].

**Theorem 4.1.** If X is a Urysohn space then  $nw(X) \leq Upsw(X)^{\theta-aL_c(X)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$ - $aL_c(X) = k$  and S a Urysohn point separating open cover for X such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $|S_x| \leq \lambda$ , where  $S_x$  is the collection of members of S containing x.

We first show that  $d(X) \leq \lambda^k$ .  $\forall \alpha < k$  construct a subset  $D_{\alpha}$  of X such that:

- 1.  $|D_{\alpha}| \leq \lambda^k$ ;
- 2. if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subcollection of  $\bigcup \{S_x : x \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq k$  and if  $X \setminus \bigcup cl_{\theta}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \neq \emptyset$  we have that  $D_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup cl_{\theta}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Such a  $D_{\alpha}$  can be constructed since the member of possible  $\mathcal{U}'s$  at the  $\alpha th$  stage of construction is  $\leq (\lambda^k k \lambda)^k = \lambda^k$ .

Let  $D = \bigcup_{\alpha < k^+} D_{\alpha}$ . We have that  $|D| \leq \lambda^k$ . We want to prove that  $\overline{D} = X$ . Suppose that there exists  $p \in X \setminus \overline{D}$ , since  $Upsw(X) \leq \lambda$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{D}$ , there exists  $V_x \in \mathcal{S}_x$ :  $p \notin cl_{\theta}(\overline{V_x})$ . Since  $x \in \overline{D}$ ,  $V_x \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in V_x \cap D$ , so  $V_x \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{S}_y : y \in D\}$ . Put  $\mathcal{W} = \{V_x : x \in \overline{D}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathcal{S}_y : y \in D\}$ .  $\mathcal{W}$  is an open cover of  $\overline{D}$  and since  $\theta$ - $aL_c(X) \leq k$ , there exists  $\mathcal{W}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  with  $|\mathcal{W}'| \leq k$  such that  $\overline{D} \subseteq \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{V}) : V \in \mathcal{W}'\}$  and  $p \notin \bigcup \{cl_{\theta}(\overline{V}) : V \in \mathcal{W}'\}$  and this contradicts 2..

Since  $d(X) \leq \lambda^k$  we have that  $|S| \leq \lambda^k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{X \setminus S : S \text{ is the union of at most } k \text{ members of } \mathcal{S}\}.$  $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda^k \text{ and } \mathcal{N} \text{ is a network for } X.$ 

**Theorem 4.2.** If X is a Urysohn space then  $|X| \leq Upsw(X)^{\theta-aL_c(X)\psi(X)}$ .

*Proof.* If X is a  $T_1$  space then  $|X| \leq nw(X)^{\psi(X)}$  and using the theorem above we have that  $|X| \leq nw(X)^{\psi(X)} \leq Upsw(X)^{\theta-aL_c(X)\psi(X)}$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to J. Porter for suggesting Example 3.3.

### References

- [1] M.Bell, J.Ginsburg, G.Woods, Cardinal inequalities for topological space involving the weak Lindelöf number, Pacific J. Math (1978).
- [2] A. Bella, F. Cammaroto, On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 31 (2) (1988).

- [3] M.Bonanzinga, On the Hausdorff number of a topoloical space, Houston J. Math., 39(3), 1013-1030 (2013).
- [4] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, M.V. Matveev, On the Urysohn number of a topological space, Quaest. Math. 34, 441-446 (2011).
- [5] M. Bonanzinga, N. Carlson, M.V. Cuzzupé, D. Stavrova, More on the cardinality of a topological space, preprint (2017).
- [6] M. Bonanzinga, D. Stavrova, P. Staynova, Separation and Cardinality-Some New Results and Old Questions, Topol. Appl. 221, 556-569 (2017).
- [7] A. Charlesworth, On the cardinality of a topological space, Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc., 66, 1, 138-142 (1977).
- [8] F. Cammaroto, Lj. Kočinac, On  $\theta$ -tightness, (1992).
- [9] F. Cammaroto, A. Catalioto, J. Porter, Cardinal functions  $F_{\theta}(X)$  and  $t_{\theta}(X)$  for H-closed spaces, Quest. Math. 37, 309-320, (2014).
- [10] N. Carlson, J. Porter, On the cardinality of Hausdorff spaces and H-closed spaces, Topology Appl. (2017), DOI.
- [11] J. de Groot, Discrete subspaces of Hausdorff spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sr. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. 13, 537-544 (1965).
- [12] Ryszard Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann Verlag (1989).
- [13] K.P. Hart, J. Nagata e J.E Vaughan, *Encyclopedia of General Topology*, Elsevier Science B.V. (2004).
- [14] R.E. Hodel, *Cardinal functions. I*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North Holland, 1-61 (1984).
- [15] R.E. Hodel, Arhangelskiis solution to Alexandroff problem: A survey, Topol. Appl. 153 21992217 (2006).
- [16] I. Juhász, Cardinal functions in topology, Math. Centr. (1979).
- [17] M.N. Mukherjee, A. Sengupta, S.K. Ghosh, On some cardinal functions concerning Katětov extensions of infinite discrete spaces (2009).
- [18] B. Sapirovskii, Canonical sets and character, density and weight in compact spaces, Soviet. Math. Dokl. 15, 1282-1287 (1974).

- [19] S. Shu-Hao, Two new topological cardinal inequalities, Am. Math. Soc. 104, 313-316 (1988).
- [20] D. Stavrova, Separation pseudocharacter and the cardinality of topological spaces, Top. Proc., 333-343 (2000).
- [21] Lynn A. Steen, J. Arthur Seebach, *Counterexamples in Topology*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc (1970).
- [22] J. Porter, General Topology Notes (2009).
- [23] Jack R. Porter, R. Grant Woods, Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [24] N.V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. 70, 98-112 (1966).
- [25] S. Willard, U.N.B. Dissanayake, *The almost Lindelöf degree*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 27 (4), 452-455 (1984).