# SPHERICAL EMBEDDINGS OF SYMMETRIC ASSOCIATION SCHEMES IN 3-DIMENSIONAL EUCLIDEAN SPACE

#### EIICHI BANNAI AND DA ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We classify the symmetric association schemes with faithful spherical embedding in 3-dimensional Euclidean space. Our result is based on previous research on primitive association schemes with  $m_1 = 3$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$  be a symmetric association schemes, and let  $A_i$  be the adjacency matrix of the relation  $R_i$  and let  $E_i$   $(0 \leq i \leq d)$  be the primitive idempotents. The spherical embedding of a symmetric association scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  with respect to  $E_i$  is the mapping:  $X \to \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$  defined by

$$x \to \overline{x} = \sqrt{\frac{|X|}{m_i}} E_i \phi_x,$$

where  $\phi_x$  is the characteristic vector of x (regarded as a column vector of size |X|) and  $m_i = \operatorname{rank} E_i$ . Then the  $\overline{x}$  are all on the unit sphere  $S^{m_i-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ . In what follows, we identify  $\overline{X}$  and X when the embedding is faithful. The reader is referred to [1, 2, 3, 4] for the basic concept of association schemes and spherical embeddings of association schemes.

In [1], Bannai-Bannai studied the spherical embeddings of symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 3$ , i.e., in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and determined that there exists only one such faithful spherical embedding if we assume the association scheme is primitive. Namely, it must be a regular tetrahedron, i.e., the association scheme with d = 1 corresponding to the complete graph  $K_4$ . On the other hand, in [1] it was known that the method used there could be applied to study imprimitive association schemes as well, but it was left unanswered. The proof in [1] is of completely elementary geometric nature, and it has close connections with the classification of regular polyhedrons and quasi-regular polyhedrons, etc.. We first remark that the method in [1] essentially proves the following result.

**Proposition 1.** Let X be a spherical embedding of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $A(X) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$  and  $\alpha = \max A(X)$ , where  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is the usual inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $m_1 = 3$  and that the spherical embedding of X is faithful, i.e.,  $1 \notin A(X)$ . Moreover,

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05E30; Secondary 52C99.

Key words and phrases. Association scheme, spherical embedding, 3 dimensional Euclidean geometry, regular polyhedron, quasi-regular polyhedron.

we assume that the relation  $R_1$  of the association scheme is contained in the maximum inner product relation  $\Gamma_{\alpha} = \{(x,y) \mid \langle x,y \rangle = \alpha \}$ . Then

- (1) The valency of the graph  $(X, \Gamma_{\alpha})$  is at most 5. Consequently,  $k_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , or 5 where  $k_1$  is the valency of the graph  $(X, R_1)$ .
- (2) If we further assume  $R_1 = \Gamma_{\alpha}$ , we can show that  $X \subset S^2$  is as follows.
  - (a) If  $k_1 = 5$ , then each connected component of  $(X, R_1)$  is the regular icosahedron (|X| = 12).
  - (b) If  $k_1 = 4$ , then each connected component of  $(X, R_1)$  is the regular octahedron (|X| = 6), the quasi-regular polyhedron of type [3, 4, 3, 4] (|X| = 12), or the quasi-regular polyhedron of type [3, 5, 3, 5] (|X| = 30).
  - (c) If  $k_1 = 3$ , then each connected component of  $(X, R_1)$  is the regular tetrahedron (|X| = 4), the cube (|X| = 8), or the regular dodecahedron (|X| = 20).

If we look at the proof given in [1] carefully, it is in fact possible to see that the statements as given in Proposition 1 do hold.

Corollary 2. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Q-polynomial association scheme spherically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then such  $\mathfrak{X}$  are classified. (They are in a part of those in the list of Theorem 3 above.)

This can be obtained from Proposition 1, since if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Q-polynomial association scheme, then the  $Q_1(i)$   $(0 \le i \le d)$  are all distinct, so the assumption of Proposition 1 is satisfied. (We believe that the result obtained in Corollary 2 should be expected to be known, but it seems that this was not explicitly mentioned in the literature, as far as we could check.)

If we can show that (1)  $k_1 \neq 1$  and  $k_1 \neq 2$ , (2)  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  do not split into more than one relations, and (3) the graph  $(X, R_1)$  is connected, then we completely classify symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 3$ . Now we are able to deal with these difficulties completely. Our main theorem is stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a symmetric association scheme. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  has a faithful spherical embeddings with  $m_1 = 3$ , then it must be one of the followings: the regular tetrahedron (|X| = 4), the regular octahedron (|X| = 6), the cube (|X| = 8), the regular icosahedron (|X| = 12), the quasi-regular polyhedron of type [3, 4, 3, 4] (|X| = 12), the regular dodecahedron (|X| = 20) and the quasi-regular polyhedron of type [3, 5, 3, 5] (|X| = 30).

## 2. Proofs

In the following X is a faithful spherical embedding of a symmetric association scheme  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$ . Let  $A(X) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$  and  $\alpha = \max A(X)$ . We call  $\Gamma_{\alpha} = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha\}$  the maximum inner product relation.

**Lemma 4.** Let X be a faithful spherical embedding of a symmetric association  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$  with respect to the idempotent  $E_1$ . Let  $R_1 \neq R_0$  be a relation in  $\mathfrak{X}$  with valency  $k_1 = 1$ . Then  $R_1$  gives an antipodal relation in X.

Proof. Since  $k_1 = 1$ , the adjacency matrix  $A_1$  of  $R_1$  must satisfy  $A_1^2 = A_0$ . We apply  $E_i$  on both sides, and we have  $P_1^2(1) = P_0(1) = 1$ . Therefore  $\frac{Q_1(1)}{m_1} = \frac{P_1(1)}{k_1} = \pm 1$ . Since

the embedding is faithful,  $\frac{Q_1(1)}{m_1}$  has to be -1, i.e.,  $R_1$  gives an antipodal relation in X.

**Corollary 5.** Let X be a faithful spherical embedding of a symmetric association  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$  with respect to the idempotent  $E_1$ . Let  $R_1 \neq R_0$  be a relation in  $\mathfrak{X}$  with valency  $k_1 = 1$ , and  $R_1 \subseteq \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . Then |X| = 2.

**Lemma 6.** Let X be a faithful spherical embedding of a symmetric association  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$  with respect to the idempotent  $E_1$ . Let  $R_1 \neq R_0$  be a relation in  $\mathfrak{X}$  with valency  $k_1 = 2$ , and  $R_1 \subseteq \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . Then  $(X, R_1)$  is a disjoint union of regular  $\ell$ -gons on big circles, where  $\ell$  is a positive integer.

*Proof.* Since  $k_1 = 2$ , we may assume the adjacency matrix  $A_1$  of the relation  $R_1$  have the following form:

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & C_N \end{bmatrix}$$

where every  $C_r$  is the adjacency matrix of a cycle graph for  $0 \le r \le N$ . Firstly, we show that they are of the same length. Let  $C_1$  be a cycle of the minimum length  $\ell_1$  among these cycles. Suppose there exists a cycle of length  $\ell_2 > \ell_1$ , say  $C_2$ . We apply the characteristic polynomial  $\chi$  of  $C_1$  to  $A_1$ . Since  $\chi(C_1) = 0$  and  $\chi(C_2) \ne 0$ , we know that  $\chi(A_1)$  is of the following form:

$$\chi(A_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(C_2) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \chi(C_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that in the Bose-Mesner algebra, two rows of a matrix only differ by a permutation. Hence  $\chi(A_1)$  is the zero matrix, contradiction. So all the cycles are of the same length, say  $\ell$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$  be the adjacency matrices of distance relations with respect to  $A_1$  and let  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \leq 2$  be their valencies. We claim that they are indeed some adjacency matrices of relations in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Suppose otherwise, say  $A_i$  splits into two relations  $A_i = A_{i_1} + A_{i_2}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . We must have  $k_{i_1} = k_{i_2} = 1$ . By Lemma 4, they are both two antipodal relations, which contradicts to the faithful condition.

Next we show that the points of X form regular  $\ell$ -gons in the embedding. Again by applying  $E_1$  to both sides of  $\chi(A_1)=0$ , we obtain that  $P_1(1)$  must be among the eigenvalues of the  $\ell$ -cycle graph, i.e.,  $P_1(1) \in \{2\cos\frac{2t\pi}{\ell} \mid t=1,\ldots,\lfloor \ell/2\rfloor\}$ . The distance matrices satisfy the recurrence relations  $A_1A_i=b_{i-1}A_{i-1}+a_iA_i+c_{i+1}A_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ , where the intersection numbers are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & c_1 & \dots & c_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & c_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & a_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \\ b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & - \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 1 & \dots & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 & - \end{bmatrix}$$

if  $\ell$  is even, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & c_1 & \dots & c_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & c_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & a_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \\ b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} & - \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 & - \end{bmatrix}$$

if  $\ell$  is odd.

Now we focus on the odd cases, and the even cases are similar. Suppose  $P_1(1)=2\cos\frac{2t\pi}{l}$  for some  $t\in\{1,2,\ldots,\lfloor\ell/2\rfloor\}$ . By applying  $E_1$  to the recurrence relations, we can calculate the eigenvalues  $P_i(1)$  for all  $1\leq i\leq \lfloor\ell/2\rfloor$ .  $P_1(1)P_1(1)=P_2(1)+2P_0(1)$  implies  $P_2(1)=2\cos\frac{2\cdot 2t\pi}{\ell}$  and  $P_1(1)P_2(1)=P_1(1)+P_3(1)$  implies  $P_3(1)=2\cos\frac{3\cdot 2t\pi}{\ell}$ . Recursively we have  $P_i(1)=2\cos\frac{i\cdot 2t\pi}{\ell}$  where  $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,\lfloor\ell/2\rfloor\}$ . In this way we obtain all the inner products of points in an  $\ell$ -cycle.

$$\frac{Q_1(i)}{m_1} = \frac{\overline{P_i(1)}}{k_i} = \cos\frac{i \cdot 2t\pi}{\ell}.$$

Let  $0 < \theta \le \pi$  be the angle such that  $\cos \frac{2t\pi}{\ell} = \cos \theta$ . Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$  be the points in X which correspond to an  $\ell$ -cycle (ordered by the cycle) and let O be the origin. Then  $\angle X_1OX_2 = \angle X_2OX_3 = \theta$  and  $\angle X_1OX_3 = 2\theta$  or  $\angle X_3OX_1 = 2\theta$ . So  $O, X_1, X_2, X_3$  have to be coplane. Similarly  $O, X_2, X_3, X_4$  are coplane. Hence  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$  are on a big circle. Since the embedding is faithful, we obtain that  $\gcd(t,\ell) = 1$ . What's more, the parameter t has to be 1, because  $R_1$  is a subset of the maximum inner product relation  $\Gamma_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\frac{Q_1(1)}{m_1}$  is the maximum among the inner products. Therefore,  $(X, R_1)$  is a disjoint union of regular  $\ell$ -gons on big circles.

**Corollary 7.** Let X be a faithful spherical embedding of a symmetric association  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$  with respect to the idempotent  $E_1$  with  $m_1 = 3$ . Let  $R_1 \neq R_0$  be a relation in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and  $R_1 \subseteq \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . Then  $k_1 \neq 2$ .

Proof. Suppose  $k_1 = 2$ , we've proved in Lemma 6 that  $(X, R_1)$  is a disjoint union of regular  $\ell$ -gons on big circles, where  $\ell$  is a positive integer. Now we use the condition  $m_1 = 3$ , i.e., the embedding is on the unit sphere  $S^2$ . Note that every two big circles on  $S^2$  intersect, hence there could be only one regular  $\ell$ -gon. Otherwise it would contradict to the maximum inner product relation. So the association scheme is nothing but a regular  $\ell$ -gon, however there is no idempotent with rank 3 in such association scheme.

Now we are able to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let  $R_1 \neq R_0$  be a relation in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $R_1 \subseteq \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . By Corollaries 5 and 7, we know that  $k_1$  cannot be 1 or 2. By Proposition 1, we also know that the valency of  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  is at most 5, therefore  $k_1 = 3, 4, 5$  and  $R_1 = \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . Again by Proposition 1, each connected component of  $(X, R_1)$  is in the list. So we only need to prove that there couldn't be two or more connected components. This is indeed true because each one in the list satisfy the following property: Adding another point to it on  $S^2$  would result in a strict increase of the maximum inner product among points.

## 3. Concluding Remarks

- (1) This paper would be interesting as an interplay of the theory of association schemes and the elementary geometric considerations in discrete geometry. Association schemes can be a more standard tool to study good geometric structures such as regular polyhedron, quasi-regular polyhedrons, as well as similar or more general objects in higher dimensions.
- (2) There are some considerable differences between determining all symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 3$  and determining all faithful spherical embeddings with  $m_1 = 3$  of symmetric association schemes. For example, van Dam, Koolen, Park [5, Section 2.5, page 6] describes the difficulty of the former problem. On the other hand, from the geometric point, the most crucial problem would be the latter one that is answered in this paper.
- (3) It would be very interesting to study spherical embeddings of symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 4$ . In particular, it would be interesting to try to classify (primitive) Q-polynomial association schemes which are spherically embedded with  $m_1 = 4$ . We hope that our method for  $m_1 = 3$  is somehow useful for that. On the other hand, the complete classification of faithful spherical embedding of symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 4$  seems to be still somehow distant, as there are infinitely many such examples.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by NSFC Grant 11271257 and 11671258.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Eiichi Bannai and Etsuko Bannai. On primitive symmetric association schemes with  $m_1 = 3$ . Contrib. Discrete Math., 1(1):68-79, 2006.
- [2] Eiichi Bannai, Etsuko Bannai, and Tatsuro Ito. Introduction to Algebraic Combinatorics. Kyoritsu Shuppan, Tokyo, 2016.
- [3] Eiichi Bannai and Tatsuro Ito. *Algebraic combinatorics*. *I*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984. Association schemes.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. Distance-regular graphs, volume 18 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] Edwin R. van Dam, Jack H. Koolen, and Jongyook Park. Partially metric association schemes with a multiplicity three, 2017.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA. *E-mail address*: {bannai, jasonzd}@sjtu.edu.cn