

# Cold gas within and around galaxy clusters: The incidence of Mg II as a function of cluster masses

[NT: better title TBD]

Neil H. Crighton<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicolas Tejos<sup>2</sup> and Sebastián López<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, UCO/Lick Observatory, University of California, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA*

<sup>3</sup> *Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile*

Draft v1.0

## ABSTRACT

We investigate the incidence of Mg II absorption line systems in galaxy clusters as a function of cluster mass. Our results are....

**Key words:** –intergalactic medium –quasars: absorption lines –galaxies: clusters: intracluster medium –large scale structure of the Universe –galaxies: evolution

## 1 INTRODUCTION

[NT: brief introduction]

study:  $z$ , EW,  $V_{\text{disp}}$ ?

– Explain why completeness level issues, and why these do not affect our analysis.]

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Galaxy clusters

We use the red-sequence Matched-filter Probabilistic Percolation (redMaPPer) catalog (Rykoff et al. 2014) ...

[NT:

- Description of the redMaPPer cluster catalog
- Explain why redMaPPer: advantages
- Clusters centers as probabilities
- Cluster members as probabilities
- Cluster Richness corrected by incompleteness
- Photometric uncertainties are small
- Some spectroscopic redshift exists
- Adopted richness--mass relationship
- Explain completeness and purity

]

### 2.2 Mg II absorption line systems

We use the Mg II catalog published by ...

[NT:

- Description of the Mg II catalog
- Explain why this catalog in particular: advantages
- Explain the main observables relevant for this

## 3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

### 3.1 Cross-match between clusters and Mg II systems

[NT:

- Show plots of redshift overlap
- Explain subsample of the cluster catalog used in this analysis
- Explain subsample of the Mg II catalog used in this analysis
- Justify the maximum scale adopted ( 40 Mpc? use as motivation the mean distance between clusters?)
- Define redshift path per cluster
- Define hits

]

### 3.2 The incidence Mg II in clusters

[NT:

- Define incidence in clusters,  $dN/dz$
- Define incidence as a function of co-moving separation
- Define incidence as a function of virial radii; emphasize why is important to scale by virial mass: massive clusters are larger. Dark matter haloes are expected to show self-similarity, others)

\* E-mail: neilcrighton@gmail.com

Figure  
Here.

**Figure 1.** Clusters and Mg II redshift distribution...

Figure  
Here.

**Figure 2.**  $dN/dz$  for our full sample; in both, co-moving and r200 units

- Plot these two quantities for our full samples in both co-moving and r200 separations.
- Mention whether these two seem consistent with each other but leave interpretation to the Discussion section. If no qualitative differences, stick to r200.]

### 3.3 The incidence Mg II in clusters as a function of cluster mass

- [NT:
- Define cluster mass bins; explain why we chose these particular bins.
  - Main result of the paper: incidence as a function of cluster masses
  - Plot incidence as a function of cluster masses, in r200 (or both in case is worth it)]

### 3.4 Physical model

- [NT:
- Explain our model to fit the results
  - Mention whether our model consistent with the known masses of the clusters in each mass bin? (either result will be very interesting) but leave full interpretation to Discussion section
- ]

Figure  
Here.

**Figure 3.**  $dN/dz$  for our cluster-mass subsamples; in both, co-moving and r200 units; this figure should contain our model

## 4 DISCUSSION

- [NT:
- General implications of results, focusing on the main result
  - Although we focused on the larger scales, we can speculate now on our reported results on scales  $< 1$  Mpc (subject to large statistical uncertainties).
  - Why is important to have cold gas in clusters
  - Are the observed trends consistent with expectations?
- ]

### 4.1 Comparison to previous work

- [NT:
- Lopez+2008
  - Zhu+14
  - Gauthier+14
  - etc.
  - emphasize why our experiment is different (we focus on the most massive haloes in the universe and we know the masses of the clusters very well)
  - focus on agreements and disagreements
- ]

### 4.2 Future prospects [??]

- [NT:
- What can be done to extend this work?
  - Is it worth to aim for less massive groups? Is there evidence for a different behaviour in these lower mass haloes?
  - Mention work on the smaller scales that we will do ?
  - Address different galaxy populations (star-forming, non-star-forming)
  - Split by Mg II EW for a fixed cluster mass
  - Investigate properties of clusters showing and not showing Mg II.
  - Put limits on the covering fraction of Mg II inside galaxy clusters [this seems important, should we address this in this paper rather than

later? We could to it for the strongest absorbers  
without worrying much about incompleteness].  
]

## 5 SUMMARY

[NT: Brief summary]

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank contributors to SciPy<sup>1</sup>, Matplotlib<sup>2</sup>, Astropy<sup>3</sup>, and the Python programming language<sup>4</sup>; the free and open-source community; and the NASA Astrophysics Data System<sup>5</sup> for software and services.

Add Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) thanks.

N.H.C. acknowledges...; N.T. acknowledges...; S.L. acknowledges...

## REFERENCES

- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille T. P., Tollerud E. J., Greenfield P., Droettboom M., Bray E., Aldcroft T., Davis M. et al, 2013, A&A, 558, A33  
Rykoff E. S., Rozo E., Busha M. T., Cunha C. E., Finoguenov A., Evrard A., Hao J., Koester B. P. et al, 2014, ApJ, 785, 104

## APPENDIX A: CHECK FOR SYSTEMATIC EFFECTS

[NT:

-Plot QSO properties as a function of cluster masses, and transverse separation in the same way the analysis was presented.

-Plot properties of clusters (mass distribution, redshift distribution) with Mg II versus those without ?]

This paper has been typeset from a T<sub>E</sub>X/L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X file prepared by the author.

Figure  
Here.

**Figure A1.** Properties of QSOs as a function of transverse distance.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.scipy.org>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.matplotlib.sourceforge.net>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.astropy.org>(Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013)

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.python.org>

<sup>5</sup> <http://adswww.harvard.edu>