

Centralized State Estimation of Distributed Maritime Autonomous Surface Oceanographers

Rasmus L. Christensen, Frederik Juul, Nick Østergaard, Attila Fodor, Tudor Muresan

{ralch,nickoe,fjuul,afodor12,tmures12}@es.aau.dk

Department of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

December 19, 2012

Agenda

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Introduction

Purpose



- ▶ Little to no research are currently devoted to maritime autonomous crafts.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

3

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

- ▶ Little to no research are currently devoted to maritime autonomous crafts.
- ▶ During the 2012 Fukushima accident in Japan, no measurements of the spread of radioactivity was available in the coastal zones, thus relying only on estimates.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

3

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

- ▶ Little to no research are currently devoted to maritime autonomous crafts.
- ▶ During the 2012 Fukushima accident in Japan, no measurements of the spread of radioactivity was available in the coastal zones, thus relying only on estimates.
- ▶ The coastal area around Greenland has no up-to-date bathymetric maps available, and with the growing interest in Greenland (both industrially and commercially) this poses a threat to the ships going in and out of the fjords.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

3

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Considerations

- ▶ The path planner must be memory efficient.
- ▶ The path planner should consider the coastal line to be covered.
- ▶ The path planner should create an efficient path.

Straight and Turning segments

- ▶ Straight segments are generated by having a fixed minimum distance from the shore line, and then define a distance between the measuring lines. This cannot be optimized, as straight lines are, well straight lines.
- ▶ Turning segments are however more interesting, as these can be optimized.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

4 Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Theory

- ▶ The path planner is based around the Train transition problem, originally solved by. INSERT REF!
- ▶ The problem describes that a vehicle in motion, can maintain a linear angular acceleration if the amount of jerk j experienced by the ship is kept constant.
- ▶ To keep the jerk j constant, the theory defines the path using the two normalized Fresnel integrals, that when plotted produces the Euler spiral. The Fresnel integrals are given as:

$$C_F(x) = \int_0^x \cos(t^2) dt, \quad S_F(x) = \int_0^x \sin(t^2) dt \quad (1)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

5 Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

- ▶ The path planner is also based on the curvature of the turn the ship is to make κ . This defines if the path should consist of a transition onto a circle, or two Euler spirals (dependent on the curvature).
- ▶ The threshold ε_{\max} is defined to be:

$$\varepsilon_{\max} = \frac{\kappa_{\max}^2}{2 \cdot \eta} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Where η is a function described by the highest amount acceleration the ship can experience and the velocity at which it traverses this.

$$\eta = \frac{\alpha_{\max}}{v_{\max}^2} \quad (3)$$

- ▶ This function allows the ship to preserve as much energy as possible, without veering out of course.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

6 Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test


Kalman estimator

Controller

Path Planning

3-point scenario

- ▶ The below figure shows the waypoints generated by the ship when $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{\max}$. This consists of an inward and an outward Euler spiral.



- ▶ The waypoints are computed as follows:

$$A_3 = (-X_1, Y_1), C_3 = (0, \frac{y_d}{\cos(\varepsilon)}), E_3 = (X_1, Y_1) \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Where X_1 and Y_1 can be computed as functions of the normalized Euler spirals, with x_d and y_d representing the length:

$$X_1 = x_d \cdot \cos(\varepsilon) + y_d \cdot \sin(\varepsilon), Y_1 = X_1 \cdot \tan(\varepsilon) \quad (5)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

7 Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator


Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Path Planning

5-point scenario

- The below figure shows the waypoints generated by the ship when $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_{\max}$. This consists of a transition from an inward Euler spiral, onto a circle and then a transition from the circle onto an outward Euler spiral.



- Adding the two points B_5 and D_5 given as:

$$B_5 = (-R_{\min} \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\max}), Y_1 - x_d \cdot \sin(\varepsilon)) \quad (6)$$

$$D_5 = (R_{\min} \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\max}), Y_1 - x_d \cdot \sin(\varepsilon)) \quad (7)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juil, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

8 Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification


Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

- To verify that the planner works properly, a random shoreline have been generated using a random walk, and a bounding box was drawn, the algorithm was then programmed to generate a shoreline, producing the following results:



- The dots on the figure represent the waypoints.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

9

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Considerations

The model is built using a top-down approach, running the main controls on a high-level interface (HLI). A low-level interface (LLI) handles the actuators and reads all the sensors. The link between these are a simplex 19.2 kbps radio link.

Top layer - HLI

Receives the sensor readings from the LLI and computes actuator set-points which are transmitted to the LLI.

Bottom layer - LLI

Receives the actuator set-points and sets these, then reads the sensors and transmit these readings back.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

10 Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Assumptions

The model is simplified to a 3-DOF model, with only motion in the x and y direction, as well as rotation about the z -axis defined as θ . The model does not take into account the surge generated by the ship as it moves in the water, and the effects of planing is not taken into account, as the ship is not moving faster than ≈ 1 m/s. With these assumptions the following continuous time state space model have been derived:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{v} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\omega} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta_\omega \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \theta \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

4 non-linear terms appear in the equation. Namely the β terms and the computations of the forward force F and the torque τ .

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

11

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

F and τ terms

The force F and torque τ are dependent on the same variables, namely the number of revolutions of the propellers n_1 and n_2 . These can be computed by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_1^2 \\ n_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_1 \\ C_1 \cdot l \cdot \sin(\theta_{\text{stbd.}}) & C_1 \cdot l \cdot \sin(\theta_{\text{port}}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F_{\text{desired}} \\ \tau_{\text{desired}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Solving for n_1 and n_2 produces:

$$n_1 = \frac{n_1^2}{\text{abs}\{n_1^2\}} \cdot \sqrt{n_1^2}, \quad n_2 = \frac{n_2^2}{\text{abs}\{n_2^2\}} \cdot \sqrt{n_2^2} \quad (10)$$

β term

The β terms are linearized using a Taylor approximation. The constant term is removed in the reference gain of the controller.

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juil, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

12

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Optimal feedback gain and reference tracking

The optimal state feedback gain is computed by minimizing the cost function \mathcal{J} , given as:

$$\mathcal{J} = \int_0^{\infty} (x^T(t) \cdot Q \cdot x(t) + u^T(t) \cdot R \cdot u(t)) dt \quad (11)$$

Producing the following feedback gain:

$$F_{\text{opt}} = \begin{bmatrix} 15.1668 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5165 & 0.7134 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

The reference gain is computed by augmenting the system as in INSERT REF!, thus producing the reference gain:

$$N_{\text{reference}} = \begin{bmatrix} 24.0668 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5165 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

13

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification


Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Through simulations, the system have produced the following figures used to verify wether the controller strategy.



Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juil, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

14 Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification


Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

And testing if the conversion from desired force to revolutions work.



Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juil, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

15 Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Estimated states and input state model

The estimated states are defined as:

$${}^b\hat{x}_k = [x \quad \dot{x} \quad y \quad \dot{y} \quad \theta \quad \omega]^T \quad (14)$$

As the ship is fitted with an IMU and a GPS, the measured states becomes:

$$v_k = [x \quad \dot{x} \quad \ddot{x} \quad y \quad \dot{y} \quad \ddot{y} \quad \theta \quad \omega \quad \alpha]^T \quad (15)$$

Giving the state model of the system as ($t_s = 20$ Hz):

$$\Phi = \text{diag}\{\Phi_x, \Phi_y, \Phi_\omega\} \quad (16)$$

Where the individual Φ s are given as:

$$\Phi_{x,y,\omega}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_s & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t_s \\ 0 & -\beta_{x,y,\omega} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juul, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

16

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

21

As the LLI and HLI are run on two separate computers, the Kalman filter needs to filter out the measurements which are invalid. This is done by multiplying the Kalman gain \bar{K} by a validity mask-matrix Λ , given as:

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_x, \lambda_{\dot{x}}, \lambda_{\ddot{x}}, \lambda_y, \lambda_{\dot{y}}, \lambda_{\ddot{y}}, \lambda_\theta, \lambda_\omega, \lambda_\alpha\} \quad (18)$$

Where the λ s are defined as:

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if checksum is valid} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

17

Theory

Verification

Final test


Kalman estimator

Controller

State Estimation

Verification of State estimator

A test of the Kalman filter, to see if it estimates lost packages was carried out producing. Figure (b) represents the case where GPS measurements are lost for 60 seconds:



Centralized State Estimation of Distributed Maritime Autonomous Surface Oceanographers

Rasmus L. Christensen, Frederik Juul, Nick Østergaard, Attila Fodor, Tudor Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

18 Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator


Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems, Aalborg University, Denmark

State Estimation

Verification of State estimator

The absolute error of the position are depicted on the figure below:



Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

19 Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator


Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

Final test

Kalman estimator verification

As the waters around Aalborg have frozen solid, final tests in water have not been carried out, however, tests on land have been carried out, producing the following:



Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juhl, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

20


Kalman estimator

Controller

Dept. of Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University,
Denmark

21

Producing the engine inputs from the controller.



Centralized State
Estimation
of Distributed
Maritime Autonomous
Surface
Oceanographers

Rasmus L.
Christensen, Frederik
Juil, Nick Østergaard,
Attila Fodor, Tudor
Muresan

Introduction

Purpose

Path planning

Waypoint generation

Verification

Modeling and Control

Theory

Verification

State estimation

Theory

Verification

Final test

Kalman estimator

Controller

21