Introduction to Algorithms - Reading Notes & Selected Solutions

Nimrod Shneor

October 9, 2019

Chapter 2

Solutions to selected exercises:

2.1-1

$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$
$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$
$$A = [31, 41, 26, 59, 41]$$
$$A = [31, 26, 41, 59, 41]$$
$$A = [26, 31, 41, 59, 41]$$
$$A = [26, 31, 41, 41, 59]$$

2.1-4

Algorithm 1 BinaryAddition(A,B,n)

$carry \leftarrow 0$
for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n: **do**
  $C[i] \leftarrow (A[i] + B[i] + C[i])\, mod 2$
  $carry \leftarrow A[i] * B[i]$
end for
$C[i+1] \leftarrow carry$
return C

- Input: two n-bit numbers A, B.
- Output: the sum of A, B - an $n+1$-bit number.

2.2-3 Define X = The number of elements checked in a "brute force" linear search.

Than $X \in \{1...n\}$ and the average number of elements checked in a linear search is exactly:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2n} = \Theta(n)$$

The worst case is where the last element of the array is the one searched for - resulting in an $\Theta(n)$ run time.

2.3-3

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 2 \\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & n > 2 \end{cases}$$

Q: Proof by induction that if n is an exact power of two (that is $n = 2^k$ for some constant $k \geq 1$) than $T(n) = nlogn$.

Proof: By induction.

Base case: for $k = 1$ than $T(n) = 2 = 2log2 = nlogn$
Assumption: Assume the above holds for all integers up to $k > 1$.
Induction step: We now prove the statement for $n = 2^{k+1}$.
Plugging in to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n = 2T(\frac{2^{k+1}}{2}) + 2^{k+1} \\ &= 2T(2^k) + 2^{k+1} = 2 * 2^k log 2^k + 2^{k+1} \\ &= k2^{k+1} + 2^{k+1} = (k+1)2^{k+1} = 2^{k+1} log 2^{k+1} \\ &= nlogn \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3-5

Algorithm 2 BinarySearch(A,x)

```
l ← 0
r ← length(A)
while l < r − 1 do
  if x = A[(l+r)/2] then
    (l+r)/2
  end if
  if x > A[(l+r)/2] then
    l = A[(l+r)/2]
  else
    r = A[(l+r)/2]
  end if
end while
return -1
```

At each iteration of the while loop the distance between the two pointers - l, r - is halfed until the element is found or we return -1. The while loop will terminate once the two pointers are at distance two at which point either the element x is found or the loop will terminate. Thus the distance between the two pointers at each iteration i is percisly $\frac{length(A)}{2^i} = \frac{n}{2^i}$

At the time of the termination the distance between the two pointers is two, thus -

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2^i} &= 2 \\ n &= 2^{i+1} \\ log(n) &= i + 1 \\ log(n) - 1 &= i \\ \Theta(log(n)) &= i \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm 3 FindSum(A,x)

2.3-7

```
B ← MergeSort(A)
l ← 0
r ← length(B)
while l < r do
  if B[l] + B[r] == x then
    return true
  else if B[l] + B[r] < x then
    l ← l + 1
  else
    r ← r − 1
  end if
end while
return false
```

Solutions to selected problems:

2-1

a Given $\frac{n}{k}$ lists each of size k. Applying $InsertionSort$ to each list seperatly yealds worst-case runtime of $\Theta(k^2)$. Doing this for all $\frac{n}{k}$ lists yealds an $\Theta(\frac{n}{k}k^2) = \Theta(nk)$ runtime algorithm.

b

Algorithm 4 ModifiedMergeSort(A)

```
Split A to form S = [A_1, .., A_{n/k}] array of sub-arrays of size k
for i ← 1 to n/k do
  A_i ← InsertionSort(A_i)
end for
while |S| > 1 do
  l ← 1
  r ← length(S)
  S' ← Φ
  while l < r do
    S' ← S' ⋃ Merge(A_l, A_r)
    l ← l + 1
    r ← r − 1
  end while
  S ← S'
end while
```

We prove that at each iteration of the outer while loop the size of $|S|$ is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$.
Proof: By induction,

Base $i = 1$: In the first iteration we set l and r to hold the two opposit ends of S, at each iteration we merge two subsets and continue so on until $l = r$ or $l > r$ (depending on the number of subsets) because at each iteration we merged two subsets the number of iterations of the inner loop is percisly $\frac{n}{2k}$.

Step: Assume that the number of subsets in $|S|$ is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$ at iteration i next we prove that at iteration $i + 1$ the above statement holds.

Again, from the same argument for the base case - at each iteration of the inner loop the number of elements decrease by two the number of iterations of the inner loop is $\frac{n}{2^{i+1}k}$ yeilding that number of subsets.

The outer loop will terminate once $|S| = 1$, that is -

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2^i k} &= 1 \\ \frac{n}{k} &= 2^i \\ log(\frac{n}{k}) &= i \end{aligned}$$

At each iteration we perform $\frac{n}{2^i k}$ merges each runs in $\Theta(2^i k)$ for a total of $\Theta(n)$, Thus the total running time of the while loop is $\Theta(nlog(\frac{n}{k}))$

All together we get $\Theta(nlog(\frac{n}{k}) + nk)$.

c If one chooses $k = 1$ we get percisly $MergeSort$.

If one chooses $k = n$ we get percisly $InsertionSort$, Thus the choice of k needs to be as close as possible to one. If we choose $k = \Theta(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = \Theta(\frac{n-1}{n})$ which asymptotically is close to one we get -

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \frac{n(n-1)}{n} + nlog(\frac{n}{\frac{n-1}{n}}) \\ &= n - 1 + nlog(\frac{n^2}{n-1}) \\ &\approx \Theta(nlogn) \end{aligned}$$

d In practice one can simply use $MergeSort$ or if one had to use the modified version, use smaller values of k checking these values "brute force".

2-4

a The inversions of $[2, 3, 8, 6, 1]$ are

$$(8, 6), (8, 1), (6, 1), (3, 1), (2, 1)$$

b The permutation τ of the set $\{1, .., n\}$ with the most inversions is $[n, n-1, n-2, ..., 1]$ it has $(n-1)+(n-2)+...+1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2)$ inversions.

c We prove the following statement (x, y) is in the set of inversions of $S \iff$ its is switched in some iteration of the while loop in the $InsertionsSort$ algorithm.

$\Longleftarrow$ The pair $(x, y) = (A[i], A[j])$ is switched in some iteration of the $InsertionSort$ algorithm, therefor by the loop definition $j = i + 1$ and $y < x$, therefor (x, y) is in the inversions set.

$\Longrightarrow$ $(x, y) = (A[i], A[j])$ are in the inversion set of A. we will prove the following Lemma:

Lemma: if $(x, y) = (A[i], A[j])$ are in the inversion set of A than for any integer $i < k \leq j$ the element $A[k]$ is also in the inversion set.

Proof: by induction on the distance between i and j.

Base: $j - i = 1$. Than by defition (x, y) are in the inversion set.

Step: Assume i and j are k elements apart and we prove the statement for i and j at distance $k + 1$. Assume by contradiction that $A[i + k]$ is not an inversion, therfor it is larger than any element that came before it - in particular $A[i]$, For otherwise it would be an inversion. If $A[i + k]$ is larger than $A[j]$ than the pair $(A[i + k], A[j])$ is an inversion for j and i are at distance $k + 1$. If $A[k + i]$ is smaller than $A[j]$ than the pair $(A[i + k], A[i])$ is an inversion since $A[i] > A[j] > A[i + k]$ by the assumption the $(A[i], A[j]) = (x, y)$ is in the inversion set. $\square$

By the Lemma any element between $A[i]$ and $A[j]$ are in the inversion set, that means that in the inner loop of $InsertionSort$ all of those elements will be switched in the inner loop, including (x, y).

Conclusion: The number of elements in the inversion set of A is precisly the number of iterations of the inner loop of $InsertionSort$. In other words, the run time of $InsertionSort$ is $\Theta(|S|)$.

Chapter 5

Solutions to selected exercises:

The runtime of the above algorithm is $O(log(\frac{b-a}{2}))$.

Algorithm 5 Random(a,b)

5.1-2

```
if a = b then
    a
end if
while a < b do
    if Random(0,1) > 0 then
        Random(a, (a+b)/2)
    else
        Random((a+b)/2, b)
    end if
end while
```