Introduction to Algorithms - Reading Notes & Selected Solutions

Nimrod Shneor October 9, 2019

Chapter 2

Solutions to selected exercises:

2.1 - 1

$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 41, 26, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 26, 41, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [26, 31, 41, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [26, 31, 41, 41, 59]$$

Algorithm 1 BinaryAddition(A,B,n)

2.1 - 4

```
carry \leftarrow 0

for i \leftarrow 1 to n: do

C[i] \leftarrow (A[i] + B[i] + C[i]) \, mod 2

carry \leftarrow A[i] * B[i]

end for

C[i+1] \leftarrow carry

return C
```

- Input: two n-bit numbers A, B.
- Output: the sum of A, B an n + 1-bit number.
- 2.2-3 Define X = The number of elements checked in a "brute force" linear search.

Than $X \in \{1...n\}$ and the average number of elements checked in a linear search is exactly:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n}i = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2n} = \Theta(n)$$

The worst case is where the last element of the array is the one searched for - resulting in an $\Theta(n)$ run time.

2.3 - 3

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 2\\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & n > 2 \end{cases}$$

Q: Proof by induction that if n is an exact power of two (that is $n = 2^k$ for some constant $k \ge 1$) than T(n) = nlog n.

Proof: By induction.

Base case: for k=1 than T(n)=2=2log2=nlognAssumption: Assume the above holds for all integers up to k>1. Induction step: We now prove the statement for $n=2^{k+1}$. Plugging in to the formula

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n = 2T(\frac{2^{k+1}}{2}) + 2^{k+1} \\ &= 2T(2^k) + 2^{k+1} = 2 * 2^k log 2^k + 2^{k+1} \\ &= k2^{k+1} + 2^{k+1} = (k+1)2^{k+1} = 2^{k+1} log 2^{k+1} \\ &= nlog n \end{split}$$

Algorithm 2 BinarySearch(A,x)

```
2.3-5 \qquad \begin{array}{c} l \leftarrow 0 \\ r \leftarrow length(A) \\ \text{while } l < r-1 \text{ do} \\ \text{if } x = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \text{ then} \\ \frac{l+r}{2} \\ \text{end if} \\ \text{if } x > A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \text{ then} \\ l = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \\ \text{else} \\ r = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \\ \text{end if} \\ \text{end while} \\ \text{return -1} \end{array}
```

At each iteration of the while loop the distance between the two pointers - l, r - is halfed until the element is found or we return -1. The while loop will terminate once the two pointers are at distance two at which point either the element x is found or the loop will terminate. Thus the distance between the two pointers at each iteration i is percisly $\frac{length(A)}{2^i} = \frac{n}{2^i}$

At the time of the termination the distance between the two pointers is two, thus -

$$\frac{n}{2^i} = 2$$

$$n = 2^{i+1}$$

$$\log(n) = i + 1$$

$$\log(n) - 1 = i$$

$$\Theta(\log(n)) = i$$

2-1

Algorithm 3 FindSum(A,x)

```
\begin{split} &B \leftarrow MergeSort(A) \\ &l \leftarrow 0 \\ &r \leftarrow length(B) \\ &\textbf{while} \quad l < r \quad \textbf{do} \\ &\textbf{if} \quad B[l] + B[r] == x \quad \textbf{then} \\ &\textbf{return true} \\ &\textbf{else if} \quad B[l] + B[r] < x \quad \textbf{then} \\ &l \leftarrow l + 1 \\ &\textbf{else} \\ &r \leftarrow r - 1 \\ &\textbf{end if} \\ &\textbf{end while} \\ &\textbf{return false} \end{split}
```

Given $\frac{n}{k}$ lists each of size k. Applying InsertionSort to each list separatly yealds worst-case runtime of $\Theta(k^2)$. Doing this for all $\frac{n}{k}$ lists yealds an $\Theta(\frac{n}{k}k^2) = \Theta(nk)$ runtime algorithm.

b

2.3 - 7

Algorithm 4 ModifiedMergeSort(A)

```
Split A to form S = [A_1, ..., A_{\frac{n}{k}}] array of sub-arrays of size k for i \leftarrow 1 to \frac{n}{k} do A_i \leftarrow InsertionSort(A_i) end for while |S| > 1 do l \leftarrow 1 r \leftarrow length(S) S' \leftarrow \Phi while l < r do S' \leftarrow S' \bigcup Merge(A_l, A_r) l \leftarrow l + 1 r \leftarrow r - 1 end while S \leftarrow S' end while
```

We prove that at each iteration of the outer while loop the size of |S| is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$. Proof: By induction,

Base i=1: In the first iteration we set l and r to hold the two opposit ends of S, at each iteration we merge two subsets and continue so on until l=r or l>r (depending on the number of subsets) because at each iteration we merged two subsets the number of iterations of the inner loop is percisly $\frac{n}{2k}$.

Step: Assume that the number of subsets in |S| is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$ at iteration i next we prove that at iteration i+1 the above statement holds.

Again, from the same argument for the base case - at each iteration of the inner loop the number of elements decrease by two the number of iterations of the inner loop is $\frac{n}{2^{i+1}k}$ yielding that number of subsets.

The outer loop will terminate once |S| = 1, that is -

$$\frac{n}{2^{i}k} = 1$$

$$\frac{n}{k} = 2^{i}$$

$$\log(\frac{n}{k}) = i$$

At each iteration we perform $\frac{n}{2^ik}$ merges each runs in $\Theta(2^ik)$ for a total of $\Theta(n)$, Thus the total running time of the while loop is $\Theta(nlog(\frac{n}{k}))$

All together we get $\Theta(nlog(\frac{n}{k}) + nk)$.

c If one chooses k = 1 we get percisly MergeSort.

If one chooses k=n we get percisly InsertionSort, Thus the choice of k needs to be as close as possible to one. If we choose $k=\Theta(1-\frac{1}{n})=\Theta(\frac{n-1}{n})$ which asymptotically is close to one we get -

$$T(n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{n} + nlog(\frac{n}{\frac{n-1}{n}})$$
$$= n - 1 + nlog(\frac{n^2}{n-1})$$
$$\approx \Theta(nlogn)$$

d In practice one can simply use MergeSort or if one had to use the modified version, use smaller values of k checking these values "brute force".

2-4

a The inversions of [2, 3, 8, 6, 1] are

- b The permutation τ of the set $\{1,..,n\}$ with the most inversions is [n,n-1,n-2,...,1] it has $(n-1)+(n-2)+...+1=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}=\Theta(n^2)$ inversions.
- c We prove the following statement (x, y) is in the set of inversions of $S \iff$ its is switched in some iteration of the while loop in the InsertionsSort algorithm.

 \Leftarrow The pair (x, y) = (A[i], A[j]) is switched in some iteration of the InsertionSort algorithm, therefor by the loop definition j = i + 1 and y < x, therefor (x, y) is in the inversions set.

 \implies (x,y)=(A[i],A[j]) are in the inversion set of A. we will prove the following Lemma:

Lemma: if (x,y) = (A[i], A[j]) are in the inversion set of A than for any integer $i < k \le j$ the element A[k] is also in the inversion set.

Proof: by induction on the distance between i and j.

Base: j - i = 1. Than by defition (x, y) are in the inversion set.

Step: Assume i and j are k elements apart and we prove the statement for i and j at distance k+1. Assume by contradiction that A[i+k] is not an inversion, therfor it is larger than any element that came before it - in particular A[i], For otherwise it would be an inversion. If A[i+k] is larger than A[j] than the pair (A[i+k], A[j]) is an inversion for j and i are at distance k+1. If A[k+i] is smaller than A[j] than the pair (A[i+k], A[i]) is an inversion since A[i] > A[j] > A[i+k] by the assumption the (A[i], A[j]) = (x, y) is in the inversion set. \square

By the Lemma any element between A[i] and A[j] are in the inversion set, that means that in the inner loop of InsertionSort all of those elements will be switched in the inner loop, including (x, y).

Conclusion: The number of elements in the inversion set of A is precisely the number of iterations of the inner loop of InsertionSort. In other words, the run time of InsertionSort is $\Theta(|S|)$.

5.1-2

Algorithm 5 Random(a,b)

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{if} \quad a=b \;\; \textbf{then} \\ \textbf{a} \\ \textbf{end} \;\; \textbf{if} \\ \textbf{while} \;\; a< b \;\; \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{if} \;\; Random(0,1)>0 \;\; \textbf{then} \\ Random(a,\frac{a+b}{2}) \\ \textbf{else} \\ Random(\frac{a+b}{2},b) \\ \textbf{end} \;\; \textbf{if} \\ \textbf{end} \;\; \textbf{while} \end{array}
```

The runtime of the above algorithm is $O(\log(\frac{b-a}{2}))$.

6.1-1 The maximum number of elements in a heap of height h is $2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^h = \sum_{i=1}^h 2^i = \frac{2^{h+1}-1}{2-1} = 2^{h+1} - 1$. The minimum number

of elements occurs when there is percisly one leaf node (i.e. the bottom level of the binary-tree is empty but one element), meaning:

$$2^{0} + 2^{1} + \dots + 2^{h-1} + 2 = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2^{i} + 1 = \frac{2^{h} - 1}{2 - 1} + 1 = 2^{h}$$

6.1-2 Proof by Induction:

Base: n = 1, A single node heap is at height 0 = log(1) = log(n)

Step: We assume the statement is correct for n=k-1 and prove for a heap of size n=k. Consider the last element of the heap A[k], by the definition of the heap, its parent is the element $A[\frac{k}{2}]$. By the induction step the heap $A[1...\frac{k}{2}]$ is of height $log(\frac{k}{2}) = log(k) - log(2) = log(k) - 1$. Thus, the height of the heap A[1...k] has one more layer than $A[1...\frac{k}{2}]$, that is log(k).

7