Introduction to Algorithms - Reading Notes & Selected Solutions

Nimrod Shneor October 4, 2019

Chapter 2

Solutions to selected exercises:

2.1 - 1

$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 41, 59, 26, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 41, 26, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [31, 26, 41, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [26, 31, 41, 59, 41]$$

$$A = [26, 31, 41, 41, 59]$$

Algorithm 1 BinaryAddition(A,B,n)

2.1 - 4

```
carry \leftarrow 0

for i \leftarrow 1 to n: do

C[i] \leftarrow (A[i] + B[i] + C[i]) \, mod 2

carry \leftarrow A[i] * B[i]

end for

C[i+1] \leftarrow carry

return C
```

- Input: two n-bit numbers A, B.
- Output: the sum of A, B an n + 1-bit number.
- 2.2-3 Define X = The number of elements checked in a "brute force" linear search.

Than $X \in \{1...n\}$ and the average number of elements checked in a linear search is exactly:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n}i = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2n} = \Theta(n)$$

The worst case is where the last element of the array is the one searched for - resulting in an $\Theta(n)$ run time.

2.3 - 3

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 2\\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & n > 2 \end{cases}$$

Q: Proof by induction that if n is an exact power of two (that is $n = 2^k$ for some constant $k \ge 1$) than T(n) = nlog n.

Proof: By induction.

Base case: for k=1 than T(n)=2=2log2=nlognAssumption: Assume the above holds for all integers up to k>1. Induction step: We now prove the statement for $n=2^{k+1}$. Plugging in to the formula

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n = 2T(\frac{2^{k+1}}{2}) + 2^{k+1} \\ &= 2T(2^k) + 2^{k+1} = 2 * 2^k log 2^k + 2^{k+1} \\ &= k2^{k+1} + 2^{k+1} = (k+1)2^{k+1} = 2^{k+1} log 2^{k+1} \\ &= nlog n \end{split}$$

Algorithm 2 BinarySearch(A,x)

```
2.3-5 \qquad \begin{array}{c} l \leftarrow 0 \\ r \leftarrow length(A) \\ \text{while } l < r-1 \text{ do} \\ \text{if } x = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \text{ then} \\ \frac{l+r}{2} \\ \text{end if} \\ \text{if } x > A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \text{ then} \\ l = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \\ \text{else} \\ r = A[\frac{l+r}{2}] \\ \text{end if} \\ \text{end while} \\ \text{return -1} \end{array}
```

At each iteration of the while loop the distance between the two pointers - l, r - is halfed until the element is found or we return -1. The while loop will terminate once the two pointers are at distance two at which point either the element x is found or the loop will terminate. Thus the distance between the two pointers at each iteration i is percisly $\frac{length(A)}{2^i} = \frac{n}{2^i}$

At the time of the termination the distance between the two pointers is two, thus -

$$\frac{n}{2^i} = 2$$

$$n = 2^{i+1}$$

$$\log(n) = i + 1$$

$$\log(n) - 1 = i$$

$$\Theta(\log(n)) = i$$

Algorithm 3 FindSum(A,x)

```
B \leftarrow MergeSort(A)
l \leftarrow 0
r \leftarrow length(B)
while l < r do

if B[l] + B[r] == x then

return true
else if B[l] + B[r] < x then
l \leftarrow l + 1
else
r \leftarrow r - 1
end if
end while
return false
```

Solutions to selected problems:

2 - 1

 \mathbf{a}

2.3 - 7

Given $\frac{n}{k}$ lists each of size k. Applying InsertionSort to each list separatly yealds worst-case runtime of $\Theta(k^2)$. Doing this for all $\frac{n}{k}$ lists yealds an $\Theta(\frac{n}{k}k^2) = \Theta(nk)$ runtime algorithm.

b

Algorithm 4 ModifiedMergeSort(A)

```
Split A to form S = [A_1, ..., A_{\frac{n}{k}}] array of sub-arrays of size k for i \leftarrow 1 to \frac{n}{k} do A_i \leftarrow InsertionSort(A_i) end for while |S| > 1 do l \leftarrow 1 r \leftarrow length(S) S' \leftarrow \Phi while l < r do S' \leftarrow S' \bigcup Merge(A_l, A_r) l \leftarrow l + 1 r \leftarrow r - 1 end while S \leftarrow S' end while
```

We prove that at each iteration of the outer while loop the size of |S| is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$. Proof: By induction,

Base i=1: In the first iteration we set l and r to hold the two opposit ends of S, at each iteration we merge two subsets and continue so on until l = r or l > r (depending on the number of subsets) because at each iteration we merged two subsets the number of iterations of the inner loop is percisly $\frac{n}{2k}$.

Step: Assume that the number of subsets in |S| is $\frac{n}{2^i k}$ at iteration i next we prove that at iteration i + 1 the above statement holds.

Again, from the same argument for the base case - at each iteration of the inner loop the number of elements decrease by two the number of iterations of the inner loop is $\frac{n}{2^{i+1}k}$ yeilding that number of subsets. The outer loop will terminate once |S|=1, that is -

$$\frac{n}{2^{i}k} = 1$$

$$\frac{n}{k} = 2^{i}$$

$$\log(\frac{n}{k}) = i$$

At each iteration we perform $\frac{n}{2^ik}$ merges each runs in $\Theta(2^ik)$ for a total of $\Theta(n)$, Thus the total running time of the while loop is $\Theta(n\log(\frac{n}{k}))$

All together we get $\Theta(nlog(\frac{n}{k})) + \Theta(nk)$.