Algorithmic complexity and graphs: the matching problem

May 23, 2024



Matching size: 21
Algo step: 128
Nb nodes: 50

Matching size: 5
Algo step: 19
Nb nodes: 40




Le réseau national
après déclassement
Autoroute en service fin 1976
Route nationale primaire

residual graph step 12



augmenting path step 1



The matching problem

Introductory example 1 : Max Flow



Figure: Problem 1 : transporting merchandise through a network

Introductory example 2 : Maximum matching (Optimal assignment, problème d'affectation)



Figure: Problem 2 : Building the largest possible number of teams of 2 persons.

Introductory example 2



Figure: Problem 2 : not optimal assignment

Introductory example 2



Figure: Problem 2 : optimal assignment

Other examples

- Assigning students to internships
- Assigning machines to a task

Summary

- Today we will work on **connnecting the two problems**.
- In some specific cases, the two problems **equivalent**.

Networkx

We will use networkx.



Networkx



Matching problem

Given a **undirected** graph $G = (V, E)$, we want a **matching** M, which means:

- A subset of edges $M \subset E$



Matching problem

Given a **undirected** graph $G = (V, E)$, we want a **matching**, which means:

- A subset of edges $M \subset E$
- Such that no pairs of edges of M are incident
- Equivalently, each node in the graph is **at most** in one edge of M.



Matching problem

Given **undirected** a graph $G = (V, E)$, we want a **matching**, which means:

- A subset of edges $M \subset E$
- Equivalently, each node in the graph is **at most** in one edge of M.
- No pairs of edges of M are incident



Maximum matching

- The **size** of a matching is the number of edges it contains.

Maximum matching

- The **size** of a matching is the number of edges it contains.
- We want to find the matching of maximum size in a given graph.

Example 1



Figure: Is this a matching ?

Example 2



Figure: Is this a matching ?

Example 3



Figure: Is this an optimal matching ?

Example 4



Figure: Is this an optimal matching ?

Example 5



Figure: With neato

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Given a graph of size n, what is maximum size possible for a **matching** ?

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Given a graph of size n, what is maximum size possible for a **matching ?**

- If n is even : $\frac{n}{2}$
- Else n is odd : $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Given a graph of size n, what is maximum size possible for a **matching ?**

- If n is even : $\frac{n}{2}$
- Else n is odd : $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Hence,

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \tag{1}$$

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Can you think of a graph with n nodes that contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)

Optimal

Exercice 1 : Can you think of a graph with n nodes that contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)



Figure: The complete graph works

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Can you think of a graph with n nodes that does **not** contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)

Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Can you think of a graph with n nodes that does **not** contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)



Optimal matching

Exercice 1 : Can you think of a **non trivial** graph that does **not** contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)

Optimal matching

Exercice 2 : Can you think of a **non trivial** graph that does **not** contains a matching of size $\frac{n}{2}$? (assuming n is even)



Figure: Star graph

Experiments

Possibilities to code a graph:

- list of sets of size 2 (for an undirected graph)
- a dictionary of successors (directed of undirected)

Coding a graph : as a list of edges




```
g1 = [{1,2},{1,3},{2,3},{3,4},{1,4}]
```

Coding a graph : as a dictionary of neighbors




```
g1 = { 1:{2,3,4}, 2:{1,3}, 3:{1,2,4}, 4:{1,3} }
```

Generating graphs with networks.





Directed graph



Directed graph II



Directed graph III



Example directed graph



Manual matching

Exercice 3 : Please manually find an **optimal matching** in your **undirected** graph.

Big graph

We could not manually find an optimal matching in this graph :

Summary

- We have defined the matching problem.
- When the size of the problem is large, we can not manually find an optimal matching.

Brute force approach

Exercice 4 : Enumeration

- Given a graph, what would a brute force approach on the matching problem be ?

Brute force approach

Exercice 4 : Exhaustive search

- Given a graph what would a brute force approach on the matching problem be ?
  - 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
  - 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
  - 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

Brute force approach

Exercice 4 : Exhaustive search

- Given a graph what would a brute force approach on the matching problem be ?
  - 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
  - 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
  - 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

If the graph contains n nodes, and given a subset of edges, what if the number of computations needed to perform step 2 ?

Brute force approach

Exercice 4 : Exhaustive search

- Given a graph what would a brute force approach on the matching problem be ?
  - 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
  - 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
  - 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

If the graph contains n nodes, and given a subset of edges, what if the number of computations needed to perform step 2 ?
You can give a rough approximation.

Brute force approach

Exercice 4 : Exhaustive search

- Given a graph what would a brute force approach on the matching problem be ?
  - 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
  - 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
  - 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

If the graph contains n nodes, and given a subset of edges, what if the number of computations needed to perform step 2 ?
It is a **polynomial** number of computations : so it is ok.

Brute force search

Exercice 5 : Complexity of brute force

- 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
- 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
- 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

What is the complexity of step 1 ?

Brute force search

Exercice 5 : Complexity of brute force

- 1) Enumerate all possible subsets in the set of the edges.
- 2) Check if each subset is a matching.
- 3) Return the biggest one obtained.

What is the complexity of step 1 ?

The number of subsets is $2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ (in the worst case), which is exponential. If p is the numberof edges, we can also write it as 2^p.

Brute force search

Exercice 5 : **Complexity of brute force**

Assume that checking a subset requires 1 microsecond. How long should we wait in order to check all possible matchings in a graph with 100 nodes ?

Summary II

- For the matching problem on a large graph, we can neither
  - manually find an optimal matching
  - perform the exhaustive search (brute force algorithm)

Algorithms

- Hence, we need different algorithms to solve the problem.
- Let us first introduce some theoretical notions.

Notion of maximal and maximum matching

We will say that a matching M of cardinality (number of elements) $|M|$ is:

- **Maximum** if is has the maximum possible number of edges (is is thus optimal)

Notion of maximal and maximum matching

We will say that a matching M of cardinality $|M|$ is:

- **Maximum** if it has the maximum possible number of edges (is is thus optimal)
- **Maximal** if the set of edges obtained by adding any edge to it is **not a matching**. This means that $M \cup \{e\}$ is not a matching for any e not in M.
- $\cup$ means union of sets.

Is being a **maximal** matching the same thing as beeing a **maximum** matching ?

Maximum implies maximal

Let us show that a maximum matching is maximal.

Counter Example

However, a matching that is maximal is **not necessary Maximum** (example).



(a) A maximal matching not maximum



(b) A maximum matching

Greedy algorithm

Can you propose a greedy algorithm to address the maximum matching problem ?

Greedy algorithm

Result : Matching M
$M \leftarrow \emptyset$;
for $e \in E$ **do**
  if $M \cup \{e\}$ *is a matching* **then**
    $M \leftarrow M \cup \{e\}$
  end
end
return M

Algorithme 0 : Greedy algorithm to find a matching

Greedy algorithm

- What is the type of matching algorithm returned by this algorithm ?
- What is the complexity of this algorithm ? (as a function of the number of nodes n of the graph)

Greedy algorithm

- The greedy algorithm returns a **maximal** matching (proof)
- Its complexity is smaller than $\mathcal{O}(np)$ (n nodes, p edges) (proof)
- smaller than **cubic** in the number of nodes : $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

Greedy algorithm

- We will implement the greedy algorithm to find a maximal matching.

Exercice 6 : **cd matching_greedy/** and use **generate_graph.py** to build a graph with a least 30 nodes. The images are stored in **images/**, data stored in **data/**



Implementing the greedy algorithm

Exercice 6 : Implement the greedy algorithm on this graph.

- Use the functions in **matching_functions.py** and call them from **apply_matching_algorithm.py**
- More details in the file.

initial graph




Matching size: 1
Algo step: 1
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 2
Algo step: 3
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 3
Algo step: 6
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 4
Algo step: 11
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 5
Algo step: 17
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 6
Algo step: 22
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 7
Algo step: 25
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 8
Algo step: 34
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7


Matching size: 9
Algo step: 36
Nb nodes: 20
13
3
5
4
20
9
18
17
14
8
16
2
11
1
6
15
12
10
19
7

initial graph




Matching size: 1
Algo step: 1
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
39
9
26
49
42
2
3
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
23
33
50
22
11
37
35
28
21
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
17
18
41
7
6
36
14
31


Matching size: 2
Algo step: 4
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
26
9
39
49
42
2
3
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
23
33
50
22
11
37
35
21
28
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
18
17
41
7
6
36
14
31


Matching size: 3
Algo step: 10
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
39
9
26
49
42
2
3
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
23
33
50
22
11
37
35
28
21
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
17
18
41
7
6
36
14
31


Matching size: 7
Algo step: 30
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
39
9
26
49
42
2
3
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
23
33
50
22
11
37
35
28
21
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
17
18
41
7
6
36
14
31


Matching size: 14
Algo step: 54
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
26
9
39
49
3
42
2
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
33
23
50
22
11
37
35
28
21
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
18
17
41
7
6
36
14
31

Matching size: 19
Algo step: 72
Nb nodes: 50




Matching size: 21
Algo step: 78
Nb nodes: 50
5
40
26
39
9
49
42
2
3
15
13
19
27
24
43
48
20
23
33
50
22
11
37
35
28
21
4
16
46
47
32
38
25
44
45
8
29
10
34
12
1
30
17
18
41
7
6
36
14
31

initial graph




Matching size: 3
Algo step: 8
Nb nodes: 50
35
4
13
31
3
7
20
45
26
8
30
41
43
9
40
47
11
28
34
12
38
36
49
23
22
14
50
15
16
33
17
27
18
19
48
21
29
25
46
37
44
1
6
5
32
39
2
24
42
10


Matching size: 5
Algo step: 15
Nb nodes: 50
35
4
13
31
3
10
42
24
2
39
32
5
6
1
44
37
46
25
29
21
48
19
18
27
17
33
16
15
50
14
22
23
49
36
38
12
34
28
11
47
40
9
43
41
30
8
26
45
20
7


Matching size: 7
Algo step: 20
Nb nodes: 50
35
4
13
31
3
10
42
24
2
39
32
5
6
1
44
37
46
25
29
21
48
19
18
27
17
33
16
15
50
14
22
23
49
36
38
12
34
28
11
47
40
9
43
41
30
8
26
45
20
7


Matching size: 12
Algo step: 38
Nb nodes: 50
35
4
13
31
3
7
20
45
26
8
30
41
43
9
40
47
11
28
34
12
38
36
49
23
22
14
50
15
16
33
17
27
18
19
48
21
29
25
46
37
44
1
6
5
32
39
2
24
42
10


Matching size: 19
Algo step: 79
Nb nodes: 50
35
4
13
31
3
10
42
24
2
39
32
5
6
1
44
37
46
25
29
21
48
19
18
27
17
33
16
15
50
14
22
23
49
36
38
12
34
28
11
47
40
9
43
41
30
8
26
45
20
7

Example

Exercice 7 : Can you think of an example where the greedy algorithm gives a **bad** matching, e.g. of the size **half** the size of an optimal matching ?

Example

Exercice 7 : Can you think of an example where the greedy algorithm gives a **bad** matching, e.g. of the size **half** the size of an optimal matching ?

Greedy matching

However, is $|M|$ is the cardinality of a matching returned by the greedy algorithm, and if $|M^*|$ is the cardinal of the real optimal matching, we can theoretically show that :

$$|M| \geq \frac{|M^*|}{2} \tag{2}$$

Speed comparison as a function of the data structure



Figure: The functions can be found in matching/matching_algorithms_benchmark.py

Matchings and vertex covers

Exercice 8 : Show that the nodes of the edges selected in a maximal matching form a **vertex cover**.
`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vertex_cover`

Matchings and vertex covers

Exercice 9 : Show that any matching is smaller than any vertex cover.