(1) Show that the set of 2×2 matrices with real entires is a group under matrix addition. The set builder notation for this is

$$M_2(R) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} & a_{1,3}b_{1,3}c_{1,3}d_1 \in R \end{cases}$$
addition

So Show M2(IR) is a group under matrix addition

[proof] (i) Show closure: Let $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1+a_2 & b_1+b_2 \\ c_1+c_2 & d_1+d_2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$

(ii) Associativity: At $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & d_3 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & a_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & b_1 + b_2 \\ c_1 + c_2 & d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & a_3 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) + a_3 & (b_1 + b_2) + b_3 \\ (c_1 + c_2) + (3 & (d_1 + d_2) + d_3 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & a_3 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & a_3 \end{bmatrix}$

(iii) identity: $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the identity in $M_2(R)$. indeed $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}$

(iN) inverses: The inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $\begin{bmatrix} -a_1 - b_1 \\ -c_1 & -d_1 \end{bmatrix}$ indeed $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 - b_1 \\ -c_1 & -d_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 - b_1 \\ -c_1 & -d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ -c_1 & -d_1 \end{bmatrix}$

In general, $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a group under matrix addition. What if we had entries not in \mathbb{R} ? consider \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{Z}_n

yes! proof: Let
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & b_1 + b_2 \\ c_1 + c_2 & d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_2 + a_1 & b_2 + b_1 \\ c_2 + c_1 & d_2 + d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

In general Mn (R) is abelian. What if we had entires not in R?

\$0,1,2,33 a group under multiplication 1s the set modulo 4?

let's take a look at the multiplication table:

ab(mod4)	0	Ī	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	2	1

It looks like 1 is our potential identity (a)(1) mod 4 = a, but do you see any problems?

- O does not have an inverse because I does not appear in its corresponding row.
- 2 also does not have an invase.

(1 and 3 do have inverses, but this is not enough).

NOTE: The operation here is closed (but there are problems as stated when showing we get a group)

a multiplication table for the group U(15) (cayley table) (5)(a) construct

u(15) = { 1,2,4,7,8,11,13,14}

recall, the operation is multiplication modulo 15:

1								
ab (mud 15)	1	2	4	7	8	11	13	14
	1	2	4	7	8	11	13	14
2	2	4	4	14	١	7	11	13
	4	8	١	13	2	14	7	11
4	1		13	4	11	2	1	8
7	17	14		,		12	14	7
8	8	1	2	11	4	13	•	ч
11	1	7	14	2	13	1	8	'
11	111	'		,	14	8	4	2
13	113	3 11	+	1	·	1.0	7	١
١.,	. 1.	u 15	3 11	8	7	4	2	1
1.	11,	7 1:	5 .					

(b) 15 U(15) abelian?

we could prove this elementuise, but we in general can you see how to show this looking general can you see how to show this looking at the multiplication table (cayley table)?

Notice the table is symmetric across the main diagonal (almost like a symmetric matrix from Linea Algebra.).

(c) Find 7-1

Notice in the table on the row corresponding to 7 there is an entry of 1 (the identity) there is an entry of 1 (the identity) to 13. So 7'=13. (7.13) (mod 15) = 91 (mod 15) = 1 (mod 15) indeed:

(d) Find all elements of U(15) with the property that $x^2 = 1$.

looking at the table again, on the main diagonal we see the products $x^2 \pmod{15}$ and 1 appears for elements 1, 4, 11 and 14.

Solution: X=1,4,11 or 14.

Take a look at the problem: Chapter 3.#26.

Take a look at the problem: Chapter 3.#26.

Take a look at the problem: Chapter 3.#26.

XEU(n) so that

can you always find an XEU(n) so that $X^2 = 1$ (and not considering the trivial solution X = 1).

The answer here should be short be cause you only need to exibit an * x value.

(e) Find | U(15) |

Recall, |u(15)| = order of u(15) = # of elements = 8.

Take a look at these two extra problems #31 and #32 in chapter 3. They tie together the above ideas into some nice results.